

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 5

Fort Worth, Texas, March, 1954

No. 3

The Stage Is All Set for Surrender of the United States Into International Bondage

GOD'S GIFT TO LONELY LADIES

It now appears that James (They Come Cheaper by the Dozen) Roosevelt will make the race for Congress from California's twenty-sixth district, after all.

When his wife recently designated him as a Gay Lothario who went wenching in all directions, national Fair Deal leaders shied away from him like a country colt from a fire engine, but California party officials took a different view.

Apparently they decided that his newly acquired reputation as a lady-killer, whether deserved or not, may prove an asset in a section where women heavily outnumber men and that it may inspire hopeful females to become interested in the race who have heretofore been inactive in politics.

Meantime, assuming that his wife's charges are true, he should have the advantage of an effective feminine campaign committee all set and ready to take to the hustings bearing a message to their sisters of his devastating appeal.

Women With 'New Look'

Tribute to the women of the National Association of Pro America at their recent annual convention in Fort Worth, paid by Homer Tomlinson, prominent publishing executive:

"Here's to the woman who places love of Country above love of Cards.

Who recognizes that the Ballot Box is even more important than the Beauty Bar.

And who knows from reading and study that Treaties are more vital than Teas."

Every Communist is an Internationalist and every Internationalist is a Communist.—Tom Linder, Commissioner of Agriculture, State of Georgia, Atlanta.

Shades of Colonel House And Also Harry Hopkins

We are aware, of course, that the Greeks gave us the arch, the Phoenicians gave us boats, the Chinese gave us paper and the Germans gave us the printing press, but what we would like to know is who gave us Sherman Adams?

He is reported in the press as insisting that his proper title is "assistant president of the United States" and not simply "assistant to the president" as some of the species before him have been designated.

We didn't vote for him for this office and we can't find any one who did.

In fact, we didn't know that the Constitution provided for any such office and still we find him throwing his weight around over the White House, issuing orders in matters of policy, firing people and performing generally like a duly elected official of the American electorate.

What gives, any way?

Planners Fail to Equalize Law of Supply With Demand

Over in Socialist England, often referred to as "Squirrel's Paradise" out of tribute to their bumper crop of nuts, some "liberal" preachers and social service workers undertook a noble experiment in "planned marriage."

They set up an organization called the Marriage Society, open to members of both sexes with the obvious objective of encouraging eligible bachelors to accompany lonely spinsters on a personally conducted tour to the altar.

Later the organization had to be disbanded when its founders reluctantly admitted that the only ones applying for membership were thousands of eager and expectant old maids.

"Englishmen just naturally like 'em young" one of the sponsoring preachers remarked philosophically to no one in particular, as the worthy project exploded in his face.

Throughout the ages, unstable human minds have been swayed by the magic power of suggestion. Hitler said that if an idea is expressed and repeated over and over again, it will finally come to be accepted. For more than twenty years, the combined forces of domestic treason and foreign conspiracy have been stabbing the American mentality with the suggestion that the United States must dismantle itself as a Constitutional Republic, renounce its sovereignty as an independent power and deliver its citizens into the custody of World Government. Thanks to a majority of our leaders in Washington who have performed like hypnotized victims of an invisible Svengali, we are now preparing to do just that.

The first step toward the ultimate dissolution of a sovereign nation is the surrender of the purse and the final act of capitulation is the yielding up of the sword to the enemies seeking to overthrow and conquer it.

The United States has now done both—with the sanction and approval of elected officials sworn to uphold the Constitution and pledged to defend the American Flag and the Republic for which it stands.

All that remains is for us to observe the formalities incident to the transfer of authority from our legally constituted Federal government in Washington to the United Nations in New York.

The purse represents the American taxpayers' money of course and the sword means the Armed Forces of the United States.

The surrender of the purse was an accomplishment of the Roosevelt and Truman administrations who meticulously followed Lenin's instructions for depleting our financial resources and impoverishing our treasury by lavishly throwing money around all over the world.

Yielding up the sword is being achieved by the Eisenhower administration through proposals to deny Congress its Constitutional right as the sole agency authorized to order American troops into action and to confer this responsibility on the Security Council of the United Nations.

We do not especially relish the role of a sentinel repeatedly warning of the approach of the enemy or constantly undertaking to prod into action other Americans who have as much equity in the potential danger posed by this ominous threat as we. After all, we have only two hands over which an alien conqueror can snap the handcuffs and only one neck to bow to a foreign dictator's yoke.

And so we will try to minimize our own protests against obvious betrayal of the Republic and present a brief part of the record of official actions taken so far to shove us inside the trap which international conspirators have set.

First, it is important to remember that we have so diverted our Armed Forces to distant parts of the earth, in response to unidentified orders, that we now have troops in thirty-nine different countries around the world and commitments to keep our soldiers in Europe for twenty years.

At the same time, the invisible masters who spearheaded the movement to send our troops abroad have also demanded the scrapping of our immigration laws and the admission of millions of aliens to our shores.

Taking Americans out of the United States and bringing foreigners in is obviously an integral part of the overall plan to soften us up and manipulate this country more readily into a Communist-controlled World Government.

Also, it is vital to keep in mind that more than ten thousand Execu-

Continued on Page 2.

Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It

STAGE ALL SET

Continued From Page 1.

tive Agreements with foreign countries were entered into between 1945 and 1954, most of them believed to represent concessions to alien powers and of whose contents the American people have not been advised. Too, there are reported to be two hundred treaties drawn up by various agencies of the United Nations now in the hands of the President, ready to be presented to the Senate for ratification.

Above all, the fact must not be overlooked that it is impossible with its present membership for the Senate of the United States to pass legislation which would prevent these treaties with foreign countries from overriding the American Constitution. This was proved in the case of the Bricker amendment where some of those who had even sponsored and signed the original measure, tucked their tails and ran when pressure of unknown origin was applied to them by way of the White House.

To those who hold that it can't happen here, and that none of our rights and freedom under the Constitution will be surrendered, we respectfully point out that it has already happened here. There are scores of cases to prove this, the most infamous of which is the Status Treaty ratified last summer under which American soldiers can no longer be tried by Court Martial as provided in the Constitution, but must be tried in the country where the offense is committed and by the courts of such country.

The United Nations Charter now in effect is perhaps the most potentially dangerous document ever drawn by human brain but when it is amended in 1955, it will forge chains around the American people from which there will be no escape.

One set of such amendments has been prepared in London and approved by large groups in this country which, if adopted, will leave no loophole by which the United States can withdraw its citizens from the slave status to which they would then be forever consigned.

There is also an official committee to draw up amendments composed of eight members of the United States Senate, seven of whom are outright internationalists and all of whom voted against the original Bricker amendment. They are Alexander Wiley, John Sherman Cooper, Spessard L. Holland, Homer Ferguson, William F. Knowland, Guy M. Gillette, John J. Sparkman, and Mike Mansfield. There is little likelihood that their views will be given much consideration in view of the fact that professional internationalists already have the amendments cut and dried.

The scheme which compelled us to yield up authority over American troops and transfer it from the Congress to the United Nations has been clever, neat and highly effective.

Article 9 of the section of the United Nations Charter titled "Contribution of Armed Forces by Member Nations" says: "All member nations shall have the opportunity as well as the obligation to place Armed Forces, facilities and other assistance at the disposal of the Security Council on its call and in accordance with their capabilities and the requirements of the Security Council".

Article 1, section 8 of the American Constitution provides, on the other hand, that only Congress shall have power to "raise and support armies, to provide and maintain a Navy and to make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces".

In view of these two conflicting provisions in the Charter of the United Nations and the Constitution of the United States, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, of which Alexander Wiley is chairman, appointed a sub-committee to work out a "solution". In its final report, as published in Document 87 of the 83rd Congress entitled "Review of the United Nations Charter", this committee, expressed the hope that all doubt could be eliminated about the "availability of our Armed Forces" to the United Nations and said they "believed it wise that the Congress . . . should confirm its view that the President has the power and obligation in compliance with our undertaking under the Charter, to make the Forces provided in the agreements available to the Security Council . . ."

