

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To plead for a return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 4

Fort Worth, Texas, April, 1953

No. 4

AMERICAN PEOPLE ACHIEVE GAINS AND SUSTAIN LOSSES IN FIRST QUARTER OF NEW NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION.

Famed Violinist Is Conked In Country Which Originated "World Brotherhood"

If Jascha Heifitz, one of the world's great violinists, ever goes back to Israel, he will probably render only such innocuous selections as "The Old Gray Mare", "Johnny Get Your Gun", or "Turkey in the Straw" in view of what happened to him during a recent performance in the famed and cultured city of Tel A Viv.

As he played Strauss' immortal "Beautiful Blue Danube" waltz, some exuberant and enthusiastic Nationalist who is apparently allergic to foreigners and foreign products, suddenly hauled off and came out swinging.

With a piece of lead pipe, he slugged the unsuspecting Heifitz with such force and effectiveness that

the performer's fiddling arm was put out of business, and he was temporarily placed on the inactive list and made eligible for disability payments by the Musician's Union.

Later, it developed that the Tel A Viv gent's animosity was directed against the German composer who wrote the offending waltz.

It is reported that Heifitz is recovering and will eventually be able to play again but we shudder to think what shape he might be in if he had been attacked in some country where they don't make a big production of "World Brotherhood", send out emissaries to proclaim it and collect millions of dollars to promote it.

President must be credited with some master strokes in statesmanship which indicate a strong gesture toward sound principles and orderly processes of government, even as he has made some appointments which point a trend in the opposite direction. Future course may depend on how effectively the citizens ride herd on their representatives in Washington.

At the national election in November, most of those who were on the winning side regarded themselves as having achieved a smashing victory over all the evils of government which have brought discouragement and despair to American taxpayers for the past twenty years.

Forgetting the time-tested verity that eternal vigilance is the price of liberty, many have since shown an alarming readiness to sink back into their former state of complacency under the fanciful illusion that the new administration, alone and unaided, would steer the Ship of State safely back to port.

Unfortunately, it isn't working out exactly that way and while there have been definite achievements, there have been proportionate accomplishments by those who were the target of the voters' wrath at the ballot box last Fall.

Throughout history, it has always been the fate of apathetic and indolent majorities to have their rights and liberties taken away by active and aggressive minorities.

It required only thirty thousand determined and dedicated professional revolutionists to overthrow Russia with its population of more than one hundred million.

And in this country now the militant and vigorous minority which steered Roosevelt's and Truman's administrations toward National Socialism, with World Government as the ultimate end, is striving with re-doubled effort to wind its deadly coils around the current regime.

We believe that there are very few Americans who do not regard President Dwight D. Eisenhower as a man of character, integrity and administrative ability and who do not look upon him as a person of good intent and possessed of a sincere desire to discharge the obligations of his high office in the best interest of all the people.

There is serious doubt building up, however, as to his capacity to effectively and successfully beat back the powerful and evil forces who were able to completely bend the will of two presidents before him, sway them to their own viewpoint and dictate the domestic and foreign policy of the United States.

Then, too, there is a sort of strange and ominous attitude of apprehension, which is more easily observed and felt, than described, on the part of a vast contingent of Americans who voted to rid the country of the peril of the New Deal and the Fair Deal but who never quite achieved the inner conviction that they were gaining anything more than a change in name.

There seems to be a spine-chilling fear on their part that their misgivings are justified and that the stepped-up attempts of subversive forces to continue their strangle-hold on the White House, may be making headway.

This suspicion was fed primarily by the selection of John Foster Dulles, a close associate of Truman, Acheson and Hiss in the tragic days of the Asia sell-out, to be Secretary of State and fuel has been added by Dulles' subsequent behavior in which he has pled with the Senate to defeat the Bricker amendment designed to protect the sovereignty of the United States and opposed a resolution by that body repudiating the secret agreements at Yalta, Teheran and Potsdam.

It was bolstered by the appointment of Charles E. Bohlen, a confederate of Roosevelt and Hiss at Yalta, as Ambassador to Russia who was the beneficiary of the secret agreements made there.

It was not allayed by the naming of Martin Durkin, a Fair Deal Democrat, to be Secretary of Labor although there was no sinister implication in this case and the appointment was generally regarded as a simple

(Continued on Page 2)

ANOTHER NAIL IN THE COFFIN OF CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT

The elevation of the Social Security Agency to cabinet status and the creation of the National Health, Education and Welfare Department is the strange fruit borne by the seed which Franklin D. Roosevelt planted in the early Thirties.

At that time, Americans were given the solemn promise that the dole was being instituted as an emergency measure which would pass with the depression but the action of Congress in setting it up as a legitimate Cabinet agency now makes it a permanent policy of government.

Not only is this a definite step toward National Socialism, the forerunner of "Cradle to the Grave" security legislation and Socialized Medicine, but it also removes incentive to the younger generation to exercise individual initiative which has made Americans great and which inspired them to shoot for the stars in personal achievement.

Young men now coming out of the colleges and universities, instead of gazing on far horizons which challenge initiative, courage and daring are seeking the safe harbor of social security and government aid.

Like beachcombers waiting for the tide to come in, they are concerned only in a job which demands minimum effort with maximum pay and the prospect of a government pension at the end of the trail.

We Can Do Without Millikin But We Desperately Need Williams

Senator John Williams of Delaware, who has served the American people so ably by acting as a one-man investigating Committee in uncovering crookedness and graft in government, has had his wings clipped by his own party.

Even the Fair Deal offered no objections as he made disclosures of corruption in the Bureau of Internal Revenue during the Truman administration but as soon as the new regime was installed, rules were set up in the Senate Finance Committee by its chairman, Senator Eugene Millikin of Colorado, which made it impossible for the Delaware senator to continue his investigations.

This not only does not make sense to American taxpayers, but it also points up the desperate need for some sort of overall experienced leadership in the Republican party which would have prevented such stupid behavior on the part of the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee.

The average American has never heard of Millikin but all taxpayers have reason to be grateful to Senator Williams for his single-handed work in weeding out incompetent and chiseling employees of the Federal government.

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

SENATOR McCARTHY SLAPS LIBEL SUIT ON NEWSPAPER AND GETS CASH PAYMENT AND COMPLETE RETRACTION BY PUBLICATION

Many editorial writers with Red leanings have attacked Senator Joseph R. McCarthy for his valiant fight to remove Communists from government for the past several years but now one of them, at least, has not only had to eat his words but has been compelled to make a substantial financial settlement with the Wisconsin senator.

On October 19, 1951 the Post-Standard of Syracuse, New York, made bitter and libelous charges against McCarthy in connection with certain testimony given in a Swiss court by one Charles E. Davis, the "framing" of Senator Tydings of Maryland, and McCarthy's alleged connection with the Lustron corporation.

Following a lawsuit for libel slapped on the Post-Standard by Senator McCarthy, that paper settled for a payment of \$16,500 cash and a complete retraction of all its libelous charges, in its edition of March 15, 1953.

Referring to the Davis matter, the Post-Standard editor said in his editorial backing up on his charges against the Senator:

"The Post-Standard has since gone further into the record and finds that Charles E. Davis has been convicted of forgery and is beyond belief and that Senator McCarthy has not committed any act deserving of criticism in connection with that matter.

Dealing with the charges about the "framing" of Senator Millard Tydings, the Post-Standard editor backed up in these words:

"We are now satisfied that Senator McCarthy was not responsible for this act and the record shows that responsibility has been clearly fixed on another individual who had no connection with Senator McCarthy."

And finally in swallowing a large bite of crow, the Post-Standard editor explained about the charges in his editorial concerning Senator McCarthy's financial transactions with the Lustron Corporation:

"There has never been any evidence presented before any Committee or elsewhere that Senator McCarthy in any way attempted to intercede on behalf of Lustron. The Post-Standard is, therefore, convinced that Senator McCarthy's part in this transaction was on the same plane as the common practice among legislators of accepting fees for speeches and earning other fees from legitimate services."

