

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To plead for a return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 3

Fort Worth, Texas, October, 1952

No. 10

THE FOUNDATION OF OUR SYNTHETIC PROSPERITY IS LAID ON THE GRAVES OF AMERICAN SOLDIERS

Whether He Is Honest Or Whether He Is Not A Lot Of Funny People Sweetened Adlai's Pot

A quick glance at the list of contributors which was finally sweated out of Adlai Stevenson explains why he wriggled and squirmed when he was forced to come clean and lay on the line.

There was, for instance, the little item of \$7,100 from Marshall Field, Jr., Chicago Gold Coast Socialist whose newspapers follow the same editorial policy as that of the Daily Worker.

This upper-bracket gent is listed in the Report of the Un-American Activities Committee of California as a member of the American Committee for Yugo Slav Relief and this Yugo Slav outfit, according to the same source, was a Communist front for the support of the puppet Tito.

(From recent pictures in national magazines showing Tito who is 63 with his new third wife who is 28, he seemed to be doing all right and didn't look to us like he needed any relief.)

There was a contribution of \$1,000 from the president of a steel company who, when interviewed by Chicago reporters, admitted that his company had been given contracts by the State of Illinois during Stevenson's administration amounting to \$1,160,000.

We don't blame the guy. No matter how hard you try, you just can't lose money by trading a thousand bucks for a million.

There was a \$2,500 contribution from a CIO official; \$2,000 from the Amalgamated Clothing Workers Union; \$2,500 from the Steel Workers Union and \$2,500 from the United Automobile, Aircraft and Agricultural Implement Workers Unions.

No matter what else we may say about union leaders, we can't deny that they were far-sighted, looked ahead and planned in advance. Their later endorsement of Stevenson was sort of anti-climax, it seems. Naturally, any one is going to root for the horse on which they have bet their money.

Speaking of horses, there was also a \$3,000 contribution from the executive director of two race tracks in Chicago and \$750 from a former night club owner and hand-book operator who is alleged to have had dealings with Al Capone.

There was also a \$500 contribution from the president of one of the big coal companies of Illinois who admits that he is now supporting Eisenhower for president. We suppose this is what

Torture Of Nixon A New Low In Human Brutality

The persecution, torture and humiliation of young Richard Nixon of California who was forced to bare every intimate detail of his private affairs to a nation-wide radio and television audience, is without parallel in human brutality since the Christians were tossed to the lions for the amusement of the depraved and decadent populace of ancient Rome.

This disgraceful incident in American politics is an indictment of every man and woman whose ballot helped elevate to power the Truman gang who were instigators of the attack on Nixon and within whose inner ranks an honest man is as hard to find as a Baptist movie producer.

If the Republicans are able to beat the combined forces of Truman and Stalin followers in the United States and win the election on November 4, it will be due, in a large measure, to Richard Nixon and his friend, Fulton Lewis, Jr., whose inspired, heart-to-heart talks to the American people over radio and television on September 22 and 23, lifted the Eisenhower campaign to a high level and sparked it with new life, vitality and hope.

you would call hedging on a bet which you are not sure of winning.

The item which had us stumped and sent us scurrying to the dictionary was \$2,500 from the "bailiff of the Chicago Municipal Court," it says here.

We always thought that a bailiff was a sort of doorkeeper but, according to Mr. Webster, the word derives from old English royalty where the King used a bailiff as a collector of revenue.

Anyway, whether the Chicago bailiff was a doorkeeper or a collector of revenue, he seems to have done fairly well at the job.

Summing up these few cases in a list of hundreds, we would not exactly say that Adlai has been playing both ends against the middle, but we submit that a politician who can put the bite on the president of a steel company for \$1,000 and then knock off the Steel Workers Union for \$2,500 is a sharp operator who, if given a whack at Truman's job might reduce the latter's place in history to the status of a Bush Leaguer.

For years the nation's economy has been propped up with coffins holding the broken bodies of American youth and battlefields around the world have been spattered with teenager's blood as big-shot scoundrels with Washington influence and small-time crooks in government agencies have traded with the enemy, swindled the taxpayers and pocketed the profits of corruption and death.

Since the beginning of organized government, war has been the expedient and deadly weapon of weak and corrupt leaders who have sought to bolster their waning power and to steady their tottering regimes by plunging their country into conflict.

Under the wise guidance of the Monroe Doctrine which served as the foundation of American foreign policy until two decades ago, the presidents of the United States have been above the practice of employing wars to cure depressions and mend their broken political fences. They have relied on their own understanding of fundamental principles of government and their capacity to intelligently present them to the people for support in their bid for re-election and have depended on the law of supply and demand and the application of sound economic policies to put the country back on a stable basis following a depression.

Beginning in 1941, this procedure was changed. At that time Roosevelt saved his face with union labor leaders and disposed of some 9,000,000 unemployed workers by involving us in England's war with Germany and tricking Japan into attacking Pearl Harbor and since then we have lived under the policy of old-world rulers who seized upon war as the solution when disaster threatened to dislodge them from power.

Since Roosevelt successfully employed this ruse to renew his lease on the White House, our economy has been sustained by a program which has dissipated the material resources of our men and women, drenched foreign battlefields with the blood of our youth and placed a mortgage on the future of our unborn children.

By the time Truman came along, wars and rumors of war had become so firmly established as a medium for confiscating the substance of the people through taxation, piling up shocking indebtedness through defense squandering and retaining a hold on the presidency through planned campaigns which exploited fear, suspense and agony that it was no longer considered necessary to get approval of the Congress to send American troops into battle.

By-passing the Constitution and usurping the power of our national law-making body, the vest-pocket Dictator in the White House—backed by no higher authority than his own conceit and inspired by no nobler impulse than fear of a failing economy—called a war in Korea on his own responsibility in which more than a hundred thousand young Americans have been reported dead, captured or missing in action.

As corruption ran riot in Washington and big shot scoundrels with administration contacts pocketed millions by trading with the enemy and small-time crooks preyed on taxpayers through shakedowns, influence-peddling and plain and fancy stealing, American mothers kissed their sons goodbye with tears in their eyes, anguish in their hearts and a prayer on their lips.

Helplessly they stood by as their boys went away to a strange land called Korea where, in conflict with all concepts of patriotism, national defense and military tradition, they were to be ordered to fight and to die but not to win.

There is no American worthy of the name who will not fight to the death when this country is attacked or in danger of attack and no responsible citizen who will not gladly endure high taxes, price controls, shortages, rationing and all the other personal sacrifices which the exigencies of war

(Continued on page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

THE NEXT BEST THING TO THE ELECTION OF McCARTHY WILL BE THE DEFEAT OF BENTON

The voters of Wisconsin did a lot last month to sustain our confidence in the American electorate.

We don't believe any one in the United States, with one exception, was more thrilled and overjoyed than we at the smashing victory of that great American patriot, Senator Joe McCarthy. That one exception, of course, is the senator himself and we have our doubts about whether he got any bigger kick than we over the results.

If the Communists and fellow travelers from all over the country who poured money into Wisconsin to defeat this fearless champion of Americanism had succeeded, we would have been fit to be tied.

While it was the senator's courage and patriotic service to his state and his country which influenced the people of Wisconsin to vote for him in such overwhelming numbers, and outside efforts of friends to aid him played very little part in his victory, we are glad that we never overlooked an opportunity to plug for him in our small way.

If we had remained silent in the face of the all-out effort of domestic Reds to get him, we would hang our head in shame and admit our unworthiness as an American citizen.

His nomination is irrefutable testimony to two facts.

One is that when the American people, in any state, are thoroughly aroused to danger to our institutions and way of life, they will forget political affiliations and partisan considerations and fight together to win. Democrats by the thousands are said to have flocked to the polls and joined with Republicans in re-nominating this great American.

Another is that the people like a fighter who gets in there and slugs it out and shows no more consideration to an enemy of his country who happens to be a member of the United States Senate or who occupies a high position in the State Department or other government agency than he does to a foe on the battlefield in the Pacific.

That Irishman fights with all he's got and, if necessary, he can fight dirty. He just fights. Period.

A fitting sequel to Senator McCarthy's great primary victory in Wisconsin would be for the people of Connecticut to come alive and snow under that little squirt with the grasshopper intellect and the pro-Communist sympathies, William Benton, who reflects on the dignity and integrity of that great Commonwealth every day that he remains a member of the United States Senate.

Korean Truce Has Turned Into An "Endurance Contest"

How many Americans realize that the Korean "truce" has been going on for 14 months whereas a truce usually lasts for a few days or weeks at most?

In the meantime, Stalin's forces have been utilizing the time gained by this stall, to increase their fighting strength and Truman has been occupying his time trying to win an election in November for the Fair Deal.

Since it is a truce in name only and the fighting has not ceased, scores of American soldiers and those of the few countries fighting by their side are dying daily but obviously that is just one on them.

Mr. Stalin and Mr. Truman are rebuilding their fences and they can't be bothered by trivialities.

Taxpayers Gyped Out Of Ten Million Dollars

About ten million dollars were stolen from the taxpayers of the country in the recent Grain scandal of the Department of Agriculture.

This was the charge of Senator Aiken of Vermont, a member of the committee of the Senate which has been investigating the matter, and who has threatened that if the report of the investigation is not made public soon, he will write one of his own and give it to the press.

"Some officials want to call this loss 'conversion' but actually it is embezzlement and stealing" the Vermont Senator stated.

During the hearing Secretary Brannan of the Department of Agriculture who, some of his critics claim, has nothing either on the outside or the inside of his head, kissed off this loss as relatively small, in view of the fact that ten billion dollars were involved in the overall transaction.

Perhaps he is right.

It could be that we are lucky that somebody made off with only ten million dollars when we consider the billions which have gone down the drain and have not been accounted for in the past twenty years.

Denounces Good American Approves Red Sympathizer

To show just how much of a "captive" Stevenson is, he made a speech in Hartford, Connecticut, in which he denounced his opponent for endorsing the candidacy of Senator William Jenner of Indiana for re-election to the United States Senate.