This is equivalent to a demand that Congress amend the Constitution of the United States, which it has not the slightest power to do, and that they surrender authority over the Armed Forces themselves and give it to the President who, in turn, may transfer it to the Security Council of the United Nations.

We do not know whether Congress took this un-Constitutional action or not as we saw nothing about it in the public press and our head became so dizzy from trying to digest all the fancy talk and flossy reports about the transaction, that we gave up trying to find out what actually happened.

All we know is that John Foster Dulles popped up in the press recently and announced that, under the "New Look" the "Congress has already empowered President Eisenhower to hurl American Forces into battle if any one of at least thirty-three nations is attacked" and at a press interview the following day, the President confirmed this astounding announcement.

Aside from the realization that control over American troops is transferred from Congress to the United Nations, we gather also that we now have not two, but three, ways of amending the Constitution of the United States.

A Requiem of the Republic by Mr. Remlow Harris of the South Coast News was inserted in the Congressional Record of February 3 from which we quote in part:

"Here lies our nation . . . Murdered by enemies from within who pretended to be patriots . . . Here lies America . . . her shroud, the

Puerta Rican Affair Is a Warning of Worse to Come

The cowardly attack on members of Congress by Puerta Rican Communists should have occasioned us no great surprise.

The wonder is, come to think of it, that more incidents of this nature have not occurred in view of encouragement to such action implied in the performance of top level politicians in Washington who give aid and comfort to Communists practically every time they open their mouth.

What, for instance, are ignorant foreigners bent on harming this country, to think when an American senator who makes super-human efforts to rid government of Communist rats meets opposition at every turn?

Why would they not be emboldened to action when highly placed government officials assail this senator like buzzards attacking the carcass of a dead horse?

This dastardly assault on innocent men by a few crazy and slap-happy inlanders is but a brief preview of what is planned by world Communists who are scheming the grand take-over of this country after the softening-up process is completed by their puppets here.

If this vicious attack by demented aliens would serve as a lesson to Communist sympathizers in high position in Washington, it might not have been in vain but no lesson at all will be learned from it.

The howl against "methods" of investigators will still be emitted from the throats of infiltrated Americans, internationalists and other various and sundry subversives. "Liberal" members of Congress will continue to search out "rules" restraining chairmen of investigating committees from effectively dealing with enemies of this country and interviews will still be given out from high government officials in which they prate of "disregard of the standards of fair play" as if there were any such thing as fair play where Bolshevik bandits are concerned.

When she read the list of women on whom her oldest son is alleged to have bestowed his favors, Eleanor Roosevelt is reported to have become highly incensed. It is claimed that she charged him with having practiced racial prejudice and discrimination to a degree which had brought disgrace on her and which reflected on her standing as the arbiter of social customs in the United States.

Senator J. W. Fulbright of Arkansas has charged that the FBI has given Senator McCarthy access to files on subversives who are trying to overthrow the American government. It appears that, in the eyes of the Arkansas solon, this is a great crime although we do not remember that he made any protest when traitors in the government gave our secret files to Russia.

Constitution . . . the garment that for more than 160 years was her armor and sword . . . Here rests America . . . Her tombstone that hideous house of the United Nations . . . How and why and by whose hands was our nation killed? . . . It is a simple and shameful story of citizens who could read but would not and of statesmen who might have acted but did not . . ."

Senators Perform Like Trained Seals In Confirming Warren

It is understandable that the Senate employed a voice vote in its confirmation of Earl Warren of California as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

In a case like this, no member can be pinned down as to how he voted and none will have to admit that he approved a man for this high position who was totally and completely unqualified. When questioned, they can always say they were out of the Senate at the time.

This method of voting, where no official record is made, is often agreed upon when a specially distasteful matter is before that body and where many members must hold their nose while performing as trained seals instead of as elected and responsible representatives of the American people.

There was of course opposition to Warren's confirmation, just as there was amazement and regret at his appointment, but the invisible forces who ordered his selection managed to frame witnesses from California before they ever left that State and with this smear against them to start, it was easy to disregard whatever evidence they might have presented.

Texas Judge Makes Sound Decision on Right to Work

District Judge C. E. Nelson of the Amarillo, Texas, district has ruled that union membership is not compulsory on the part of the workers in order to hold a job.

The suit, which at this time affects only employes of the Santa Fe Railroad, was instituted by fifteen employes of that road last May who did not want to become members of a union. These workers held that their Constitutional rights to earn a living would be violated by a requirement that they must take out a union card to hold a job.

Judge Nelson held that a compulsory union shop would violate five amendments to the Federal Constitution and also "an essential and inherent right of man regardless of any Constitutional provision.

Of course the Supreme Court is yet to be hurdled and anything can happen there, but the sound ruling of the Texas judge is regarded as an awakening in some areas to the fundamental fact that Congress has no right to enact legislation making union membership a test of the right to work.

The new term "Oxnamism" is one applied to a clergyman who takes out membership in anti-American organizations and preaches Marxism from the pulpit and then clears himself of subversion by proving that he was never a Communist Party member.

Organized Elements in Red Fringe Go Into Action to Gang McCarthy

The strong upsurge in McCarthy popularity among rank and file Americans is evident as Fair Dealers in both the Democratic and Republican parties, pink preachers, subversive professors, fifth amendment Americans and other elements in the red fringe go into action and try to gang the Wisconsin senator.

The organized and coordinated fight against McCarthy because of his expose of his country's enemies holed up in government, in the Army and in other strategic positions of power is gathering momentum as his efforts become more fruitful of results.

Originally, it was mostly outspoken and known Communist sympathizers who stuck their necks out to denounce his "methods" while the hard core of opposition to official investigations of Soviet underground operations in this country, remained behind the scenes and directed the procedure. Now, one by one, they are coming out of their hole and crowding into the act.

Among the most dependable aides of Communist world planners to be found in this country are the non-Communist-Party members who support Marxian policies and who are of inestimable help to their invisible masters because of their lack of official connection with the Communist Party.

Chief among these are numerous columnists, staff writers and other journalistic functionaries who have filled their columns with slanted material for so long and have followed prepared propaganda press releases so meticulously that they would have to take an anesthetic before they could give birth to an original idea.

Latest to blast out at McCarthy with typical party line precision was a left-wing writer, commentator and director of a controlled broadcasting system who barricaded himself behind a microphone, levelled his pop-gun, fired and fell flat on his face.

Fortunately, in all such cases, every knock is a boost for this unafraid defender of his country's security and his stature is gradually elongated as the shadows of the political and press pimps who pander to the nation's enemies, increasingly diminish in public confidence and esteem.

Partly because of high taxes and partly because of widespread subversive teachings in educational institutions, heads of universities and colleges are reported to be having a hard time getting contributions and bequests these days. We recommend that all contributions to colleges and universities be withheld until complete proof is furnished by school authorities that such institution is totally free of Communistic influence.

From a subscriber in Birmingham, Alabama: "You are an artist with words. You play on the English language as a musician does on his instrument and the result is sweet music to this American."

Oxnam Writes His Record In His Sworn Testimony

The investigation of Bishop Bromley Oxnam of the Methodist Church by the Un-American Activities Committee has established certain facts by the Bishop's own sworn testimony. First, it established the fact that while Bishop Oxnam is not a card carrying Communist, he has had very friendly and helpful association with the Communist crowd and other anti-American organizations, from the days of the I.W.W. to the present time.

It also established the fact that Oxnam didn't hesitate to send out pro-Communist propaganda and that at one time or another he belonged to, or sponsored, at least nine organizations now listed as either subversive, or actively pro-Communist. All this is now in the record on the sworn testimony of the Bishop himself.