The unbelievable part of the transaction is that while the original charges in the Post-Standard were aired in many newspapers, the retraction of that paper and its payment of \$16,500 to the senator for libeling him, has been guarded like a top-secret government document.

And so ends another chapter in the conspiracy to blacken and smear a United States senator for opposing Communists in government and the fact that newspapers have been part of this conspiracy is the most discouraging angle of the whole affair.

Friends of the President are, of course, vitally concerned in his valiant efforts to break ninety on the go but the heart of all Americans will be when the war is won and the shooting falls below par in Korea.

Douglas Talks Himself Hoarse In Saying Nothing

A debate on the floor of the Senate recently concerning Tidelands legislation almost tempted one to doubt the sanity of some of the participants in view of the fact that the subject under discussion involved merely attempts of several Sovereign States to recover property illegally taken from them.

Senator Paul Douglas of Illinois, for instance, insisted on referring to pending legislation to restore the Tidelands to its rightful owners as an act in which the Federal government was "giving" something to Texas and other states to which they were not entitled.

Going on a six-hour verbal binge, Douglas talked himself hoarse and worked up into a lather and before he was through had apparently actually convinced himself that these States were seeking a favor of the Federal government by merely demanding the return of their own property.

With a line of reasoning that is typical of a college professor when he gets out of his own element and beyond his depth, Douglas saw the matter as a moral issue in which national assets were being given to a few States which should be devoted to developing "the human resources of the country".

The immorality of the transaction in which this property was confiscated by the Federal government through a minority decision of the Supreme Court in the first place, didn't make even a slight tug at the senator's conscience.

A Copenhagen politician once murdered a King of Denmark by pouring deadly poison in his ear and then seizing the throne and all assets that went with it.

This method is apparently still popular with politicians who attempt to pour poison into the ears of the people and then seize the property of a Sovereign State.

A Statement We Hope Will Be Retracted

We are disappointed that Senator Robert A. Taft has not repudiated the statement attributed to him sometime ago to the effect that he has no objection to Communists teaching in American schools, so long as they do not teach Communism to their students.

We find it difficult to believe that any good American statesman like Senator Taft would allow such a charge to stand against him as that implied when he was quoted as making this statement.

It is the equivalent of saying that it is quite all right to allow a rattlesnake to have the run of the nursery until it is proved that it will bite the baby.

Everybody, of course, wants peace but we will believe that the Bolsheviks are sincere in their new protestations of friendship and concern in ending war, when it is proved and not before.

FOUR FREEDOMS FOR REAL AMERICANS

Freedom from interference by the Federal government.

Freedom to work without being forced to join a Labor Union.

Freedom in the choice of associates without dictation from racial equality agitators.

Freedom to earn, to save and to own property without fear of confiscation by Federal taxing authorities.

AMERICAN PEOPLE ACHIEVE GAINS

(Continued From Page 1)

blunder resulting from the political ineptness of the president's advisors.

Good faith was further questioned when hundreds of able and sound-thinking educators were passed over and James B. Conant, who has always openly opposed efforts to remove Communists from educational institutions, was appointed to represent us in Germany.

It was a blow to opponents of National Socialism when a Roosevelt-sponsored Socialist agency was elevated to Cabinet rank, thus giving official sanction to the dole as a permanent policy of the American government and opening the door to Socialized Medicine and Socialized Education.

This suspicion is further inflamed by repeated assertions of the president, who was supported as a conservative by conservatives, that he intends to follow the middle of the road in his political thinking and action.

After twenty years of left-wing rule in Washington, there is no place for middle-of-the-road philosophy if our economy is to be retrieved from the brink of National Socialism toward which it was plunged by Roosevelt and Truman.

On the other side of the ledger, many highly constructive measures have been taken which cannot fail to give hope to those of flagging faith, the outstanding one being the president's promise that his administration would sign no pact with the United Nations on Human Rights.

While this assertion was somewhat academic since it was not likely that the United States Senate would have approved such a pact, it shows sound judgment and straight thinking on the part of the chief executive concerning a subject which involves the rights and liberties of every American citizen.

His decision to abolish the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and to offer for sale to private purchasers government plants which compete with private enterprise are acts of statesmanship which are in line with his campaign promises to eliminate unnecessary governmental expenses and reduce extra-curricular bureaucratic institutions and agencies.

The earnest and sincere efforts of the administration to return the Tidelands to the states from whom they were illegally taken through a minority decision of the Supreme Court is a reassuring gesture toward the discontinuation of a policy of legal larceny and government by theft.

Efforts on the part of the chief executive to cooperate with the various governors in removing over-lapping tax sources and levies, thus assisting in the restoration of State's Rights deserves highest commendation as a master stroke in statecraft and a token of recognition and respect for fundamental principles on which the Republic was founded.

His insistence on balancing the budget before reducing taxes, while disconcerting to many who feel that the only way to save money is to stop spending it, has the approval of members of Congress who are experts on administrative processes of government.

After all, the Eisenhower administration is the inheritor of the greatest legacy of error, debt and corruption that any mortal regime ever fell heir to and it is beyond the bounds of reason to expect all the evils which Roosevelt and Truman bequeathed their successor, to be overcome in a few short months.

The Southern Conservative is not concerned in the political fortunes of any man or of any political party and is interested only in principles of government.

In keeping with this editorial policy, we earnestly plead with Americans who desire a complete return to Constitutional government to exercise their responsibility as citizens in bringing it about and to ride herd on those who represent them in Washington.

After all, the voters of this country cannot sidestep their share of the blame for the sad state of the union today and they can't reasonably expect any man or set of men to quickly cancel out the evil effects of twenty years of corruption for which they are partly responsible.

In 1933 they meekly surrendered their rights and prerogatives as citizens, stepped aside and let Roosevelt usurp, without protest, unlimited and unprecedented powers and made no public outcry as he happily packed the American government with Communists like sardines in a tin can.

This unbridled executive power was accumulated and extended throughout his life tenure of the White House and assumed such force and momentum that by the time it descended to Truman, it distended his ego to the bursting point, cracked his cranium and blew him up.

We hope that this abject and servile surrender of stewardship by citizens is never witnessed again in the history of this proud Republic and that they will keep forever in mind that when, and if, a similar peril threatens—the president of the United States and the members of our Congress are no further away than the telephone, Western Union or the Postoffice.

WORLD GOVERNMENT CONFERENCE IN LONDON PROPOSES TO MAKE UNITED NATIONS A GREATER MONSTROSITY THAN IT IS NOW

We are in possession of a report of a recent meeting of the "Parliamentary Conference on World Government" in London.

The Right Honorable Clement Davies of England was chairman of the group whose purpose appears to be to "improve" on the organization Alger Hiss set up at San Francisco called the United Nations.

Their idea of "improving" the United Nations is to make it more of a monstrosity than it is now, if that is possible.

It is not reported where they held their conference but we feel sure it was not in Hyde Park or else this conclave of international nuts would have been nibbled on by the squirrels who flit up and down the trees in that world-famed beauty spot.

First off, they recommend a World Government open to all States of the world who, once they join, are sunk because "there must be no right of secession". It is explained that to allow nations to secede would "permit states to be judges in their own disputes".

There is a proposal for universal disarmament "carried out by rapid stages". (We can see Russia disarming "by rapid stages" or any other.)

A United Nations Police Force is provided to enforce the provisions of the Charter. All members of this Police Force would owe exclusive allegiance to the United Nations.

An International Court of Justice would be given jurisdiction to decide all disputes between two or more states, a state and citizens of another state, and disputes involving members of the United Nations.

There would be a World Legislature with unlimited debate on all matters, power to raise revenue for the United Nations and other powers. (How jolly that would be when they all decided to filibuster.)

National revenue to be raised for United Nations would be levied proportionately to national income, in a manner "to be determined by each state for itself". (Think how wonderful to be privileged to pay, in addition to local, county, state and national taxes, a World Tax. We can't wait.)