Senator Jenner has no suspicion of Communist affiliation and his record is unblotted by association with subversives or with his advocacy of measures sponsored by the Kremlin. He has, on the other hand, fought Communists in government and creeping Socialism in Washington while a member of the Upper House.

As soon as he had finished his denunciation of Eisenhower for his endorsement of Jenner, Stevenson, who has publicly stated that he would endorse only such Democrats as met his approval, turned right around and gave his complete blessing to William Benton of Connecticut as a candidate for re-election as United States Senator from Connecticut.

Benton is not only a left-winger who buddies with Communist-fronters, but he is one of the strongest non-member allies of the Communist party in the United States, and has consistently battled for its policies as a member of the Committee which set up UNESCO, as an official in the State Department, and as the stooge in the Senate chosen to try to drive Senator Joseph R. McCarthy from that body because the Wisconsin senator has made it hot for Communists who hold jobs in government.

Whatever semblance of independence Stevenson may have hoped to display in the campaign at the beginning has been completely surrendered and he has gone whole hog for the Fair Deal, Corruption, Communists and all.

Texas Puts Stevenson and Sparkman on The Ticket And Urges The Election of Eisenhower and Nixon

Now, we know we have seen and heard everything.

At the State Democratic Convention at Amarillo in September, Texas Democrats voted to place the names of Adlai Stevenson and John J. Sparkman at the head of the Democratic ticket.

Then they passed resolutions which denounced these two nominees as everything but cattle rustlers and horse thieves and called on all those present to go out and work for the election of Dwight D. Eisenhower and Richard Nixon for president and vice president, respectively.

From our place at the press table, our eyes bugged out as we watched one prominent Texas Democrat after another take the rostrum and, without exception, announce their support of the Republican nominees.

From our own observation and conversation with other representatives of the press, we were convinced that we were witnessing a new and unprecedented chapter of history being written in the Lone Star State which may ultimately lead to a two-party system in Texas.

When one of the speakers called on those who were going to vote for Stevenson and Sparkman to rise, not more than one hundred stood out of twelve hundred delegates and several hundred visitors in the gallery.

Texas, it will be remembered, went Republican in 1928 with far less cause than is presented in the current situation.

In that year the defection of the Democrats was based on religious prejudice and in recent years we have heard nothing but denunciation of all kinds of prejudice—religious, racial and what have you.

So, if the State's twenty-four electoral votes go to the Republican nominees this year, it will be for a far more justifiable reason than the one which inspired them to break ranks in 1928.

Charges that the World Council of Churches is run by Communist sympathizers was made by Dr. Carl McIntyre, president of the International Council of Christian Churches, at a meeting of the General Synod of the Presbyterian Church in Pasadena, California, recently. He also charged that the "brotherhood" movement is being employed to condition our people to world Socialism. We made both these charges over two years ago.

FOUNDATION

(Continued From Page 1)

demand when American fighting forces have been authentically ordered into battle by a vote of the Congress in defense of the honor and safety of the United States.

But we do not believe that the American people will any longer tolerate a peace-time economy built on permanent inflation, unlimited spending and indefensible tax levies.

And we do not believe they will continue to accept the philosophy of one-man authority to declare war or agree with the proposition that each step in the path of a politician's ascent to power shall be paved with the corpse of an American soldier.

We can't bring back the lads who laid down their lives on the altar of their country and who now sleep under a white cross in the bleak and desolate silence of the Korean hills and we can't ease the heartache in American homes from which a son, a brother, a husband or a father has gone forever.

But we can invest the memory of these sacred dead with some degree of dignity and give some measure of meaning to the supreme sacrifice they made for their country, by repudiating their betrayer—and the protegee of their betrayer—with a barrage of ballots at the polls in November.

We May Not Win But We Will Go Down Swinging

It is discouraging to hear so many substantial men of affairs express their honest conviction that we are headed straight into National Socialism and that we are destined for the fate which has destroyed many great nations of the world.

Maybe so, but we don't agree that there is nothing that can be done about it.

Without intending to be immodest or boastful, we dare to assert that if every American who feels as we do had been sounding the alarm and raising the roof as long as we have, we would not be in the shape we are today.

We didn't have any newspaper then, but we started in 1935 giving our unsolicited opinion of the New Deal, the Roosevelts and all their chiseling associates to any one who would listen. We didn't wait for an argument and then get in it. We started the argument and the more people who were listening, the better we liked it.

At that time, it was regarded as little short of high treason to criticize anybody in Washington and especially the Roosevelts and politicians to whom we were talking used to look around uneasily and slink off before some one saw them in the presence of one who would dare to lambast the Great Leader.

An amusing aftermath is that the same politicians now come out openly and tell us the identical things we told them then, and they act as if they had held this view all along and that they are favoring us with some heretofore undisclosed information.

It is not the American way to give up and we hope all patriots stay in there and fight.

We'll quit when we get knocked out and not before. We may not win but we'll go down swinging.

Since American taxpayers' money is involved, the Truman administration should explain why it has been decided to underwrite business ventures in Israel? Who made this decision and why was that one country singled out for preference? If that is to be a permanent policy of government, why not guarantee business concerns against loss who invest money in Algiers, Borneo and the Isle of Capri?

Organization Led By A Communist Frontier Tries To Pitch Church Vote To Stevenson

In a sly, vicious and unprincipled attempt to throw the Protestant church vote to the Fair Deal candidate while at the same time disclaiming that any "partisan implications" influenced its action, the National Council of Churches of Christ has abandoned all pretense as a religious group and exposed its true colors as an instrument for promoting World Socialism.

Claiming to represent 33,000,000 Protestants in the United States the Council issued these instructions to its membership in a press release carried by the Associated Press on September 24 concerning the "principles" as approved by the Council and which should guide them in voting:

Opposition to racial discrimination and segregation; support of efforts to "preserve and extend Civil Rights"; increased support for the United Nations and its specialized agencies (this includes the infamous UNESCO, Covenant of Human Rights, International Labor Organization and other subversive affiliates); support of the United States (Truman-Acheson) program of "economic aid and technical assistance to the under-developed areas of the world"; advocacy of "revised immigration and naturalization laws so that a larger number of refugees, regardless of color, creed or material origin can begin a new life on our soil"; affirmation of the "necessity for a free exchange of ideas in our Democracy and condemnation of attempts to impose conformity or to silence people by character assassination, guilt by association or the use of unfounded charges." (Same line employed by those opposing the removal of Communists from government agencies).

The statement contained an explanation by one Dr. Roswell Barnes, executive director of the Council's Department of Christian Life and Work to the effect that "no partisan implications" were involved in this reminder to the membership on how to vote.

By what authority Dr. Barnes pretends to speak for "Christians" is not quite clear since he is shown by legally appointed investigating committees of Congress set up to probe into Communist infiltration in this country, to be a member of at least nine of the most vicious Communist fronts and Atheistic groups which have yet been uncovered.

These include the American Youth Congress cited by the Attorney General of the United States on September 24, 1942, page 7685 of the Congressional Record as being "controlled by Communists and manipulated by them to influence the thought of American youth."

He is also shown as having been a member of the Emergency Peace Mobilization described as "among the more conspicuous Communist fronts that have defied brazenly the interests of the United States . . ." by the Un-American Activities Committee of California.

He is also shown to have been a member of the North American Committee to aid Spanish Democracy, cited by three different investigating committees and the attorney general as being a Communist front and he is listed as having been affiliated with six other filthy subversive outfits and a member of the Socialist Party.

The American people seem to be alerted to the imperative need for cleaning the Communist rats out of government agencies in Washington but they have not yet fully realized how these same rodents are over-running the churches.

A candidate for president is reported in the press as having promised to name a Negro as a member of his cabinet provided he found a qualified applicant. We protest that this is rank discrimination against other minority groups and we hereby demand that the Chinook Indians be given assurance that a member of their tribe will be extended a similar favor.

If Chaplin Never Comes Back It Will Be Too Soon

The American government is finally doing something that should have been done years ago.

The Attorney General of the United States has instructed the immigration department to deny re-admission to this country of Charlie Chaplin until charges are looked into concerning his personal and political behavior of the past several years.

Chaplin with his latest wife and family sailed recently for a year's trip to Europe and if he never comes back it will be too soon, so far as we are concerned.

Although he has been in this country forty years and has grown rich from the money of the suckers who have flocked to see his silly pictures, he has never held the United States in sufficient esteem to take out citizenship papers.

We are thankful that the only contribution we personally made to his great fortune was one two-bit piece which was the price of his first picture and the last one of his productions we ever saw.

Like so many other foreigners who come to this country to make money or hold office, he didn't like our form of government and joined with many subversive groups whose objective is to tear it down and reconstruct it on the pattern of that of the country from which they came.

His picture "The Dictator" was the first tip-off to many that his political ideologies were in keeping with those of the Kremlin.

Hitler and Mussolini were ridiculed and caricatured in that film but there was nothing in it to indicate that there was such a monster in existence as Joe Stalin.

We doubt seriously if the government agencies will do anything about it more than blow off steam but if he should be kept from returning to these shores, it will be good riddance of bad rubbish.

Infiltration of Churches Is Being Stepped Up

The attempts to infiltrate American churches with Communism seems to have been stepped up in recent months according to reports of activities in various sections.

According to the World Plan which some ministers are familiar with but of which the great majority are grossly ignorant, the protestant churches in this country were assigned the responsibility of abolishing segregation and the majority of them are working like demons to carry out their assignment.

We know of some instances where a counter-movement is likely to be launched with good effect. We have learned that responsible members of congregations whose minister has been seduced by subversives are quietly calling meetings of church members who object to his Communistic racial views and planning to do something about it.

One of the first steps, and one which will prove the most effective, will be a pledge to stop all contributions and to call on heavy contributors to also agree to withhold all donations until their pastor gets back in the pulpit, picks up the Bible and starts preaching religion again.

When they do this, they will find that they won't have to go any further.

For once we find ourselves agreeing with a Fair Dealer. Former Attorney General McGrath is reported, and has not denied, saying that he knew enough on the White House to blow it so high that the law of gravity could never force it down. This is one occasion when he was probably telling the truth.