Every one remembers the howl that went up from the leftwing press and commentators against J. B. Matthews when he charged before the Un-American Activities Committee that "Communists had infiltrated the Protestant Clergy." Some Southern newspaper columnists like Ralph McGill of the Atlanta Constitution wept briny tears for the "poor Clergy." Others wanted to "take Matthews for a ride." Well, brother, Oxnam's own sworn testimony should change their tune, but will it? Methodist leaders in England boast that "State Socialism in England is not Marxist, but Methodist! Do they hope to accomplish the same thing here in America? With the help of a few Baptists, Presbyterians and Episcopalians, plus a few "educational" institutions like Harvard and Yale, they're making headway all right. Those of us who expose such religious "fakers" are called "Klu-Kluxers", "Pope Kissers", "McCarthyites", "Bigots" and "Fundamentalists".

—Rev. A. C. Shuler,
Jacksonville, Florida,
Minister.

While young American boys are taking it on the chin at the battle front in wars which politicians in the United Nations may cook up, Pentagon brass hats will be safe in a new underground retreat just completed. It is sixty-five miles from Washington and was built at a cost of thirty-five million dollars to the American taxpayers.

From a Washington newspaper man: "You have a nice system in your articles. Your keen mind seizes on the vital, the crucial. You point out a weakness and play it up, like contrasting the phonies with real Americans. Your satire on 'Master Minds of the Universe' is exquisite and deadly. . . . You, of all the well-posted, educated newsmen I know, pierced the phony logic of Ike's 'water on both shoulders' psychology in his 'conservative with property, liberal with human beings' statement. I pin the winning Blue Ribbon on YOU and the Conservative for that."

We Are Learning Nothing Fast

Some of the results of twenty years of meddling by American officials in the affairs of every nation on earth were reflected at the meeting of diplomats from twenty countries in Caracas, Venezuela recently.

So effective has been our policy of mixing into matters that did not concern us in an effort to win friendship, that United States representatives at that meeting had to be guarded day and night by police reserves with guns ready to draw.

There was a time when United States officials remained free of entangling alliances and as a result our representatives were respectfully received by each and every country around the world.

Now they are about as welcome as a polecat at a picnic and everywhere they go they are greeted with jeers, insults and jibes.

And yet, we continue the same policy of interference and do not seem to learn by experience that the safest, surest and soundest policy for either an individual or a nation to follow is to attend to one's own business and let every one else do the same.

Drink That Peps Up the World To Be Investigated

The Senate Banking Committee and the Federal Trade Commission will investigate the coffee situation, it is announced, in an effort to find out why the prices of this product are skyrocketing.

We wish them luck in this undertaking and hope their efforts will result in holding down the cost of this universally popular beverage which sends millions scurrying out of their offices at ten, two and four.

Personally, we can't get too worked up over it as this is one time the rising cost of a product does not affect us since we have never tasted coffee.

There is no reason whatever for this abstinence; we just never got started on it. But we do have vast respect for this drink and its social potentialities.

We know plenty of people, including members of our own family, who will not speak to anybody early in the morning until they have quaffed a cup of hot java, after which they instantly become amiable human beings at peace with all the world.

We are convinced we are missing something, but it is too late now to do anything about it.

During 1953 the Methodist Church lost 28,904 of its members according to Rev. Albert Hoover, director of the denomination's statistical office in Chicago. Some officials of the church expressed their belief that the loss was due to apostasy from biblical doctrines and to "Oxnamism" in the denomination's political activities.

Claude Williams, former Presbyterian preacher of Helena, Arkansas, was recently ordered unfrocked by his church for "heresy". That's a new name for Communism, probably. At this rate, it is going to take a long time to dispose of 7,000 ministers allegedly occupying pulpits in the USA, who preach and practice Communism.

"The Cheshire Cat Has Gone But the Smile Remains"

Sometime ago, Secretary of State John Foster Dulles, speaking for the president of the United States, gave the Senate assurance that Mr. Eisenhower would not submit the Covenant on Human Rights or the Genocide Convention of United Nations to them for approval. The president's promise, made through his Secretary of State, was widely publicized at the time in the press, over radio and television.

On March 2, a press dispatch, with a New York dateline appeared in the Chicago Tribune which said:

The United Nations Human Rights Commission today voted 12 to 2, with 4 abstentions, to postpone its consideration of a treaty provision regarding the right to own property.

Morris Perlsweig, representative of the World Jewish Congress, appealed to the Commission to expedite the work.

Perlsweig noted with gratitude that the United States was participating in the work of the Commission, although State Secretary Dulles had announced that the Eisenhower administration would not present the Covenant to the Senate for approval.

"To some, the position of the United States may seem illogical, but we prefer lack of logic to lack of interest," Perlsweig said.

Comparing the United States to the Cheshire cat of Alice in Wonderland, Perlsweig said: "The cat has gone but the grin remains. We are confident that the cat will reappear."

Is there some sort of sinister implication in the remarks of this representative of a world group who seems so sure that the Covenant will be approved in the United States in spite of the president's assurance to the contrary?

Does this character know something which has been withheld from the American people and when he says "The cat has gone but the grin remains. We are confident that the cat will reappear," just what does he mean?

The American people are entitled to know.

The Eisenhower administration does not come right out openly and attack McCarthy, but employs indirect and underhanded tactics to accomplish its results. Leaders of the administration know that, in a showdown, the majority of un-infiltrated Americans will side with the Wisconsin senator in his efforts to clean out Communist rats and they hesitate to bring the issue to a head by an outright attack on him.

Harold Stassen has just hired a former member of Dean Acheson's law firm as an assistant. The name of the new employe of Stassen's give-away program is James P. Grant who worked with General George P. Marshall in China. He should make good in the new job since he apparently had experience in "giving away" something while working with Marshall. Unluckily, it was China that was given away to the Communists in that case. But at any rate, Stassen is living up to the rule of "Fair Dealers first" in government jobs.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Incorruptible Guardian Of American Security

Once again J. Edgar Hoover emerges as one of the great and good men of the age and one of the most alert and patriotic public servants ever to guard the interests of any nation.

It now develops that time and again during recent years, his FBI had given detailed evidence of the potential danger of the Communist Puerta Rican conspirators and that he had furnished this data to the Department of Justice.

In keeping with Department of Justice traditions since early in 1933, that division did nothing about it until five men were shot down on the floor of Congress when it went into action.

It is understandable why Justice officials failed to take action against Communist conspirators while two men who were admittedly friendly to Stalin were in the White House.

There is no satisfactory explanation as to why this warning from Hoover continued to be ignored by the present attorney general unless it was because he was busy preparing Supreme Court briefs in behalf of other dark-skinned people who are the unwitting pawns in Moscow's scheme for mongrelization of the white race in the United States.

Meantime, all honor to J. Edgar Hoover, the incorruptible guardian of his country's security and an American patriot without peer.

There is currently a lot in the press about the firing of MacArthur and hints that the whole story of who ordered him fired will be brought to light, but we doubt this seriously. It will be suppressed when the show-down comes because the information about who ordered him fired would also disclose the facts about who is still helping to run the show. There has been no change in invisible leadership for the past twenty-one years.

WE CAN'T WAIT TO SEE IF WE GET TO KEEP OUR TYPEWRITER

If anything could be funny that is so closely allied to tragedy, a resolution being considered by the Human Rights Commission of the United Nations would be a scream.

This resolution which they have been pondering for years deals with the question of whether or not any individual—under World Government which is just around the corner—should have a right to own private property.

This question has been deliberated repeatedly—once in recent weeks—but there is so much difference of opinion on the subject that each session called to consider it breaks up in tumult and confusion.

Some of those identified with the Human Rights movement have lots of money and property and naturally want to keep it but their Kremlin buddies in the United Nations will never agree to any provision that permits Russian slaves to own anything.

Since the right to own property, under World Government, cannot be extended to Americans—who were born with it—unless the

same right is also given to Russian serfs—who never heard of it—some of the wealthy "Human Righters" are finding themselves behind the eight-ball.

