

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 11

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, OCTOBER, 1960

No. 10

History Repeats Itself And We Must Again Decide Which Is The 'Least Of Two Evils'

These Old Boys Could Have Given Even Kennedy and Nixon Some Good Tips On 'Social Justice'

Looking over old files of our paper and thinking about national political conventions, we fell to wondering whatever became of the group known as the Organized Hoboes of America, Inc., who used to also hold national conventions, adopt a platform and put up candidates for office.

The last one we heard of occurred in 1956 and was held in Britt, Iowa, along about the same time the Democrats and Republicans were holding their nominating conventions at Chicago and San Francisco.

At the time these wayfaring gentlemen were holding forth in Iowa, we wrote an editorial on their gathering as follows:

While the Democrats at Chicago and the Republicans at San Francisco were making vague and ambiguous promises to do everything for everybody and were shaping hazy and indistinct platforms on which to run for office, another national convention was being held which left no doubt where its candidates stood.

This was the National Convention of the Organized Hoboes of America, Inc. held in Britt, Iowa, August 21 and which attracted some 20,000 knights of the road all of whom are loyal, if not paid up, members of the National Tourists Union.

Candidates put in nomination for the office of King of Hoboes were Scoop Shovel Scotty, veteran hitchhiker on the American highways, and Hobo Benson whose extensive coverage of the United States has been made by the more luxurious mode of travel known as riding the rods of a freight train.

While the Champagne, Scotch and Bourbon which inundated Chicago and San Francisco delegates was understandably missing from the Iowa menu, the absence of these stimulating refreshments was partially compensated for by the presence of a large pot of Mulligan stew which graced the festive board of the men of the open road.

The platform as it was finally adopted is believed to represent the greatest and most powerful demand for social justice since William Jennings Bryan made his "Cross of Gold" speech.

It calls for a maximum of four hours of work a day, four days of work a week, a three months' paid vacation in summer and the revision of all welfare laws so as to provide \$100 per month for all persons who have been deprived, either by nature or the Federal Unemployment Compensation Act, of all desire to participate in any form of physical labor.

It urges the picketing by accredited members of the guild, of the back doors of private homes in all cases where a free handout has either been refused or does not measure up in quality or quantity to the specifications of accepted Hobo standards.

Looking toward a long range program whose objective is to provide more time for leisurely contemplation and restful relaxation on the part of tired humanity, the platform calls for all self-respecting and responsible Hoboes to gird their loins for a big push on Congress in the interest of the passage of "Right-Not-To-Work" legislation and the adoption by the administration in power of a domestic policy which will guarantee all Americans "freedom from toil."

The only deviation from regular political convention proceedings was the decision of the body to by-pass the outmoded custom of selecting the winning candidates by vote of State delegations and to settle the matter by an open and above-board slugging match between the two contestants.

This rule was unanimously adopted and the proceedings came to an abrupt end, along with Scoop Shovel Scotty's aspirations for public office, as a haymaker from Hobo Benson knocked him off the platform and out of the race.

For our lead editorial this month we are reaching back four years and reproducing the one which appeared in this publication in September, 1956. This will confirm the tragic fact that the caliber of leadership offered the American people during each Presidential campaign is steadily deteriorating and party platforms more brazenly reflecting the degrading philosophy of National Socialism. As between 1956 and 1960 only the names are changed. Substitute that of Richard M. Nixon for Dwight D. Eisenhower and John F. Kennedy for Adlai Stevenson and the story of the gradual perversion of the American governing system is brought up to date.

—Editor

Fair Deal Democrats and Fair Deal Republicans have held their national conventions at Chicago and San Francisco, respectively. Where once the deliberation, discussions and conclusions of such conclaves hinged around defense of the Constitution, exaltation of the Free Enterprise system and recognition of the rights of Sovereign States to handle their own affairs, no word was spoken and no line written in the Windy City or in the metropolis by the Golden Gate reaffirming these beliefs in the basic institutions and governing processes of the American Republic. Impartially, both gatherings demonstrated that a Presidential nominating convention is merely an occasion for the maximum exercise of human vocal organs and minimum utilization of human brains. Never were so many words employed by so many speakers to say so little in behalf of anything worth while . . . Meantime all that long-suffering taxpayers can look forward to during the forthcoming campaign is a spirited battle between two dedicated left-wing internationalists. It will not be a fight for the restoration of Constitutional government; for the preservation of American sovereignty against attempts by alien conspirators to destroy it; for the reclamation of lost American prestige throughout the world; for the maintenance of the rights, freedom and welfare of the American people in all areas and sections of the land but rather a life and death struggle for possession of the key to the front door of the White House which both candidates feel is in the keeping of Negro voters and other minority groups.

Neither at Chicago nor San Francisco was there any indication that the administration of the Federal government under the provisions of the Constitution—rather than under the rules of psychology or the Communist Manifesto—is to be made an issue of the campaign.

No planks were adopted at either place which are not already familiar to the American people from first having appeared in the Socialist platform before the policies of that party were absorbed by the Democratic and Republican organizations which presently constitute our so-called two-party system.

Also it is notable that no speeches were made and nothing said at either convention, with two exceptions, that could be classed as a great and outstanding pronouncement such as those once heard at official gatherings of the nation's leaders and party chiefs.

The first exception was the masterly challenge which Georgia's Governor, the Honorable Marvin Griffin, hurled at the closing session in

(Continued one Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think it — The Southern Conservative Says It

In Other Days Eaton Would Have Been Condemned As A Traitor

In spite of the fact that the international bandit, assassin and mortal enemy of the United States, Nikita Khrushchev, was banned from circulating in this country while attending the sessions of the United Nations and was confined to the New York area under heavy police guard, he got the red carpet treatment anyway which will serve him well in his propaganda campaign throughout the world.

A 76-year old character from Cleveland, Ohio, named Cyrus Eaton, entertained him at a luncheon at the Biltmore Hotel in New York and so great was his admiration for the Bolshevik dictator and so strong his desire to pay honor to the Soviet monster that he pulled out all the stops and went the limit in lavish hospitality. According to the press, the table service was glittering fourteen-carat gold "from the plates down to the butter-knives."

There used to be a word for behavior like that and the word was an ugly one. This kind of a situation is taken care of in the Constitution of the United States which describes the giving of aid and comfort to the enemy as an act of treason against the Republic for which drastic punishment is prescribed.

But that of course was before

Constitutional Government went by the board and when loyalty to country came next to loyalty to God and to family.

But so lightly is allegiance held now that not only was Eaton's depraved performance accepted by the public with a smile and a shrug, but some 200 other degenerate Americans and Canadians sat down at the Lucullian feast and lapped up the rich food and rare wines even as they imbibed the Red propaganda dished out by the guest of honor.

Eaton has been variously described in terms ranging all the way from that of a senile old goat who has no conception of the effects of his overt acts on the tense situation now prevailing between the Soviet Union and the United States on down to an outright sympathizer with Communist ideology as opposed to the Capitalistic system which made him rich beyond the wildest dreams.

In the former case, he should be restrained from further complicating relations between the two countries by his obvious partiality to this country's number one enemy.

In the latter case, and if he is in full possession of his faculties, he should be tried and punished for his offense.

World Court To Get Six New Members In February

Those who are trying so hard to rob this country of its sovereignty and transfer this sacred heritage to the United Nations World Court are probably interested in the fact that six new members of the Court are to be named next February, five to replace those whose terms expire and one to take the place of a member who died.

After all, those who believe that alien judges should be given authority to pass on questions relating to the domestic affairs of the United States no doubt also believe that the more the merrier and that the more foreign legal luminaries who get a whack at us, the quicker we will be whipped into line in our obedience to a One-World power.