Back to the World Legislature, for a moment. These world planners haven't quite decided whether or not there should be two chambers in the legislative body as in most State legislatures or only one. (Let's just make it one, so as to condense and concentrate all authority in one place. If we are going to have World Government, let's have World Government and not waste time by spreading out authority to such ordinary and unnecessary individuals as taxpayers.)

It is recommended that there be an Executive Council to carry out the directives of the World Legislature, its members to be elected for a fixed time and dismissable by the legislature. (Why not just appoint them for life and assume that they can do no wrong? Our Supreme Court is handled that way and think what eminent, wise and impartial jurists they are!!!)

It is recommended that every person in the world be a citizen of the

United Nations, bound by the Charter and laws of this World Government. This, it is explained, would preclude any individual seeking shelter behind "national allegiance". (This is where we start making inquiries about the price of a one-way ticket to Mars.)

A Bill of Rights is suggested but no attempt should be made, it says here, to attempt to safeguard individuals against "action by the respective nations". Minority Groups, however, should have the right to petition to the World Arbitral Tribunal and the World Legislature "for redress of grievances". (Ah ha! We knew that was coming. Let's by all means give Minority Groups a break and special preference if only for the reason that if we didn't, they would get busy and smear the whole works.)

Amending the Charter would be made extremely difficult to "insure that future amendments will not be imposed on non-assenting nations". (Like Russia, for instance. No indeed, let's don't make it tough on our dear friends, the Bolsheviks.)

In making the above proposals to "remove the imperfections of the United Nations Charter", the planners have been big-hearted and have suggested an "alternate plan" or Plan B in case Plan A doesn't go over big.

In this Plan B, as we are able to make it out, it is felt that the answer lies in a few "progressive amendments" to the outfit and changing its name to a "World Federation of States".

The first amendment they would make would be to change article 4 so that membership is open to all States but who, on becoming a member, could not secede. (In other words a nation which once joins would be hooked forever.)

The second and third amendments would provide for "weighted voting" and to "extend the activities of the United Nations". (Since every activity in which a human being could possibly engage is now covered in the auxiliary organizations of United Nations, we are unable to see how its activities could be extended unless, perhaps, they might make it compulsory to get a permit to go to the bathroom—and take a bath.)

The fourth would be to "entrust the interpretation of the Charter to the International Court of Justice". (Obviously the international experts who helped Alger Hiss write the Charter made it so vague, ambiguous and contradictory that nobody can understand it, so they would cure that by getting an International Court to interpret it.)

The last suggestion is that "nothing contained in the Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which, in accordance with a decision of the International Court of Justice are solely within the jurisdiction of any state". (That sounds fine but the catch, of course, is that the International Court of Justice would be the one to decide this and it requires no wide stretch of the imagination to figure out the type of international screwballs who would compose this Court.)

It was disclosed that some of the American delegates to this World

New Book Credits Us With Helping Debunk United Nations

Often we get discouraged and feel that we are not accomplishing anything.

Then something happens to remind us that we are doing all right.

For instance one of the new left-wing propaganda booklets which has just been published by the Beacon Press of Boston and whose author is one Gordon D. Hall, makes us feel we're really getting places.

The name of the book is "Hate Campaign Against the United Nations" and the author launches out against those whom he considers responsible for the dwindling respect which Americans are evincing toward this world organization.

On his first and other pages of the book the author quotes from the Southern Conservative and we will just break down and confess that we like what we have written and, in reproduction, it sounds better to us than it did when it first appeared in our own paper.

This, together with the blast by the CIO News, in which we were credited with having UNESCO propaganda eliminated from certain schools, has puffed us up and swelled our head until there's no getting along with us.

No doubt this character, Hall, intended to discredit us in charging us with undertaking to sway public opinion away from the United Nations but he missed his mark a mile.

We not only admit our dedicated effort along this line. We boast it.

If we have served to open the eyes of any American, or any group of Americans, to the deadly peril of this evil organization, it is one of the proudest achievements of our life.

We think we are safe in saying that our thousands of readers feel the same way and in making this attack on our paper, Mr. Hall has merely boosted our stock with right-thinking Americans.

Next month, we will return the compliment and give a run-down on some of Mr. Hall's past associates and activities.

Two Slips and They're Out

A new philosophy of social behavior has been laid down by a New York social service worker, Mrs. Elizabeth Spisa who recently addressed the Board of Estimate in that city.

Unwed mothers with more than one child will be dropped from relief rolls, the lady decreed, and added:

"A girl is entitled to one honest mistake, but, please, two or more children cannot be called an honest mistake".

Conference were Harry Hollins, Dean Paul Shipman Andrews, Reverend Donald Harrington, Perkins Bass, Dudley Orr and Robert Reno, the latter three representing a Mr. Grenville Clark.

There was nothing to indicate that after the Conference, the participants were gathered up and taken back to various psychopathic wards from which they had escaped, so we suppose it was a bona fide affair.

U. N. and Human Rights Charters Copied From Socialist Documents

Our attention has been called by a San Francisco friend to the fact that the Charter of the United Nations and the Covenant on Human Rights were copied almost word for word from documents put out some years ago by the League for Industrial Democracy, formerly the League for Industrial Socialism.

She informs us that the Charter was blueprinted long before Alger Hiss framed it at San Francisco.

We did not know this but the information occasions no surprise on our part.

UMT Advocates Frightened At the Prospect of Peace

It is almost impossible to pick up a newspaper that does not carry a warning that, even if the war in Korea is ended and peace comes, the draft must continue in effect.

All good Americans want this country properly defended of course, but it would certainly seem that in peace time this can be done by voluntary enlistments.

The truth is that advocates of universal military training with its attendant evils are actually frightened at the prospect of peace which threatens to wreck a well-planned propaganda campaign.

This over-all campaign is directed toward getting all young men, during their impressionable years, into the army where they are subjected to indoctrination with propaganda cooked up by the world forces who control every activity in the world today.

One phase of this propaganda is compulsory mixing of white and black races in an attempt to inure young people to the idea as a prelude to eventual mongrelization of the white race.

When danger threatens this country, there are always enough men who volunteer their services to properly defend it and there is no reason why this should not be the case in future unless it would be the humiliating experiences they are forced to go through in un-segregated branches of the fighting forces.

Hope Springs Eternal In Adlai's Heart

Adlai Stevenson doesn't seem to know when to give up.

In spite of his complete repudiation, and that of his party, at the polls last November he clings to the limelight with the tenacity of a bulldog.

On his current trip around the world, he gives out an interview every time he hits a place which is big enough to support a newspaper.

Like an old maid who looks hopefully under the bed every night, he is an incurable optimist who is convinced his time will come.

Before he left the United States, he gave out a statement explaining in great detail why he was not elected president.

We have heard and read many learned discussions on why candidates lose an election but we have never yet seen anything that beats a simple, uncomplicated and obvious explanation.

That is that they just simply did not get enough votes.

The Southern Conservative

A monthly publication of editorial opinion with national circulation.

Ida M. Darden, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing).

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind
but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

The Tenth Amendment To The Constitution of The United States:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

A Shoemaker Should Stick To His Last

One of the reasons why Communism has seeped into our educational and religious institutions is because of the exaggerated conception which teachers and ministers have acquired concerning their responsibilities.

It is not the function of the teacher to try to "train the student for responsibilities of citizenship in a changing world" as they have been instructed to do by the National Education Association.

Their job is simply to educate and instruct American children from American textbooks on subjects prescribed by local school boards and they have no responsibility whatever in shaping his thinking on extraneous matters such as politics or religion. That function belongs exclusively to parents or guardians.

By the same token, the minister has one duty, and one duty only, to his congregation and that is to guide them in spiritual matters and his authority as a theologian does not give him a license to meddle in politics or dictate economic policies of government.

No matter how expert they may be in their own profession, it has been proved that a school teacher, college professor or preacher who wanders off into the political field is lost like a babe in the woods and the easy victim of political reformers and ideological schemers.