Of course the principal promoter of this Human Rights monstrosity was Eleanor Roosevelt who saw herself as the architect of a brave new world and who felt that she was paged by destiny to do a reconstruction job on the universe. Although she was tossed off the Commission, she is still directing operations from the sidelines.

But this "property rights" angle which could conceivably strip her and some of her crackpot cronies of their vast holdings is reported to have inspired a paralyzing fear of this Frankenstein which is causing her and her fellow dim-wits some uneasy moments.

In their determined efforts to mix all nations, creeds, races, colors and religions into one big bundle and wash them all in the same suds, they leaned over just a trifle too far and, as a result, got a very vital part of their anatomy caught in the wringer.

We Bow to the Dictates of An Oracle of Human Wisdom

For years now we have been practically a worshiper at the shrine of Joe McCarthy and regarded him as the ultimate in devoted, consecrated and courageous Americanism but it looks like we are going to be compelled to withdraw our support of the Wisconsin senator.

We have just learned from an impeccable source that he is "dangerous"—in fact, the most dangerous person in the United States.

Our authority for this estimation of Senator McCarthy is that super-patriot, that champion of Constitutional government, that defender of American institutions, customs and traditions, Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt.

So when she gave out an interview recently to the effect that Senator McCarthy was dangerous, we just started trembling like a glass of raspberry jelly and shuddered to think what conspiracy this former Marine was hatching up.

The discriminating judgment of this great lady in evaluating human character has been demonstrated on so many occasions that we just can't afford to disregard her warning.

We are thinking, especially, of her valiant defense of such outstanding American patriots as Alger Hiss, Paul Robeson and Owen Lattimore when they were under fire.

Also, we cannot forget her staunch championship of Gerhart Eisler, Judy Coplon and Harry Bridges when they were the tragic victims of American reactionaries. We recall, too, her untiring efforts to keep Communists out of the White House when she occasionally slept there and we remember with pride how carefully she has always been in the selection of her associates and how she has scrupulously avoided contact with any one even remotely suspected of subversive activities, as well as her stern re-

Manion Cut Down in the Interest of Uniformity

Honorable Clarence Manion of Indiana, a top-flight American patriot and an outstanding authority on Constitutional law, was fired recently as chairman of a commission set up by the President to define the rights of the states in the American economy, at a time when the Chief Executive still had some idea of recognizing and respecting such rights.

The setting up of the Commission would have been unnecessary in the first place if administration leaders had possessed even elementary knowledge of the framework of the American governing system.

The only sensible angle in connection with the whole proceeding was the action of the presidential advisers in choosing Manion to head the Commission, instead of running true to form and selecting a former Truman servitor to do the job.

In this capacity, Manion would have given the President a foolproof sketch of the American form of government, outlining the rights of the sovereign states as opposed to the limited authority granted the Federal government by the Constitution.

As soon as the White House discovered its mistake in naming a man who towered head and shoulders above its usual appointees, Manion was fired. To add insult to injury, he was given his discharge not by the President, but by an ex-lumberjack from New Hampshire who apparently knows nothing and cares less about the organic structure of the American Republic.

The incident is reminiscent of a story we have told before but which, because of its application in this instance, will bear repetition.

Periander, a Corinthian despot once asked a neighboring King how he kept his subjects in a continual condition of abject servitude.

For reply, the King took him to the wheat field, drew his sword and cut off every head of grain that rose above the rest.

K. C. Mu, former governor of Formosa now in Chicago, has made an attack on Generalissimo Chiang Kai-Shek charging that he is undertaking to set up a dictatorship, which has all the earmarks of a budding smear campaign. We do not know, of course, what is in this Chinaman's mind but we do have a fair knowledge of propaganda tactics and it is our guess that this is the beginning of a planned and co-ordinated attack against the Nationalist leader in this country in order to pave the way for the admission of Communist China to the United Nations. It would be well to watch and see how widespread this denunciation of Chiang Kai-Shek becomes. The invisible rulers who call the shots are a slick bunch.

We are concerned about the rumor that the Soviets who were given steel plates from our Bureau of Printing and Engraving, so they could print any amount of American money they desired, are using this money to buy up large properties and industries in this country. So far as is known, these plates have not been returned and are still in the hands of the Russians. Ye gods,

HOW BIG ARE THE "BIG FOUR"?

So far as any tangible benefit to this country is concerned, the Big Four Berlin Conference was an utter flop, and the only result to accrue from ten days of wind-jamming there was a decision to hold another conference.

At the next bull session in Geneva, our diplomats will probably get their ears pinned back as usual and, in spite of rigged press reports which will again sift back to this country, Molotov and his Chinese buddies will dominate the situation.

The Geneva shindig is the prelude to the admission of Red China to the United Nations and any American who can't see this is both blind and dumb.

Summing up all international conferences in which this country has participated in recent years, the conclusion is obvious that if we had gathered up our top diplomats, locked them in a room and thrown the key away, our position in world affairs would have been far stronger.

If treaties are made infringing our liberties, it will be too late to say that our Constitutional rights are violated.—Patrick Henry.

fusal to join any Communist fronts, or subversive youth groups.

In view of her impeccable record in behalf of Americanism all down the line, we felt it was our duty, when she denounced Senator McCarthy, to just haul off and toss him overboard.

So goodbye, Senator McCarthy. We are sorry to desert you but we just can't fly in the face of such expert opinion and unerring judgment.

Twenty Senators Vote Against Any Form Of Protection of American Sovereignty

The American people should long remember that out of the ninety-six members of the United States Senate, twenty of them have put themselves on record as unalterably opposed to any form of amendment which would protect our national sovereignty and prevent treaty law from over-riding the American Constitution.

That is an amazing attitude for sworn servants of the people pledged to protect and uphold the Constitution but the record is there for all to read, as set down when the Bricker amendment and its various substitutes were up for consideration.

Those who voted, on all counts, against any form of protection whatever to this country in the matter of preserving our national sovereignty were: John Sherman Cooper of Kentucky; J. W. Fulbright of Arkansas; Theodore Francis Green of Rhode Island; Carl Hayden of Arizona; Thomas C. Hennings, Jr., of Missouri; Lister Hill of Alabama; Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota; Henry M. Jackson of Washington; Estes Kefauver of Tennessee; John F. Kennedy of Massachusetts; Harley M. Kilgore of West Virginia; Herbert Lehman of New York; Warren G. Magnuson of Washington; A. S. Mike Monroney of Oklahoma; Wayne Morse of Oregon; James E. Murray of Montana; Matthew M. Neely of West Virginia; John O. Pastore of Rhode Island; Stuart Symington of Missouri and Alexander Wiley of Wisconsin.

It will be observed that in the case of Rhode Island, Missouri, Washington and West Virginia, both senators went all out for internationalism and put themselves on record as favoring foreign treaties to replace our domestic laws.

This leaves four of these states without representation in the Senate in matters involving the preservation of the Republic, both of their senators having voted against Constitutional safeguards of American liberty and security.

Only a Hit Dog Howls

Following the announcement by Veterans of Foreign Wars that they would report any suspected Communists to FBI, screams of rage rent the air in Norwalk, Connecticut, where the announcement was made.

The Veterans should remember that only a hit dog howls and that protests will come only from those who have something to hide.

The Communist menace in this country has gone too far to be coped with by diplomatic, kid-glove tactics.

At this late date, only sledgehammer methods will get the job done.

The Veterans are to be commended highly for their alert interest in riding the country of its enemies.

All California prisons are now equipped with television but the Warden of Folsom Penitentiary, reports that the prisoners are still bored and don't seem interested in the programs at all. Perhaps the United Nations should set up a Commission for Providing Cultural Entertainment for under-privileged Convicts.

Magic Reinsurance

(From the Insurance Record, Dallas, Texas.)

Ever since the President's message about public health and health insurance, we have been thinking about the grandiloquent way in which the term "reinsurance" was bandied about in the same, and how it served as a soporific to most conservative of the news analysts, even including that stalwart, Fulton Lewis, Jr.

