

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 11

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, FEBRUARY, 1960

No. 2

Repeal of Connally Amendment Means End of Freedom for American People

If Everybody Will Mind His Own Business Most Of Our Troubles Will Come To An End

There has been so much damage done to the nation's political, social and economic policies that it would take perhaps a half century's dedicated effort to bring this country back to sound thinking and sane action.

It is not possible to blame this violence to our institutions and way of life on any one element of our society but a lot of the harm is due to too many people having given full play to that urge in human nature which impels us to exercise dictatorship over our fellow men.

There are too many people who want to tell others what to do and how to do it.

There are too many politicians in positions of power and authority who are sticking their long noses into internal affairs of other nations of the world while letting the economy of the United States go to pot.

There are too many planned programs and too much mass conformity and not enough original thinking and independent action.

There are too many people who are hell-bent on being their brother's keeper without first finding out whether or not their brother wants to be a kept man.

In fact, there are too many voluntary do-gooders in the world and their tribe is increasing so rapidly that they are running out of people to reform and will soon have to start operating on each other.

So much that is wrong could be cured if every American citizen would take an oath on the Bible to henceforward attend strictly to his own business and let everybody else do the same.

It would be surprising how soon things would begin to right themselves.

LOOKING FOR A DAME TO BE SHOT INTO OUTER SPACE? WELL, HERE SHE IS!

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration in Washington has let it be known that they are on a hush-hush hunt for an intrepid female who would be willing to become the first woman ever to be shot into outer space.

At this point we get into the act to announce that we know just the girl for the job.

Her name is Eleanor Roosevelt.

We've always suspected that there was some particular sphere of activity where this talented lady would be useful but, until now, we never could figure out just what it was.

We not only think that she would enter wholeheartedly and gaily into this new experience but we believe millions of Americans would stand on the ground and cheer her on.

That she is thoroughly qualified for this untried project cannot be denied. She's been everywhere else and seen everything and since there is no other place to go, she would undoubtedly welcome a chance to give outer space a whirl.

In imagination we can just see her making herself right at home with the inhabitants of Mars or any other planet on which she might happen to land and, after getting into the spirit of the thing, going into their kitchens and peering into their pots to see what's cooking. Later, we can visualize her working them over and making valuable suggestions for the improvement of their domestic, social and cultural life.

Being the first one there and getting the drop on them, she would be in excellent position to deliver a series of lectures on racial relations, thereby boning them up on segregation, discrimination and other distasteful practices which have caused her such distress on earth and putting the kibosh on these evil policies before they got started.

What a fitting finale to the career of a woman who has trod practically every foot of the civilized earth's surface to be propelled by supersonic power into new and undiscovered spacial territory where she could meet new peoples, supervise their thinking, re-arrange their lives and prepare them for world citizenship before they could think up a way to resist.

As every thoroughly informed American knows, the United Nations is the hub of an international conspiracy against the United States and the focal point of plans to abolish our national sovereignty. Under the guise of a great world peace organization, it harbors the master minds who plot the overthrow of the governments of all free nations and the establishment of a super world state wherein the citizens of all countries will be levelled to the status of universal slavery. At this time a gigantic scheme to perfect the U.N. goal of total world domination is being pushed in the form of attempts to repeal the Connally Amendment to a resolution committing the United States to the jurisdiction of the World Court. It is up to the United States Senate to circumvent this diabolic intrigue against us but since that body is thickly cluttered with one-worlders, international Socialists and plain incompetents who couldn't smell danger if their own clothes were on fire, responsible Americans had better begin now to snow them under with an avalanche of letters, telegrams and telephone calls in a last final attempt to wake them up and persuade them, for once, to earn their salt and do the job for which they were elected—upholding the Constitution of the United States.

On that dark day in the summer of 1945 when the United States Senate voted to ratify the United Nations Charter, apparently only two members of that body had read it and both of them voted against ratification.

They were Langer of North Dakota and Shipstead of Minnesota. Their wisdom and foresight has been vindicated many times since that day but never so forcefully as now when we are facing a showdown on World Court jurisdiction with the future freedom of the American people at stake.

With ratification of the United Nations Charter, the United States automatically became a party to the so-called International Court of Justice set up by statutory enactment of the United Nations but a provision of the Charter provided that this country would not be obligated to accept jurisdiction of the World Court unless and until our Senate had voted in a separate action to do so.

This meant that a special resolution would have to be introduced and passed by the Senate before this country became aligned with this World Court which the United Nations had set up as a sort of Supreme Court of the Universe.

Off-hand it would seem that it would be difficult to get a representative of the American people in the Upper House of Congress to introduce such a resolution but those who hold to that theory do not know their Senate.

Wayne Morse, the political maverick of that body, accommodated those foreigners and internationalists who wanted the United States obligated to accept jurisdiction of the World Court by coming across with such a resolution in November of 1945. This resolution, with certain exceptions, proposed that the United States defer to the International Court of Justice as the supreme judicial authority of the world.

At this point a member of the Senate who had voted to ratify the United Nations Charter suddenly came alive to the danger embodied in Morse's resolution and proposed an amendment to it of only six words but which was to mean, so long as this amendment remained in effect,

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

Notorious Communist Fronter Is Removed From 'Blacklist'

Dalton Trumbo, a Hollywood writer, was convicted of contempt of Congress in 1947 in connection with charges concerning his alleged Communist affiliations.

Following his conviction, Hollywood producers, fearful of the effect on box-office receipts, put Trumbo on the blacklist. This did not mean necessarily that he did not continue to write scripts but merely that he was given no screen credit and his name did not show as the writer of a motion picture.

However, according to press reports on January 21, Trumbo has been removed from the blacklist and hereafter is to be given the works.

Otto Preminger, one of the major producers, is reported in press dispatches as being responsible for removing Trumbo from the blacklist on the ground that he, Preminger, "does not go along with blacklists." The Austrian-born producer says that when his picture "Exodus" is released Trumbo will be given full credit as the writer.

Preminger's arrogance in the matter no doubt resulted from recent events which helped to take the tarnish from the names of those who heretofore have been accused of affiliation with organizations seeking the overthrow of the American government. Such as Khrushchev's visit to this country where he was treated as a conquering hero, for instance.

Certainly Khrushchev is openly for the overthrow of the American government and makes no bones about it and Preminger probably figured that if the American people could wine and dine and do great honor to the top-dog Communist, there was no point in penalizing

a little guy like Trumbo.

However, Trumbo was no small potatoes in the matter of joining Communist fronts and had quite a list to his credit. The Fourth Report Un-American Activities in California, 1948, lists him as being affiliated with thirty-four such fronts.

Among the charming little outfits to which he contributed his particular talents were The Progressive Citizens of America which staged a rally for the "Hollywood Ten" Communist witnesses whom speakers at the gathering referred to as "great Americans" (see page 58 of the above report); Hollywood Writers Mobilization whose speakers referred to official investigations of Communism "as an effort on the part of the bosses to impose thought control upon the nice, kind people who only wanted to destroy the government of the United States in a bloody civil war" (see page 58 of above report); Actors Laboratory comprising a "representative cross section of Hollywood Stalinism" (see page 105 of above report); American Committee for Yugoslav Relief "organized to support dictatorship of Soviet puppet Tito" (see pages 131-132 of above report); and thirty other outfits with the same objective. Trumbo also composed "Johnny Got His Gun" which ran serially in the Daily Worker, official Communist Party organ. (See page 251 of the same report.)