This Is One for the Book and The "Holy Book" at That

Now they've really "gone and done it".

The World Planners have jazzed up the bible, streamlined the Ten Commandments and brought the Lord's Prayer up to date.

The electrifying news burst on the world recently that a new version of the bible was being brought out at a cost of \$6,000,000 for the first edition.

We always like to examine any new document for its implications, but they had us there.

It would take practically a lifetime to evaluate the subtle changes, shadings and nuances in the modernized version of the Holy Book and most of ours has already been lived.

So we have tried to get a little superficial and surface knowledge of why it was changed, who is back of it and what have you.

Right off we learned—God help us—that the work was done under the general supervision of the National Council of Churches, successor to the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America.

And if any one does not know by this time of the efforts of that group in trying to change our economic policies and social customs in the interest of World Socialism, nothing we could say would help them to understand.

Also much of the actual work was done at Union Theological Seminary in New York whose president was shown two years ago to belong to five Communist Front organizations which were pointed out by official investigating Committees of Congress as being set up for the purpose of overthrowing the American government.

Another catch is that the Yale Divinity School was mixed up in the deal, and it is stated in the publicity releases that some of the "great Foundations" helped finance it.

The Yale Divinity School has turned out some honeys in the way of Theological Socialists and the "great Foundations" are now under investigation by the Cox Committee of Congress for allegedly giving grants to Communists and contributing vast sums to the advancement of World Socialism.

While there is no way short of several years study to know what the pitch is, one little tip-off is given in the advance notices. It says they have changed the word "communicate" to read "share what you have".

There is also no way for us to know, of course, whether or not the propaganda of World Planners which has been fed into every other channel of human communication has now found its way into the Bible.

We only know that we would trust those who have brought out the new Bible about like we would trust a fox in our chicken coop.

Captain Eugene R. Guild, retired Army captain blames the Pentagon for thousands of needless drownings of American soldiers. Guild charged that the Army fails to give proper swimming instructions to young inductees and then forces them into positions where they must swim or die.

In spite of all the claims by Communist sympathizers that Senator Joe McCarthy has "smeared" innocent persons in his charges concerning Communists in government, no one has furnished a single name of a person so "smeared".

Legion Pickets a Subversive Speaker in Ft. Worth Church

In Fort Worth recently people were treated to the amazing spectacle of a church being picketed.

Only this time it wasn't members of a labor union trying to prevent some one from exercising his right to work.

It was members of the American Legion protesting the appearance of a lecturer in the pulpit with a yard-long record of membership in Communist Front organizations committed to the destruction of the government in whose defense Legionnaires had been called on to risk their lives on battlefields around the world.

The regular minister of the church was advised in advance of the unsavory record of the imported lecturer but refused to cancel his engagement to speak, thus becoming an accessory to subversion.

Also, it was developed that the wife of a left-wing university professor who sponsored the lecturer conducts a sort of speaker's bureau through which she books subversives into local churches.

Such things as this are more discouraging than the infiltration of Communists into the Federal government.

There is always hope that a corrupt administration will be kicked out of office and Communists in government agencies along with it.

But the acceptance by Christian ministers of World Socialism and the conversion of the churches to the purposes of subversive political groups, is a lethal blow to all patriotic Americans who are waging the fight to preserve Americanism against the greatest odds that ever confronted a nation's sovereign defenders.

Opposition To Socialism Called Effort To Destroy Schools

Many publications ranging all the way from those holding "liberal" views to those frankly advocating Communism are carrying articles from left-wing writers who are frantically fighting efforts of patriotic Americans to protect the schools from subversive infiltration.

The articles are, in many instances, the result of a campaign being sparked by the National Education Association whose subversive activities are disguised as "Progressive Education."

McCalls Magazine is devoting liberal space to the propaganda. It has carried three articles in the past year bearing the strong implication that parents and laymen who object to the teaching of Socialism are trying to destroy the schools.

We hope that Truman's whistle-stop trip is as productive of results as his two other recent attempts to influence elections and win voters have been. He went into Wisconsin and made an address at Milwaukee in which he asked for the defeat of Senator Joe McCarthy, who, one week later, swamped not only all Republican opponents but got more votes than Democrats and Republicans combined. Earlier Truman went into Arkansas and asked the people of that state to re-elect Sid McMath as governor. McMath was snowed under and buried so deep he can never hope for a comeback.

We have said it before but it can't be emphasized too often: The way to get rid of Socialists, Communist frontiers and other subversives in the pulpits of America is for the church members to quit giving them any dirty, capitalistic folding money.

From Unconditional Victory to the Brink of Unconditional Disaster

A DIGEST OF AMERICA'S PERIL

By Lillian Moore Roberts

(Editor's note: We present Mrs. Roberts' lengthy article because it offers a challenge that can't be ignored. Reading it provides an education to bewildered Americans who realize something is terribly wrong but don't know what it is. The author who lays bare this record of stupidity and treason on the part of world leaders, including our own, was a transport pilot in World War II.)

My personal interest in the affairs of our nation was aroused suddenly and permanently by a simple discovery—the discovery of Article 6, Section 2 of the Constitution, which clearly states that treaties shall be the supreme law of our land, superseding the Constitution itself. The knowledge that this not only could happen but had already happened in our signing the Charter of the United Nations as a treaty, frightened me very badly. Although this Article had been a part of the Constitution since the day it was written, we had never before been endangered by it because treaties had always applied only to the external laws of our nation. But the United Nations changed all that. Their Charter, signed as a treaty, affected, for the first time, the internal laws of our land. All of my life I had rested secure in the conviction that, come what may, the Constitution would protect me. When I discovered that this was not true, I wondered if some of my other convictions were true. One of the strongest of these was my belief that those who held the honored positions of our nation were persons of integrity—that regardless of my disagreeing with what was done or with the methods used, and regardless of the fact that things did not seem to me to be working out properly, nevertheless these leaders were wholly responsible persons whose basic motivation was always the welfare of the American people, especially if that welfare were being jeopardized from without this nation.

So I began to ask questions—and I began to read. I quickly found out that this second conviction was no more true than the first. But the facts which answered that question posed new questions—one book led to another and grew into a whole stack of books, a few sheets of literature became drawers full of pamphlets and records. And then one day as I finished another book, the whole outline of a terrible picture was complete.

I knew that a huge portion of the people of the United States still held my lost beliefs. I knew that as long as they held them they would make no more effort to protect themselves than I had. I knew that if they possessed the facts contained in the stack of books before me, they could no longer hold these beliefs. I knew that they would then work, and work hard, to defeat the danger and to rebuild the walls of our Constitutional protection. And I knew that such a united effort would succeed. . . . But I also knew that there was no realistic hope of their reading that stack of books. The number of people involved was too great, they were too busy, they were too unfrightened. So I wondered if it would be possible to make a digest of the principal conclusions and facts and questions presented by these authors—a digest so brief that anyone would have time to read it, a digest that would introduce a variety of subjects in the hope that at least one would appeal to each reader, a digest that would clearly show the presence of danger and thus arouse an interest in the stack of books. I started to experiment by writing down the questions which had been of the first importance to me. Questions such as:

DO YOU KNOW—that the Constitution of the United States is no longer the supreme law of our land?

DO YOU KNOW—that the Charter of the United Nations, one of whose authors was Alger Hiss, is the supreme law of our land, over and above our own Constitution?

DO YOU KNOW—that any treaty which we sign automatically becomes the supreme law of our land? Article 6, Section 2, of the Constitution states: "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding."

DO YOU KNOW—that the United Nations is using this loophole in our Constitution in an attempt to destroy the freedom and sovereignty of the United States?

DO YOU KNOW—that it is doubtful that we can any longer exert local or state control over United Nations material used in our schools? UNESCO, as an

agency of the United Nations, may be interpreted as beyond the control of any agency within this nation.

DO YOU KNOW—that the United Nations has already devised two more "treaties" named Genocide and Human Rights, which, if ratified, will take from us the protection of our American courts, freedom of speech and press, the power to enforce any kind of immigration laws, and almost every other right and freedom which is protected for us by our Constitution and Bill of Rights?

DO YOU KNOW—that these two "treaties" have already received the approval of our President and State Department?

DO YOU KNOW—that these two "treaties" are to be presented to the Senate for ratification? And . . .

DO YOU KNOW—that as few as thirty-four (34) Senators may ratify a treaty?

In just seven short years the United States has changed from a nation secure in unconditional victory to a nation staggering on the brink of unconditional disaster. The more we fight Communism the more Communism seems to prosper. . . . Why is that? . . . It is of course, unthinkable that our last two Presidents and our last five Secretaries of State could have been treasonable. Is this, perhaps, the reason that we have not thought of it? Is this why we have continued to believe their words instead of the incontrovertible evidence of their acts?

For their acts are indefensible. The documented history of our Government's consistent efforts to advance Communism leaves no choice but to say that the heads of our Government have acted either with criminal stupidity or with treachery. How else to explain the following facts of our Government's persistent planting of Communism in America?

(1) In 1933 Communist Russia was on the verge of economic collapse. It was felt that only recognition by the United States could make the continuance of the Soviet regime secure.

(2) At this juncture President Roosevelt made it known that he planned to recognize Soviet Russia.

(3) Instantly, one of the greatest concentrations of factual information, police records, and military intelligence ever to pile up in Washington, poured in from all over the globe documenting the evil results that were certain to be brought about by this move.

(4) President Roosevelt, in full knowledge (as we know now) of these facts, and without the approval of Congress, recognized the Soviet Union.

(5) In return for recognition, Soviet Russia promised never to engage in any political propaganda or subversive activities in the United States. The White House publicly emphasized this promise although we now know that President Roosevelt had been most urgently informed that Soviet Russia had never honored an agreement or kept a promise beneficial to another country in her whole history up to this moment in 1933. (It may be added that this statement is equally true today, in 1952. And yet our Government has continued for the whole nineteen years apparently to repose complete faith in Russia's signature. And we have now been in trade negotiations with Communist China for almost a year.)