When Appeles was exhibiting one of his beautiful paintings, a cobbler directed his attention to a fault in a shoe and the master immediately corrected it but when the cobbler attempted to criticize the shape of the leg, the Greek artist reproved him: "Shoemaker, stick to your last".

That is exactly what the teachers and ministers of this nation should do.

From the pastor of a Christian Church in a West Texas oil town: "The sentiments of your paper are quite pleasing to a number of Christian ministers in Texas. I read it sometimes through the courtesy of a member of the legislature in this area".

The records of the Institute of Pacific Relations, the managerial group who handled the sell-out of Asia to the Communists, show that the organization was financed almost in its entirety by the Rockefeller Foundation and the Carnegie Endowment, both of whom have tax exemption privileges of the government.

The Blame Should Be Placed Where It Belongs

In a recent magazine article, Senator Robert A. Taft of Ohio wrote of the great need of the Republican Party for good publicity which would provide the American people with factual information concerning his party's achievements.

He feels that a revitalized publicity staff should be set up which would constantly point up the "contrast between the present administration and the Truman administration".

In that same article he appeared to lay the blame for the bad press the administration has been getting on Washington reporters of whom he said: "Most of them supported the New Deal for twenty years and it is hard to change the habits of a lifetime".

In this connection we respectfully suggest that possibly the reason why Washington newsmen have not stressed any striking "contrast between the present administration and the Truman administration" is because they didn't see it.

Any such "contrast" can only be drawn by the president in the appointments which he makes and by the Congress in the policies they adopt.

So long as the president names New Dealers to important positions in government and so long as Congress continues, or refuses to repudiate, policies initiated by Roosevelt and adhered to by Truman, surely newsmen and commentators cannot be expected to detect any definite "contrast" between the present and earlier regimes.

After all, newspaper reporters, columnists and radio commentators can only record contemporary political history as it unfolds before their vision.

They do not make it.

Senator Taft expresses a sentiment that is reflected in the hearts and consciousness of all good Americans who, like him, desperately want to see the "contrast between the present administration and the Truman administration" not only clearly defined but demonstrated by concrete examples and action.

Unlike the senator, however, they refuse to lay the blame for the similarity between the two regimes up to this time on reporters, columnists and commentators and may very properly feel that this responsibility lies in the White House and on Capitol Hill.

Former Roosevelt Aide Sees No Change In New Deal Laws

In an address at Warm Springs, Georgia, recently, William D. Hassett, a secretary and close associate of the late Franklin D. Roosevelt, asserted that the Republicans have paid "implicit tribute to Roosevelt by quiet acceptance of all major New Deal legislation".

Reviewing the Socialist program Roosevelt launched twenty years ago, but not calling it by this proper title, Hassett said further:

"The present Republican administration, in control of both the White House and the Congress, is equally silent about the repeal of any New Deal laws which, as the party in opposition, they fought tooth and toenail

Seven Out Of Eight Witnesses Refuse To Deny Communist Affiliation

Out of the first eight college professors called to testify before the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee investigating Communism in schools, seven refused to state under oath whether or not they were members of the Communist Party or ever had been.

They hid behind the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution and by this action provided the answer they officially refused to give.

The eighth witness, Dr. William H. Withers of Queens College, an educator whose thoughtful observations on his long fight against Communist elements in the schools gave the Committee valuable information, answered all questions fully and frankly.

Obviously coached by Communist lawyers, all seven of the other professors made almost the identical reply to questions and offered the same argument against the right of the Senate Committee to inquire into Communism in the schools.

The seven refusing to tell whether or not they were Communists were Richard Austin of New York City College; Joseph Bressler, Brooklyn College, New York; Hyman Gold, Registrar of City College; Elton T. Gustafson, Brooklyn College; Tima Ludins, retired New York high school teacher; Oscar H. Shaftel, Queens College and Murray Young, Brooklyn College instructor.

The bleeding hearts and fellow travellers who have bitterly opposed the efforts of the Committee to get at the facts concerning Communists in American schools, should read the testimony of Tima Ludins, the sneering, impudent, Russian-born female who taught in New York high schools for twenty years before retiring on a pension.

Her defiant, insulting and disrespectful attitude in defying all attempts of the Committee to get information must have caused its members to wish they could bundle her up and send her back to the miserable country of her birth.

And still, for twenty years, she was actually paid to disseminate her subversive ideas in an American school room.

No wonder American patriots are discouraged and see little hope of overcoming the big lead Communists have piled up in the contest for educational, religious and ideological domination of this country.

William Buckley, a patriotic and sound-thinking young educator makes this forceful assertion: "Minority groups insist on their rights, religious groups insist on their rights, collectivists insist on their rights, labor insists on its rights; but the cowed, apathetic conservatives stammer wistfully as brazen spokesmen of Communism, Socialism and Welfarism ride herd on the new generation."

when they were pending in the national legislature".

Apparently, Mr. Hassett fails to see any "contrast" between the present administration and former ones but it is to be hoped that the line of demarcation will become apparent as the new party in power becomes organized and better equipped to carry out fully their campaign promises to "clean up the mess in Washington".

Hawaii Is Ideal Set-Up For A Red Outpost

Our congratulations go to Congressman Wint Smith of Kansas for voting no on the bill to grant statehood to Hawaii which recently passed the House by a vote of 274 to 138.

In support of his negative vote, Congressman Smith pointed out some salient facts overlooked by his colleagues in considering this legislation, or rather in passing it without consideration:

The average size of an American State is 40,000,000 acres. The area of Hawaii is 4,160,000 acres with only 332,800 acres suitable for cultivation.

It is 2000 miles from the Mainland and only 9 of its 20 Islands are inhabited.

Of its total population of 470,000, 184,732 or 39.5% are Japanese.

The White population of Hawaii has dropped steadily since 1949. At that time there were 139,398 Caucasians in the Island; in 1950 the white population dropped to 102,998 and in 1951, it was only 45,794, or 9.7% of the total population, a large percentage of which are government employes.

It is an ideal set up for a Red Outpost since, in view of the world situation, the islands provide the locale for Communists to get control of any State government there.

Island resources do not come anywhere near to supporting the people there and Washington is having to send them \$4,000,000 per week now to support the territorial government, the schools, the Army and Navy.

If this \$4,000,000 should be cut off as it would be in the event of Statehood, the greater part of the people would have to move out or starve.

Congressman Smith's vote against this proposal is another outstanding case of a lawmaker refusing to drift with the tide and insisting on doing his own thinking on a matter of such vital concern to the taxpayers of the United States.

Not Fit to Teach

(From Christian Economics)

We differ with the group of educators who declared recently at the Eighth National Conference on Higher Education, that membership in the Communist party was not sufficient evidence to warrant dismissal of members of college and university faculties and staffs.

The Communist party would destroy our form of government, suppress not only the Christian religion but all religion, and replace freedom with authoritarian control.

In our opinion, any one advocating such measures, or even associating himself with others who advocate them in a way that will further such objectives, is not fit to teach American youth and should be dismissed as soon as such facts become known.

It is further our opinion that those who refuse to answer questions concerning membership in the Communist party or association with its objectives have not only given strong evidence of guilt, but in so doing, have disqualified themselves for the teaching profession.

The Republicans are to be commended for the forthright manner in which the Roberts affair was handled and in which they replaced their chairman with a man against whom there was no breath of scandal. It is a refreshing contrast to a similar situation in the Fair Deal party when they trotted out one chairman after another, each a little worse than the last with Truman asserting in each case: "Tain't so". Without passing on the merits of the charges against Roberts, Mr. Eisenhower agreed that the party's head should be a person above reproach.

Libraries Used As Clearing Houses For Subversive Literature

If you go to your local library and ask for books by patriotic American writers, the chances are not only that you won't find them but it's a two to one shot that they have never heard of such books; or their authors.

But ask for volumes by left-wing writers and they will come up with them in double-quick time.

For instance a small-sized magazine called "New World Review" explains that its publishers have written to thousands of libraries all over the country and have gotten this publication placed in many of them.