The five new replacements for those whose terms expire will come from Uruguay, Norway, Pakistan, the United States and the Soviet Union. The member to replace the one who died will come from Great Britain.

Here are a few names picked at random from the fifteen judges who presently comprise the Court: Judge Badawi, Judge Spirapoulos, Judge Basdevant, Judge Ugon, Judge Klaestad, Judge Alfaro and Judge Kojevnikov.

With names like that, we should probably be assured that domestic affairs affecting the interests of the people of Mississippi, Arkansas, Utah, Maine, Missouri and all the other States of the American Union would be in safe hands and that problems involving our national welfare entrusted to the Court would be resolved in accordance with the Constitution of the United States and with established traditions of the American Republic.

Maybe we should be, but we're

Several Exhilarating Days High In The Tennessee Sky

We did not have a regular vacation this past summer and stayed on the job in the almost unbearable Texas heat of July and August.

However, we were more than compensated by the privilege of a long week end late in September with friends at their fabulous and indescribably beautiful summer home atop one of the great Smoky Mountains directly adjoining the Smoky Mountain National Park near Gatlinburg, Tennessee.

We are still somewhat groggy and up in the air from drinking in all the natural and man-made beauty of this earthly paradise high up in the clouds and from the grand hospitality of those wonderful Tennessee friends which probably accounts for the paper being a little late this month.

Our enjoyment of this brief vacation was enhanced by the fact that it was from the great State of Tennessee that our father was named to West Point by a congressman of the Volunteer State. That was in the days when it was an outstanding honor to be so chosen and when such a thing as divided loyalty was unknown among the men of that great military institution who would have regarded as rank treason the suggestion of some of its products of today that the United States abandon its sovereignty and lose its identity in an alien-inspired One-World Government.

not, and any American with a drop of loyal blood in his veins will fight to the last ditch against betrayal by those who favor repeal of the Connally amendment.

History Repeats (Continued from Page 1)

Chicago in which he vigorously protested the total disregard of parliamentary rules and the denial of prerogatives to duly elected delegates and in which he roundly scored them for the failure of any speaker to even mention Constitutional Government and the Rights of Sovereign States.

The second exception was the brave effort of former President Herbert Hoover who made a gallant, but futile, attempt at San Francisco to point the course of the Republican Party back toward its original objectives when he said:

"If you here calculate what will please this or that minor segment of our population and satisfy this or that pressure group or sectional interest, you will be betraying your opportunity and tragically missing the call of your time. Today the greatest issue in America and all mankind is the encroachment of government to master our lives. If you temporize with Socialism, in any of its disguises, you will stimulate its growth and make certain the defeat of free men."

The convention cheered him lustily and went right ahead with its purpose of "pleasing minor segments of our population, satisfying pressure groups and temporizing with Socialism to make certain the defeat of free men."

There was only a single instance during the Chicago and the San Francisco sessions where human ingenuity, resourcefulness and inspired imagination caused the achievements of one gathering to soar above the accomplishments of the other and that was when California's Governor, Goodie Knight, gave a blow-out that made the shindig which Perle Mesta threw in Chicago look like an ice cream social at a country church.

While Perle with all her wealth, her publicity blurbs as the "hostess with the mostest" and her acknowledged leadership in the glorified rat race known as Washington society, was able to pour only two glasses of bubbling champagne down the thirst-parched gullets of the 800 free-loaders who fought, scratched and clawed their way into her swank soiree, Goodie is reported to have provided such quantities of the sparkling giggle juice that his 10,000 guzzling guests finally wound up with the stuff practically oozing out of their ears.

After all, the prestige of the party in power must be maintained and no doubt the Democratic Fair Dealers who were elbowed away from the gravy train four years ago, grudgingly conceded this superior social advantage to the opposition.

Otherwise the two conventions were much alike and with no distinguishing features which set one apart from the other. One was held near the stockyards in Chicago and the other in the Cow Palace in San Francisco but the "bull" which permeated both auditoriums was so evenly balanced as to give them an equal break.

Both heavily emphasized the 'give-away' motif and tried to out-promise each other in the matter of generosity with taxpayers' money and in conferring benefits on a panhandling public which sees nothing degrading in accepting Federal hand-outs.

Bold bids were made by both conventions for the support of special classes and voting blocs such as farm groups, labor unions and racial minorities but there was no balm in Gilead for the millions of sound, conservative Americans in this country, including 58,000,000 qualified voters who failed, or refused, to vote for either Stevenson or Eisenhower in 1952.

It is a fundamental right of the American people to have two candidates for President who represent opposite ideologies and in these perilous times with the future of the Republic hanging in the balance, it is doubly essential that we have this privilege.

We should be able to choose between a candidate who believes in Constitutional Government without equivocation or exception; one who boldly denounces corruption in government including infiltration of Communists or domination by labor unions or any other group or class; one who is unalterably opposed to Socialism as embodied in the United Nations and who is alert to the danger to our Sovereignty by any powerful world group; one who is outspoken in defense of the Rights of the States to conduct their internal affairs including their social customs, employment practices and any other rights and freedoms granted to them by the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution.

We should, on the other hand, have the chance to vote for a candidate who represents the opposite principles and who advocates outright Socialism as practiced in this country for more than twenty years.

This privilege, however, is not to be granted us for both candidates hold such similar views on most vital issues that they had as well be cross-filed on both tickets.

Texas Elector Refuses to Pledge Support to Kennedy

The difference between Richard Nixon and Jack Kennedy is about the same as the difference between tripe and chitlins, says Judge George Charlton of Tomball, Texas, who added, "I don't like either."

Judge Charlton's remarks were in answer to newsmen who queried him on television recently as to which candidate for President he would support.

The judge was an elector from Texas but with simple honesty and straightforwardness which is rare

in these days, he told the Democratic Convention of Texas that he would not support Kennedy and that was that.

The veteran attorney was immediately replaced with a man who promised to go all the way with JFK and LBJ.

Judge Charlton, who was taking active part in real Democratic conventions before many of the little squirts now in charge of the party in Texas were born, couldn't care less that he was dropped from the job and a figurehead put in his place.

He is still a Democrat in the real meaning of the term.

Governor of Texas Achieves Questionable 'Victory' At State Democratic Convention

We have attended many Democratic conventions in our native State of Texas throughout the years.

We can even remember when these conventions were conducted by Democrats; when there was not a fellow traveler in the lot and when Socialists were people who spouted forth from soap boxes in the Lower East Side of New York.

But never have we witnessed such prolonged, determined and sustained "booming" on the part of accredited delegates to such conventions as that which attended the September Democratic convention at Dallas on the 20th of the month traditionally referred to as the "Governor's Convention."

In fact, in spite of the smooth-functioning steam roller and cooperation on the part of most of the press in presenting the gathering to the public as a "victory" for the Governor, the boos outnumbered the bravos from the start to the finish of the hectic affair and the statewide resentment and bitterness toward the Kennedy-Johnson ticket obviously could not be gavelled down.

The booming was especially pronounced at any reference to Lyndon Johnson and was so deafening and vociferous that speakers had to carefully refrain from the mention of his name or risk another hostile demonstration which would completely drown out their remarks. Convention managers, therefore, had to content themselves with a resolution which merely demanded "support of all party nominees—local, state and national." They did not take chances on an endorsement of Kennedy and Johnson by name.

Delegates with blood in their eyes had gone to the convention determined to condemn the national platform which is almost universally recognized as an authentic Marxist pronouncement.

To forestall this, the Governor had the Harris County delegation, representing the city of Houston—and which, along with Fort Worth and Dallas represented the stiffest opposition to Kennedy and Johnson—banned from the floor until the last few minutes of the convention.