(6) Shortly after our recognition of Soviet Russia and her promise to engage in no propaganda or subversive activities, Molotov's brother-in-law, Sam Carp, came to this country. He arrived with \$450,000 in cash and a letter of credit from Premier Molotov for 200 million dollars to be used to buy and steal military and naval information and to bribe public officials.

(7) Sam Carp hired, for \$25,000, Preston McGoodwin, Assistant Executive of the National Democratic Committee as one of his assistants.

(8) A meeting was then called of the Cabinet of the United States in which the Cabinet unanimously agreed that Sam Carp and his assistants should be facilitated in every possible way. The original memorandum of this Cabinet meeting was presented as evidence by the Dies Committee.

(9) It is now public knowledge that Alger Hiss was a Communist spy and is now in jail.

(10) President Roosevelt was informed in 1939 that Alger Hiss was a Communist. This

is documented fact.

(11) President Roosevelt subsequently approved Alger Hiss as executive secretary of the Dumbarton Oaks Conference in 1944.

(12) President Roosevelt took Alger Hiss as one of his chief advisers to Yalta in 1945.

(13) Alger Hiss was made Secretary-General of the conference which created the United Nations.

(14) Alger Hiss was one of the authors of the United Nations Charter, that Charter which was designed as a treaty, because it was intended to be signed by us as a treaty, so that it would now be the supreme law of our land. (A recent development should be pointed out here. The effects of this discovery on the American people are apparently just beginning to be felt, and the defense that has begun to be employed in an effort to counteract this discovery is simply to label everything as part of a "Big-Lie" technique. It is interesting to watch for this in your newspapers and to study the manner in which it is used. Dr. Ralph Bunche recently said that the Big-Lie technique is being used by the opponents of the United Nations, and he used Alger Hiss as his illustrative example of the Big-Lie. He said that the statement is being made that the Charter of the United Nations was drawn up by Alger Hiss, and how ridiculous it is to think that Hiss or any one man could have been responsible for the United Nations Charter. This sounds fine. The only thing wrong with it is that the wrong premise is being defended. The actual premise is that Alger Hiss was one of the authors of the Charter and, as Secretary-General, was in a position of influence. This fact remains ignored and undefended.)

(15) Two of the friends who testified for Alger Hiss at his trial still sit on our Supreme Court Bench, Justices Frankfurter and Reed. There is no precedent in our history for such an act.

(16) After the conviction of Alger Hiss, our Secretary of State Dean Acheson, said, "Regardless of the outcome of any appeal, I do not intend to turn my back on Alger Hiss." It need hardly be said that there is no precedent in American history for our Secretary of State announcing his permanent and unchanging loyalty to a Communist spy. This is the same Dean Acheson who was Soviet Russia's paid legal representative in America even before we recognized the Soviet Union. The same Dean Acheson who appeared before such agencies as the U.S. Tariff Commission as counsel for the Soviet delegations. The same Dean Acheson who was so much in the company of Maxim Litvinoff as he negotiated with the United States Government for Soviet Russia's recognition. (It is interesting to note that the State Department has quite recently resorted to the same charge of Big-Lie tactics in attempting to defend Dean Acheson against the article by Felix Wittmer entitled "Freedom's Case Against Dean Acheson" printed in the April issue of the American Mercury Magazine. The State Department announced that the article was another example of the Big-Lie at work, yet the above facts, which Mr. Wittmer divulged, remain ignored and undefended—probably for the very good reason that they are written into the records of Congress and the State Department itself. So here again we see the charge of Big-Lie being used in an attempt to defend something that is indefensible.)

(17) Another friend who testified for Alger Hiss is Phillip Jessup, now our Ambassador-at-Large to the United Nations.

(18) Another friend who spoke out for the exoneration of Alger Hiss was our late Secretary of War Patterson.

(19) President Truman called the charges against Alger Hiss a "red herring." His attitude was, and has remained, one of resenting the investigation of a Communist who had held a position of power and trust in the State Department for eleven years, and to continue in positions of trust almost all of those known to have been close associates of Alger Hiss. (President Truman has long practiced his own version of charging the "Big-Lie." Never once has he admitted the hazard or the existence of Communists and Communist Sympathizers in Government. Although hundreds have been exposed and forced out of Government, President Truman has consistently denounced those who so exposed and forced out these disloyal members of our Government, angrily insisting that the exposure of Communists and Communist sympathizers in Government was a smear campaign and those who so exposed Communists and Communist sympathizers were scare-mongers, hate-mongers, character assassins, and other less printable labels. It is illuminating to keep in mind that intemperate language is always the weapon of the mentally impoverished when they find themselves in a position which is either difficult or impossible to defend.)

(20) Governor Adlai Stevenson, a Presidential candidate, testified for Alger Hiss.

(21) John Carter Vincent, one of Alger Hiss' closest and oldest associates, is at this moment our United States Ambassador to Morocco.

(22) In 1945, Prime Minister MacKenzie King of Canada gave President Truman a

list of 163 Americans whose names had been discovered in the secret memo books of the Atom Spy Ring in Canada. Not one has been arrested.

(23) Whittaker Chambers named many other spies along with Alger Hiss. Not one has been arrested.

(24) An enormous number of other spies have been named by Elizabeth Bentley, Hede Massing, Louis Budenz and others. Except for the six persons caught in the Fuchs Atom Spy Ring, not one is in jail for such activity.

(25) The heads of our Government have consistently refused to cooperate with Canada, with Congress, or with the Courts, in handling the 43,217 known Communists said by J. Edgar Hoover to be operating in this country.

Does it sound to you as though the enormous influence of Communism in the world and in our country today, happened in spite of our efforts to prevent it—or as a result of our efforts to aid it?

(26) Lenin said that the best way to destroy the capitalist system is to debase its currency. Specifically, he said, "We shall force the United States to spend itself into destruction."

(27) In the whole history of the United States up to 1945, our Government took an approximate 258 billion dollars from the people.

(28) In the following six years (the years of President Truman's administration) our Government took an approximate 260 billion dollars from us.

(29) Thus, in six years, President Truman spent twelve billion dollars more than this nation spent in its entire previous history, which included both World War I and World War II.

(30) All of this money comes directly from you and me. How is our money spent? Huge portions of our money have been used for the military—it is said. And yet our Communist enemy, admittedly far inferior to us in productive capacity and ingenuity, has more and better arms than we have.

(31) Inestimable quantities of our wealth go as an outright gift to foreign countries. We are told that this is necessary in order to make these countries our friend and to make them self-supporting. Yet almost every nation which has received our gifts actively dislikes us today. Some hate us. We have won not a single friend. In actual figures we have lost friends at the rate of 100 million a year. And, far from their having grown self-supporting, they now demand our gifts instead of merely accepting them.

(32) And not one major nation which has benefitted from our help has any respect for our free enterprise system which has made that help possible. Our help has gone to pay the deficits and to continue the operation of economic systems alien and hostile to ours.

(33) Much of our money comes to rest in the pockets of the Communists, because we have imposed no restrictions whatsoever on the Communist trade of the countries to whom we give our money.

(34) At this moment of our highest national deficit and our highest Federal taxes, here are a few current examples of what the Government calls "essential expenditures." (And don't think these examples are unusual, they're not.)

PROJECT—Cultural and Psychiatric Factors in the Mental Health of the Hutterites. Allocation—\$7,500. (Even the Library of Congress contains no information as to or what the Hutterites are.)

PROJECT—Tolerance for Environmental Stress in Aged and Newborn Sheep and Goats. Allocation—\$19,000.

PROJECT—Study Unconscious Factors Governing Courtship and Mate Selection. Allocation—\$12,000.

Other similar "essential" studies being carried on by the Public Health Branch of the Federal Security Administration which add up to a total of \$792,000. Twenty five million dollars a year are spent printing pamphlets such as: "Recipes for the Preparation of Muskrat Meat," and "How to Free Your House of Bats."

I know this is funny, but it is also true—and that is tragic.

(35) In the entire history of the United States up to this very moment, we have spent an approximate 550 billion dollars. Dean Acheson has recently been in Europe contracting to spend (directly and indirectly) 300 billion dollars in only three years just to support NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization). Upon returning from this trip, Secretary Acheson said to President Truman, "We believe we have accomplished what you sent us over to accomplish." (?)

(36) History proves that when the tax take of a Government reaches the neighborhood of 25% of the national income, the danger zone has been reached. Our Government now takes over 30%. And based on the budget beginning July 1st of this year, the take will be 40 to 45%. There is no instance in history where the take has

been that large and the economy has not broken up.

(37) And what the Government takes from income is far from the whole story. There are 116 taxes on a suit of clothes. There are 150 taxes in the manufacture and sale of a hat. There are 151 taxes in a loaf of bread. There are 100 taxes in a dozen eggs, etc.

(38) But the Government is growing at such an enormous rate that no matter how much of our income we give up, it will never be enough. If today the Government took 100% of all taxable personal incomes over \$100,000 the amount collected would run the Government for only three and one-half hours. If it confiscated all taxable personal incomes over \$6000, there would only be enough to run the Government 26 days.

(39) Now the President has asked Congress to give him 85 billion 400 million dollars just to run the Government for one year.

(40) It is impossible to spend this much money sensibly, or even to know if you need to spend it. Because it is not possible for anyone to understand it or manage it. If only one hour were given to considering each one million dollars, it would take a minimum of 32 years to complete the study of this budget. Congress has been given less than five months. (You can work this out for yourself, using the 48 hour week as your basis.)

(41) While I have been speaking to you, the Government has spent over \$1,806,030, and hired 54 new civilian employees.

Does this sound as though an effort is being made to strengthen and perpetuate our Free Enterprise system, or does this sound like the implementation of Lenin's plan to make us spend ourselves to death?