Immediately, on reading this, we called a certain library to find out if they had it and they did. Then we asked if they had "The Lattimore Story" by John T. Flynn and they didn't and the same was true of other patriotic books.

This is no reflection on that particular library but indicates how assiduously the Reds work to get their literature placed where the reading public may quickly avail themselves of it.

The New World Review is edited by one Jessica Smith, former editor of "Soviet Russia Today" and whose name is shown in the files of the Judiciary Committee of the Senate of the United States in connection with the notorious IPR, and its sell-out of Asia to the Communists.

Frederick Vanderbilt Field, notorious Communist propagandist is the magazine's Far Eastern editor.

The publication denounces Eisenhower's "callous refusal" to pardon the Rosenbergs, Communist spies.

It presents page after page of praise for the governments of Communist China, Russia, Armenia, Albania, Poland and other Iron Curtain countries.

It points out the "dignity of women" in these countries and insists that "Soviet women live a rich, interesting and rewarding life."

"Soviet mothers need not worry about their children's future" the disreputable sheet asserts. "They are brought up to be masters of their destiny, full-fledged citizens of the Soviet State".

It outlines the "magnificent prospects of the Soviet Union's development from Socialism to Communism, which means the creation of a life of abundance for all".

Perhaps it is not a violation of the law for such traitorous publications to be printed but we would like to know why the libraries throughout the country carry this subversive propaganda and refuse or fail to handle the works of many good Americans.

Why should the public libraries of the country be used as a clearing house for subversive propaganda?

Communists Hold Joint Services

Those of us who have charged throughout the years that Franklin D. Roosevelt represented the thinking of the Communist Party and that he corrupted the principles of the Democratic Party to conform to Communist pattern need no longer defend our views in the matter. We have had our charges vindicated.

In Chicago recently twenty-five police squads were required to disperse a crowd of fifteen hundred persons who protested a meeting held by the Council of American Soviet Friendship.

The meeting was called by the Council to jointly honor Franklin D. Roosevelt and Joseph Stalin, two of its ideal statesmen.

In Communist literature recently distributed throughout the South by Communist Party Headquarters, 268 Seventh Avenue, New York, through its agents in the South, the New Deal president was extolled in a paragraph outlining the aims of the Party: "We associate ourselves with and would further extend the significant beginnings toward a New Deal for the Common Man — so auspiciously begun during the Roosevelt decade of the late '30's . . ."

Another Investigation Seems To Be In Order

It has been reported in the press that approximately 300 sex perverts have been weeded out of the State Department in recent months, in addition to the 90 who were fired last year.

Obviously, that branch of government has been functioning for years as a hotbed of homosexuals and it would seem proper for authorities to go into the matter and see why there was a concentration of such persons all in one place, and who put them there.

While such degeneracy results from emotional derangement and diseased mentality and is deserving of pity, we can see no occasion for placing the conduct of our foreign affairs almost completely in the hands of these outcasts of human society.

Obscenity Is Deleted In UNESCO Pamphlet

United Nations educational Social and Cultural Organization, the vile auxiliary of the United Nations known as UNESCO, has omitted some of the vulgarity in its pamphlets as originally published.

In a series of brochures written especially for teachers, there was an obscene reference to the women of Southern Italy which brought down such a storm of protest on the UN that the paragraph was omitted in the recent re-print of the booklet.

The offending and indecent paragraph was on page 12 of the series called "Toward World Understanding", in volume VI of the old edition.

A comparison of the old and the new editions of the booklet will show that the paragraph has been deleted in its entirety.

However, there is still enough filth and depravity in Volume VI, Toward World Understanding, to nauseate and repel any decent reader.

An Amendment That Should Be Passed In All States

A proposed Constitutional Amendment to the Constitution of the State of California has been introduced in the legislature there which would change Section 1, Article 1 of that document to read:

"All men are by nature free and independent and have certain inalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty; choice of associates, customers, tenants and employees; acquiring, possessing, disposing and protecting property; and pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness".

The proposed amendment was introduced in the Senate by nine members, including Senator Jack Tenney of Los Angeles.

It would be wonderful if this amendment were passed, not only in California but in all States but there is little hope that the California statesmen will be successful in passing it there.

California's alien population is probably second only to that of New York and the active element of subversives there will go the limit to prevent it from becoming law.

"Communist Fronters, New Deal commentators, radical columnists, weak-kneed politicians, left-wing college professors and Lady Nancy Astor dislike McCarthy's methods" says B. F. McChristian of Lufkin, Texas. "In fact, almost every one is against Joe except 150 million Americans who are tired of disloyalty in high places".

DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES OF THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

The following Declaration of Principles, published many times heretofore in the Southern Conservative, will continue to be the policies of the paper.

Those of us who are responsible for initiating the Southern Conservative plead guilty to the charge of being hopelessly old-fashioned as we love our own country with a blind and passionate devotion, above all others on earth.

We find ourselves without the slightest desire to change our form of government to conform to foreign ideologies or to attempt to re-shape it in the Soviet mold.

We are convinced that those who founded this Republic framed the greatest instrument for the government of men ever devised by human mind.

We asserted in our first edition, and now re-affirm, that the Southern Conservative is not interested in the political fortunes of any man or of any political party and is concerned solely in principles of government.

In our discussions of State and National issues, we shall show no more partiality to the Tycoons of Industry than we shall to the Czars of Labor.

In fact, we seem to remember that some of the biggest business men in the nation teamed up with labor union racketeers in playing ball with the New Deal and the Fair Deal when those economic atrocities were riding the crest.

The courage, integrity and patriotism which must be commanded if the Republic is to survive will not be found exclusively among representatives of big business or leaders of labor unions.

It must be drawn from that vast group of average Americans who ask nothing of government except that it secure them in their inalienable right to work, to save and to keep a reasonable share of the fruits of their toil.

We are against the domination of government either by big business or big labor and we maintain that favoritism to either group on the part of a State or National administration is un-American, immoral and a flagrant abuse of power.

We acknowledge our indebtedness to the courageous and patriotic Coalition Bloc of sound-thinking Democrats and Republicans in both Houses of Congress which has prevented Communistic influences from complete domination of our Federal government.

We are opposed to the reckless squandering of the taxpayers' money by incompetent, irresponsible and improvident public officials and we contend that taxes should be levied for purposes of revenue only and not to punish the rich, reward the poor or redistribute the wealth.

We are firmly committed to the principle of States Rights and we hold that the people of any part of the United States are entitled to maintain their own social customs and traditions without interference from politicians seeking votes to perpetuate themselves in power.

We are unequivocally in favor of Free Enterprise as opposed to government ownership of business and insist on the responsibility of every American to seek to improve his social and economic condition through initiative, energy and thrift rather than leaning on the arm of government for support.

We concede to every man the right to work and the opportunity of profitable employment regardless of whether he does, or does not, belong to a labor union.

On the other hand, we claim for the employer the irrevocable right to hire and fire his employees without instructions from any government agency, or intimidation by Federal Police.

We feel that at this crucial time in history, it is imperative that newspapers and other groups seeking to influence public opinion should exert every effort within their power to help preserve our republican form of government, our Free Enterprise system and American way of life. We shall exert all our energies to that end.

These are the sentiments of the SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE and although ours may be only one small voice crying in the wilderness, we will fight for fundamental American principles, as we understand them, without apology to any man or set of men.

EDITOR'S WIFE BELIEVES COMMUNISTS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO INVESTIGATE THEMSELVES

The Washington Post is perhaps best described as The Daily Worker all dressed up in white tie and tails.

While the Daily Worker spreads Party Line propaganda among the unwashed on the wrong side of the tracks, the Post diffuses the same pink principles through the drawing rooms of the elite in the nation's capital and in such environs as its circulation covers.

Unlike the Daily Worker, however, whose editorials are prepared in its offices and whose efforts are carried on by paid members of its staff, the Post engages in extra-curricular activity through the distaff side of its official family.