Yes sir, the answer to our public health insurance underwriting has been found. We'll reinsure the business in a government corporation. That will do the trick. The magic influence of reinsurance will dissipate the helter-skelter underwriting of the companies, and the aged and infirm, the decrepit, the lazy, the no-accounts, the bums and the malingerers will have all of their health problems taken care of through the magic beneficence of federal reinsurance.

Now isn't life wonderful? Who's been hiding these amazing benefits of reinsurance all of these years? Now we know that Lloyds of London, which constitutes the greatest reinsurance market in the world, is composed of just a bunch of old fogies who sit in little stalls and wait to pounce on juicy tidbits of business brought to them by scheming brokers. Now we know that when they raised their rates on Texas wind-storm experience a few years ago that they forgot to wave the magic wand and were just a bunch of old meanies for asking the line to carry itself.

Now we know that the American reinsurance companies have been holding out on the insurance business and have been just a bunch of old slave drivers for insisting that their customer companies use due regard for rate and hazard before they receive the benefit of treaty or border-eaux. Somebody must have snatched in the ears of sweet little Oveta, no less, because it is her department that passed on the secret to the President.

Come on, you reinsurance boys, get hep. Step to the federal tune. Let munificence rule the day. Rush down to Washington and learn the secret and on your way down, stop off in Philadelphia, the home of Poor Richard, and have your collective heads examined.

The United Nations Flag Code and Regulations says in Section 2: "The Flag of the United Nations shall not be subjected to any indignity." We do not know what they call indignity but if we should ever get our hands on one, we would heave it as far as possible and if it fell in the middle of a mud puddle, that would be just dandy with us.

From a subscriber in Memphis, Tennessee: "Your article 'Experts in United Nations Invade the Maternity Ward' is priceless. I don't know when I have had such a hearty laugh and every word of it is so very true. Let me congratulate you on your splendid paper and the wonderful work you are doing."

Under our so-called Social Security program, the government must first take the money away from the people and then give them back only part of it. Under such cockeyed reasoning, we wonder who is making who secure?

McCarthy Will Do His Own Talking

The president has delivered another left-handed insult to Senator Joe McCarthy which was, as usual, by implication and not an outright attack.

On March sixth, Adlai Stevenson in a typical bid for attention of American voters who gave him a drubbing in November of 1952, made a blistering attack on the Republican Party but centered his remarks on Joe McCarthy in recognition of the fact that McCarthy is the strong man of the Eisenhower administration.

The Wisconsin senator immediately announced that he would demand equal time on radio and television in which to answer the Illinois wise-cracking left-winger.

Soon after, the president let it be known that Vice President Richard Nixon had been selected by him to make the answer.

In his characteristic and forthright manner, Senator McCarthy said of this selection, even as he referred to Nixon as an excellent choice to speak for the party:

"I am delegating no one the authority to defend me. Mr. Nixon is speaking for the party. I'm speaking for myself."

Not every good American likes Senator McCarthy, incredible as it seems, but there are few who do not applaud courage or admire a man who refuses to wilt at the first sign of opposition, or make concession for political expediency.

How Wisconsin Citizens Feel About McCarthy

Although he is under constant attack by Communist sympathizers and the recipient of insults from weaklings in the national administration, we believe that the sentiment of the people of Wisconsin who elect McCarthy is forcefully expressed by a Chippewa Falls voter, S. J. Pawelko who said in the Chicago Tribune:

"For the benefit of those who have grown soft, Sen. McCarthy represents those of us in Wisconsin who have not grown soft, particularly in the head. He lawfully represents the majority of the people of Wisconsin.

"If he is fed up with soft headed administrations it is because we are. If he is fed up with soft headed generals, it is because we are. We are mindful of the fact that it was a soft headed general who retreated before the Communists in Germany, upon receiving orders from a soft headed administration, and never made known to the American people the tragedy of that retreat. We are also mindful of the fact that it was a soft headed general who gave China to the Communists, thereby creating an enemy that our sons had to fight in Korea.

"Those of us who had to send our sons to rectify mistakes of bone-heads are fed up with them."

Dulles Switches Position On Danger From Treaties

In April, 1952, John Foster Dulles made a strong and eloquent plea for the passage of the Bricker amendment which was to come later, when he said:

"Treaties make international law and also they make domestic law. Under our Constitution, treaties become the supreme law of the land. They are indeed more supreme than ordinary laws, for congressional laws are invalid if they do not conform to the Constitution, whereas treaty laws can override the Constitution. Treaties, for example, can take powers from the Congress and give them to the President; they can take powers from the state and give them to the Federal Government or to some international body, and they can cut across the rights given the people by the constitutional Bill of Rights."

Secretary Dulles has since changed his mind but the danger he pointed out in 1952 is still with us and when he sought to prevent the passage of the Bricker Amendment in the present Congress, its members should have said to him:

"We heard you the first time."

Occupants of The Squirrel Cage Go Round and Round

Fair Dealers in Congress, under the leadership of the perennial demagogue, Sam Rayburn of Texas, are yelling their heads off for tax reduction.

For twenty years these renegade Democrats had the chance to reduce taxes and all they did was to increase the levies to the point where it constituted legal extortion and confiscation of property.

Any way, we now have the cozy little situation wherein Republicans who promised us tax reduction are opposing it, and Fair Dealers who were defeated partly because of exorbitant tax policies, are criticising Republicans for keeping our taxes high.

Ain't politics grand?

From a Tennessee cotton man: "I have perfectly good grounds for a lawsuit against you for mental plagiarism or theft of my ideas. In your February edition you say things I've been thinking for a long time but hadn't had either the guts or the intelligence to express. After reading 'Experts in United Nations Invade the Maternity Ward' I decided I just must have your paper regularly. I am particularly interested in that subject but I found, on reading the whole paper, that every one of your editorials are as true as gospel."

Senator Lyndon B. Johnson of Texas found himself a nice, soft straddling position on the matter of the Bricker amendment. He voted against the original amendment offered by the Ohio senator and which is the one the majority of the American people wanted. He voted for the George amendment, an emasculated and innocuous version of the original. In the campaign for re-election this summer, he will be able to talk to his constituents out of both sides of his mouth.

The "New Look" Means Government By Foreign Treaties Instead of Government By The American Constitution

By Former Senator Robert A. Stuart
Fort Worth, Texas

Men who live above the fog in private thinking and public duty stand today upon the summits and view with conscious concern the advent of the New Look, restless with uncertainties yet holding in its hand the bludgeon of the iconoclast. They behold its objective as the destruction of our sacred belief in constitutional government.

Secretary Dulles outlines the New Look in that it derives its power from the Atlantic Charter, the Yalta Agreement, the Charter of the UN and from treaties and executive agreements heretofore made and hereafter to be made; a new government by treaties rather than by the constitution.

The Democrats were ousted in November, 1952, largely because of Dean Acheson. Acheson was a statesman compared to Dulles. He, at least, was consistent. He did not tell us one thing today and reverse himself the following day as did Secretary Dulles in his two statements as to treaty laws, one of which was the antithesis of the other. In his recent statement regarding the power of the President under the constitution to declare war he shows a total disregard for the truth when it serves his end to depart therefrom.

Roosevelt, in one of his fireside chats, as President, sent up a trial balloon by stating that the Bill of Rights could be improved upon. His statement shocked the American people and Roosevelt never mentioned it again but he continued to use his offices to the end that our Bill of Rights might be destroyed. Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt, while she was head of the Human Rights Commission, sent up another trial balloon when she suggested that our constitution was an Eighteenth Century document and is now outmoded. She was more successful than the President in that her theory has been incorporated in the proposed Covenant on Human Rights.