Also, he prevented a roll call from the State's 254 counties on any issue which, it is believed, would have brought to light the unyielding opposition in Texas to the Kennedy-Johnson ticket from the rural areas of the State. Public protest against the party's national standard bearers so far has come largely from Houston, Dallas and Fort Worth. It was feared that a roll call by counties would reveal this opposition to be statewide.

As appeasement of the vast contingent of dissidents, the Governor had his hand-picked committee write a State Platform which was almost sound and conservative and which contained the minimum of Socialistic provisions. It was his contention that this was sufficient repudiation of the undesirable provisions in the national platform, thereby proving again that timid, wavering and intimidated office holders are like that these days and that they had rather compromise than squarely face an issue any day in the week.

And so, while the Chief Executive of Texas may claim a wobbly "victory" at the Governor's Convention at Dallas, there is not the slightest doubt that State party leaders as they left the conclave were haunted by the echo of boos, catcalls and hisses which, throughout the day, gradually mounted into a crescendo of bitterness and resentment beyond any ever displayed on similar occasions and which did not end when the convention did.

Hundreds of demonstrators gathered in front of the convention building even after the chairman had suddenly decided to declare the convention adjourned, to hurl vindictive threats at the departing politicians who had vainly tried to stifle and beat down the most stubborn and rugged defiance of a national Democratic ticket ever encountered in the Lone Star State.

Candidate Repudiated For One Office. Accepted For Another

The most indefensible political behavior on the part of any people in history is represented, in our opinion, by those Texans who are shelling the Woods for the Nixon-Lodge ticket nationally and still intend to vote for Johnson for the United States Senate.

As a vice presidential candidate committed to the most debased Socialist platform ever offered for support by American citizens, Johnson is to be repudiated by these voters and then embraced as their candidate for a position which is far more important in the fight for a return to Constitutional Government than that of the vice president could possibly be.

If there is any possible hope for reclaiming the American Republic

from the morass of National and International Socialism, it is through the Congress of the United States and especially through the Senate which traditionally serves as a brake on radical legislation in the House of Representatives.

To infer that Johnson is not good enough for vice president but is acceptable as a senator from the great State of Texas, is fallacious reasoning of the rankest sort and explains why the Lone Star State is practically without representation in the Upper House of Congress.

Demoralize the youth of a land and the revolution is already won. —Lenin

Savages From The Jungle Gradually Gaining Power In United Nations

The United Nations has always been a menace to the United States since it was set up in San Francisco by Alger Hiss, Harry Dexter White and other American traitors, following the original organization meeting in Moscow, but today its dangerous potentialities are becoming evident to all.

As has been predicted by level-headed students of world affairs, the recognition of scores of tribal groups from Africa as "Independent States" and their admission to membership in the U.N. will automatically reduce the influence of the Western countries associated with that group.

The recent vote on the admission of Red China forcefully illustrates the reduced strength of free nations in that body. Whereas the United States delegates have for ten years been able to have the matter of Red China postponed by a heavy majority, they only scratched by in the recent contest by eight votes.

All the newly accepted "Independent States" from Africa either voted against the United States and

with the Communists or abstained from voting thereby indicating where their sympathy lies. From this it is not hard to visualize the day when a denizen of the African jungle will head up the great "peace organization" on the banks of the East River in New York.

Also, it has been noted in the press that Khrushchev has refused to pay Russia's part of the money for the expense of sending troops into the Suez situation some years ago and it is logical to suppose that he will do likewise in the case of the terrific expense involved in sending troops into the Congo.

This will shift a heavy financial burden on the shoulders of some respectable nation which does not repudiate its obligations and it requires no genius to figure out what nation that will be.

Getting the United States out of the United Nations and the United Nations out of the United States would be the greatest service ever rendered the American Republic if only the members of the United States Senate had the foresight, the patriotism and the courage to do it.

People Must Pay The Penalty For Putting Little Men In Big Jobs

"Price Daniel pledges you to uphold the decision of the people of our local school districts on this important (school segregation) matter. I will put the arm of the State around the decision of our school districts and the officials of our school districts to see to it that no Federal force or any other power is used to overrule our decisions."

The above were the big, bold and brave words of Price Daniel when he was asking the people of Texas to elect him Governor when he first ran for that office back in 1956.

But when the time came for him to deliver on his solemn promise to the voters who had elected him, he collapsed like a sponge cake with the baking powder left out.

In September of 1960, after his third election as Governor the Houston school board begged and implored him to interpose the authority of the Sovereign State of Texas when an NAACP stooge sitting as a judge on the Federal bench ordered integration of the public schools there.

In direct repudiation of the promise he had so glibly made when running for office in 1956, the little man in 1960 whined to his constituents:

"Under decisions of the present Supreme Court, the State has no

There was a lot in the papers last year about the suit by the Department of Internal Revenue against Adam Clayton Powell, Negro Congressman from New York for evasion of the payment of his taxes. Now it seems to be hushed up and many people wonder why. Did the color of his skin save him from the penalties which white men must pay?

authority to interpose in a case of this kind."

He didn't know what he was talking about, of course, for the right of a Sovereign State to interpose its paramount authority in case of tyrannical actions by the Federal government which the States created, is basic in our form of government.

But even if he had wanted to serve the people of Texas who had voted almost four to one against integration of the schools, he had meantime become so involved that his hands were tied and he couldn't so he had to wiggle out even if he had to misrepresent the facts.

He had taken on the responsibility of trying to carry Texas for the national Democratic ticket on a platform which demanded integration, a policy which the people of Texas have overwhelmingly rejected.

So when he had to either double-cross his constituents or Jack Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson, the people of Texas drew the black bean.

The defection of the little man is nothing new in politics. It merely emphasizes once again that the people must always pay the penalty for entrusting small men with big jobs and the citizens of Texas are paying in full for elevating to high office a squirming politician of diminutive stature.

It is reported in the press that a committee of the Congress which is investigating the Bang-Jensen mystery may come up with some startling developments. Does anybody want to bet? Our guess is that, because such information would naturally reflect on the United Nations, it will be suppressed on orders from high-level government authorities.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress,
members of State Legislatures, Governors,
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with
the wind but it takes an eagle to fly
against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Herter Gives Hammarskjold Measly Little \$5,000,000

According to press reports, when Secretary of State Christian Herter appeared at the United Nations on September 23rd, he handed Secretary General Dag Hammarskjold a little check for \$5,000,000 with the statement that it was to be used in the Congo.

Earlier, before Congress adjourned, the State Department asked for \$100,000,000.00 for the Congo. This request on the part of that agency followed the horrible rioting, raping and murdering spree of the recently "liberated" natives in the Congo, who took their "liberation" seriously by trying to murder or mutilate everybody in sight.

Why Mr. Herter gave Mr. Hammarskjold only \$5,000,000.00 is not clear. Perhaps it was all the change he carried in his pocket at the time.

On the same date the President was quoted as having assured Mr. Hammarskjold that the United States would contribute generously to the nations of South Africa in their new status as "liberated" nations.

If any American taxpayers were present to give the reaction of those who have to dig down in their jeans to finance the noble experiment in "liberation" nothing was said about it.

O what a shame to have to pick
From wacky Jack or tricky Dick.
Our only source of comfort then
Is just to know they both can't
win.

—G. R., Houston, Texas

Dag Had Better Keep His New Cannibal Members Well Fed Or He May Get 'Et'

As an American with an average knowledge of geography, have you ever heard of the great nation of Chad?

Or of the noted empire known as Gabon?

Or of the great Republic of Togo?

Any American who is not thoroughly familiar with these important centers of culture and civilization shows his ignorance for the United Nations — that great instrument for peace and unity throughout the world—has just accepted them and twelve other equally important States into the Family of Nations.