The fact that, in judging most of our Government's actions, we are limited to the two unhappy choices of stupidity or treachery is, I believe, the reason that our nation has little understood events in China. So great is our respect for the high offices of our Government, that we are almost incapable of believing that our leaders have been either stupid or treacherous. So when we are confronted by a series of wholly incredible events such as have taken place in China—when we must accept our Government's culpability in order to accept the historical fact—we are inclined to reject the historical fact. We can then only say, "I don't understand." But if we will accept the facts that are clearly before us, events in China are easy to understand.

(42) Lenin said, "He who controls China controls the world."

(43) Russia now controls China. And Russia gained this control principally by gaining Manchuria through the Yalta agreement. So I believe it is important to understand Manchuria.

(44) Manchuria is the richest part of China, both industrially and agriculturally, and it is vital to the security of China. For these reasons, both Russia and Japan have attempted to take it from China.

(45) During the breakup of the old Chinese Empire and the Boxer Rebellion in 1900, China was unable to defend Manchuria. So, first, Russia seized it from China, then Japan seized it from Russia, but both were forced to return it to China through the mediation of President Theodore Roosevelt. Never did the United States recognize any sovereignty in Manchuria other than China's.

(46) In the Yalta agreement itself, our Government recognizes China's sovereignty of Manchuria. The protocol of the Yalta agreement states: "The Chinese Eastern Railroad and the South Manchuria Railroad, which provides an outlet to Dairen, shall be jointly operated by the establishment of a Soviet-Chinese Company, it being understood that the preeminent interests of the Soviet Union shall be safeguarded and that China shall retain full sovereignty in Manchuria."

(47) Thus, in the same paragraph, we recognize China's sovereignty and, through concessions to Russia, make it impossible for her to exert that sovereignty.

(48) In attempting to justify our betrayal of China at Yalta, Secretary of State Edward Stettinius said that what Roosevelt ceded of Manchuria to Stalin at Yalta was in restoration of "... the former rights of Russia violated by the treacherous attack of Japan in 1904." ... But nothing is said of Russia's having attacked China to get there in the first place. And these "former rights of Russia" came into being through a secret agreement in 1896 between the now extinct Czarist regime in Russia and the now extinct Manchu regime in China. It would be just as legal to return territories to France on the basis of agreements made between Napoleon and all the extinct monarchies of Europe.

(49) China was not represented at this Yalta Conference because she was not invited. We made concessions of her land and her rights which were not ours to concede, and we did so without her knowledge or her consent.

(50) We then forced China to abide by

this agreement.

(51) Russia, after taking prompt advantage of the Yalta agreement to establish herself in Manchuria, then, as usual, violated the agreement. China was never permitted even a joint occupancy of Manchuria, much less full sovereignty.

(52) After forcing China to abide by this agreement, what did our Government do when Russia refused to abide by it? Absolutely nothing.

(53) At Yalta, President Roosevelt and his advisors invited the Russians into Manchuria in full knowledge that Manchuria was the crucial spot in all East Asia, in full knowledge that the security of China was completely dependent upon a secure Manchuria, and in full knowledge that this constituted a direct betrayal of our former commitment to China that she alone was to reoccupy Manchuria at the close of the war. This was the first such betrayal in the history of the United States.

(54) Our Government excused this betrayal of China on the grounds that only in this way could we induce Russia to enter the war against Japan, and that it was imperative that Russia enter that war. Yet we know now that they had full knowledge of the following facts

(1) Beginning as early as 1942, Stalin had officially stated his intention and desire to enter the war against Japan just as soon as Germany was defeated. He so stated on three subsequent occasions.

(2) The overwhelming majority of naval and military authorities stated positively that we did not need Russia to defeat Japan and that politically, we could do nothing more injurious for post war security than to bring Russia into this vast Asiatic theatre of which, up to this time, we had sole control.

(55) Nine days after Mr. Truman became President, a report was handed to General George C. Marshall, Chairman of our Joint Chiefs of Staff, for delivery to the President. The report was made by G2 (Army Intelligence) on the matter of Russia's proposed entry into the Pacific War. The ninth item in this report to the President stated: "To take a line of action which would save few lives now, and only a little time—at an unprecedented cost in lives, treasure, and honor in the future—and simultaneously destroy our ally China, would be an act of treachery that would make the Atlantic Charter and our hopes for world peace a tragic farce."

Lastly the report stated: "General MacArthur should be summoned to Washington immediately. The President should consider the all-important matter of Soviet Russia's entry into the Asiatic war with General MacArthur eye to eye. All other political and military personages should be excluded from this conference."

Upon receipt of this report, President Truman acted exactly opposite to both recommendations.

(I have thought of that last recommendation a great deal. Why should G2, contrary to logic and precedent, suggest that all of the top men in our Government be excluded from such an important conference, even including General Marshall himself? Does it not sound as though Army Intelligence had grounds for distrusting some or all of them on any question which concerned Communist Russia?)

(56) Russia was in the war six bloodless days before Japan surrendered.

(57) A few months later, in 1946, China, after long years of fighting both the Communists and the Japanese, found herself forced to fight a Communist Army which was growing steadily stronger. Because now the Chinese Communists were being armed by Russia through Manchuria—a condition for which we were wholly responsible. At this time, General Marshall, with the full approval of President Truman and the State Department, went to China on his famous mission which we all remember and for which we all had such high hopes. But—what General Marshall actually did when he got there was to make Chiang Kai-shek stop fighting the Communists (whose forces he was at that time defeating), and to tell Chiang Kai-shek that China would receive no more military aid from the United States unless he agreed to the demands of the Communists.

(58) For this act of unbelievable treachery against both China and the United States, we have been given the following two reasons:

(1) The arms which we were giving China were falling into the hands of the Chinese Communists, as was proven by the fact that the Chinese Communists were using American-made arms.

(2) The corruption of Chiang Kai-shek's Nationalist regime prevented our continuing to do business with him.

As for the American arms which were in the hands of the Chinese Communists—we now know, and our Government then knew, that these were a part of the 800,000 tons of American equipment which we had given directly to Russia's eastern army as part of

our inducement to get her to enter the Japanese war.

As for the charge of corruption—even in the White Paper, the Paper written by the State Department for the specific purpose of justifying their actions in China, there is no slightest reflection made upon the character and integrity of Chiang Kai-shek. Also—even if we fully accept the charge of corruption against Chiang Kai-shek's Nationalist regime, why should we suddenly presume to be so self-righteously shocked by it? Here was a nation which has never been left in peace anything like long enough to complete the essentially difficult and lengthy adjustment necessary in changing from over two thousand years of absolute monarchy to a Republic; a nation which, while attempting this staggering feat, had been forced to fight the Communists since 1927 and the Japanese since 1937! So in the year 1946, with the Republic of China still having to fight the Communists, could we reasonably expect to find that Republic an orderly, efficient, well-run organization? Would the tragic state of this war-torn nation indicate that our only honorable course of action lay in making conditions even more difficult for her? Or would it seem to indicate that our only honorable course of action lay in giving her every assistance to bring peace to her land at last? And, further, if corruption was then or now to be used as a basis for international relations, this would certainly bar our doing business with Stalin in Russia and Tito in Yugoslavia—and it seems to me that it might bar everyone in the world from doing business with us.

(59) When Chiang Kai-shek refused to agree to capitulate to the Communists, the United States cut off all military aid to China. We then persuaded Great Britain also to refuse the sale of arms to China. There were no other markets. This at a time that the Chinese Communists were being armed by Russia at a rate competently estimated at eight to ten times the amount per month that we had furnished the Chinese Nationalists at the peak of our aid.

(60) China fell to the Communists.

Does it sound as though China was lost to the Free World through a series of unrelated accidents, or through a series of planned moves? So potent and unopposed has been our Government's eight-year-long propaganda campaign against Chiang Kai-shek and the Nationalists in China and favorable to the Communists in China—and so dreadful is the true story of China—that you may now be feeling that I have spoken irrationally and emotionally. But I have done neither.

What I have said to you is unadorned, accurate, documented, fact. It is the missing piece of the puzzle that throws the picture out of balance. The missing piece being the reason for these acts. If our role in China's recent history had been played by Communist Russia, there would be no puzzle at all. It would make the soundest sense. But the United States played this role, tailored so as to fit only Russia's needs, and it is difficult to find a sane reason for our having done so. I have been able to find only two sane reasons—stupidity or treachery. And if stupidity is chosen, it is of such an extreme degree that it would hardly seem to qualify as in the realm of sanity.

(61) Now after bringing the Russians into Manchuria, we then invited them into Korea. There was not a single Russian soldier in Korea at the time that our Government, for no discernable reason, abruptly cut Korea in half and invited the Russians to occupy the northern half. Even the Russians themselves had not thought to ask for such an astonishing arrangement.

(62) Having by this act made it impossible for Korea to recover or become secure, we then neglected to arm the South Koreans, even though they requested us to do so.

(63) Therefore, as soon as their national assembly could be set up, the Republic of Korea presented a formal resolution to our Government asking that American troops be retained in South Korea until the new republic could build up its own defenses.

(64) Our State Department refused and took our troops out instead, leaving South Korea defenseless.

(65) Secretary Acheson then announced that our perimeter of defense did not include Korea.

(66) Thus, the North Korean Communists, having naturally been fully armed by Russia, having nothing to fear from the unarmed South Koreans, and having been officially assured they had nothing to fear from United States intervention, attacked the South Koreans.

(67) Our Government then completely reversed its policy and instigated the United Nations "police action" in order to send our troops back into Korea.

(68) Up to this time, the Nationalist Chinese Government and Army (which had been forced to flee to Formosa) had been able to enforce a partial blockade of the

Red China coast. Two days after the Korean war started, President Truman ordered our Seventh Fleet to break that blockade, so that the Red China coast was opened to all the needs of war. Alfred Kohlberg, outstanding authority on China, states that right today, American owned ships are still carrying contraband to Red China, to help kill our own sons in Korea.

(69) This same fleet prevents the Nationalist Chinese on Formosa from conducting their normal military operations against Red China. It is estimated that this action released three to four Chinese Communist armies to fight against us in Korea.