But not until the birth of the New Look did anyone dare to boldly advocate the scrapping of our constitution and accepting in its stead a world government charter based upon international treaties. We endured 13 years of the New Deal and 7 years of the Fair Deal, both of which were condemned as utter failures by the electorate of America when they elected Ike. The American people hoped and believed, in so doing, we were getting a change. But instead we now have the New Look which goes the New Deal and the Fair Deal one better. It proposes to wrap and deliver the package the New Deal manufactured and the Fair Deal put on the counter.

President Eisenhower says he does not propose to let communism dribble us to death. Yet he has already made 39 executive agreements with foreign nations as points from which the USSR can dribble us into bankruptcy. The USSR has adopted the Cassius theory in Julius Caesar:

"Tis better that the enemy seek us. So shall he waste his means, weary his soldiers, doing himself offense, whilst we, lying still, are full of rest, defense and nimbleness."

More than 10,000 executive agreements have been made by President Truman and President Eisenhower since the ratification of the charter of the UN. These executive agreements commit the United States to furnish supplies, equipment and our sons and daughters to defend these foreign nations against attack. So numerous have been these agreements that only the underlings of the

State Department could possibly have read them. This is the New Look.

Edward Bulwar-Lytton said: "The pen is mightier than the sword." By this he meant that reason is more powerful than force. Under the New Look, Bulwar-Lytton's thought has taken on a new meaning. The pen that signs executive agreements has become a pen of power to replace the pen of reason. The pen of Truman that signed the executive agreement declaring war in Korea, in violation of our constitution, condemned to death or destruction more than 134,000 of our American boys. That pen was more destructive than a million swords in the hands of our enemy.

What does the New Look entail? It entails divorcing our armed forces from the control of Congress, as authorized by the constitution, and wedding them to the Military Staff Committee of the United Nations. It entails the subrogation of our Supreme Court to the International Court of Justice of the UN, from whose decisions there is no appeal. It entails the placing of our social, economic and political affairs under the control of some agency of the UN, as provided by its charter, such as the Human Rights Commission, UNESCO, FAO, WHO, ILO and numerous other agencies of the UN. It entails the destruction of the constitution of the United States affecting our foreign relations and the destruction of our Bill of Rights that protects every American citizen.

I am glad that the New Look has emerged from its cocoon so that the conservatives of both major parties can train their guns on the common enemy. It is hard to shoot a rattlesnake in the dark.

Under the blessings of our constitution the United States stands today as the most prosperous nation in the world. As Brutus said, in Julius Caesar:

"We, at the height, are ready to decline. There is a tide in the affairs of men which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune—omitted, all the voyage of their lives is bound in shallows and miseries. On such a full sea are we now afloat and we must take the current when it serves or lose our venture."

Our constitution is the only supreme law of any nation of the world that is based upon belief in God. It has served us well. We are now at the height but, under the New Look, we are booked for decline. There is only one question for the American people to answer. Shall we trade the blessings of our sovereignty under God for a world government of men?

We have only to re-examine our constitution, which was inspired by the Declaration of Independence, and compare it with the charter of the UN to convince ourselves as to which of the two is more desirable. The time has arrived when every American should examine his hole card. He will find that his only hole card is the constitution of the United States. I wish that we could all place side by side the provisions of our constitution and the provisions of the new world charter and examine them all, one by one. In so doing we would want to grind beneath our heels the ugly head of the New Look so that it might never rise again.

The following excerpts are submitted as a comparison between our supreme law and the UN:

From our Declaration of Independence:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; among these are

life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness."

In conclusion it states:

"With a firm reliance on Divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our lives, our fortunes and our sacred honor."

Neither the charter of the UN nor any of its subdivisions make any reference to Deity. God is excluded therefrom.

A combination of nations, some of whom do not believe in Deity, is doomed to failure before it begins. The Preamble of our Constitution is divided into six major parts:

1. "To form a more perfect union."
2. "To establish justice."
3. "To insure domestic tranquility."
4. "To provide for the common defense."
5. "To promote the general welfare."
6. "To secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity."

The Charter of the UN and its subsidiaries provide:

1. "To establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations of treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained."
2. "To promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedoms."
3. "To insure that armed forces shall not be used save in the common interest."
4. "To employ international machinery for the economic and social advancement of all people."

As to the two most sacred parts of our Constitution, other than our Bill of Rights, the most important are to establish justice and to provide for the common defense. Let's compare the Constitution and the Charter as to these important functions:

Section 2 of Article III of our Constitution provides:

"The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law and equity arising under this Constitution and laws of the United States,"

"To treaties made and which shall be made under their authority,"

"To controversies to which the United States shall be a party."

It provides that:

"Trial of all criminal cases (except cases of impeachment) shall be by jury" and Amendment VII provides that:

"In suits at common law, where the value in controversy exceeds \$20.00, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved."

Article VI provides:

"This Constitution and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land."

These guarantees that our Courts shall interpret the law are nullified by the following provisions of the Charter of the UN:

Chapter XIV, Article 92:

"The International Court of Justice shall be the principal judicial organ of the United Nations. It shall function in accordance with the annexed Statute."

The Statute provides for a group of 15 Judges, no two of whom shall be from the same member nation. The Statute provides specifically, in Article 36:

"The jurisdiction of the Court comprises all cases which the parties refer to it and all matters specially provided for in the charter of the United Nations or in treaties and conventions in force," and "ipso facto and without special agreement, in relation to any other state accept-

ing the same obligation, the jurisdiction of the Court in all legal disputes concerning:

- a. the interpretation of a treaty;
- b. any question of international law;

c. the existence of any fact which, if established, would constitute a breach of an international obligation;" and it further provides, Section 6:

"In the event of a dispute as to whether the Court has jurisdiction, that matter shall be settled by the decision of the Court."

Article 46 provides that the Court may decide that its hearings may be in secret, thus reinstating the Star Chamber proceedings abolished in England more than 400 years ago.

Article 60 provides:

"The judgment is final and without appeal."

The right of trial by jury is denied in the Courts of the UN. The guaranteed protections under the Bill of Rights appear nowhere as to trials in the International Court of Justice or trials in the International Criminal Court.

The rights and duties under the Constitution to declare war and to control and support our armed forces is more carefully limited than any other portion of our Constitution.

Under our Constitution the right to declare war and to govern our armed forces is a power vested exclusively in the people through their representatives, the Congress of the United States.

Article I, Section 8 provides:

"The Congress shall have power to declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal and make rules concerning captures on land and water;

"To raise and support armies;

"To provide and maintain a Navy;

"To make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces;

"To provide for calling forth the militia to execute the laws of the Union, suppress insurrections and repel invasions."

All the functions as to our armed forces, provided for under our Constitution and vested in Congress, have been completely taken over by the following provisions of the UN Charter:

The Preamble of the charter provides:

"Armed forces shall not be used save in the common interest" and Chapter I, Article 2, Section 4 of the charter provides:

"All members shall refrain in their international relationship from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations."

Section 5 provides:

"All members shall give the United Nations every assistance in any action it takes in accordance with the present charter, and shall refrain from giving assistance to any state against which the United Nations is taking preventive or enforcement action."

Chapter VII, Article 43, Section 1 provides:

"All members of the United Nations, in order to contribute to the maintenance of international peace and security, undertake to make available to the Security Council, on its call and in accordance with a special agreement or agreements, armed forces, assistance, and facilities, including rights of passage, nec-

(Continued on Page Seven)

Presidents Come and Go Principles Are Eternal

A non-subscriber in Minneapolis writes: "You didn't like the two preceding presidents and you don't like the present one. Who do you like?"

It isn't of the slightest importance and no one cares in the least whether we do or do not like a president, but the policies pursued by the man happening to occupy that office is of the very deepest import to every American.

Presidents come and presidents go but principles are eternal.

The Southern Conservative has always, and will continue, to protest against a Socialist government in Washington regardless of whether it is administered by Fair Dealers or Republicans.

We couldn't care less who is president or which party is in power so long as the fundamental faith of the Republic is upheld.