Other great world powers added to the 80-member United Nations group include Cameroon, Federation of Mali, Malagasy, Somalia, Belgian Congo, Dahomey, Niger, Upper Volta, Ivory Coast, French Congo, Central African Republic and Cyprus.

To show that the great World Organization in New York is right on the job and not overlooking any bets, U. N. talent scout, Dag Hammarskjold, snapped them up a couple of hours after they had been declared "independent nations" and before the opposition could sign them up for the Moscow circuit. The two hours margin of time was allowed them in order to prove their total capacity for self-government.

Of course it may be a little humiliating and disconcerting to the United States since fourteen of these new member nations are composed of savage tribes from the dark continent of Africa but they will be on an equal basis with this country in the General Assembly and will cast fourteen votes to our one in the settlement of the serious problems now confronting the world.

Also, they probably will not be able to put up their pro rata share of the money for the upkeep of the United Nations since most of them still use shells and crocodile teeth as their medium of exchange.

But this disadvantage should be more than offset by the fact that new blood will be brought into the U.N. which political psychiatrists tell us is desirable in the formation of our Bright New World and it will also give emphasis to some new cultural enterprises not previously represented such as witchcraft, cannibalism and head-hunting.

It is believed that the Secretary General's action in giving these tribal nations the rush act was inspired by his conviction that their experience in fighting with the latest model spears and bows and arrows would make their advice valuable in the settlement of the disarmament problem.

Not to be outdone by the U. N. in its concern for the welfare of dark-skinned races, the American State Department immediately asked the Congress of the United States for \$100,000,000.00 which, it is understood, will be used, among other things, for the purchase of shiny new Cadillacs for tribal Chieftains in the new States with perhaps enough left over to cover the cost of a couple of additional wives for each one.

"Let Him Who Is Without Sin Cast The First Stone"

It is our considered opinion that one of the most sickening and repulsive spectacles ever presented in any campaign for public office, high or low, was witnessed in Houston during the visit of a presidential candidate there when several hundred ministers called him up on the carpet and badgered, browbeat and intimidated him for more than an hour because of the church he attends.

We certainly hold no brief for Senator John F. Kennedy. In fact because of what we consider his subversive political views, we would not vote for him if he were the last man on earth.

Neither do we presume to speak for Catholics as such.

We were born and brought up in the Presbyterian Church by Christian parents who, when we were growing up, would have rendered us incapable of eating without standing up for a week, if we had criticised or questioned the good faith of another because of the religious denomination to which he belonged.

It has always been our understanding that the men and women who settled this Republic of ours fled from the gilded cathedrals of Europe and chose rather to worship God under a tree because of the desire which burned in their hearts for absolute religious freedom.

And so we can't help but wonder just who do these conceited, bigoted and self-righteous members of the clergy think they are that they should set themselves up as an Ecclesiastical Court with authority to place an American citizen in the pillory, hold his religion up to public scorn and question his moral and spiritual fitness, because of it, to hold office in the United States.

One of the strongest injunctions in the Bible warns that only those who are without sin may cast a stone. So until, and unless, members of the Houston Ministerial Inquisition disassociate themselves from, and openly repudiate, the National Council of Churches whose subversive political activities are legion and which maintains one of the most expensive and powerful lobbies in the nation to influence the passage of Socialist legislation, it comes with poor grace for them to express fear that some extraneous outside force will acquire control of the government of the United States.

Not only was the Houston performance un-American, un-Christian and in bad taste, but a few more stunts like that could enlist sympathy for their target which will help elect him.

Politics Is Not Restricted To Those Who Run For Office

Here is a little serial storiote which proves that the subtle game of politics is sometimes engaged in by others than those who are candidates for office:

On Wednesday, July 20, a Dallas newspaper carried a five-column headline, photographs and an article telling how Mrs. Lyndon B. Johnson flew into Dallas and made a bee-line for a noted establishment there where she purchased an extensive wardrobe for herself and daughters.

This particular store is so widely known throughout the nation that it needs advertising like Eleanor Roosevelt needs a press agent. However, when a Lady Bird who happens to be the wife of a candidate for vice president of the United States drops down out of the clouds and lands practically on the doorsteps of a certain store to the accompaniment of widespread publicity, it is worth a million dollars in advertising to the owners in anybody's language. Surely some compensation is due for such preferential treatment of one establishment over its competitors.

On July 27, the same Dallas newspaper carried the announcement that the president of the favored Dallas store had "accepted membership on the Businessmen's Committee for Senator John Kennedy and Senate Majority Leader, Lyndon B. Johnson.

This would seem to be a very gracious return of the compliment paid the fabulous store by one who aspires to be second lady of the land and one which was well deserved.

But, after all, there are scads of rich Republicans in Texas who also make their purchases at this glittering emporium in Dallas.

On July 28, one day later, the same Dallas newspaper carried the announcement that the store's executive vice president, and incidentally the brother to the president of the establishment, had "accepted membership on the Texans For Nixon Executive Committee."

End of storiote.

Group Of Protestant Ministers Commended

A large group of Fort Worth Protestant ministers recently took action which reflects great honor on their exalted calling and which should inspire allied groups to similar performance.

These good men went on record as unalterably opposed to any pastor trying to tell his congregation how to vote in a presidential election, and projected the theory that a man's personal religious conviction is irrelevant to his qualifications as a candidate for high office.

"We agree with the principle that just as no hierarchy has a right to tell the president what he should do, no minister has a right to tell his congregation how to vote," a statement by the ministers said.

Before next January 20th, the American people should demand once and for all to be told "Who Promoted Peress."

Great Project Under Way Which May Revolutionize Human Destiny

Mr. Paul Hoffman will be remembered as a man who, following one monumental failure after another in the business world, was set up as a sort of lay statesman highly qualified to help frame economic policies of the Federal government and to determine who should and should not be admitted to membership in the party of his political faith.

If memory serves us right, the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy, as well as all others of conservative leaning, were designated by Mr. Hoffman as being unfit for acceptance in the ranks of this sacred clan on the grounds that they were not in accord with the forward-looking objectives of Modern Republicanism.

Mr. Hoffman has been absent from the headlines for some time now but if any one has missed him, they may rest assured that his great brain has not been idle and that he has been engaged in research which should prove of incalculable value to a distressed world in its hour of peril.

In a plush office on the 29th floor of the United Nations Building, surrounded by a formidable staff of assistants and backed by a fund of more than \$3,000,000.00 the genius of Mr. Hoffman is being concentrated on a problem on whose solution may depend the fate of nations and the peace of the civilized world.

He is delving into the complex subject of the sex habits of the desert locust.

Since sex in any form seems to be of paramount importance at this juncture in world history no doubt the sex habits of desert locusts hold the answer to heretofore insoluble questions which have baffled the United Nations in its search for universal peace and human understanding throughout the world.

At odd times and during the intervals when Mr. Hoffman's brilliant mind is not centered on the amorous activities of desert locusts, he is making a survey of mineral deposits in Uganda as well as exploring the possibility of setting up a training school for plumbers in the city of Calcutta.

For fear that American taxpayers who provide most of the money for these important exploits do not realize the significance of the undertakings, attention is called to the fact that the President of the United States on his recent visit to the United Nations appeared before the General Assembly and pledged American financial backing for a 42 per cent boost in the special fund which underwrites Mr. Hoffman's great humanitarian projects.

With executive leadership like this devoted to the broadening and extension of valuable research such as Mr. Hoffman is engaged in, what's to keep us from achieving the high goal which destiny has set for us?

American Bar Association Should Explain Identification Mix-up

At its recent annual meeting the American Bar Association elected Mr. Whitney North Seymour as its next president.

The selection of the head of this great organization of legal luminaries is extremely important to the American people for he exerts powerful influence, as head of the nation's top-ranking group of legal experts, in shaping economic policies of the Republic and in decisions affecting internal security matters.