(70) After being prevented by us, from using his army, to fight the Communists in his own homeland, Chiang Kai-shek offered three of his eight equipped divisions to fight in Korea. This offer was made during the first week of the Korean war when we were so hard pressed for manpower. Secretary of State Dean Acheson refused them.

(71) After the Chinese Communists joined in the Korean war, Chiang Kai-shek re-offered these same three divisions. Again Dean Acheson refused to permit them to help us fight the Communists.

(72) These three divisions of Nationalist Chinese troops which our President, State Department, and Joint Chiefs of Staff still refuse to use, number more troops than all the rest of the United Nations forces put together—with the exception, of course, of our own troops.

(73) General MacArthur, the man who prevented the spread of Communism in Japan, the man who defeated the North Korean Communists, the man who stated that he could also defeat the Chinese Communists if permitted to do so, was stripped of his command and removed from the Asiatic theatre at the time of our greatest peril in that theatre.

How do the facts sound? Does it appear that our Government did everything possible to prevent a war in Korea? Or does it appear as though our Government did everything possible to bring one about and to keep it going? (It might be well to remember that a state of emergency is essential to the maintaining of a false economic prosperity, and is also extremely helpful in continuing an Administration in office.)

There is not time to list the history of every person and act which have gone to make up our tragic history of recent years. These sample 73 facts were listed only in the hope that they might make you inquisitive, because all the facts are available to anyone who will trouble to find them out. All of the facts—the betrayal of Poland, the Balkans, Germany—the true story of events leading into the last war—the true facts of Dumbarton Oaks, Teheran, Yalta, Potsdam—the true and terrible nature of the United Nations—the whole, tragic story.

No part of these insane or treacherous acts could have been committed without our acquiescence. However inexcusable this acquiescence may be, there has been a reason for it. I believe we have not understood—because we have not been permitted to know what was happening. There is only one way that we can understand. We must seek out the knowledge and we must educate ourselves. Education is a slow process. But, fortunately, there are a few ways in which we can get almost immediate results while pursuing our larger education. We can listen to, see, and read both sides of every issue. On the radio we can listen to Paul Harvey, Fulton Lewis, Dr. Fife, John T. Flynn. On television we can see "Straight From The Shoulder." In newspapers we can read Jonathan Yank, Sokolsky, Fulton Lewis, Ray Tucker, Dr. Ruth Alexander. In book form we can read Flynn's "While You Slept," "McCarthy's America's Retreat From Victory," Watt's "Away From Freedom." We can write for information to such men as Senator John W. Bricker and Representative John T. Wood. We can request that our name be put on the mailing list of the Foundation for Economic Education. We can join such organizations as the Freedom Clubs, and other similar organizations. These daily, short term methods of education are available to all of us.

Freedom is not for the lazy. Freedom can never be taken away from anyone. Freedom can only be relinquished.

When a person no longer believes in his own strength and wisdom—when he has lost the will to think—when he has lost the courage to make decisions—when his appetite for amusement has outgrown his appetite for integrity—when his belief lies in material security instead of in spiritual security, he must find some agency that will assume all responsibility for him. He must be cared for. When this terrible vegetable-like malady overcomes the majority of the people of a nation, they will be ruled—by a dictator, a State, or a World Government.

If we do not, each individually, discover within ourselves fresh reservoirs of intelligent energy, we will not survive. Solely in you and me—now—is vested the power to destroy or save the Constitutional Republic of the United States.

Whether we like it or not—it is up to us.

The Southern Conservative

A monthly publication of editorial opinion with national circulation.

Ida M. Darden, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year
(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing).

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

The Tenth Amendment To The Constitution of The United States:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Stevenson Pops Off And Texas Congressman Moves In Fast

When Adlai Stevenson made the crack in Springfield, Illinois, recently that he would not make a blanket endorsement of all Democratic candidates but would only support those who met his personal approval, Congressman Lloyd Bentsen of Texas moved in fast with a retort.

Congressman Bentsen is one of the many public officials of Texas who have openly declared they will not support Mr. Stevenson for president because of his position on the Tidelands and other issues.

In Washington Congressman Bentsen said that Stevenson had the right attitude in this matter and that he approved the Democratic nominee's stand in this respect.

Bentsen said that he, too, would support the Democratic nominees whose position on fundamental issues he approved but that those of unsound views would not have his support and that the Illinois governor was included in the latter class.

Murder Is Added To List Of Crimes in Parr Empire

Down in the Parr Kingdom in Southwest Texas where crooked politics flourished long before the Truman administration set the pattern for big-scale corruption, murder has been added to the list of crimes in an area that has always operated outside the law.

Paid gunmen who are alleged to have been employed to murder a lawyer who opposed the Parr machine vigorously, shot and killed his twenty-two year old son by mistake.

Heretofore, the principal criminal activities in that section had been in stealing elections such as in the United States Senatorial contest in 1948 when Coke Stevenson was the winner until five days after the election at which time enough ballots mysteriously turned up in one of the Parr-controlled counties to put Lyndon Johnson over by 87 votes.

Now that murder has been done, perhaps steps will be taken to clean up "the mess" but if this is attempted, we hope more progress is made than has been achieved in similar attempts to eliminate corruption in the Federal government agencies.

California School Official Repudiates UNESCO Program

Dwight Lydell, superintendent of the Monrovia, California, Elementary Schools deserves a place on the honor roll of patriotic American educators.

In refusing to allow the UNESCO program, which advocates World Socialism, to be taught in Monrovia schools Mr. Lydell said:

"Children should be taught about their own country first—about its history, the Constitution and Bill of Rights which guarantee their liberties.

"It is our duty in the elementary school field to instill patriotism, good citizenship and loyalty to the United States in the minds of all children.

"We would be derelict in our duty as teachers if we did not teach the history of the United States and point out how hard we have worked to gain and retain our liberties.

"Children must know that in many parts of the world millions of people suffer because they have lost the freedoms we enjoy. The child must be taught that free America permits him to choose and plan his own goals, provides him increasing equality of opportunity to reach those goals, allows him to keep the rewards of his work and matches these privileges with serious duties of citizenship."

Mr. Lydell explained to new teachers in his district that the elementary curriculum in Monrovia city schools does not subscribe to the Progressive Education program as propounded by the Progressive Education Association, but is a modern program that is adjusted to the needs of the individual child.

Americans should thank God for Superintendent Lydell and pray that the day will return when all educators in this country will measure up to his standard of Americanism.

Only Difference Between England and Us Is Socialized Medicine

Harry Truman who dearly loves to refer to those who disagree with him as liars said in a speech to the American Federation of Labor that those who claimed he has tried to introduce Socialism into government were guilty of a "big lie".

Practically every measure he has ever submitted to Congress was taken either from the Socialist Party platform, the Communist Party platform or both, and the result is that both the Socialists and Communists have been robbed of their thunder and practically all their planks have been adopted by the Fair Deal.

We have almost as much of a Socialist Government as England with the exception of Socialized medicine and Truman can't be blamed for our failure to adopt that. He did his best.

He should open his big fat mouth and call somebody a liar.

On July 31, Adlai Stevenson was quoted as saying he would chart his own campaign course without promising allegiance to "White House programs of the last twenty years". He said he would base the tone and nature of his campaign on his own ideas. He even hinted that he would tell Truman what to do about his part in the campaign. Ha ha.

The Bureau of Internal Revenue dismissed 174 employes during the past year according to an Associated Press dispatch. Fifty-three were fired for taking bribes and twenty-four for embezzlement; the rest were classed as "undesirables".

Sparky's Bad Week

(From the magazine "Alabama")

Senator Sparkman (now referred to by Montgomery's Advertiser as "the former Alabamian") has not had a very good September, either. At Topeka the other day, addressing an audience of 6,000 at the Kansas State Fair, Sparky was forced to cut his speech seven minutes short because the crowd began clapping impatiently as he droned on about the virtues of the Fair Deal. It seems the spectators wanted the auto races to start—a different kind of exhaust, as it were.

The very next day in Chicago, continuing his quest for the \$30,000-a-year job now held by Mr. Barkley, Sparkman was picketed as he spoke at the Sherman Hotel. The pickets, led by a director of the National Negro Council, carried signs complaining that negroes cannot vote "in poll tax-Jim Crow Alabama." Apparently John had not had time to impress upon them his full support of Stevenson's pro-FEPC, anti-filibuster stand.

The September issue of the Southern Conservative, published in Fort Worth, takes Sparkman apart without benefit of kid gloves. It says the Fair Dealers "baited the hook" with Sparky at Chicago and "it was swallowed by a majority of Southern delegates who wanted a good excuse to continue to swill the slop from the administration trough."

The paper says further: "Sparkman is a Southerner simply by an accident of birth and has no respect for the traditional rights of Southern states to settle their own affairs without federal interference. He has opposed civil rights and FEPC legislation only because he would not last five minutes as a senator from Alabama if he favored it.

"In all other matters he has followed Roosevelt and Truman in their march toward state socialism as blindly as if he had been at the end of a rope with a brass ring in his nose. . . .

"If he represents the interests of authentic Southern people, then we correctly reflect the sentiments of the citizens of Harlem."

Well, John has been asking for it. Now he is getting it.

The Battle of NATO Stamps Is A Draw

At the moment we are engaged in a battle with postal authorities over "NATO" stamps and while we have achieved a temporary victory, we do not know whether or not we will be whipped in the end, what with the whole United States government on the other side.

It all started when we sent the porter for stamps at a branch postal station and he came back loaded down with propaganda for world government in the form of NATO stamps.

We took the stamps and marched right back to the sub-station and told the clerk we wanted plain United States stamps and not "NATOS". We were informed that they didn't have any other kind and that we would have to use those or none.

On investigation, we found that the Fort Worth postoffice has been swamped with millions of these propaganda stamps and it is difficult to get any other kind.

By trading them off, here and there, with persons who either don't know or don't care that the use of these stamps is a tacit endorsement of world government, we finally got rid of them but don't know what we will do next time.

If the worse comes to worst, we will get us an Indian runner and revert to the courier system in transporting our mail, or else send it by carrier pigeons.