So when you pay out your perfectly good American Free Enterprise money to see "Exodus" remember all these things about the writer of the script who does not like that system.

This will probably add to your enjoyment of the picture if you are the type of American who does not mind having subversives provide your entertainment.

A POWERFUL POTENTIAL FORCE WHICH COULD CHANGE THE COURSE OF HISTORY

(Southern Conservative, September, 1955)

We are advised by those whose opinions are backed by several years of research on the subject that there are in the United States at this time approximately 28 million politically displaced Americans.

They were abandoned and left without political affiliation when both the Democratic and Republican parties were absorbed by the Socialist party. Holding definite and inflexible convictions on principles of government, they have refused to accept the lesser of two evils in voting for a candidate for President and have chosen, instead, to stay away from the polls in the last several national elections.

They are men and women who have never been, and could never be, tempted to become associated with Communist front organizations or to engage in any type of subversive activity against their

country and are able to recognize Kremlin propaganda no matter how subtle or cleverly disguised.

They share with all good Americans a feeling of disgust and contempt for such groups as Americans for Democratic Action, NAACP, National Education Association, National Council of Churches and other propaganda transmission belts, but continue to remain aloof and do nothing about it.

In brief, they constitute a powerful potential political bloc which, if it could be mobilized into a solid unit, would be able to cleanse both major political parties of corruption, control selection of a Presidential candidate and help to restore Constitutional Government in the United States.

Editor's note: These conditions have not materially changed since the above editorial was written.

ARE THE SOVIETS COMING BACK TO GET WHAT THEY OVERLOOKED BEFORE?

Khrushchev and his stable of spies must have failed to get all the top-secret information they wanted out of this country when they visited here last September because they apparently are going to try again.

On January 26, it was announced in the press that twelve top-level Communists from Soviet Russia, including several heirs to Kremlin power, were going to fly here for a tour of the United States on January 29th, which they did.

The Communists were invited here by the Executive Committee of the U. S. Governors Conference and any American who does not know the background and activities of that outfit is not as informed as he ought to be.

It is presumed that everything will be thrown wide open to the Bolsheviks and that they will be

treated like visiting royalty.

Again we are reminded of John T. Flynn's speculation about what might have happened during the tension between this country and Germany, if some of Hitler's high-ranking officials had been invited to the United States and asked to make themselves at home and enjoy themselves.

There would have been such popular and widespread resentment against such a procedure that the lives of the visitors would have been in actual danger.

That was as it should be, but now we've been too brainwashed to care how many enemies invade our shores, how many conspiracies may be hatched against the Republic or how much top-secret information may be carted off to later be employed in blowing us into bits.

REPEAL OF CONNALLY AMENDMENT

(Continued from Page 1)

the difference between retention of our national sovereignty and its surrender to a foreign power.

This was Senator Tom Connally of Texas who by his acute perception and alert action saved his country from immediate absorption by a Communist-dominated One-World cabal and also thereby erased largely his own previous record of New Deal subservience and earned the right, in this case at least, to be called an American statesman.

A provision in Morse's resolution recognized as "compulsory" the jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice in all matters except those concerning . . . "disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States . . ."

Senator Connally's amendment inserted at this point contained the following six words "AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES" and caused Morse's resolution committing us to the World Court to read as follows: (except) "Disputes with regard to matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States, AS DETERMINED BY THE UNITED STATES." (Emphasis ours.)

Whereupon Morse and others of his ilk in the Senate fought like demons to defeat the Connally amendment but the Morse resolution in its original form was too much even for those who had voted for ratification of the United Nations Charter to swallow.

So the Connally amendment was passed by a vote of 52 to 12 on August 2, 1946 and the plot of conspirators to dismantle American sovereignty and propel us immediately into One-World government was temporarily halted.

But now the President of the United States, whose overweening ambition is apparently to advance the cause of One-World government before he leaves the White House, has urged Congress to repeal the Connally amendment to the Morse resolution and let the World Court have complete say-so about what is and what is not a domestic issue. In this he has been joined by Vice President Richard Nixon, Attorney General William P. Rogers, Secretary of State Christian Herter and a swarm of other advocates of American judicial authority being transferred from the United States to The Hague.

To bring about the repeal of the Connally Amendment Senate Resolution No. 94 was introduced by Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota who was quoted in the press recently as having declared that he "will not vote to ratify any East-West treaties or agreements unless Chinese Communists are signatories." This attitude makes his action in introducing Resolution No. 94 more understandable.

The World Court is composed of fifteen judges appointed by the United Nations for terms of nine years and as a faint indication of how much understanding they might be expected to have of our domestic problems and how much sympathy they might feel toward American internal affairs one should get hold of a list of these judges with the jaw-breaking names, including two Communists, and study it for a few minutes; then ask himself what chance a domestic issue would have of being solved in the interest of the American Republic after running the gauntlet of that motley aggregation of international Socialists.

The Senate has been holding hearings on the Humphrey resolution to repeal the Connally amendment and will probably vote on the matter within the next few weeks.

Knowing the Senate as all good Americans should and recognizing that body's unfortunate propensity for almost habitually voting against the best interests of this country, it would seem to be imperative that every responsible citizen get busy and give his two senators a Paper Shower of such overwhelming proportions that they will remember the event to the end of their political careers.

THE ENEMIES OF THE SOUTH STRIKE ANOTHER BLOW AGAINST STATES RIGHTS

One of the boldest and most flagrant advances in further abolishing States Rights and tightening Federal control over local voting machinery yet made was the action of the United States Senate on February 2 when that body voted to submit a Constitutional amendment which included outlawing the poll tax.

The vote was 70 to 18 although only 59 votes were necessary to put the measure over. The eleven surplus votes should give encouragement to enemies of the South as it indicates their growing strength in the Senate.

The amendment must now pass the House but if the Senate which is traditionally regarded as a stop-gap for ill-considered and unwise legislation can take this jab at States Rights, it is easy to imagine what the House with its large contingent of wild-eyed radicals will do.

There are only five States which have the poll tax law, Alabama, Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas and Virginia. There are forty-five states who do not have it (if we must count Alaska and Hawaii as "States") and now these States which don't have the poll tax are to be given a chance to tell the five States which do have it that they must give it up.

New York Senator Jacob Javits, apparently feeling that it was a waste of valuable time to go through Constitutional processes introduced a substitute bill which would have killed off the poll tax by a simple majority vote of Congress. Surprisingly enough it was voted down 50 to 37 but we are unable to understand why. It would have been quicker and easier to dispose of the poll tax in this manner and, anyway, who cares about the Constitution any more?

Besides this proposed Constitutional amendment is loaded to the hilt and is assured of passage in advance since the five States which have poll tax are probably the only ones who will vote against the amendment. It requires ratification by three-fourths of the States and they have more than that to start with so they might as well have adopted Javits' bill and saved wear and tear on the voting machines in forty-five State legislatures.

Texas' two senators voted for the amendment, Johnson probably because he figured it would strengthen Northern approval of his nomination at Los Angeles and Yarborough because nothing better is to be expected of him.

There were two other provisions in the proposed amendment but the poll tax feature was paramount as this voting requirement in five Southern States has been the target of enemies of Constitutional Government for a long time.

One of the other provisions would grant the right of suffrage to the Negro capital of the world and allow the people of the District of Columbia to send delegates to Congress.