For this reason attention is called to an unfortunate angle in the case of Mr. Seymour about which something should be promptly done to prevent confusion and misrepresentation in regard to Mr. Seymour's identity.

Apparently, there are two persons bearing this distinguished name and in justice to the Bar Association and to its president, this fact should be made clear to the American public.

From official records we learn that back in the days of the Roosevelt Dynasty when it was practically deemed imperative to belong to a Communist Front in order to get national recognition, there was a Mr. Whitney North Seymour whose name adorned the files of the committees and agencies which exposed those engaged in subversive and un-American activities.

We have been furnished with official documents showing that a Mr. Whitney North Seymour has been reported as belonging to sev-

eral organizations cited by the Attorney General of the United States and Committees on Un-American Activities as being Communist and subversive. A Whitney North Seymour appears on pages 470 and 474 of the 1944 Report of the Special Committee on Un-American Activities; on pages 109 and 357 of the Fourth Report of the California Committee on Un-American Activities, 1948 and a Whitney Seymour appears on page 170 of the same report.

We realize of course that many organizations these days have no hesitancy in selecting former Communist Front members to head up their groups but it is inconceivable that the American Bar Association is among them.

We are convinced that any man selected to direct the affairs of that great body would have first been so thoroughly screened that unless he was found, like Caesar's wife, to be above reproach, he never would have been elevated to that exalted position.

Because of the unfortunate similarity of names, we think it imperative that the American Bar Association make it known to the American people that the Mr. Whitney North Seymour recently honored by election as president of their organization is not the person of the same name who was involved with subversive groups in this country several years ago.

Violence In The Congo Reminiscent Of The Tragedy Of The Old South

By Dr. Ruth Alexander

Whatever the outcome of Congolese violence may prove to be, it could serve a worthy purpose for our America. It re-enacts before our very eyes the socio-political economic aspects of the reconstruction period in the South, 1864-1876, and close observance and analysis of it could lead to a sympathetic and comprehensive understanding of the southern position today. The horrors of the "tragic era" are within the memory of living men and are the basis of southern resistance to similar force bills. The South remembers how it fared when the Negro had the franchise and is understandably reluctant to risk it again. For twelve years, the Negro was the full equal of the white men in blue and the absolute master of the white men in grey.

Mississippi had its Negro lieutenant governor, secretary of state and superintendent of public instruction. Alabama's capital at Montgomery was packed with duly elected Negro officials, "gorged on peanuts, soaked with whiskey, and quarreling among themselves with murderous intent" — even as Congolese tribes today. Louisiana was forced to open all public conveyances, theatres, schools and universities to Negroes, most of whom could neither read nor write. And Arkansas, perhaps a portent of things-to-come, was a veritable barony of the most astute and cruel of the carpetbaggers, whose fully-armed all-Negro militia moved mercilessly against the whites at the faintest sign of dissatisfaction with his regime.

Atrocities, such as those described by eyewitnesses as occurring in the Congo today, were commonplace thruout the South. Men were castrated, women raped, young girls ravished and mutilated, homes burned and pillaged, the very earth scorched and barren. The official

northern position prior to, and during the war, was that the South could not, and, therefore, had not seceded, hence the term "civil" war. But once the South had been forced to its knees by unconditional surrender, the official position changed. The South was classified as a hostile and alien enemy, which had seceded, de facto if not de jure, and was to be treated as a separate and conquered nation.

It may be objected that the Negroes of the reconstruction and those of the Congo represent different levels of civilization. But they were precisely at the same level — illiterate, primitive, savage. Witness the understanding of the concept of "freedom" characteristic of both. Southern Negroes brought a sack to get their freedom: Congolese brought a box to get theirs. To both, freedom meant freedom from work — whence else the boon? — and liberty meant license and licentiousness. The Congolese fear not men but witchcraft. The southern Negro feared not men but ghosts, hence the origin of the Ku Klux, under fear of which they became industrious and law-abiding, for a time, until the KKK, itself, fell into lawless hands.

Obviously, the Negroes of today cannot be compared with their ancestors two generations ago, thanks to the costly efforts of the impoverished South to train and educate them. But in many places they outnumber southern whites by large majorities and the South cannot forget how they had it. Perhaps events in the Congo will help us better to understand the ineradicable fear of our fellow-countrymen of the South.

(Editor's note: The above which appeared in the Colorado Springs Gazette Telegraph on September 4 is reprinted by special permission of the author, Ruth Alexander Ph.D.; LL.D, of New York, one of the most able and forceful editorial columnists of this generation.)

What Became Of The Poor Saps Who Worked Their Way Through College?

Since a Socialist Congress passed the National Defense Student Loan act last year, approximately 135,000 million students in colleges and universities have been given \$60,000,000 of taxpayers money which, of course, finances this program. The information concerning the number of students and the amounts paid out was given out from Washington by Lawrence G. Derthick, United States Commissioner of Education.

This is a far cry from the time when American young people who wanted an education and couldn't pay for it, hauled off and worked their way through college. The program, of course, is part of the overall plan to tie the Federal government in with education and give it control over the nation's schools, including institutions of higher learning.

Young men who once knocked on the front door and enlisted the sympathy of housewives with their

The Federal Government does not have the least vestige of authority for engaging in business enterprises such as the Tennessee Valley Authority and thousands of similar projects in competition with private industry and we defy anyone to point to any provision of the American Constitution which licenses such business activities.

story of working their way through college by selling magazines are going the way of the dodo bird and the bustle.

The new species merely sit down and draw a draft on Uncle Sam. Only squares any longer wash dishes, wait on tables or stoke furnaces while pursuing their studies at the university or college of their choice.

It's a great world — this Socialist Paradise — and it's going to continue to be until the bubble bursts and then —

Wow!!!

Why Are Communist Fronters Almost Invariably Selected For High Honors?

Widespread publicity has been given to the fact that Carl Sandburg, poet, has been selected to collaborate in writing the script for the movie "The Greatest Story Ever Told."

Since this book, written by Fulton Oursler, depicts the life of Christ and deserves the most accurate and careful handling by qualified students of the Christian religion whose belief in the Divinity of Christ is unquestioned, the selection of Sandburg to write the screen version appears little short of a caricature on Christianity and a travesty on those things which Christian Americans hold sacred.

The selection of Sandburg was made by the 20th Century-Fox Studios whose officials would not be expected to regard pro-Communist activities as a deterrent in a work of this kind but that the Christian people of the country would not unite in publicly denouncing this blasphemy is something that can be explained only by the vast barrage of anti-Christian propaganda which has flooded this country for years.

Is the American Republic so spiritually impoverished as a result of this propaganda that no writer of unquestioned religious probity could be found for the job and that they would have to search the files of committees investigating un-American activities to find one who could perform this service?

The Communist Front affiliations of Sandburg have been publicized so often and so widely that a repetition may seem redundant but here are some of the organizations he is reported to have been associated with and in view of the Communist attitude toward Christianity, none of these associations would seem to qualify him for the delicate task which has been assigned to him:

Listed as a correspondent of the Federated Press which was cited as a Communist-controlled organization financed by the notorious Garland Fund and the Robert Marshall Foundation, both of which are principal sources of funds for Communist enterprises. See Files of the Joint New York Legislative Committee Investigating Seditious Activities, State of New York, 1920, page 1997.

Listed as a messenger who carried money for the Finnish Information Bureau into this country where he was searched by Federal authorities on arrival who confiscated the drafts found on his person for the above-mentioned Bureau. This information is found on page 631 of the Report of the Joint Legislative Committee Investigating Seditious Activities, State of New York, 1920.