At Atlantic City recently, in an address to the American Association of School Administrators, Mrs. Agnes Meyer, wife of the Post publisher, came out staunchly against the Americans in the Congress of the United States who hope, perhaps futilely, to weed Communists out of the teaching profession in this country.

She referred to the investigation on the part of a Congressional Committee into Communism in the schools as "shameful" and the hearings of a character "to make any honest American sick to his stomach" and warned the teachers that their reputations and those of their fellow educators were in the hands of "such men as McCarthy, Jenner and Velde".

Apparently she was totally unconcerned that the future of many American children is in the hands of teachers who seek to replace their patriotism for their own country with allegiance to alien lands and who work around the clock for the destruction of Constitutional government and its replacement by a Socialist state.

Quoting Dr. James B. Conant who now represents the American government in Germany Mrs. Meyer said: "I agree with Dr. Conant that the independence of each college and university would be threatened if government agencies of any sort would start inquiries into the nature of instruction".

Clinching her arguments against any interference on the part of government with the teaching of Communism in American schools, she offered Socialist England as a model for the United States to employ, pointing out that in that country they don't have even governing boards of any kind for the schools and that the faculty runs them altogether.

It was her opinion that if there is need for investigation of Communism in American schools, the school faculty should be entrusted with the job. She didn't say what she would do if the faculty was Communist. Let Communists investigate Communists, no doubt.

Following this amazing talk by Mrs. Meyer, the American Association of School Administrators, which is a branch of the National Education Association showed where they stood by giving her a hearty ovation.

In addition, her address was later reproduced by the National Education Association and sent to teachers throughout the country, thus putting the official stamp of NEA on implications that Communists should be allowed to continue to teach in American schools and that efforts by Con-

New Book Called "The Latimore Story" Is A Heartbreaking Saga of Betrayal

Reading the new book "The Latimore Story" has left us with mixed emotions—gratitude for men like John T. Flynn who have the courage and the genius to accurately paint the picture of America's betrayal by a small coterie of educated persons in this country and sorrow that it should be necessary for this indictment to be drawn against citizens in the higher brackets of American education.

It sends shivers of fear down the spine and floods the heart with despair to constantly confront evidence of the vast network of treason which covers the nation like a huge spider web and which was woven not by

enemies from without but by trusted individuals from within.

Only patriots with a strong heart and an unshakeable conviction that good will triumph ultimately over evil can read this correlated and documented story of organized and deliberate betrayal of their country and still maintain the will to fight for its rescue from its domestic enemies.

From a young Midwest ex-service man: "For my money, you are head and shoulders above any other writer in the country when it comes to calling a spade a spade. I am not exactly a 'Southern Conservative' but do I like to read your stuff?"

SENATORS MEET A CRUCIAL ISSUE BY TAKING TO THEIR HEELS

Only six members of the United States Senate were present and participating when the vote was taken to elevate the Social Security Agency to Cabinet rank and the absence of the other ninety is understandable.

It was not a very courageous act to walk out on an issue of such transcending importance to the taxpayers of the nation but was probably their idea of building up an alibi which

will absolve them from blame when this new agency's function in furthering national Socialism becomes too obvious to be overlooked.

They can truthfully say they refused to vote for it but if they are worthy of the confidence their constituents reposed in them by electing them to office, the still, small voice of their conscience will reproach them for cowardice under fire.

After Half A Century Lady Astor Suddenly Becomes Interested In American Politics

Lady Nancy Astor's atrocious exhibition of bad taste at a Washington social affair where she expressed publicly a wish for Senator Joseph R. McCarthy's death by poisoning must have been extremely embarrassing to her hostess.

After all, the same invitation which had been extended the visitor from England to attend the party must have also been delivered to Senator McCarthy or he would not have been present.

It seems to us that Lady Astor's intense concern in American political affairs comes with poor grace at this late date since she left this country almost a half century ago to marry a title and become a full-fledged citizen of England in whose parliament she has served.

Apparently, however, she has absorbed none of the traditional good

manners of English people or their aversion to vulgar displays of emotion in public.

There are, of course, many people in this country who feel about the Wisconsin senator as the lady from England does but they are, in the main, beetle-browed fellow travellers who follow the Party line and are not of a class with which one would think the viscountess would care to be associated.

Personally, we think that the senator, in laughing the matter off as senile prattle, was more gracious and considerate of the old crone than she deserved.

He might have very aptly retorted that at least he had never been charged with conniving with enemies of his country as she was publicly accused in England of hobnobbing with the forces of Hitler.

manners of English people or their aversion to vulgar displays of emotion in public.

There are, of course, many people in this country who feel about the Wisconsin senator as the lady from England does but they are, in the main, beetle-browed fellow travellers who follow the Party line and are not of a class with which one would think the viscountess would care to be associated.

Personally, we think that the senator, in laughing the matter off as senile prattle, was more gracious and considerate of the old crone than she deserved.

He might have very aptly retorted that at least he had never been charged with conniving with enemies of his country as she was publicly accused in England of hobnobbing with the forces of Hitler.

Colored People Who Are The Salt of the Earth

Recently we were cheered by a bouquet of beautiful yellow roses brought to us by Cora Malone, an intelligent and high-class colored woman who is a member of the cleaning staff of the building where our office is located.

And scarcely a day passes that we are not the recipient of some kindness on the part of James McCoy, genial factotum of the building, whose cheerfulness and un-failing good humor on all occasions make life more pleasant for the tenants.

They are two among many who represent all the best qualities of their race and who have not been affected by attempts of irresponsible white agitators to imbue them with suspicion and distrust of white people of the South who, in the final analysis, are their best friends.

Communist Pamphlet Merely Reflects Political Views Of The New Deal And The Fair Deal Administrations

One of the Communist pamphlets, prepared in Communist Party headquarters at 268 Seventh Avenue, New York and mailed out from various Southern towns and cities by the "Southern Regional Committee" of the Party, has been received by us.

It is understood that the Attorney General of Texas, the FBI and other agencies are looking into the matter to find out who mailed these pamphlets with a view to possible action against them.

However, we could find nothing in the pamphlet which has not been championed for years by the New Deal, the Fair Deal, the CIO, Americans for Democratic Action and other groups whose political thinking and action has closely paralleled that of the Communist Party.

Praising Roosevelt and his efforts for the "common man" the pamphlet says: "The New Deal concept was born of the united political aspirations of labor, the farmers, the Negro people and local business men. It was the concerted action of the plain people which wrested concessions and other reform promises from the privileged and wealthy rulers of the country".

Confirming our oft-repeated charge that racial agitation is part and parcel of Communist doctrine and originated in Moscow, the pamphlet asserts: "The fight for social progress in the South in general cannot be waged successfully apart from the struggle of the Negro people for political, economic and legal equality . . . So long as the ten million Negroes of the South . . . are prohibited from public association with white citizens and are excluded from many schools, hospitals and other public institutions — just so long will the unity of the common people of the South . . . be weak and ineffective".

The pamphlet urges that we "outlaw discrimination and segregation against Negroes and other colored peoples in their exercise of the right to equal accommodations on public trains and buses and in waiting and rest room facilities; the right to use all public health and hospital facilities, libraries and parks, schools and public auditoriums".

All this of course was first thought up in Russia, passed on to party workers in this country in the New Deal days and worked up into a "movement" by fellow travellers in all classes of American society.

"Repeal the anti-labor laws — the Taft-Hartley law, the Smith Act, the McCarran Act and their State equivalents" says the pamphlet in reiterating pleas along similar lines often made by the CIO.

We could not find anything in the entire pamphlet which has not been advocated for years by those in control of our government and the left-wing organizations which have sprung up over the country during the New Deal and Fair Deal administrations and which continue to flourish.

Another Dulles Bobs Up On Government Payroll

There must be some special charm in the Dulles name for we now find that there are not two Dulles' holding down jobs in the Federal government, but three.