The other provision would give State governors the power to fill vacancies in the U.S. House of Representatives in the event more than half of the House members should perish in an atomic attack.

This was the silliest one of all and must have been stuck in for window dressing or to fill up space.

Khrushchev is not going to drop any bombs on the Congress of the United States.

Why should he?

Let's Get Busy Now And Finish The Clean-Up Job

According to a recent article in Newsweek, Dr. Edward Vieth Sittler, a highly qualified teacher of philosophy holding degrees from several American and German universities, has been kicked out of six United States colleges in the past ten years, the last one only recently.

His offense is that he is a former Nazi, although he claims that he has seen the error of his ways and has thoroughly repented.

Perhaps this attempt to clean out the teaching staffs of American institutions of higher learning means that we will go on from there and now kick out the Communists and Communist sympathizers who are alleged to litter up practically every college and university in the United States and who are instilling into the minds of students a contempt for the American system of government and selling them on the benefits of Marxism as a way of economic and social life.

That will be the day.

SOME GOOD LADIES WHO DON'T WANT THEIR MINDS CONFUSED WITH FACTS

We are informed by some who have attended them, that "home study" meetings are being held by local councils of Protestant church women and we learn that these gatherings are being stepped up in recent weeks.

One of the principal subjects which the good women are studying is the United Nations and the instructions given them for the conduct of these "studies" are side-splitting.

The dear ladies are told that in order to get the truth about the United Nations, they must study only a book put out by the National Council of Churches, copies of which are furnished each group.

They are warned that there are a "few" persons here and there who object to the United Nations but they are not to pay any attention whatever to such crackpots but to accept only the view of the subject as laid down by the National Council

'Regional Government' Has No Place In The American System

There are so many angles to the overall plot to whittle away the American governing pattern, Federal, State and local, that it would be utterly impossible for any one human brain to comprehend them all. We've given up trying long ago.

So the safest plan is to be mistrustful and suspicious of any plot or proposal to mutilate or change in any way the basic policies laid down in Federal and State Constitutions with respect to carrying out the functions of government.

In all proposals for changing the forms of American governing systems, the outstanding objective is for "consolidation" and concentration of authority on the plea that it makes for better government and that it is less expensive to the taxpayers.

This has been the siren song of tyrants from the beginning of time—when they plan to take away human freedom they invariably predicate their snatching away of the peoples' rights on the proposition that they are conferring great benefits on those they propose to rob.

The attacks on the Federal Constitution and attempts to subvert it are constant and never-ending but proposals for changing local governing authority are almost as widespread.

For instance, at Austin, the State capital of Texas, recently a gathering of chuckle-headed liberals, spurred on by the usual aggregation of college professors, decided that the day was not too distant when Fort Worth and Dallas would have to be operated under a "regional government." There is, of course, no provision in the Texas Constitution for "regional government" but that means nothing to half-baked reformers whose basic

philosophy is that anything that has ever been done before is bad and that every untried experiment is good and should be adopted.

Such persons as those gathering in Austin are typical of similar groups all over the country who are making the same proposals. They didn't originate the idea, of course, and are merely following along with a planned program of whose objectives and ramifications they haven't the faintest understanding.

The big idea is "Metro government" which means control first of city government, then broadening out into "regional government" which includes several cities or counties and then inching on up little by little until vast areas are taken in by these small dictatorships. The more centralization we have, the easier it will be for us to be taken over, as a nation, according to our Soviet friends who don't like the idea of so many segments of government and want them gradually incorporated into larger "regional government" units.

Tied in together is Metro Government, Urban Renewal, Gruen Plans, Slum Clearance and any number of catch programs for snaring the unwary and enlisting their support in consolidation of the different units of local government which, looking way ahead, means One-World Government in the end.

The idea of the founders of the American system was that government should be brought as close to the people as possible and spread out as widely as possible. If they had wanted "Regional Government" and concentration of authority in a few hands they would have said so.

An unknown candidate for President who tried to get on the preference poll in the New Hampshire primary to be held March 8 was ruled out on the ground that signatures on his petition were those of dead men. We didn't know that was any crime. In fact, we have heard of men being elected to the United States Senate with the help of dead men's ballots.

Owners of television networks have declared their intention to clean up their industry by offering "educational" programs. This means of course a heavier shower of propaganda than formerly such as that contained in "The Great Wall" and others recently released. If you ask us, we'd rather have the rigged quiz programs. Their only harm is to the reputations of the participants and not to masses of our citizenship.

Thomas Jefferson said: "Let us hear no more about trusting our officials but bind them down by the chains of the Constitution," but what is a nation going to do whose "officials" have riddled their Constitution all to pieces?

cil of Churches which knows all the answers.

The lady bell-wether at such meetings rides herd on her audience of "students" and makes sure that no literature other than that of the NCC is bootlegged into the study room for fear the one-track minds of the good sisters get cluttered up with accurate information and confused with facts.

If anyone were looking for arguments as to why the nineteenth amendment should be repealed and us womenfolks gently eased out of politics and firmly propelled back into the kitchen, he might find some good ones by dropping in on such groups.

How many executive agreements are there now in effect which the people do not know about including the "Spirit of Camp David"? This should prove a valuable research field for good Americans.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor

Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

[Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.]

Sent without cost to members of Congress,
members of State Legislatures, Governors,
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with
the wind but it takes an eagle to fly
against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

'KEEP YOUR FREEDOM' PRINCESS IN EXILE WARNS AMERICANS

We were delighted and honored to have the charming Roumanian Princess in exile, Catherine Caradja, call on us at our office recently.

The Princess won the admiration and gratitude of the American people during World War II when she hid American airmen who landed on her estate in Roumania from both the Nazis and Communists and was instrumental in helping them later to get out of the country.

She has been lecturing in the United States to schools, civic clubs and other groups during the years she has made her home here and is credited with having awakened many indifferent Americans to the menace of Communism and the constant threat it offers to the free world.

Having experienced at first-hand the horrors of Communist invasion and the loss of freedom, the Princess is peculiarly well fitted to advise the American people to resist with every force at their command a similar tragic fate at the hands of the brutal Soviets.

She is doing wonderful work and should be helped and encouraged by every American who values the priceless gift of freedom and appreciates her efforts to help them keep it.

Her address is Princess Catherine Caradja, 4000 North Charles, Baltimore 18, Maryland.

THE SWASTIKA IS AN EVIL EMBLEM THE HAMMER AND SICKLE IS WORSE

Being a loyal and un-hyphenated American, we pay allegiance solely to the American Flag and the Republic for which it stands and it is our opinion that the emblems of ruthless and degenerate dictators should be consigned to the fires of Hades.

By this we mean not only the Swastika but the Hammer and Sickle as well. Both represent everything that is evil, depraved and corrupt and there is not a particle of basic difference in the satanic policies of government for which these two vile banners stand.

For this reason, we are totally unable to understand why the Swastika would inspire jeering in this country and the Hammer and Sickle applause.

Certain individuals, groups and organizations went into a tizzy over the appearance of a few Swastikas around over the world but did not raise their voice in protest when old killer Khrushchev, representing the putrid Hammer and Sickle, paraded through American cities in the most disgraceful spectacle ever staged in the United States.

And the section of the press which is controlled ran a temperature when Swastikas were painted on fences and buildings here and there but they gave hundreds of columns of space to the visit of the bestial Soviet dictator, thereby enhancing his prestige throughout the world.