Listed as having been affiliated with the Friends of the Soviet Union, which was cited as Communist by Attorney General Tom Clark, now member of the Supreme Court of the United States. See Appendix IX of the Dies Reports.

Listed as a sponsor of the American Rescue Ship Mission, also cited by Attorney General Tom Clark as Communist. See Appendix IX of the Dies Reports.

Listed as a sponsor of the Friends of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade, cited by Appendix IX of the Dies Reports as an organization completely controlled by the Communist Party.

Listed as having been affiliated with the Hollywood Writers Mobilization cited as subversive and Communist by Attorney General Tom Clark and by Appendix IX of the Dies Reports.

Listed as having been affiliated with the Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee cited as Communist by the Attorney General and Appendix IX.

Norman Thomas Sees No Difference In Two Presidential Candidates

It is often said that politics makes strange bedfellows and there must be something in it for we find ourselves in odd company. We agree with a recent statement of Socialist Norman Thomas, candidate for president of the United States on the Socialist ticket from 1928 to 1948 when he gave up.

The Democratic and Republican parties by that time had stolen the Socialist thunder so Thomas stepped aside and let them take it from there.

In a recent speech at Cleveland, Ohio, when asked his choice as between Richard Nixon or Jack Kennedy for President of the United States he said he had no preference and he couldn't care less which one was elected.

"Neither Nixon nor Kennedy is bothered with excess baggage in the way of principles," Thomas said in what was probably the understatement of his career.

He has the assurance that re-

One of the nation's most outstanding citizens, the Honorable Clarence Manion of Indiana, warns in his widely published column that unless this country takes a positive step to outlaw Communism by breaking off diplomatic relations with all Communist countries, it will be too late. He is exactly right of course but the present administration only breaks off diplomatic relations with anti-Communist countries like the Dominican Republic. As Mr. Manion points out, Communist countries have no legal status as nations and do not represent the people over which they are now ruling with an iron hand but apparently there is no consideration on earth that would make the President see it that way.

Regardless of how the election goes, the policies so often laid down by the Socialist party will be carried out and he should worry.

New Angle On A Campaign Mystery Brought Out By Dallas Newspaper

There have been many conflicting versions of how Lyndon B. Johnson came to be on the Democratic ticket as a candidate for vice president and the story has usually varied according to the interest of the one who was telling it.

Johnson partisans, including the candidate himself, have carefully built up the illusion that he accepted only after John Kennedy personally called on him and begged him to accept the assignment. According to that version, Senator Johnson was greatly surprised at the invitation and agreed to accept only after great deliberation on his part.

However, it has been revealed that not only was a flat prediction made by one of Johnson's strong supporters, in advance of the convention, that the Texas senator would take second place, but it is also charged that Johnson had quietly agreed to a second place a week before the convention opened.

This information was contained in an article in the Oak Cliff Tribune on July 18th concerning Manuel DeBusk, secretary of the Dallas County Democratic Executive Committee who admitted before leaving Dallas for Los Angeles that he had been assigned the job of seeking a second place on the ticket for Johnson in the event of Kennedy's nomination.

It isn't important particularly, of course, what the circumstances were in connection with Johnson's decision to accept second place on the ticket with the most liberal candidate in the history of organized politics. The incident merely emphasizes the Texas senator's apparent inability to be open, forthright and above-board with the people whom he seeks to represent in high public office.

The Tribune article on July 18 said in part:

"A flat prediction that Senator Lyndon Johnson would take second place on the ticket behind Senator John Kennedy was made in advance of the convention by Manuel DeBusk, the Democratic Executive Committee secretary from Dallas County who served as an alternate delegate.

"In by-lined articles for The Tribune, which he serves as secretary and legal counsellor, Mr. DeBusk

They'll Do It Every Time

When Killer Khrushchev first announced his intention of attending the United Nations and the State Department issued an ultimatum that his movements would be restricted to Manhattan, there was general agreement that the old scoundrel should be so restricted.

With one exception.

From London where she was visiting at the time came Eleanor Roosevelt's response to the suggestion and, in true Rooseveltian tradition, she registered a thundering "NO."

It was "perfectly silly" for the State Department to restrict the Soviet Premier to Manhattan, wire services quoted Mrs. Roosevelt as

wrote in the issue of July 11: "While most of the Texas delegation feels that a Johnson-Kennedy ticket would be the strongest the Democratic party can offer, we do not want to overlook the possibility of a Kennedy-Johnson ticket."

"While most of the convention seemed stunned that the young presidential nominee would tap the Texan—including the majority of the Lone Star delegation—DeBusk explained that Johnson had quietly agreed to second place a week before the convention opened.

"At a meeting last Thursday night in Oak Cliff, just hours before he emplaned for Los Angeles, DeBusk pointed out that Johnson had much to gain and nothing to lose as vice president.

"Should the Democrats prevail in November, the Majority Leader's post would largely be ceremonial with the administration calling the shots, DeBusk declared.

"Since Texas law was amended so Johnson can run for both Senator and for Vice President, if the Republicans win he would still be Majority Leader of the Democratic controlled Senate.

"Another factor which was considered at length was the fact that Senator Johnson would be only 59 should Kennedy win twice . . . and still a distinct presidential possibility."

"It would appear certain, DeBusk continued, that Senator Johnson would have a clear advance understanding from Senator Kennedy about the responsibilities of the Vice President in a Democratic administration. Undoubtedly, they would be of a consequential nature which would enable the Texan to mold an image that would later be acceptable to the party liberals and labor leaders.

"The Tribune attorney admitted before he headed west that he had been assigned to the job of seeking a second place slot for Johnson. In the July 11 article, DeBusk predicted that Kennedy had better than 50-50 chance of carrying the convention on first ballot.

"We Texans are inclined to be guided by our hearts instead of our heads," wrote DeBusk in predicting a Kennedy sweep. "Personally, I think the chances are better than ever Kennedy will be nominated on first ballot."

When on earth is a National Administration in Washington going to crack down on the Reds in the State Department and stop all the pro-Communist projects which originate there, and which are paid for by taxpayers' money? How can we combat Communism abroad until we have stamped it out here at home?

saying in London. "Khrushchev's already been all around the United States. How in blazes can we now tell him he's restricted to Manhattan Island? It's nonsense, just nonsense."

To show her contempt for this treatment of the Bolshevik thug, Mrs. Roosevelt entertained him in her apartment in New York following her return from England thus maintaining the family record of pro-Communist sympathy on any and all occasions.

"THE SOCIALIST PARTY HAS NOT LOST AN ELECTION IN THIS COUNTRY SINCE 1932"

The incomparable Tom Anderson, editor of Farm and Ranch, Nashville, Tennessee and who has a worthwhile opinion on everything and is not afraid to express it, in his column "Straight Talk" gives his views on both candidates for President. His conclusion, in brief, is "A plague on both houses." His comments are reproduced below:

Southern Conservatives (and American patriots anywhere) who stay in the Democrat Party remind me of the two skeletons in the closet. One turned to the other and rattled: "What are we doing here?" "I don't know," the second skeleton replied, "but if we had any guts we'd get out!"

John Kennedy is a ruthless, cold and cocky kid trying to buy the office with his daddy's money. (Presidential elections have been bought before, but not by one family.) I am a Methodist, and if Kennedy were a poor, mature Methodist bishop from Mississippi, I wouldn't vote for him for dogcatcher because I'd be afraid he'd either socialize the kennel or give it to some foreign country. I think less of Johnson, an All-American hypocrite of such unusual ability he's a modern American menace.

The issue is not Republican vs. Democrat. The issue is not segregation vs. integration. The issue is freedom. Both parties are moving toward an all-powerful international Socialist dictatorship. A centralized welfare state brings slavery, not freedom. Property rights is the very basis of "human rights." The right to self-determination of associates is the essence of "human dignity." Forced equality is not democracy but dictatorship. Equal men are not free and free men are not equal.