In addition to John Foster Dulles, Secretary of State, and Allen Dulles, head of Central Intelligence Agency, there is a sister, Eleanor Dulles, who is connected with the State Department and is stationed in Germany.

It is fortunate for the Dulles family that anti-nepotism laws do not apply in the Federal government.

PASSAGE OF BRICKER AMENDMENT IS ESSENTIAL FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

We sincerely hope that the members of the Congress completely disregard the pleas of John Foster Dulles and pass the Bricker amendment to the Constitution which is co-sponsored by sixty-three other senators.

The Bricker Amendment merely seeks to prevent the acceptance by this government of treaties which supersede the Constitution of the United States.

This amendment is backed by practically every patriotic organization in the country and is opposed by Communist-sponsored groups not only here but throughout the world.

In asking that the amendment not be passed, Dulles certainly reflected no credit on the administration whose spokesman he is assumed to be.

The fact that he promised that the president would not sign any pact involving "Human Rights" is beside the point for such a pact would have to be approved by the Senate and if the members of that body

should approve such a proposal as the "Covenant on Human Rights" they would bring down on their head a demonstration of such righteous indignation on the part of the American people that they would never politically survive the ordeal.

No matter what Dulles may promise that the president will or will not do, this amendment should be passed to forever protect the sovereignty of this Republic and it is incredible that members of the Senate would consider even for a moment, sidetracking this vitally important legislation.

Mr. Eisenhower cannot be president, at most, for more than two terms under a Constitutional limitation and certainly John Foster Dulles cannot speak for the chief executives who follow him.

Every day the passage of this amendment is delayed is a reflection on the integrity and patriotism of our representatives in Washington.

FOUR PROMINENT BOSTON MINISTERS BELONG TO COMMUNIST CELL

It would be interesting to know what reaction, if any, the pink preachers like Bishop Oxnam had following the testimony of the FBI agent before the House Un-American Activities Committee some days ago.

This man who posed as a Communist while working for the FBI stated that four prominent ministers of Boston were members of the same Communist cell in which he worked. He also testified that many educators, business and professional men of that city were active, working members of the Communist Party.

This factual and verified information is staggering and especially as it relates to men who are posing as ministers of the gospel while in reality promoting Communism in the United States.

Equally staggering is the fact that practically no newspapers carried a

story on the FBI agent's testimony. By withholding it from the public for some reason which is not understandable to us, they have proved their unworthiness as representatives of a free press and, by their silence, tacitly approve the treason of these scoundrels in ministerial garb.

We are thankful that our conscience is clear in the matter for we have been warning for years that Communism was rampant in the pulpit and if all other large cities throughout the country were subjected to the same scrutiny, it would be found that very few of them are free of fellow travellers, if not outright Communists, in their pulpits.

Just what, we wonder, will it take to awaken the American people to this deadly menace which threatens the security of their country?

"MY NIGHT"

We have very few of these books left and those who desire to order them should do so at once. On orders of more than one, each book is enclosed in a heavy manila envelope ready for addressing and mailing.

Price \$1.00

Address:

**Southern Conservative,
703 Flatiron Building,
Fort Worth, Texas.**

Farewell to MY NIGHT

By the Editor

Since January of 1950 when the Southern Conservative put out its first edition, this column has been devoted to a feature titled "My Night".

As may have been suspected, it was intended as a take-off on Eleanor Roosevelt's "My Day" which, at that time, was being run in many newspapers throughout the country.

When "My Night" appeared on the scene, Mrs. Roosevelt was going strong.

In addition to her efforts as a columnist, she was a delegate from the United States to the Human Rights Commission of the United Nations and was also active as a radio commentator, lecturer and magazine writer, as well as conducting a television program.

In between acts she was functioning as a walking delegate for American left-wingers and openly plugging for Socialist programs, and, at the same time, serving as a sort of unofficial General Manager of the World.

In this capacity she flitted about over the earth's surface giving unsolicited advice to heads of governments on Socialist policies and extending free counsel to all members of the human race on their social responsibilities and the selection of their personal associates.

Her efforts to reverse social customs and re-vamp human behavior were directed originally at the South where she instituted a campaign to divert all Negroes from the kitchen as servants and re-route them into the living room as guests.

It was her dream, once she had accomplished this primary objective, to go on from there and take the world apart, country by country and section by section, and reconstruct it according to the warped and perverted ideals to which she had become committed.

With absolute fidelity to the program of World Planners, she labored diligently in the United Nations for international social legislation which was to be forced on various countries, including her own, in the form of treaties.

This included a universal marriage law, tucked away in the Charter on Human Rights, removing all racial barriers and encouraging the indiscriminate mating of persons of all colors which would have served to reduce the social status of human society to that of a kennel of mongrel dogs.

"My Night" was offered as a counter-attack on these assaults against dignity, decorum and moral principles and was written on the theory that ridicule is a more effective medium than logic for exploding absurd theories, debunking preposterous proposals and halting degrading procedures.

At any rate, it was the only weapon we possessed and we felt that we would be disloyal to our country, and especially to the South, if we remained silent and did not employ our one small talent in combatting the subversive activities of a member of our sex who obviously had no sympathy for American traditions, no regard for regional customs and very little respect for human propriety.

Now, thank God, she has been stopped cold in her tracks.

In an Executive pronouncement, the President of the United States sounded the death knell of her main program when he assured the American people recently that he would sign no United Nations pact on Human Rights, thus nullifying in a few wise words, her years of pernicious meddling, interfering and attempts to dictate the personal behavior of the human race.

In addition to being dismissed from the Human Rights Commission of the United Nations, her radio and television programs have been discontinued, only a very few newspapers are carrying her column and practically the only medium through which her wares are now peddled is a left-wing and discredited national magazine.

And so, as far as we are concerned, "Operation Eleanor" comes to an end even as her inglorious public career has been terminated, for to continue "My Night" would be to invest her with a measure of importance she no longer enjoys and to credit her with influence she does not possess.

By this we do not mean to imply that Eleanor Roosevelt has not left her evil imprint on the generation in which she has lived and labored for she has and the harm she has done will live long after she has answered the final curtain call of Time.

Somehow, she has always been able to take the most degenerate and revolting proposals and, through a clever manipulation of words and phrases and a perverted line of reasoning, to present them to her weak-minded followers as appealing and logical procedure in human behavior.

Although a professed champion of tolerance and racial unity, she has engendered more racial hatred and animosity than any other personality in human history.

This is especially true in the South where, in far too many cases, she succeeded in wiping the smile of content from the happy faces of Southern darkies and replacing it with a sneer of contempt.

We have never subscribed to the belief held by most editors throughout the country who always wrote her off as a fumbling female who didn't know what she was doing and who blundered into a discussion of subjects of which she had no faint understanding and as an irresponsible "do-gooder" who did not realize the full implications of her fantastic proposals.

We think that she is possessed of superior intelligence, a devious and cunning mind and that she has always known exactly what she was doing.

We are convinced that she has been a willing and faithful follower of "party line principles" since she first became a convert to the "cause" when young members of the American Youth Congress gave her the full treatment many years ago.

Before signing off on this column, we would like to point out that of the millions who have read "My Night" either in the Southern Conservative or the large daily newspapers who have reproduced it, and of the thousands who have written us concerning it, only four communications were received protesting its publication and of these four, two were unsigned and the other two were from persons having a background in common.

In the future, we hope to devote this space to the discussion of important subjects.

After all, there is no point in flogging a dead horse.

THIRTEEN MEMBERS OF THE SENATE PROVIDE REFRESHING EXAMPLE OF ORIGINAL THINKING AND INDEPENDENT ACTION

The herd instinct is an impulse that governs human conduct even as it directs behavior in the animal kingdom.

Blindly following the leader is a sound and sensible procedure when applied to the movements of a flock of sheep.

When lawmakers who are elected to serve the interests of the people adopt this policy, they repudiate their personal obligation to their constituents, disavow their individual responsibility for the general welfare and make a mockery of representative government.