Also, in New York a judge named Milton Solomon threatened to order charges of treason filed against two young hoodlums who proclaimed themselves Nazis although it is generally conceded that the crime of treason is practically a dead letter in the United States and no pretense has ever been made of subjecting hundreds of Communists and Communist frontiers to the penalties prescribed for this crime although they have openly advocated overthrow of the American government.

Also, at practically the same time, representatives of the United States government in the United Nations moved for U.N. condemnation of the painting of Swastikas on buildings in various countries as a "threat to the human rights and fundamental freedoms of all peoples" and warned that the "world international community cannot stand aside when this kind of thing happens."

And yet, even as this warning was being issued by the United Nations at the request of representatives of the American government, the President of the United States was going ahead with plans to visit the Soviet Republic where the damnable Hammer and Sickle floats over Red Square as an eternal reminder of Soviet degradation, injustice and human slavery such as the civilized world has not witnessed since the beginning of history.

In our frenzy over the Swastikas, let us remember that the Beast of Berlin is dead but that the Monster of Moscow is very much alive and, like a cobra, is tightening his hold on the few remaining free nations of the world in a final attempt to squeeze out of the human race every vestige of freedom initiative and independence in order that he may perfect his plans to conquer the world.

Let us despise the Swastika and all that it stands for but let us harbor even greater hatred for the Hammer and Sickle and recognize it as the greatest menace ever to threaten not only Constitutional government but Christian civilization itself.

Mr. Eisenhower somehow appeals to our sympathy by appearing to be Mr. Joe Average stuck in a situation that is just a little over his head. He has an easy-going way, an amiable smile and as the crash of each succeeding administration blunder reverberates through the headlines, sealing the doom of increasing millions under red tyranny, one is tempted to think 'Oh, well, he's doing the best he can. Let's not shoot the pianist'. But as we face the eighth year of such disasters, the thought keeps sneaking back that, to quote Mortimer Snerd: 'It ain't easy to be that dumb!'—Father Ginder in Our Sunday Visitor, November 28, 1959.

From an attorney in Denver: "It seems to me that you, more than any other patriotic editor, are always highly complimentary of other conservatives and especially of other editors and writers in your field. I am glad to see this for I think that only by working together can the conservatives hope to accomplish anything." Our rule has always been and will continue to be that our barbs shall be directed exclusively at the liberals and if we can't praise other conservatives and their work, we will remain silent.

We Can't Afford Any More Prosperity

We have been fed propaganda to the effect that the more money and properties we give away to other nations, the better off we will be, the more friends we will have, the more prosperous we will be and at the same time we will contain communism.

Now I might have the wrong slant on things but I believe we have about reached the point where we can not afford any more friends (the kind we buy) and can not afford to become more prosperous.

We have become so prosperous the past few years that we have amassed a debt of over \$290 billion which is a matter of record, besides those billions of which there is no record. This new-fangled way of measuring prosperity by the amount you owe has me a little bewildered. The more you owe, the more prosperous you are. I'm glad to find these things out though. I have been worrying lately about obligations but now I am at ease since finding out that in reality they are assets in disguise.—John W. West, Muleshoe, Texas.

Why Spend Money For Things They Get Free?

The American people are indebted to intensive research by Henry N. Taylor of the Scripps-Howard staff which enabled him to make the disclosure in a special story of the vast Soviet spy net-work which has been launched against the United States from its headquarters in Mexico City.

The diplomatically immune Soviet embassy in the Mexican capital with its 125 employees is the alleged seat of this activity against the American Republic with United States air bases as the principal targets.

Personally, we can't understand why all this activity South of the Border is necessary on the part of the Soviets. Don't they recognize opportunity when it stares them in the face? What with their top agents being invited by authorized officials to visit this country and inspect practically anything and everything and with our politicians and intellectual eggheads falling all over each other in the mad rush to get to Moscow and chum around with the Bolsheviks and with "cultural exchanges" between the two countries growing by leaps and bounds — why should the Communists spend any money setting up an espionage system to spy on us from down Mexico way?

It doesn't make sense to us. We thought they were smarter than that.

SYMINGTON BIDS FOR ATTENTION THROUGH CHEAP DEMAGOGUERY

The most outstanding demagogic utterance in a long time was that of Senator Stuart Symington of Missouri just prior to a trip he made to Africa sometime ago.

To make sure that liberals and pinks among the voters had the full benefit of his views, he came out in the press with the asinine statement that he would not visit any place in Africa where there was racial segregation or Negroes not afforded full privileges with white people.

His trip to Africa was very short because there are not many places which come up to his specifications.

Being a typical politician, Symington of course was merely trying to influence the Negro vote in this country in the event he should turn out to be the dark horse selected by the New Dealers as their candidate for President.

What he was saying in effect when he made his silly pronouncement was that the Dutch, English, French and other white settlers who have built a civilization on the dark continent are in a class with the savages in the African jungles, including the murderous Mau Mau, and should treat the latter as their equals in every way.

That the senator should even be considered as a remote possibility in the Presidential sweepstakes is an indication of how low the requirements for that job have sunk.

BECAUSE OF AN INDIVIDUAL'S LACK OF KNOWLEDGE, WHOLE NATION MUST SUFFER

On June 24th, 1957, President Eisenhower addressed the annual Governors Conference at Williamsburg, Virginia, at which time he made a remarkable proposal and one which demonstrates his unfortunate lack of knowledge of the American Constitution and the American governing system, and which partly explains the severe blows which have been dealt States Rights during his administration.

At that time, he proposed the setting up of a Federal-State "task force" TO FIND WAYS AND MEANS TO RE-ALLOCATE THE FUNCTIONS (emphasis ours) and revenues of the two levels of government.

The governors who should then and there have put the President right on the subject not only didn't do it but two days later approved his proposal.

Mr. Eisenhower will be retired next year and should have plenty of time for reading and informing himself although it will be too late for his country to get the benefit of his belated education.

He will find that the separate functions of the State and Federal governments were very clearly, definitely and unmistakably set forth by a superior "task force" in an earlier day whose brains, foresight and patriotism could not be excelled, nor even matched, by an entire aggregation of all the figures who hold either State or Federal office today.

To acquire accurate information on the subject he will merely have to read the Constitution of the United States which he took an oath to uphold when he assumed the office of President in 1953.

There are more than sixty groups representing churches and temples lobbying in Washington today according to the Methodist Challenge. Most of them are subversive in that they are opposed to the American Constitution, American institutions and the American way of life. Their objective is a One-World Marxist government which is the arch enemy of Christianity. Do you support financially a church which belongs in this group? If so, you are as guilty as they of treason to the United States.

A political economist has actually made a sensible suggestion. R. J. Sauliner, chairman of the President's Council of Economic Advisers has come out with an appeal to business to help curb inflation. This can be done, he says, if business firms and concerns will start cutting prices instead of raising them. We beat Mr. Sauliner to the draw because we said the same thing in this publication a long time ago. Of course, not being a government economist, our appeal was of no effect but perhaps the President's adviser will be able to persuade wholesale and retail concerns to take this sensible step.

Since no one can serve two masters, it becomes self-evident that loyalty to the United Nations is treason to the United States.

Spenders Should Not Bite The Hand That Feeds Them

A UPI press story from Washington on January 21 titled "Tax Collector Cracks Down" embodied a threat to the tax-paying citizens of the United States which would have been unthinkable in the days of Constitutional Government.