Nixon and Kennedy are young opportunists on the make, riding the Socialist wave as far as it will take them. Neither has the necessary character — but then we haven't had that in any President since Hoover.

I have been a little unfair at times in the past, saying the difference between the Democrat and Republican Parties is merely "which twin has the Toni?". There is a difference, particularly now that John Kennedy has taken over. But the difference is not enough to get my vote. I don't believe in creeping Socialism any more than I do in creeping integration.

Depend on Nixon-Lodge to save this country from the international Socialists? Nixon reminds me of the airplane pilot who, with both motors on fire, donned his parachute and soothingly purred to the passengers: "Don't anybody panic! I'm going for help now." Nixon is a politician. Politicians can't save this country. They brought it to this brink of disaster and defeat.

Norman Thomas, who should know, has said that we've made more progress toward Socialism under Eisenhower than even under Truman. Facts support this statement. Norman Thomas got 267,420 votes for President in 1928 and a little more than half of that in 1948. Thomas now says that both candidates are satisfactory to him.

Nixon is lesser-of-evils. Lesser-of-evils is a wasted vote. Voting for lesser-of-evils is an endorsement of evil. There is no way to win, voting for lesser-of-evils. You are silencing your voice, disfranchising yourself if you vote for lesser-of-evils.

I'm not sure a Kennedy victory would be catastrophic. Just like I'm not sure the nation wouldn't have been better off if Norman Thomas had been elected in 1932, or Stevenson in 1952. These candidates are for what they call "human rights," the brotherhood of man, the welfare state. They are for international Socialism, although some haven't the courage to call it that. If Thomas, Stevenson, or Kennedy or their like should get a chance to install their all-powerful federal state, then the people would have a choice. An opposition party would arise. (Of course, we might never be able to unscramble the Socialist egg.) As it is, there is no real opposition party. Both major parties are infiltrated, dominated and owned by Socialists, one-worlders, welfarers and fellow travelers.

We can't save America by dragging our feet to slow down the Socialist toboggan. We can't win by choosing lesser-of-evils, by giving in a little at a time, by surrendering our freedom piecemeal, by defaulting each succeeding skirmish as it occurs. In fact, it may be better to join full-scale battle now—win or lose—than to surrender on the installment plan. Otherwise, there'll be no final major battle to win. Just the firing squad. I believe in staying in any organization—and that includes my church—only so long as there is a chance to save it. The Democrat Party is not even worth talking about saving. Evidently Senator Goldwater thinks he can save the Republican Party. A party owned by Nixon, Dewey, Percy, Rockefeller, Lodge, Keating, Javits—can't be saved from Socialism. Goldwater has as much chance of returning the Republican Party to Americanism, Constitutionalism and states rights as Neville Chamberlain had at Munich.

A platform is worth no more than the man who runs on it. And that makes both platforms virtually valueless. A platform is like a wedding dress: used only once, and even then it sometimes flies false colors.

I went to both conventions. Delegates at both had this obvious characteristic: The Party comes first. Many of them would support a Castro-Cyrus Eaton ticket if it would get the most votes. The delegates of both parties are primarily self-seeking politicians, influence peddlers and limelight junketeers without integrity or the courage to buck the tide. Letting these people decide who should save our country in these times is like letting Beverly Aadland be Housemother in a boys' dormitory.

In some states it is relatively easy to get somebody to vote for on the ballot — an independent candidate or unpledged independent electors. Your clean-cut dissent in this way makes your voice heard.

Deadly Assault Against American Security Is Due During Next Session Of Congress

Close friends and associates of President Dwight D. Eisenhower during World War II revealed early in his term that his principal objective before he left office was to bring about One-World Government. His actions since becoming president have more than borne out this claim.

Through some sort of confused thinking, he seems convinced that it is only in this manner that peace may be assured in the world. The fact that in the process the United States would lose its sovereignty and become a mere province in a One-World State does not appear to hold the horrible potentialities for him that it does to other loyal American citizens.

If there was ever any doubt as to where he stood on the subject, it was dispelled when he appeared before the American Bar Association at its annual convention in August and pleaded for that organization to exert its influence in helping to bring about the repeal of the Connally amendment.

When the United States Senate declared our adherence to the World Court, set up by the United Nations and composed of one United States member against fourteen Socialist and Communist country members, the Connally amendment made our adherence contingent upon this country deciding what were and what were not domestic issues and therefore not subject to the World Court's jurisdiction.

It is our only protection against an alien Court which could declare such questions as immigration, foreign trade, the Panama Canal, foreign aid and any other subject the Court might decide to be international rather than domestic issues.

In view of the potentialities for disaster to the economy and security of the American Republic inherent in repeal of this amendment, it has been difficult for the average informed American to understand how the President, the Attorney General, Vice President, the Secretary of State, the Solicitor General and other high-ranking national officials could take sides with those who favor repeal of this amendment.

With this powerful battery of national leaders, as well as many prominent members of the United States Senate, committed to this dangerous proposal, it is going to take an almost solid phalanx of determined loyal citizens to prevent this safeguard to our liberties from being taken from us.

As soon as Congress convenes in January, it is understood that another assault will be made against the amendment in the Senate and as the advocates of repeal do not always fight fair and are not above attempts to sneak such legislation by under various guises, all good citizens must be eternally on guard to prevent betrayal of the Republic into the hands of its enemies by way of the World Court.

A World Court with unlimited power over the citizens of this and other countries is the first essential to the setting up of World Government as any literate American knows and it is the responsibility of all patriots to see that our national sovereignty is not surrendered to this alien power.

From a physician in Yonkers, New York: "Your publication continues to fascinate me as no other ever has. It certainly is a blessing to read the facts and not propaganda."

From a retired business executive and his wife in Houston: "Our compliments to you for helping America wake up."

"Your paper packs a powerful lot of punch," says a student at the University of Oklahoma at Norman.

If Kennedy or Nixon can be kept from getting a majority in the Electoral College, the election would be thrown into the House of Representatives.

There could be 57 free electors from the South. It takes 269 electoral votes to elect a ticket. In 1948, if those 57 votes had been taken away from Truman, he'd have been 20 votes short. In that event, the House could have elected Strom Thurmond President. Unlikely? Yes. But there is a small chance. And I'll take that, however small, in preference to voting lesser-of-evils.

Some people say a Third Party can't be successful. That's not true. The most successful political party in this country is the Socialist Party. It hasn't lost an election since 1932.

Senator John F. Kennedy is quoted as defending his sponsorship of the minimum wage bill by saying that "people at the bottom of the economic ladder" should be helped. Maybe they should but there is no more Constitutional authority for using Federal law to help them than there is for helping people at the "top" of the economic ladder or people in the "middle" of the economic ladder. He also said he feels the Federal government has a responsibility to see that workers are paid a decent wage. He, of course, has the Constitution of the United States mixed up with the Manifesto because there is no provision for setting anybody's wages in the American Constitution. That is only the business of the employer and the employee.

Richard Nixon is quoted in the press as saying in an interview: "We recognize that our independence and freedom cannot be considered as separate from those of other nations." Why can't our independence and freedom be considered as separate from those of other nations? By what Constitutional authority does any American leader dare tie our destiny to that of foreign countries? This statement alone is enough to cause responsible Americans to vote against Nixon for President, even though they may gain nothing by supporting his opponent.

'One-Man Legislation' Makes Texas Butt Of Many Jokes

At its session last January members of the Texas Legislature made laughing stock of the Lone Star State by passing a law which permitted a candidate to run for two offices at the same time.