We said in the first edition of the Southern Conservative in 1950 that both major parties were shot through with Socialism and we have had no occasion to retract this statement.

Fundamentally, our position is the same as always and that is that the people can restore Constitutional government in this country through their duly elected representatives in Congress when they really realize where we are drifting and put the pressure on hard enough.

It is Congress which must approve our national policies, formulate tax legislation which can either preserve or bankrupt the nation and either vote or withhold appropriations which may be for or against the public interest.

Under our form of government the final decision is theirs and by the same token the responsibility for the tragic State of the Union rests with them.

It is up to them to restore Constitutional government in the United States and they will do it when a mass movement of the voters forces them to act.

A Chief Executive in sympathy

Lowering the Voting Age Is The Most Stupid Proposal to Date

Rights Are Inherent In Our Form Of Government

Secretary of the Navy Anderson said in an address at Waco, Texas, recently that the American citizen is losing more and more of his rights.

He urged that these rights should be reclaimed "just as soon as the conditions that caused their transfer have been relieved."

We agree wholeheartedly with Mr. Anderson that the rights of the American citizens have been lost but we do not concede that there was ever any occasion or excuse for them to lose their rights in the first place and we think they should get them back without waiting on anything.

After all, the rights enjoyed by American citizens are inherent in our form of government and they never would have been surrendered except under heavy pressure by two Socialist-minded presidents, who used this pretext to build up power for the Chief Executive which was never granted him under the Constitution.

Vice President Richard Nixon did a very creditable job of answering Adlai Stevenson's attack on the administration but those who did not hear it and depended on slanted reports from the press services for their information, would get the impression that it was entirely devoted to a denunciation of Senator McCarthy. All of which bears out Fulton Lewis' charge that Fair Deal individuals and agencies are doing their best to widen the breach in the Republican Party.

with basic American principles is desirable, but not necessary, in reclaiming the country from National Socialism. Congress is the medium through which un-American policies are put into effect, and without its approval and support, they must remain inoperative.

With our pressing need of all possible stable influences to get the country back on a basis of sanity, the proposal to let eighteen-year-olds vote is the ultimate in stupidity.

Wouldn't it be something to see young boys and girls of eighteen, who are right in the big middle of the infiltration process by pink professors, turned loose with a ballot in their hand, all set to put their Socialistic theories to test?

In spite of disillusioning examples throughout the past twenty years, we believe the American people are too smart to fall for any such foolhardy experiment as this.

After a sobering contact with realities when they are forced to make a living and struggle with an income tax form most of these young people will throw off the subversive influence which surrounded them through high school and college and will be converted into good citizens. It will then be time enough for them to express their opinion at the polls.

In the meantime if we just simply have to tamper with the election machinery and revise the voting age let's raise it to twenty-five instead of lowering it to eighteen.

Those who deny that our communications system in this country are controlled by a powerful invisible force are invited to explain this: Two of the big radio networks, in shaping their 1954 policy, allotted free time to the National Council of Churches of Christ which is on record against the American Free Enterprise system and which advocates policies laid down by the Communist Party but denied similar time to Protestant groups holding directly opposite views from those of the National Council.

world government may be complete and effective, a Committee of eight internationalist Senators has been appointed, not one of whom voted for the Bricker Amendment, to represent the United States in amending the Charter of the UN so that the views of the Committee on Foreign Relations can be inculcated into the Charter that will form an effective world government.

All these are the things that the New Look entails.

The deceptive New Look brooks no opposition. Witness the gag rule applied to that great constitutional lawyer, Dean Clarence Manion, May God permit him to continue his efforts in upholding our unalienable rights.

The deceptive New Look is now trying to destroy Senator McCarthy. May God forbid!

Television and radio are great sources of information, but when used for evil rather than good, as witness their free time given in promoting world government while endeavoring to put Facts Forum off the air, it is better had they not been invented.

"Upon what meat doth this our Caesar feed that he is grown so great"? The New Look has grown fat from too much flattery from the "gimme" nations of the world. It is

Stamp Commemorates Birthday of Noted Communist Fronter

Fancy three-colored stamps commemorating the seventy-fifth birthday of Albert Einstein have been issued by the "Council Against Intolerance" in New York.

These stamps depict Einstein as a "Friend of all Mankind."

According to two official investigating committees, the things that Einstein is most tolerant of our Communist Fronts.

The House Committee on Un-American Activities lists him as belonging to not less than sixteen Communist Fronts and the Second Report of the Washington State Committee on Un-American Activities, published in 1948, gives a list of thirty Communist Fronts in which he has held membership.

The Council states that it has furnished without cost 1,250,000 "maps, manuals, films, exhibits and recordings" to American public school teachers.

Among the Council's members, according to its literature, are Carey McWilliams, with forty-one Communist Front citations and Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam, with eleven.

Mr. E. G. Risien of San Saba, Texas, an earnest student of government, is much perturbed about the several hundred thousand Arabs who were displaced and made homeless through the decision of the Negro mediator Ralphe Bunche, in the Palestine matter. "With the prestige and might of the United Nations and the United States behind Bunche, what else could the poor Arabs do but swallow the bitter pill and give up part of their country," Mr. Risien inquires.

One of the greatest set-backs to responsible journalism in the United States occurred recently when the Washington Post, often designated as the Washington edition of the Daily Worker, purchased the Washington Time-Herald.

drunk with power conferred upon it by the Charter of the UN, which makes them masters rather than servants of the people. It is high time to puncture their ego by applying the constitutional rule that they hold powers only by the consent of the governed. Shall we, like dumb animals, stand idly by until we are but slaves? Let us don the armor of the Amendment to the Sixteenth Amendment of our Constitution, which lacks only three votes of ratification. Let us adopt the 23rd Amendment so that the government will cease to compete in business with private enterprise. Above all, let us pass and gird ourselves with the Bricker Amendment so that our American way of life cannot be destroyed by the one-worlders.

Our greatest American sin is indifference.

Tennyson said: "Oft I fall . . . in such blind lethargies That Heaven, and Earth and Time are choked"

Let us shout from every housetop: Wake up America! Stir thy lethargy.

The road to World Government and the destruction of our sovereignty begins.

Arouse ye, go forth and expiate thy sins.

New Look—

(Continued from Page Six)

essary for the purpose of maintaining international peace."

Article 46 provides:

"Plans for the application of armed force shall be made by the Security Council with the assistance of the Military Staff Committee."

Article 47 provides for the establishment of the Military Staff Committee and Section 3 thereof provides: "The Military Staff Committee shall be responsible under the Security Council for the strategic direction of any armed forces placed at the disposal of the Security Council."

So completely have the powers of Congress as to our armed forces been taken over by the UN that at the present time the Chairman of the Military Staff Committee is a Russian General and during all of the Korean War, which witnessed the most atrocious crimes ever committed in the history of civilization, a Russian, Arkady A. Sobolev, was the Minister of War, Information and Communications of the UN Army and, as such, was bound to have known of the death marches and the massacres before they occurred.

The other four major functions of our Constitution have been surrendered and delegated to the various creatures and sub-divisions of the United Nations such as the Human Rights Commission, the ILO, the Genocide Convention, UNESCO, FAO, WHO and numerous other alphabetical sub-divisions. These rights have been and are to be surrendered by executive agreements and/or by more than 200 treaties that have been proposed by these agencies of the UN.

From the above provisions of the UN we find that the Charter of the UN has completely overridden the Constitution of the United States. The only thing left in the destruction of American sovereignty is to provide, by amendment to the Charter of the UN in 1955, the enforcement machinery to complete the job.

Already, the Foreign Relations Committee of the Senate has decreed:

"In order that there may be no doubt about the availability of our armed forces, the Committee believes it wise that the Congress should in this legislation confirm its view that the President has the power and the obligation, in compliance with our undertaking under the Charter (of the UN), to make the forces provided in the agreements available to the Security Council."

And, to the further end that a

Heaven Protect Us From the Experts

The intellectuals are at it again.