"Uncle Sam may make such an example of some (business men) that they and their bosses will wind up paying a tax on their real income that is more than their salaries," the article stated.

Internal Revenue officials in New York were quoted as referring to certain taxpayers as "characters," "this bird" and "this guy" and it was indicated that "embarrassing questions" will be asked on an increasing scale during 1960 of those who furnish the money to keep the Washington give-away boys in spending money.

Internal Revenue officials explained that they are being driven to drastic action because of the need for additional revenue.

This may be true and it is possible that the extravagant spending and throwing away of money all over the world to friendly and enemy countries alike makes it necessary for further bleeding of the taxpayers, but surely it is not necessary to add insult to injury and to threaten and intimidate American citizens and treat them as if they were galley slaves, even though they belong in that category so far as exorbitant taxes are concerned.

Couldn't the same results be obtained if taxing officials treated the paying customers with a little courtesy, politeness and consideration and refrained from referring to them as "characters, birds and guys"?

LESS PATERNALISM IN WASHINGTON AND MORE IN THE HOMES OF THE NATION

(From Mary Cain's column in the Summit, Mississippi, Sun)

Beloved Ida Darden, whose raper-like wit so delights readers of her "Southern Conservative," published at Fort Worth, Texas (Flatiron Bldg. — \$5.00 per year) announces in the current issue that the paper is celebrating its tenth year of "fighting relentless enemies of the American Republic." We join her countless friends over the nation in paying tribute to this truly remarkable woman and wishing her many more years of striking blows for freedom.

Typical of Ida's comments is this one, picked at random from the current issue:

"The Department of Agriculture in Washington has spent \$31,000,000,000 since 1953 on the farm program and farm surpluses have increased each year. Now the department has been given another \$4,686,000,000 to squander the

DOES ATTORNEY GENERAL CARRY OUT SENATE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS?

On March 18, 19 and 20, 1954, the subcommittee of the United States Senate to investigate the Administration of the Internal Security Act held hearings in New Orleans "respecting subversive influences in the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc. . . ."

The Report issued by the Committee following these hearings was signed by the Honorable James O. Eastland, chairman and the following members of the subcommittee: Olin D. Johnston, John McClellan, Price Daniel, William E. Jenner, Arthur V. Watkins, Herman Welker and John Marshall Butler.

This Report said in part: "The Southern Conference for Human Welfare was conceived, financed, and set up by the Communist Party in 1938 as a mass organization to promote Communism throughout the Southern States. Earl Browder, former general secretary of the Communist Party in the United States, in a public hearing, identified the Southern Conference for Human Welfare as one of the Communist Party's 'transmission belts.' Under date of March 29, 1944, the Southern Conference for Human Welfare was cited by the Special Committee on Un-American Activities as a Communist front and on June 12, 1947, by the Congressional Committee on Un-American Activities as a Communist front organization 'which seeks to attract Southern liberals on the basis of its seeming interest in the problems of the South' although its professed interest in Southern welfare is simply an expedient for large aims serving the Soviet Union and its subservient Communist Party in the United States'."

The Report further adds: "The Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc., was initially an adjunct to the Southern Conference for Human Welfare. After the exposure

of the Southern Conference for Human Welfare as a Communist front, it began to wither and was finally dissolved but the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc., continued. The official paper, the Southern Patriot, which was published by the Southern Conference for Human Welfare was taken over by the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc., which professes the same ostensible purpose. . . . An objective study of the entire record compels the conclusion that the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc., is operating with substantially the same leadership and purposes as its predecessor organization, the Southern Conference for Human Welfare."

The subcommittee, in the last paragraph of its Report, made this recommendation: "The subcommittee accordingly recommends that the Attorney General take the necessary steps to present this matter before the Subversive Activities Control Board in order that a determination can be made as to the status of the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc."

In view of revived interest in this outfit as a result of Fulton Lewis' broadcasts concerning it, the speculation naturally arises as to whether the office of the Attorney General of the United States carried out this recommendation of the subcommittee of the Senate and "took the necessary steps before the Subversive Activities Control Board" which the subcommittee requested.

The present Attorney General seems to be taking a keen, and what many regard as undue, interest in the affairs of the South and the question is being asked in many quarters whether his concern is for alleged subversive activities in that area or whether his interest centers solely on the "civil rights" of certain minority groups in that section of the country.

Various groups throughout the country are alarmed over the increasing filthy and obscene literature which is clogging the mail and the news stands but are handicapped in their attempts to correct the situation. The Supreme Court is against them in their fight to clean up this mud and slime and has so ruled. It is difficult to understand the working of minds like those of the Justices who oppose the elimination of pornographic books, pamphlets and pictures which are available to children. Perhaps the old goats enjoy reading this filth themselves and get such a vicarious thrill out of it that they can't be bothered about its moral effect on adolescents.

keep record of illegitimate births, all such things will appear to have vanished. It was not disclosed whether or not the order to make this change came from Washington, but we imagine it did. It sounds like typical Washington idiocy."

In short, what Ida and all libertarians want is what someone has described as "less paternalism in Washington and more in the homes of the nation."

"Sizzling Sixties" Version Of The Little Red Hen

(The following revised edition of a venerable nursery tale was written by Honorable Bennett L. Smith, prominent Fort Worth attorney, with a delightful sense of humor.—Editor.)

The grandfather finished reading THE LITTLE RED HEN to his pre-school granddaughter.

"That ending has anti-social implications which conflict with present ideologies," he mused. "This tale could corrupt the young."

So a revised ending and a new sequel occurred to him, to bring the antiquated denouement into line with progress in social engineering.

It will be remembered that the little Red Hen planted a grain of wheat, reaped the wheat, carried it to the mill to be ground into flour, made the flour into dough, and baked the dough into bread. At each working stage from planting to baking, she asked help from the duck, the goose, the cat, and the pig. Each always refused to aid the little Red Hen with her work. When the bread was ready for the eating, she asked who would help her eat the bread. The duck, the goose, the cat, and the pig each offered to help the Little Red Hen eat her bread. In the folk tale, the little Red Hen ate all the bread herself. That ending has here been reversed to fit the Sizzling 1960s, and a sequel with opposite dialectic follows.

Sequel: "And now," asked the little Red Hen, after she had finished baking the bread. "who will help me to eat the bread?"

"I will!" said the duck.

"I will!" said the goose.

"I will!" said the cat.

"I will!" said the pig.

"No, I will eat it myself!" said the little Red Hen.

But she did not!

For at that exact moment, fortunately, there entered an Internal Revenue agent.

"Have you reported and paid an income tax on your farm income?" the agent asked the little Red Hen.

"She has not!" said the duck.

"She has not!" said the goose.

"She has not!" said the cat.

"She has not!" said the pig.

"Then I assess an income tax deficiency against you and attach this bread as security," said the Internal Revenue agent.

And he did!

"What is the 'New Republicanism' that President Eisenhower talks about? According to his own description of it — judging from his messages to the Congress — it is a program that will bring into being a welfare state with unlimited spending, centralized power in Washington, taking more and more of the taxpayers' earnings through taxation, and building up a vast bureaucracy to do for the people what they should be doing for themselves . . . Essentially Ike's New Republicanism is a form of bribery, a program to buy votes with the voters' own money." — Republican Congressman Noah C. Mason of Illinois, speaking in the House of Representatives January 30, 1957.

Next there appeared a Department of Agriculture agent.

"Did you have an acreage allotment for your wheat?" this agent asked the little Red Hen.