No secret was made of the fact that this statute was passed for the exclusive benefit of Senator Lyndon Johnson who intended to try for national office but wasn't sure of himself in that field and, in event of defeat, wanted to hold on to his Senate job.

This was not only "class legislation" which political demagogues are so fond of denouncing but constituted actually legislation in behalf of one single individual for it was never contemplated that any other politician except Johnson would benefit from it.

There was much opposition to the measure at the time statewide, but members of Congress who have been in office any great length of time manage to assemble an army of stooges and bootlickers in their home State Legislatures and Johnson is no exception. The bill, backed by the Governor of the State, passed by a large majority and has engendered much ridicule throughout the country.

To compound the comedy, Johnson is running for vice president on the national ticket as a "liberal" and for United States Senator on the State ticket as a "conservative." If this amazing feat has ever been duplicated in the history of politics, there is no record of it.

Senator Barry Goldwater of Arizona recently made humorous reference to it in an address to more than a thousand Democrats and Republicans attending a luncheon in his honor at Fort Worth.

He said he could foresee a day when, following this practice to its logical conclusion, a candidate might be permitted to run for every office on the ballot and under the law of averages would be sure to win some of them.

He could then take his pick of the one he liked best and toss the others overboard.

The Arizona Senator said he had long cherished a secret desire to be a sheriff and that if his State should adopt the Texas plan, he would include that among the offices for which he would announce.

There was a time when a man running for the presidency of the United States just hauled off and ran—all by himself. Now the campaign is made on a family basis and wives, children, mothers, sisters, uncles, aunts, cousins and in-laws are climbing all over the podium in the mad scramble to elect the candidate of their clan. Everybody gets into the act except the family cat and dog.

From a Chicago business executive: "That paper is peculiarly yours. Nobody else dares let loose with the broadsides you publish; there are too many of us who are conducting our campaigns against what is wrong from under the bed. You are a two-gun gal and willing to take on all comers, so God bless our Ida — may her shadow never lessen."

We Give The High Court A Little Help In Disposing Of Its Docket

Among the cases which the Supreme Court will be called on to decide during its current term are some highly interesting Constitutional questions to be settled.

Since the great judicial experts on the Court have such a heavy docket with a big backlog of appeals, we are generously offering to help them out by making advance decisions for them.

This will not only conserve the valuable time of the great jurists but will tip off the litigants as to what they may expect under the modern conception of justice.

Our decisions will not be cluttered with legal terms and phrases because we are ignorant of such things and besides when we are dumping the Constitution why not toss overboard the legal verbiage along with it? After all, if we are going to be modern, why not go the whole hog?

Our decisions also will differ somewhat from those which will later come from the Court since we have jazzed them up a little bit to make them conform to the new standards of value in the administration of American justice.

The following are among the important issues which the Court will be called on to settle together with the rulings which we have bigheartedly agreed to hand down for them in the interest of expediency and we believe that these advance decisions of ours will vary little in fundamental aspects from those which will come down later from the great legal brains on the high Bench:

Question: Pennsylvanians want to know if reading the Bible in the public schools of that State is Constitutional.

Decision: What's the matter with you guys? Are you crazy or something? Of course it's not Constitutional. Let the students read the Communist Manifesto, certainly, but the Bible is out.

Question: Louisiana people want to know if a State law requiring the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People to disclose its list of members and contributors is Constitutional.

Decision: Don't be silly. Won't you Pelicans ever learn that no State law is Constitutional when it conflicts with the NAACP?

Question: Citizens of Maryland want to know if it is Constitutional to require a public office holder to declare a belief in God.

Decision: Listen you lugheads! Didn't you ever hear of bad psychological reactions as a result of outdated customs, practices and traditions? The requirement that a public office holder declare a belief in God might tend to inspire a feeling of inferiority on the part of college professors who subscribe to Atheism and is, therefore, contrary to the Constitution as presently interpreted.

Question: Can the dues of a worker compelled to join a union be used for political purposes over his objection?

Decision: It's none of the worker's business what his money is used for and the law governing Union procedure is anything the Labor Bosses say it is. Where do you working bums think the money is coming from to re-elect labor's friends in the House and Senate, anyway?

Question: The State of Alabama would like to have the rights of its Legislature to re-zone a district confirmed even if such re-zoning may be claimed to affect the right of Negroes to vote.

Decision: Whatever gave the people of Alabama the idea that a State Legislature, and especially a Southern State Legislature, had any rights? Case dismissed.

We would like to help the Court further in its solemn deliberations but our docket is crowded, too, and so we must adjourn until another term.

Delegates To United Nations May Risk The Loss of Their Dukes

A UPI dispatch headlined Bakwanga, Kasai Province, dated August 31, headed "No Prisoners Taken in Bloody War," gives us a fair idea of the moral principles of the people of the new countries which the United Nations is accepting for full-fledged membership in that body:

"Captives are killed out of hand by both sides in the round-the-clock fighting. Both sides are cutting off the hands of their dead adversaries and sporting them as trophies on their belts. About 1,200 Congolese troops are locked in a battle to the death with half-naked 250,000-strong Baluba tribesmen fighting the Congolese army with bows and arrows."

Apparently these new black boys which the United Nations is collecting in droves, play sort of rough and it is devoutly to be hoped that they discontinue their cute little custom of whacking off human arms below the elbow by the time they arrive at that gilded palace of peace, unity and universal brotherhood on the banks of the East River in New York.

Maybe it would be safer if the other delegates kept their hands in their pockets until their colleagues from darkest Africa become "house-broke" and realize that this playful practice just possibly might be regarded as a violation of Human Rights.

Conspiracy For 'Take-Over' Is Advanced Another Step

The death knell of representative government has been sounded in the State of Connecticut. Thus is forged another link in the conspiracy known as "Metro Government" to centralize units of local government which will make it easier for those who hope for an eventual take-over in this country to realize the dream.

On September 30 the Associated Press had this to say of the tragedy in the Nutmeg State: "The bells toll at midnight Friday for Connecticut's three-century-old system of county government. At the stroke of 12 a form of government evolved in Colonial Days will be no more. Its passing was decreed by the Democratic-controlled legislature last year. It was doomed in the interest of governmental efficiency. Most of the county government's responsibilities and its \$22,-200,000 in buildings—will be transferred to the State . . ."

The proceedings followed in Connecticut were those which have been pursued elsewhere since the scheme was first rigged in the Rockefeller-financed headquarters of Metro Government at 1313 East 60th Street in Chicago and which is spreading rapidly throughout the country.

Under the guise of "efficiency" the plan calls for the replacement of duly elected officials by appointive ones who have supreme authority in making major decisions without regard to the wishes of the citizens of the community.

One of the basic principles set down by those who founded the Republic was that government should be spread out as widely as possible and that centralization of power locally, statewide and nationally, should be avoided at all costs.

In his discussions regarding the fundamentals of Communism, Lenin made it clear that a nation could only be taken over after local units of government had been destroyed and the greatest possible measure of centralization had been achieved.

Metro Government was set up to bring about this centralization in local governments.

If there was any organized opposition by the people of Connecticut to the destruction of their representative system of local government, there was no mention made of it.

But after all, the great State of Connecticut is largely dominated by Mr. Chester Bowles and Governor Abraham Ribicoff who seem to have everything under control, so what right have mere citizens to interfere?

All they are supposed to do is furnish the money and ask no questions.

The Social Welfare Director of Jakarta, Indonesia, which is now enjoying "independence" since the withdrawal of the Dutch, is named Muljads Djojomartono. Mr. Djojomartono is reported in the press to be preparing a bill for introduction in the local lawmaking body which will restrict Moslems there to one wife each except in cases of "emergency." It was not explained what would constitute an emergency which would make these extra marital reinforcements necessary.