The gradual breakdown of American institutions and the continuing swing toward National Socialism is directly due to group thinking and mass functioning as opposed to individual deliberation and independent action on the part of each member of the Congress of the United States.

By drifting with the wind and following the line of least resistance in disposing of grave national issues, they conserve their time, preserve their mental energy and save wear and tear on their brain, but they contribute nothing toward building a record for constructive statesmanship or for courageous performance of their duty.

Excerpts from the remarks of Senator Herman Welker of Idaho when Charles E. Bohlen's confirmation as Ambassador to Russia was up for consideration, provide a wholesome example of original thinking and courageous action and his viewpoint is expressive of the attitude of the other dozen senators who refused to sacrifice principle in the interest of expediency:

"It is always very easy to go along with the crowd but it takes quite a bit of nerve to stand up and say 'I think we should dissent' . . . I assure the Senate that when I do it, I do it in a spirit of trying to help my country and trying to be a good senator of the United States while I work for the State which sent me here . . . Mr. President, I feel I have a duty to perform . . . If I am derelict in that duty, I do not deserve to be on the floor of the Senate, nor do I wish to serve in it. I am convinced that the people of my State would never send me back to the Senate if I were derelict in performing my solemn duty under the oath I took as a United States Senator . . . I came to the Senate solely because I had campaigned strenuously against the foreign policy of the prior administration. I campaigned against Dean Acheson, against the Yalta agreement and all other agreements that have caused us the headaches we now suffer. I could not return to my home State and say that I voted to confirm the nomination of a man who still justifies and defends Yalta . . . That is why I rise and tell the Senate without malice, without rancor and without bitterness, the reasons why I must oppose this nomination".

In addition to Senator Welker, others who voted against confirmation of Bohlen were: John W. Bricker of Ohio, Styles Bridges, New Hampshire, Everett M. Dirksen, Illinois, Henry C. Dworshak, Idaho, Barry Goldwater, Arizona, Bourke B. Hickenlooper,

We Are Highly Flattered By Editorial In CIO News

We are overwhelmed by credit given us by the CIO News for patriotic accomplishments beyond the line of duty.

"The Southern Conservative is a fairly typical example of a whole pack of vicious publications and organized groups now yelping at the heels of the United Nations and its various agencies — with some success, it is sad to note" says an editorial by one of its editors in the March 30th edition which adds "The pack has drawn blood".

"Take the case of the public schools in Los Angeles" the editorial continues. "There the program and publications of UNESCO have finally been dropped after a knock-down, drag-out fight that lasted a year and made the headlines nationally when raging at its hottest.

"Texas is another place where the anti-UNESCO drive has been quite successful. In Houston, for instance, high school students were barred from taking part in the annual UN essay contest. The Southern Conservative must have cut a notch in its gun after this victory".

Much as we would like to agree— for once—with something in the CIO News and take full credit for these splendid achievements, we must admit that it was principally the grand work of various local groups which brought about these two victories although if anything we wrote contributed toward banning UNESCO in an American school, it gives us a glow of pride to contemplate it.

Maybe we are touched in the head but we just plain love our own country, hate its enemies and are ready to fight like a bob-tailed wildcat at the suggestion of surrendering its sovereignty and selling it out to the international degenerates whose ultimate ambition is to see it swallowed up in a Socialist world government.

Meantime, we earnestly thank the editor of CIO News for "them kind words" and assure him that no higher praise could be accorded us."

Although the statement was definitely exaggerated and far-fetched, we were thrilled no end recently when a prominent speaker told a distinguished gathering at Dallas in speaking of us: "She has done more to discredit Eleanor Roosevelt than anybody in the United States—except Eleanor Roosevelt".

Iowa, Edwin C. Johnson, Colorado, George W. Malone, Nevada, Pat McCarran, Nevada, Joseph R. McCarthy, Wisconsin, Karl E. Mundt, South Dakota, and Andrew F. Schoepel, Kansas.

All Americans owe these courageous public servants much for voting their convictions in a matter of such grave importance to the nation.

President Exercises His Constitutional Right But Makes Unfortunate Selection

There is no question that the president of the United States has the right, under our form of government, to select those who represent him in various capitals of the world as ambassadors and in other diplomatic posts abroad.

It is equally the right of members of the Senate to inquire carefully into the qualifications of the men so named since it is they who must either confirm, or reject, the president's selections for these posts.

In the case of Charles E. Bohlen named by the president to serve as Ambassador to Russia, there was sharp disagreement between certain senators and the Chief Executive as to Bohlen's fitness for the job.

In the skirmish that ensued, the president was the victor by a wide margin but it has yet to be established whether or not the people of the United States were the winners in this bitter contest.

There was strong feeling on the part of senators who opposed Bohlen's confirmation, as well as among many who voted for him, that he was a very poor selection and that his close connection with the Acheson-Hiss-Lattimore clique disqualified him for objective service in this important post.

The president's defense of his nominee, so far as we are able to learn, centered around the fact that he had played golf with Bohlen and had discussed world affairs with him as they sauntered over the course.

While we do not believe that the new ambassador's ability as a golf player will avail him much in his dealings with the Kremlin and doubt seriously that he will ever be invited to shoot eighteen holes with Malenkov, perhaps such an occasion for sounding out a man's views on principles of government is just as propitious as any other.

After all, it takes a lot of specialized ability and concentration to make a hole in one and some very clever and astute manipulation is necessary to retrieve a ball from a trap and perhaps it is of such things that diplomacy is fashioned.

At any rate, many Americans, in this case, are left in the pitiable plight which they faced after the election last Fall and one which possibly will confront them many times in future.

They are in a state of mixed emotions compounded equally of relief and doubt—relief at having deposed one set of incapable leaders and doubt of the ones they had enthroned in providing complete remedy for the nation's ills.

HOUSTON CHURCH REFUSES DONATION TO NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES

According to an article in the Houston Chronicle on April 17, St. Paul's Methodist Church in that city has refused a donation to the National Council of Churches of Christ by a vote of 35 to 28 on the grounds that a branch of this Council is influenced by leftists.

It was explained that opposition to the National Council was centered against its Division of Christian Life which, a St. Luke's official pointed out "is manned by professional, paid workers whose writing and utterances

Ford Foundation Wants To Horn In On American Educational Systems

It is reported in the press that the Ford Foundation which is noted for its contributions to left-wing causes, has offered to finance television educational programs in the public schools of the Nation.

In the first place, it is unfortunate that teachers can't stick to their job of educating students through orthodox methods which have proved satisfactory throughout the years, instead of wishing to resort to devices for their entertainment and it is to be hoped that "television education" will not be adopted.

In the second place, financing of the project by the Ford Foundation would be worse than Federal aid to education and we can think of nothing which would more quickly Socialize the American public school systems than for any part of their financing to be turned over to the internationalists and one-worlders who control the Ford Foundation.

If they have no more judgement than to subsidize such outfits as the American Friends Service Committee which sends Negro perverts out over the country to represent it, God help us if they get their clutches on the American schools.

Colorful California Governor Appoints Los Angeles Judge

The Honorable Earl Warren is the handsome and amiable governor of California who is always elected by both the Democratic and Republican parties of his state and who avoids any show of partiality to either by performing mostly in conformity with the principles of the Socialist Party.

Los Angeles papers have announced his recent appointment of a Negro as a judge of their Municipal Court.

California has an excessively heavy colored population and it is assumed that it had become necessary to appoint a person best qualified to handle legal cases involving members of that race.

Try as we may, we can see no sense in all the talk about a "substitute for the excess profits tax". The people of the United States voted overwhelmingly for tax reduction and nothing was said about eliminating one tax and replacing it with another.

are not what they ought to be."

This is, perhaps, the understatement of the century but St. Luke's is to be commended for withholding funds from a group which that church feels is controlled by "leftists."

Refusing to donate money to further objectionable activity is the best way yet devised to stop such activity and more churches should take this effective method of cleaning out the National Council of Churches and compelling it to limit its activities to Christian, rather than Socialist, endeavors.