"She did not!" said the duck.

"She did not!" said the goose.

"She did not!" said the cat.

"She did not!" said the pig.

"Then I fine you for growing wheat without a permit and confiscate your bread," said the Department of Agriculture agent.

And he did!

"Who will give bond for this delinquent little Red Hen?" asked the Internal Revenue agent and the Department of Agriculture agent.

"Not I!" said the duck.

"Not I!" said the goose.

"Not I!" said the cat.

"Not I!" said the pig.

"Then we will take this reactionary to jail where she belongs," said the two federal agents.

And they did!

"We must use this surplus bread in the free school lunch program," said the Department of Agriculture agent.

And he did!

"Who will eat this bread in the free school lunch program?" asked the Department of Agriculture agent.

"I will!" said the duck.

"I will!" said the goose.

"I will!" said the cat.

"I will!" said the pig.

And they did!

"We have redistributed the wealth!" said the Internal Revenue agent and the Department of Agriculture agent in unison, shaking hands.

And they had!

"We have taught that reactionary little Red Hen a lesson she won't soon forget and made her an example for other capitalists!" declared the two federal agents.

And they had!

"Haven't we taken from the one according to her ability and distributed to each according to his need?" asked the two federal agents.

"You have!" said the duck.

"You have!" said the goose.

"You have!" said the cat.

"You have!" said the pig.

And they had!

Moral: Better it is now to consume than to produce!

We repeat what we have said so many times and that is that our criticism of public officials is strictly confined to their actions as representatives elected by the people and has no personal implications.

More and more there is a rumor that Democratic bigwigs are not satisfied with any of the candidates for the presidential nomination now in the limelight and that they are privately planning to bring out a dark horse at the Los Angeles convention. This might not be such a bad idea if we could only be sure they would bring out all of the dark horse.

Few Politicians Ever Mean A Word They Say

It seems that Nelson Rockefeller was playing it cagey and didn't mean a word of it, after all, when he made like a prima donna and gave his farewell performance in politics.

It developed later and after he had announced his firm determination not to be a candidate for the nomination for President on the Modern Republican ticket, that he was just playing dead like a possum.

He still has his 70-member staff of egg-heads, pinks, and liberals all set to go in case his organization can generate some political lightning and have it strike him in the right place at the right time.

It is our opinion that his much publicized withdrawal from consideration as a potential candidate for the Presidential nomination was all a grandstand play and that he plans to stick around and wait, hoping that Nixon will trip on his beard and fall flat, and he will grab the nomination.

And don't let any candidate on either ticket, including Rockefeller, tell you that they would refuse to accept nomination for the vice presidency. If offered to any of them, they would take it so quick it would make your head swim.

Goody, Goody! Soon We'll All Be Numbered Like Convicts

We knew it was coming to this! Since all tax-paying American citizens are gradually being relegated to the status of glorified convicts, it was perhaps only natural that some department of the Federal government would finally decide we should all be given a number.

The Internal Revenue Service has announced the happy news that under a plan which is now being worked out each citizen is to be given a tax number which he will keep always as a sort of indication that he is serving a life term.

The IRS believes that this is necessary in order to enable that agency to do a better job of clipping the suckers and of making sure that no one escapes.

"If we don't do this, or something similar," said one of the officials of IRS, "we are going to be buried some day."

While most Americans probably don't think it would be a bad idea if they were, it would seem that some way would be found to carry on their complicated job without resorting to methods now employed in the nation's prisons to keep track of the culprits.

Following the appearance of the Soviet State Symphony at Carnegie Hall in New York January 3, it was announced that the building will soon be torn down to make way for a commercial enterprise. Too bad it wasn't torn down before the symphony performed. That would have saved the disgusting spectacle of thousands of so-called Americans shouting, applauding and jumping up and down in ecstasy over the spies and saboteurs who dominate all Soviet "art" projects.

We Could Go Further But We Probably Couldn't Do Worse

Senator Wayne Morse of Oregon in recent statements to the press intimated that he just might haul off and run for President himself.

Well, as the hilarious comedian on Steve Allen's show says: WHY NOT?

Morse has been known as a Republican, as a Democrat and as an Independent but above all, he is a deep-dyed International Socialist.

His antics throughout the years have earned him the title of the wild man of the Senate but, according to currently accepted standards, he should be as well qualified for the job as any of the others who have either announced or who are waiting hopefully around for somebody to snatch off their hat and toss it into the ring.

Morse's methods might be a little unorthodox but when the chips are down and his voting record is checked with those who call themselves "moderates," they'll just about even up.

Also, there are many who believe there is no chance for this country to be retrieved from International Socialism until we have hit rock bottom and the people are given the shock treatment needed to restore them to sanity.

Maybe Morse is the one who will do the job and shove us clear over the cliff from which we have been hanging by the toes for the past twenty-five years.

THIS COULD NOT BE THE ONE WE'RE THINKING ABOUT

There must be two Mr. Dwight D. Eisenhowers in this country because the only one we know about simply could not have given utterance—with a straight face—to the remarks attributed to him by the press in his speech to the GOP dinners recently and which went as follows:

Personal, political and economic freedoms of the individual are his most precious possessions and they are inseparable. If any one of these is lost, all others must disappear.

Government must refrain from unnecessary meddling in the daily, normal problems of living and working.

We are opposed to those extremists who argue that the federal government should become the master mechanic of our economy — with sweeping authority to tinker with the free processes of the competitive enterprise system.

Power belongs to all the people, and citizens should never permit its excessive concentration in any hands — industrial combines, labor groupments, or even government.

Already too much power and responsibility — and tax money — have drifted to Washington. Ye gods!

A man in Chicago who is described as a perennial candidate for President on an "America First" ticket was ruled off the preference poll ballot in New Hampshire recently. He's got the wrong slogan. He should put "America Last" and he might get somewhere.

THE MAKING OF A LEFT-WING PARSON

(By the Rev. John Clarence Petrie, Rector, St. Martin's Episcopal Church, Clewiston, Florida. This article appeared recently in *Christian Economics*)

Left-wing parsons are not born that way. Somewhere along the line between boyhood and ordination they become indoctrinated with Marxist ideas. Probably no two have the same story to tell. One man became a Socialist while in college. He is still one, very influential, whose editorials in the church paper of which he has been editor for several decades, never cease trying to make friends for the Soviet Union. "I entered the seminary," he told me, "because I saw the Church as the best soap-box from which to advance socialism."

My own experience was quite otherwise. Reared to look upon socialism as sinful I entered a seminary of the Episcopal Church which was honeycombed with Marxism. Like most men with clerical vocations I had an abhorrence of social injustice. From childhood I had looked on in admiration at the annual Labor Day procession in my home town. My father's one employee was a union man. I was put out to board, my mother being dead, with families whose male members belonged to unions. Thus my sympathies were always with the working class. But I never dreamed of class war.

As I look back I can think of nothing predisposing me to socialism and welfare state-isms except that sympathy with the "underdog." Then I was suddenly catapulted into the atmosphere of a theological seminary literally saturated with left-wing talk. I say talk instead of thought for it seems as I look back that there was little real thinking involved. Everybody was disturbed over social injustice. Wicked capitalism was at the bottom of all our ills. The profit motive, thoroughly selfish and unchristian, was an ineradicable part of capitalism. The remedy was for the instruments of production to become public property. There was no attempt to offer arguments against this Marxist line. No one mentioned that only by confiscating private property could public ownership occur. No one pointed out that public ownership actually meant control by political office-holders. No one suggested that perhaps men who had spent their lives in the United States Steel Corporation were better equipped to manage it than men whose main qualifications were those connected with vote getting.