This time they propose to overhaul the machinery of State Legislatures streamline local government and jazz up law-making procedures generally, in the various commonwealths of the Union.

In a 294-page book put out by a 12-member study committee of the American Political Science Association, the experts indicate that they don't like "old-fashioned, time-consuming legislative procedures" now prevailing in the various States and they propose to correct the situation.

The committee is composed of political science professors and legislative experts and the fact that none of them has ever had any experience as a lawmaker is beside the point. They propose to run the job from the sidelines like a football coach.

One of their chief complaints is that current State legislatures are dominated by representatives from rural districts which, in the opinion of the experts, makes it impossible for proper consideration to be given to such vital subjects as "public housing, metropolitan bus fares, price control on foods, social insurance and community planning."

Strong emphasis is placed on the need of a commission in each state to restrain "special interests" from seeking favors of the legislature, but it is obvious that this does not apply to labor unions and minority groups and is aimed strictly at the guys who sign the payroll each week.

The side-splitter among their suggestions is a proposal that "training programs for new members" be set up where legislators will be turned out with uniform precision like automobile parts.

More and more we are becoming in favor of a law declaring experts a public nuisance and providing an open season on them during which time they may be shot on sight.

One for the Believe It or not Column

Henry Cabot Lodge, speaking for the United Nations said in an interview to the press recently: "We are not trying to make treaties to bring about internal reform. There is nothing in the United Nations charter that justifies apprehension that our liberties will be impaired."

Lodge also dismissed as inconsequential attempts in American courts to use the United Nations Charter and United Nations covenants to upset domestic law.

The natural reaction of any informed American to this astounding assertion is that either he or Lodge is nuts.

On page 247 of the Fourth Report of Un-American Activities in California, Communist Front Organizations, Kirby Page is listed as a director of the Garland Fund which later came to be known as the American Fund for Public Service. Serving with Page as a director of this Fund was William Z. Foster, head of the Communist Party of the United States and a score of others with long records as Communists or members of Communist Front organizations. Kirby Page's books are now being plugged in some American churches.

If the Republic Is to Be Saved It Looks Like Women Must Do It

At one time or another, most of us have to eat our words and that is just exactly what we are preparing to do.

Up until the past three or four years, it was our secret conviction, publicly expressed, that the granting of the ballot to women had merely doubled the vote and produced worse results than all-male suffrage had managed to achieve.

In defense of our position, this was true for the first three decades of woman suffrage and especially during the New Deal and Fair Deal when a majority of women voters swallowed Roosevelt's and Truman's Socialist bait, hook, line and sinker.

They not only did not "clean house" as suffrage leaders had promised but they further messed up the joint so thoroughly as to make it practically unfit for human habitation.

Beginning in the early thirties, the political arena was invaded by hordes of frantic, frustrated females who had about as much understanding of the fundamental principles of the Republic as a cow has of higher mathematics and who had never used their heads for anything except to prop their ears apart.

Under the joint leadership of Franklin and Eleanor Roosevelt who were determined to convert the Federal government into a vast social and paternal agency for the support of the weak and indolent at the expense of the thrifty and strong, women pitched in and did their bit toward piling up a staggering national debt, trampling on the Constitution, and contributing generally to deliberate attempts to wreck the American government.

In the past three or four years, however, a new and responsible type of womanhood has stepped forward with a firm determination to retrieve feminine leadership from their bumbling, fumbling and addle-brained sisters and to exert their organized influence in restoring orderly government in the United States.

We have reference to the National Association of Pro America and the Minute Women of America, and their local branches in various states with whose wonderful work we happen to be fa-

It was with deep regret that we were compelled to decline the invitation to the Washington Day dinner of the American Good Government Society in the nation's capital on February 22, as we have a very great admiration for that organization, its purposes and its officials.

We are very proud of the fact that in the list of all the subversives and outright Communists appearing before the various investigating committees in Washington, very few, if any, names of Irishmen appear. This is also true in the case of gangsters in the underworld. Hurrah for the sons and daughters of the Emerald Isle.

miliar from having observed them in action, although we are a member of neither.

There are scores of other organizations and individual women throughout the nation rendering similar service to their country in its present hour of peril but the membership of these two particular groups serves to point up the new order of high-class, level-headed and informed women who are making a definite impact on national politics.

And any smug politician who engages them in a political argument or takes issue with them on the fundamentals of government, either individually or collectively, had better know his subject from the ground up or he may find himself neatly tripped on his own ignorance.

For these women didn't rush pell-mell into these two organizations with some half-baked and crackpot social theories to test, but deliberately took membership in them in order to seriously study the provisions of the American Constitution and the responsibilities and limitations it imposes on the Federal government.

We have never known them to concern themselves with the petty, vote-getting social and economic schemes to which our Washington leaders devote the major portion of their time and effort, but they are fighting like demons for the protection of our national sovereignty and the preservation of the American Republic.

It is almost universally conceded that it was the combined wrath and determination of the better class of American mothers, wives and daughters which inspired the overwhelming vote for a "change" at the last national election and, although the change is not clearly discernible as yet, this unified action of thoughtful American women established them as a powerful factor to be reckoned with by wily politicians seeking leadership in future.

And so, in atonement for our assertions that women would never assume full stature in the field of politics and in tribute to these groups who have shown us our mistake, we now proceed to make a full meal of a dish of crow and to partake of a large wedge of humble pie for dessert.

It is an ill wind that blows nobody good. During the unfortunate shooting of five Congressmen in Washington recently, a Congresswoman from New York, Edna F. Kelly, changed her mind on a matter of policy. Formerly favoring the admission of Hawaii and Alaska as States of the Union, Miss Kelly said as she got up from the floor following the attack by Puerto Ricans: "This is a tough break for Hawaii and Alaska but I'm going to switch my stand. No statehood for non-Americans. From now on I'm against it." Good for her.

"History and experience prove that foreign influence is one of the most baneful foes of republican government."—George Washington.

Something Is Wrong With This Picture

Mrs. Oswald Lord, United States member of the Human Rights Commission of the United Nations, introduced a resolution in that body recently to this effect: "Every one has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property."

After several hours' tussle with the subject, it was voted overwhelmingly to postpone consideration of the matter until a later date.

This action occasioned no surprise as the group has always wrangled for days at a time over this matter but they have never been able to agree that a human being has a right to any earthly possessions.

What was surprising, however, was the fact that a United States delegate should have taken any part in a session of the Commission since President Eisenhower gave his word of honor to the Senate that he would never submit this Human Rights Covenant to them for approval.

If he meant what he said, what is the point in United States representatives attending the sessions and introducing resolutions?

City Councils Are Just That and Nothing More

Usurpation of power begins at the top levels of government and seeps down until it permeates all subdivisions of state and local governing bodies.

We are reminded of this by the increasing tendency of City Councils to take on themselves authority which does not belong to them.

In many cities, for instance, plans are being laid to fluoridate the municipal water supply because some propaganda group somewhere decided that such procedure would prevent tooth decay in children. Authorities differ very materially on this point but a few dentists have read somewhere that this was true and they have joined in the chorus demanding mass medication by city authorities.

Even if all medical scientists were agreed that putting this chemical in the water supply is beneficial, it would still be outside the province of city councils to order it done.

They are elected for one purpose and one purpose only and that is to transact the orderly business and finances of the city where they live and nowhere are they given authority to prescribe remedies for the ailments of the taxpayers. That is the exclusive prerogative of medical authorities.

We wonder if there is some special rule governing appointments as solicitor general. Under Truman, Philip Perlman held this office. Now the president has appointed a Simon Sobeloff, who, we understand, was born in Russia or is of Russian parentage. Both Perlman and Sobeloff are violent opponents of segregation, and Sobeloff is a member of the notorious Urban League.

When you, yourselves, have your necks so low that the President may dispose of your rights as he pleases, the law of Nations cannot be applied to relieve you.—Patrick Henry.