Human Rights Now Includes Right to Be Put to Death

We have tried conscientiously and to the best of our ability to point out all the vicious aspects of the United Nations and its affiliates.

We didn't know ourself, however, just how deadly some of its implications were until informed by the Congressional Record of a lethal proposal which defies belief.

According to that publication, the Honorable John W. Bricker of Ohio, in a speech on the floor of the Senate not long before adjournment of Congress, pointed out that among the other "rights" advocated by some of the fanatics in the Human Rights Covenant was the right to be put to death.

As evidence of this he called attention to the action of several thousand Britons and Americans who signed a petition to the United Nations Human Rights Commission last Spring asking that body to recognize "the right of incurable sufferers to euthanasia, or merciful death."

Presumably they would set up a Commission composed of members from each country who would be authorized to pass on those who would be forced to take a permanent nap.

What a cozy little set-up that would be in rubbing out opponents of International Socialism, World Government and similar policies of those who have set themselves up as supreme authorities on human destiny.

As "chronic sufferers" from the malady of Americanism, we can just see millions of opponents, including ourself, being slipped a nice, tasty little arsenic cocktail to put us out of our misery.

Ain't Universal Love grand?

Alabama Committeeman Will Not Support Adlai

Gessner T. McCorvey, member of the State Democratic Executive Committee of Alabama says he will not vote for Adlai Stevenson and very frankly tells why.

"I well recall the performance of Adlai Stevenson at the Philadelphia Convention in 1948", Mr. McCorvey said in a statement to a Birmingham newspaper.

"The people of our sister state of Mississippi had duly elected their delegates to that convention. The majority report of the Credentials Committee had ordered that our Mississippi friends be seated in the convention as representatives of that great Southern State, the home of the immortal Jefferson Davis.

"Then there arose a negro delegate from Missouri, Harry Truman's home state, named George Vaughn, who represented the State of Missouri on the Credentials Committee.

"This Negro made one of the most impudent, insolent and defiant speeches that I have ever heard demanding that these Mississippi delegates be denied their seats and be, in effect, kicked out of the convention.

"And who do you think supported this negro Vaughn in his outrageous effort?

"It was none other than a delegate from Illinois by the name of Adlai Stevenson who represented Illinois on the Credentials Committee and who joined in the minority report of this negro delegate in urging that the convention not recognize these duly elected delegates from Mississippi.

The General Was Not Disloyal, He Was Just Plain Stupid

Since "McCarthyism" has now been properly and officially interpreted by the people of Wisconsin as Americanism, perhaps both candidates for the presidency will give the public a break and quit lauding General George Marshall to the skies as a great statesman and patriot who has been grossly libeled.

The record is clear on the part he took in the betrayal of Nationalist China to the Communists. Any one has only to be able to read to understand how he was used by the Truman administration in that deal.

We do not agree that the General meant to engage in any treasonable activity.

We simply don't think he knew what he was doing.

When he was wandering around China doing what he had been told to do, his mind was probably as foggy as it was on December 7, 1941 when he was riding around Rock Creek Park on a horse as three thousand American soldiers were being slaughtered at Pearl Harbor.

If Truman and Acheson had told him to go dunk his head in the China Sea, it is our sincere belief that he would have done it without asking why.

If there has ever been any man in public life in America in all history who was less mentally alert than the General, it is hard to think who it was.

We wouldn't think of accusing him of treasonable acts against his country.

We do not hesitate, however, to charge that he was incredibly stupid.

We don't know how true it is but the rumor persists that the Fair Dealers will discard their theme song "Don't Let Them Take It Away" and will substitute "Sweet Adeline".

Maybe He Just Wanted To Keep in Practice

Gaines R. Moore of Montgomery, Alabama, a former deputy Internal Revenue Collector either forgot that he was no longer connected with that Federal Agency, or else habit was so strong that he could not throw it off.

He has just been indicted by Alabama authorities for attempting a shake-down of three Montgomery citizens in which he demanded the payment of \$47,500 and threatened them with death if they did not come across.

Moore's potential victims said they had never met him except in his office where they had dealt with him in the payment of their income taxes.

Obviously Moore recognized our taxing system as a racket where the citizen is robbed and he saw no reason why he could not continue stealing from taxpayers although disassociated from the job which permitted him to do it legally.

It is rumored that he took his arraignment very coolly and that as he walked back to his cell he was whistling: "Don't let them take it away".

"No man can be a Democrat and repudiate the Rights of the States any more than he can be a Christian and repudiate the divinity of Jesus Christ" says Hon. James R. O'Daniel, Fort Worth lawyer and authority on the Constitution of the United States.

What's The Difference Between Senatorial Immunity And Supreme Court Immunity?

Every time a Washington investigating committee breaks a new scandal and bandies the name of Tom Clark of the Supreme Court around in the press, we prick up our ears, and say to ourself "this is it". But it never is.

Usually it has something to do with mild and commonplace infractions of the law of the land and of decency like shakedown in the Department of Justice, stifling an investigation or withholding evidence and the big story never seems to break.

The latest charges to make the front page involving Mr. Justice Clark were from Theron Lamar Caudle, former assistant attorney general under Clark who testified to a House Judiciary Committee that Clark quashed an investigation of the 1946 Kansas City vote fraud scandal; that Clark instructed that an OPA case against a North Carolina lumberman be dropped in 1944; that Clark personally kept the Amerasia case in his own hands, retaining the files until this case was whitewashed by the Tydings investigation.

The practice of dredging up material from county and police courts throughout the country to fill Supreme Court positions which was employed by both Roosevelt and Truman and the placing of men on our highest tribunal whose legal experience had been largely limited to the trial of Negro divorce cases, is now paying off in a steady contribution of scandals

Is Violence Treason?

(Dallas Morning News)

Said Judge Holland G. Bryan of Paduch, Ky., at a trial for strike violence: "Anybody who tries to obstruct military shipments bound for Korea should not be tried for contempt—he should be tried for treason."

Maybe so. But the usual practice has been not to try him for anything. A soldier who refuses to go into the front lines can be executed. A striker who lays down his tools is likely to get a wage raise.

In this country we have permitted violence on the picket line under the guise of labor gains. But if a nonunion man committed the same acts of violence, he could be sent to the electric chair.

Estes KeFauver is back from Europe and is the latest reluctant Democrat to fall in line and promise to perform in the Fair Deal minstrel show, with Stevenson as the end man.

to the whirlpool of Washington corruption.

And those who have howled the loudest about Senator Joe McCarthy invoking senatorial immunity in his charges concerning Communists in government, never chirp when Tom Clark relies on Supreme Court immunity to save him the ordeal of testifying in charges made against him.

"Don't let them take it away".

MY NIGHT

By the Editor

Last night I read a newspaper account that amazed me and caused me to wonder if perhaps we have not failed in our responsibility as citizens when such a shocking incident is allowed to occur in our Democracy.

A group of people in a certain city who were prompted solely by prejudice and racial intolerance, were able to bring enough pressure on local school officials to force them to cancel an invitation to speak which had been extended to my dear friend, Mrs. Cole Black.

The objections offered against Mrs. Black being allowed to address the school were based on charges which seem so ridiculous to me that I am surprised that responsible school officials would take notice of them.

It was claimed that Mrs. Black has been a member of a few liberal organizations and groups in this country whose social, cultural and political objectives have aroused antagonism in some quarters.

Among the organizations she is alleged to have been associated with were the Committee for the Advancement of Communism in the United States, the Commission for Promoting Socialism in American Schools, the National Association of Friends of Russia, Inc., the National Association of Foes of America, Inc., the International Congress for Abolishing Religion, the Committee to Overthrow Constitutional Government in the United States, the International Society of Workers Against Free Enterprise, the National Association Opposed to the American Flag and similar groups devoted to the promotion of social justice.

It was also claimed that Mrs. Black had made substantial financial donations to the Campaign for the relief of the Chinese Forces now fighting in Korea and that some years ago she had raised large sums of money for the Judy Coplon Defense fund.

The action of the school officials in rescinding their invitation to Mrs. Black to speak on these flimsy grounds was not only an insult to a great American but was plainly a violation of her Civil Rights.

This incident, it seems to me, is a very forceful example of the new theory of guilt by association which has developed in this country in recent years and which has caused the excellent reputation of many outstanding persons to be smeared unjustly as in the case of that great American patriot, Alger Hiss.

I do not know whether or not Mrs. Black belonged to any of these organizations but if so, I am sure she joined them under the impression that they were devoted to worthy causes.

Another reason why any charge against her of belonging to subversive organizations is utterly fantastic is that she has been a teacher all her adult life and is now head of her own school where Progressive Education is being provided for her people.

Surely no person in his or her right mind would accuse a teacher of progressive education of associating with leftist or subversive groups.

(Copyright 1952 by Ida M. Darden)



THE ORIGINAL PURPOSE OF THE UNITED NATIONS HAS BEEN LOST IN THE SHUFFLE

There are two kinds of Americans who are strong for the United Nations.

Every one who favors our continued participation in this international rat race of psychopaths, eccentrics, emotional perverts and mental defectives, belongs in one category or the other.

They are either subversive or stupid.

No sane, informed, and balanced citizen of the United States can observe the performance or review the behavior of these hundreds of thousands of moral, physical and ideological queers without wanting to hang their head over the railing and give up their supper.

When we were tricked into becoming a member of this brain-child of Alger Hiss and his co-conspirators, we thought it was a movement by all countries to insure peace.

Instead, peace is practically the only subject which these wild-eyed fanatics have made no effort to solve although they have made a stab at every other question even remotely bearing on the affairs of the human race.

The United Nations has branched out in so many directions, sprouted so many affiliates and committed itself to so many extra-curricular activities that its original announced purpose has been completely lost in the shuffle.

The Congress will be back in session in Washington in January and we hope that every American who realizes the absurdity, the futility and the downright treason of this subversive gang of World Socialists will have a letter on the desk of their two senators earnestly entreating them to vote us out of this international mess faster than they voted us in.

We Should Pass Up Such An Opportunity, Yef

We have often wondered and worried about what we would do if the time should come when we no longer run a newspaper.