Ours was a simple gospel—that is, where social ills were concerned. It was the gospel according to Marx, fully inspired and infallible.

The American people have denounced Federal Aid to schools on every occasion where they have had occasion to express themselves on the subject. But Federal Aid is constantly being sneaked to schools through one device or another and any attempt for taxpayers to find out how much of their money is being spent for this purpose leads to a dead end street.

The dogmatic certitude of this gospel was in startling contrast to the Gospel which the Church had been preaching for 19 centuries. Who was Jesus Christ? What was the nature of the Scriptures? What was the Church? What of the Sacraments? What of life after death? What of judgment? The Church had been giving clear answers to such questions from the earliest Christian centuries. But our seminary was vague, full of doubts. The New Testament was not taught us as the product of the early Church which had vouched for its inspiration. It was a laboratory specimen to be picked at and analyzed as something utterly unrelated to anything else. Proof that it was fallible and in much error was sought by the teachers. Within six weeks I had come to believe that much of it was mythology, some of it superstition, and not a little definitely fraudulent.

Christ who was worshipped in the words of the Nicene Creed as "God of God, Light of Light, Very God of Very God" became a misguided fanatic who taught that the world would end perhaps in His lifetime. If not, then His death would bring it about. The creeds were so much human invention. Life after death was to be doubted. And since Christ was only a man His marriage views were of no value. In one class our professor brought us around to justifying a liaison between a married man absent on a long assignment with a woman not his wife.

At the end of my first year I transferred out of that school to one which was faithful to the teachings of the Church and which had no self-assured Messianic role to bring in the kingdom of Marx. But the harm had been done. It was to take me 17 years to recognize that Marxism means surrender of freedom; that government ownership was a camouflage expression for slavery to politicians. It was to be another 13 years after that before full faith in Christ returned. Meantime, I had spent the best 30 years of my life as an exile from the ministry for whose service I had been trained.

I found soon on my return to the Church of my ordination that the laity do not at all follow the Red clergy. It is something to gladden the heart to know that not even the prestige of Apostolic Succession is enough to override the common sense and deep faith of those who know from experience, though inarticulately for the most part, that the gospel according to Marx is a phony and that salvation is only in the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

When the lazy and the indolent can get Congress to vote them a living, they lose all desire and incentive to work.

If Thomas Jefferson was right when he said, "That country is governed best that is governed least" think what a fix ours is in. There are very few angles of a citizen's life in which the Federal or State governments, or both, do not meddle.

THE MAN WHO KNOWS ALL THE ANSWERS

(Editor's Note: The following editorial which appeared in the Chicago Tribune, July 11, 1945, gives an interesting slant on one of the principal architects of the United Nations. The American people would do well to read and ponder this editorial, especially now that the Senate is in danger of committing us, through Senate Resolution 94, to the final objective of the U.N.—complete domination of the affairs of the United States by a World Court.)

Our government sent six men and a woman as delegates to the recent conference at San Francisco, but when members of the Foreign Relations Committee of the Senate want to find out what is in the treaty they don't ask the delegates. The questions are directed to Mr. Leo Pasvolosky, a Russian born official in the State Department, who is the man who knows more about the document than anybody else.

Mr. Stettinius headed the delegation, presided at a good many of the public sessions, and at all backroom conferences. The hearings in Washington started, appropriately enough, with a lengthy statement read by Mr. Stettinius, but apparently written by Mr. Pasvolosky. When the time came to ask questions Mr. Stettinius gracefully yielded the center of the stage to the same Mr. Pasvolosky, who knows all the answers.

This is more than a little odd. Mr. Pasvolosky's expertism is said to result from the fact that he wrote the original draft of the treaty, but that was quite a long time ago and his work meanwhile has undergone considerable modification. Nobody has yet explained why the department entrusted the drafting of this document to a foreign born functionary, whose training has been in economics rather than diplomacy. It is even more curious that the natives among our delegates, two of whom are members of the Senate committee, did not assert for themselves the right of interpretation.

The diffidence—if that is the word for it—of Mr. Connally and Mr. Vandenberg, to say nothing of Mr. Stettinius and the rest, has given the country the impression that it is really Mr. Pasvolosky's treaty, not theirs; that he understands it and they don't; that men with a good deal of experience in foreign affairs who were themselves participants in the negotiations have only an incomplete grasp of the content and purpose of this intricate and difficult document. They were at San Francisco, it appears, to assist him rather than he to assist them.

As Mr. Pasvolosky seems to be the only man who knows what it is all about, perhaps somebody sooner or later will ask him where the charter leaves the subject peoples. If any of the enslaved nations rises against its British, French, Dutch or Russian oppressor are we bound to fight against the cause of freedom? Or is a distinction made between the subjects of the three western colonial powers on the one hand and the victims of Russian aggression on the other?

At any rate, as matters stand, it appears that this country is being asked to approve a treaty which only one man, and he foreign born, fully understands.

PRESIDENT IS MORE CONSIDERATE OF CUBA THAN OF SOVEREIGN STATES

The President, who is a dyed-in-the-wool Internationalist and has never before indicated any disinclination to intervene in the affairs of other nations, announced on January 26 that the United States "is certainly not going to intervene in Cuba's internal affairs."

Cuba is now pretty generally believed to be dominated by Communists and perhaps this is the answer to the President's hands-off attitude.

Since any interference with Castro's terrorism would probably offend Khrushchev and since the President is preparing to make a visit to Moscow, he probably feels that he could hardly afford to invoke the ire of his host in advance of the visit.

Meantime, Castro continues to make threats against this country, the latest being that "there will be millions of cadavers if U.S. Marines land in this country."

We just wonder in this connection why the President would not be as considerate of the Sovereign States of the Union as he is of Cuba and refuse to intervene in their internal affairs also.

From a woman reader in El Paso: "I love the Southern Conservative even though I do not always understand some of it, not being very political-minded. But I adore those personal things you

A Fort Worth American: "There is in the Flat Iron Building a great newspaper woman who courageously warns our congress to beware of the perils of babysitting for the whole world and especially playing wet nurse for every revolutionary exploding country under the sun. She writes vigorously of 'larceny by taxation' and charges that our income tax is shot through and through with Marxian philosophy. She can't spell the difference between a back-alley thug with a length of lead pipe and a government that will deliberately use its courts to rob its citizens. Isn't she dumb!"—The Methodist Challenge, Los Angeles, California.

If through some magic, we could have just one wish granted in connection with our country's welfare, it would be to have a quartet of top-flight American patriots and orators who have a deep and abiding knowledge of the American governing system address a joint session of the Congress of the United States, and each member compelled to be in his seat. These four American patriots would be Clarence Manion of Indiana, Dan Smoot of Texas, Tom Anderson of Tennessee and Mary Cain of Mississippi. What an education that would be to that captive audience.

put in now and then and especially anything about your life as a child on a West Texas farm. You should write a book about it. It would be funnier than 'Chicken Every Sunday' I'll bet."

A California Judge Denies Fundamental American Right

Congressman Wint Smith of Kansas told on the floor of the House on January 25 of a California Superior Court judge, one Jesse J. Frampton, ruling that advocating a right-to-work law was unbecoming conduct on the part of labor union members and warranted their expulsion from such unions.

Two members of the International Association of Machinists, employed at Lockheed Aircraft Factory were thrown out of that union for advocating passage of a measure in the 1958 California election that would have affirmed the right to work of any citizen of that State without being compelled to join a union.

The two expelled members sued for damages of \$50,000 each but this partisan judge denied their claim. He ruled, in effect, that they deserved to be thrown out of their union for daring to advocate an American's right to work without permission of union bosses.

"How could this happen in America?" Congressman Smith asked of his colleagues but he got no answer because the shameful answer would indict too many of his colleagues who have sold out lock, stock and barrel to the unions and who would not dare vote except as labor racketeer bosses dictate.

Congressman Smith has introduced a bill which would place the labor unions under the Sherman Anti-trust law and restrain monopolies in labor just as it does in business, but the cowardly puppets of labor in both Houses will see that such a measure never gets out of committee.

The California incident not only illustrates the strangle-hold of the unions on members of Congress, but also points up the corruption of the Judiciary in that instance.

We have always regarded Ed Sullivan's racially mixed television program as the last word in poor taste on the part of a public entertainer but we are about to decide that this dubious distinction should be shared equally by Sullivan, Dinah Shore and Perry Como. Surely those who plan these offensive programs have no conception of the nausea they engender in a majority of the viewing public.

We are opposed to the restriction of individual freedom but since this is the order of the day why not go the whole hog and make it against the law for a college professor or a preacher to mix in political activities. Almost invariably, both align themselves with the forces of subversion and immorality as in the cases of loyalty oaths and racial mixing. We don't know why this is true but it is.

This Republic, somehow, survived the "peace missions" of Roosevelt to Teheran and Yalta; it kept staggering on even after Truman's "peace mission" to Potsdam but what the "Spirit of Camp David" will do to us is anybody's guess.

Be Thankful For What We've Got Left Even As We Mourn Over What We've Lost

In his recent message to Congress, the President suggested that the American people should "count their economic blessings" and among these he included advances in housing, health and education, longer paid vacations, and increased ownership of automobiles and electrical appliances.

While it is difficult to understand why the President dwelt on these subjects in an address to Congress, since practically none of them are proper governmental subjects, he is right that we should be thankful for these and all other blessings, and benefits.

We try to be grateful and thankful for everything that is good and we believe all responsible Americans feel the same way.

However, there are a lot of things which the President did not mention in his message to Congress that are proper governmental subjects and we find it a little difficult to be grateful for many of the things to which he did not refer.

We have trouble, for instance, in working up any gratitude and thankfulness for the fact that the Federal government has exceeded its authority and branched out into activities which are both unwarranted and un-Constitutional to such an extent that the nation has been plunged into debt in the amount of almost three hundred billion dollars.

And it sure is extremely difficult to be thankful for the fact that every man, woman and child in the United States, because of our national debt, owes approximately \$1,800 each and that this horrible burden is saddled onto every infant that is born in an American home.

It is hard to be thankful for the fact that as big government has widened its field of operation, traditional rights and freedoms of the people have dwindled almost to the vanishing point.

It is hard to get so thankful and enthusiastic that you feel like turning handsprings because the Rights of States which were so carefully enunciated in the Constitution have practically all been transferred to Washington and it sort of discourages any feeling of gratitude to realize that the people of any Sovereign State who insist on operating their own schools like they want them operated run the risk of having a Federal bayonet rammed in their backs.

It is almost impossible to work up a spirit of thankfulness over the fact that taxes have climbed to such an exorbitant level that citizens in some brackets are permitted to keep only nine per cent of their income.

It takes a lot of doing to be thankful for the fact that in all but a few States of the American Union, a citizen is denied the right to work and make a living for his family unless he pays a labor union for the privilege.

It takes more will power than most people possess to count as a blessing the United Nations which is hovering over the Republic like a waiting vulture ready to pounce and haul us into One-World Government or to be thankful that Congress is even now considering a resolution which will rob us of our Sovereignty and hand it into the keeping of an alien World Court.

We will try to continue to take the President's advice and count our blessings and be thankful for what we've got left even as we wipe away the tears we can't keep from shedding over what we have lost.

We're Trying Not To Get Our Hopes Up Too High

Ordinarily, we don't hold with contemporary political conferences and when it comes to "White House Conferences" we turn cold with fear because this usually means some new danger to Constitutional Government.

However, when we read recently that there is soon to be a "White House Conference on the Aging," we pricked up our ears.

"Conference on the Aging"! Well, well, we meditated, this is right down our alley for no matter how much we may deny or ignore it, old Father Time is creeping right up behind us and nudging us on. In other words, we are getting no younger fast.

And, after all, sharp scientific experts have done many marvelous things in recent years such as devising ways to shoot human beings into outer space and considering methods by which they hope one day soon to be able to produce babies by means of test tubes and without benefit of father and mother and such like.

So maybe the time has come for the scientists to put their eggheads together and give us old crows a break.

After all, who knows but what the experts and wizards have found a way to halt time in its flight?

Who knows but what they have developed a method for squirting something or other into our veins which will spin us so far back in the opposite direction that worry over potential hardening of the arteries will give way to eager concern over the latest remedy for diaper rash?

Yes sir, this is one "White House Conference" we are going to watch with avid interest and in the meantime we are going to keep our fingers crossed.

And if it turns out that they can really flip back the calendar and give us a new start on life's merry-go-round, our contempt and mistrust of all "White House Conferences" will be slightly mitigated.

But only slightly.

Always Glad To Hear From Gentlemen Of The Press

Last month we told of a member of the Washington Press Club who writes us frequently but refuses to sign his name.

This month, we received another communication from a Press Club member but this one signs his name in full and also tells something of his background and journalistic affiliations.

"You sure must have made marks on the sands of time somewhere or somehow, for I hear unusually fine compliments on your newspaper from writing men and women — Danyankees you'd call most of them — as well as from Congressmen and commentators who seem to be getting you regularly," he stated in his letter and continued:

"I've missed something I am sure not knowing you and your publication but I'm intrigued with casual talk in the Press bar and I've heard a lot about your publication and especially your tenth anniversary edition. The world loves good writing, honest commentary, salty humor and crisp criticism — especially of stuffy bureaucrats with their thieving hands in the taxpayers' pockets — and I hear it said that all this is there in your publication."

All World's Trouble Could Be Cleared Up In A Hurry

There is no trouble anywhere in the world today that is not inspired by International Communists who are active in every nation on earth.

This includes Algeria, Cuba, Latin America and all other points where trouble is brewing.

The capacity of Communists for devilment could be stymied if this and other so-called free countries would withdraw recognition from the Soviet barbarians but that is the last thing that would be considered by Communist-appeasers who hold power in a majority of Western nations.

One of the greatest blunders in human history was committed by Roosevelt who extended recognition to Stalin and then remained putty in the old horse thief's hands until the day of his death.

Our nomination for the most factual and brilliant description of Roosevelt's tragic condition when he went to Yalta to be so cruelly outsmarted by the brutal Stalin: "Mesmerized from the start, Roosevelt presented a spectacle that can only be described as pitiful—this fading President, floating slowly out of life, outmatched and outwitted at every point, mouthing meaningless cliches and dripping with flummery in the presence of the dictator."—George N. Crocker in Human Events.

We love the remark in the column of a local newspaper man to the effect that in the United States we have the best politicians that money can buy.