Now we have it all figured out and know exactly what we are going to do.

We are going to open a hot dog stand in Israel.

We decided on this after reading an Associated Press dispatch stating that the United States government will guarantee private American investors in that country against loss, underwrite their failures and see that they get their money back if their undertaking turns out to be a turkey.

Just think of that! You go into business with the success of the venture guaranteed. You don't have to lie awake nights worrying about poor sales, overhead, operational problems and such.

All you have to do is pick out a location, set up shop and let the American taxpayers do the worrying.

Boy, what a lead-pipe cinch!

In politics, as on the highway, the middle-of-the-roader is usually a menace, says Howard Buffett, retiring member of Congress from Nebraska.

"I confess to a real apprehension, so long as Communists are able to secure ministers of the gospel to promote their evil work—J. Edgar Hoover, Chief of FBI.

NEW PUBLICATION TELLS OF INTRIGUE AND ILLICIT LOVE IN UNITED NATIONS

A new gossip and scandal magazine published in New York called Confidential carries in its first edition a story on the backstage performances of some of those who make up the personnel of the United Nations.

It tells of the 5,000 men and women who meet each year at this world organization headquarters to "discuss politics and seek romance," and of the attractive secretaries gathered from all over the world to work for an outfit dedicated to "peace" but whose presence is very disturbing to the peace of mind of many members' wives.

Interwoven in the sordid story are cases of suicide, murder, adultery and espionage all of which provide a terrific headache for the Public Relations Division of the State Department which is charged with the responsibility of keeping these unsavory news stories out of the press.

An instance is the wife of one of Secretary General Trygve Lie's aides who marched into Lie's office holding her two children by the hand and announced in a voice she didn't try to keep muffled:

"Mr. Secretary General there is a meeting of the Security Council downstairs, discussing threats to the peace and happiness of the world. But there is a more immediate threat to my peace and happiness. She's a blonde in my husband's office."

It seems the irate wife had accumulated evidence that her husband had not only taken the blonde secretary into his confidence but had also taken her on trips in U. N. limousines, to U. N. cocktail parties and on what were supposed to be official business trips but which turned out to be monkey business.

"If you don't do something about it," the furious spouse continued, "I'll march my children right down to the Security Council which my husband is attending and publicly present my case to them, and let the vetoes fall where they may."

Next day, the article points out, the offending blonde was shunted to the United Nations office in Geneva at a much higher salary—paid mostly by American taxpayers, by the way—and the erring husband returned to the fold.

There is the case of the South American attache who announced his marriage to a flaxen-haired sweater girl in his office one day and the next day the birth of their son.

Another delegate got out of an embarrassing triangle by pleading immunity as a member of an international organization which is above the law. When his wife sued him for divorce because he had taken his blonde secretary to the United Nations Conference in Paris instead of her, he appeared before the court where the divorce trial was in progress and stopped it with this clincher: "I am entitled to all the privileges and immunities that go with my diplomatic status. I ask that . . . complaint be dismissed on the ground that the court has no jurisdiction over my person."

The affair of a Balkan beauty employed by the State Department's Voice of America program and who was stabbed to death by her United Nations sweetheart who later committed suicide; the espionage of Judy Coplon who slipped Justice Department files to her United Nations lover and other sensational and tragic escapades make up the roster of sordid stories which, with few exceptions, never make the headlines.

Vile as these revelations are, they present only a minor phase of United Nations immorality. Its criminal intent to destroy the sovereignty of the United States and convert it into a minor sub-division of a subversive world government is what is keeping patriotic Americans awake nights.

Don't Let Them Take It Away

Don't let them take it away;
We've had it so good for so long.
We must hold on to what we've got,
Like it says in our campaign song.

Don't let them take it away;
We've such a good chance to steal;
If we're thrown out on our own,
We may never get a square meal.

Don't let them take it away;
We'd have to work for a living,
And few of us are worth the pay
That Uncle Sam has been giving.

Don't let them take it away;
We're lining our pockets with dough.
If we stole like this in private jobs,
We'd get twenty years or so.

Don't let them take it away;
How we love to spend and tax!
We'd sure miss the joy-rides we take
On taxpayers' poor, sore backs.

Don't let them take it away;
We love Commies, Pansies and such;
We've had them around us so long,
That we'd miss them very much.

Don't let them take it away;
We'd never get back in again,
Especially when taxpayers learn
How much we poured down the drain.

Don't let them take it away;
If we hesitate we are lost,
So lie, smear and misrepresent,
We must win at any cost.

Don't let them take it away;
We've held on for twenty years.
So let's don't get jittery now,
As the day of election nears.

Truman Speaks On A Subject He Knows Best

Harry Truman made a speech last month to an outfit in Washington called the National Conference on Citizenship which is composed of foreigners about to become naturalized Americans.

The theme of his talk was "the technique of the big lie" and he laid special stress on what he called "monumental liars in public office".

We don't know what all this had to do with the responsibilities of those about to assume the obligations of American citizenship but we will say one thing and that is that the applicants for naturalization papers were listening to one of the highest authorities on "the technique of the big lie" in this country and one thoroughly qualified by firsthand experience in discussing "monumental liars in public life".

As a starter, Truman designated as a "big lie" the charge that he has tolerated Communists in the Federal government.

Shades of Alger Hiss and red Herrings!

The little snip named Schmitt who was so definitely trounced by Senator Joe McCarthy in Wisconsin last month has announced that he will vote for McCarthy's Democratic opponent in the November election. Who does he think he is fooling any way? He was a Fair Dealer from the start and most of the money that flooded Wisconsin to aid him in the primary election was said to have come from the Reds who largely compose the membership of the Fair Deal party.

LAWMAKERS SEEK INFORMATION ON WORLD'S ECONOMY BY INVADING PARIS NIGHT CLUBS

Strip Tease Is New Angle In Foreign Relations

Cultural relations between this country and Germany are making progress if charges recently brought out in Washington are true.

An official investigating committee of the House is looking into the claim that a United States government-staged strip tease act is being carried on at the West Berlin International Fair under the joint auspices of the U.S. State and Commerce Department's mutual security agency.

It was reported that a pretty German girl had been hired to demonstrate American feminine wearing apparel, including dainty underwear, by dressing and undressing daily in an exhibit sponsored by the American government, through the State and Commerce Departments.

When newsmen descended on Secretary of State Acheson for a statement, they report that the only reaction they were able to observe was that his mustache bristled.

In view of some of the pronouncements which have come from the eminent top American diplomat in explanation of some of our queer foreign policies, perhaps a twitching mustache is as satisfactory an answer as any other as to why we do what we do with taxpayers' money in the far places of the world.

There was an article in a recent edition of the Saturday Evening Post telling of the increasing horde of American lawmakers who are invading Europe at taxpayers' expense.

This practice has become more widespread as we have gradually taken on the responsibility of supervising the affairs of every country on earth except our own and it is time it was stopped.

Many members of both the House and the Senate who are careful and meticulous with their own private funds and who are personally so tight that you couldn't drive a flaxseed in their ear with a crowbar, don't hesitate to go sashaying around over the world when taxpayers foot the bill.

Their excuse is that they want to investigate conditions in foreign countries in order to be able to legislate more intelligently.

Well, there's room for improvement on their legislating, all right, but they won't enhance their value as lawmakers, by junketing around over Europe.

They would be rendering far greater service to the people of this country if they would stay at home and case their own joint to find out what is wrong with a government which tolerates corruption, encourages Communism and collaborates with subversive world groups who are hell-bent on doing us in.

When they have put our own house in order in Washington, it will then be time enough for them to go cavorting around in the bistros, dance halls and night clubs of Paris in search of information about economic conditions of the world.

Too Bad We Can't Plow Under Some Washington Bureaucrats

Down in Lexington, Kentucky, Joe L. Cowart, a tobacco planter, was thwarted in a recent attempt to be a good Samaritan, according to an Associated Press dispatch.

By a miscalculation Cowart, who raises burley tobacco, planted one-fifth of an acre more than his allotment as set by the strange bipeds who inhabit the Department of Agriculture in Washington and who frequently display less common sense than the four-legged creatures on the farms over which they exercise control.

Not wanting to see the surplus crop wasted and at the same time wishing to observe all government regulations, Mr. Cowart hit upon the idea of marketing the tobacco from this excess one-fifth acre and donating the proceeds to the March of Dimes fund to aid polio sufferers.

So he took the matter up with the Department and asked permission (and what a horrible thought to admit that an American has to ask permission of a government bureau to do what he wants to do) to make this contribution of the proceeds of his surplus crop in the interest of both economy and charity.

The gigantic brains for which Mr. Brannen's agency is noted because of their absence, went into a huddle and pondered the question from all possible angles.

The answer was "No".

So the surplus acreage of tobacco was plowed under, the polio fund didn't get the money and the unbroken record of the Department of Agriculture for outstanding stupidity in an agency where stupidity is a requisite for employment, was maintained.

Resigned Union Man Given A Gentle Hint

A Fort Worth steel worker named L. F. Williamson apparently more concerned in providing for his family than in obeying some New York racketeer's instructions recently resigned from his union and continued to work during a strike in a local steel plant.

A bomb which mysteriously appeared under his automobile in a driveway at his home exploded in the middle of the night wrecking the car and shattering window panes in the neighborhood but, fortunately, injuring no one.

Union officials immediately disclaimed any responsibility for the incident and said it had no connection with the strike whatever.

Probably some well-wisher of the family placed it there as a token of esteem.

Something In A Name, After All

An interesting bit of information we gathered from reading the report of the proceedings of the McCarran Committee was that Mrs. Owen Lattimore was, by her own testimony, a "ghost writer" for Henry Wallace when he issued a pamphlet during the time he was vice president praising Soviet intentions toward China.

Mrs. Lattimore is the wife of the University Professor often referred to by Soviet officials as "our man" according to testimony at the hearings.

They were a sort of husband-and-wife team working the same side of the street.

It seems they often come in pairs.

Another thing we learned about her is that her name is Eleanor—but we'll let that slide.