

# THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 9

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, MARCH, 1958

No. 3

## The 'Bi-Partisan' Campaign for Foreign Aid Means Both Parties Favor Robbing Taxpayers

### We Can Stop Worrying Now For Peace Is Just Around The Corner

The American State Department sends out unnumbered tons of literature although the exact amount is probably "top secret" and not available to the average peon.

If the hard-working taxpayers could see some of the material that emanates from this agency and realized that it is their money which pays for it, they would froth at the mouth and demand an investigation.

But they don't see it.

However, that Department occasionally sends something out of great value and which may eventually prove helpful in winning the cold war.

Such a service was rendered by the Department in January when their regular Nato Letter was placed in the mails.

This 28-page document emphasizing the glories of One-World Government where everybody will drink through the same quill gave the clincher on page 19 of that same document when it disclosed at least one outstanding achieve-

ment of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in its long and painstaking search for universal peace and world understanding.

They have issued a NATO Cookbook!

In this priceless volume will appear the instructions for concocting the national dishes of all the member nations of the group and which were contributed by the wives of the delegates from different countries.

The book will sell for \$4 and as an added inducement the purchaser will be let in on the favorite dishes of Queen Juliana of Holland, King Paul of Greece and President Dwight D. Eisenhower of the United States. We can't wait!

However, we are sorry that the contribution of recipes was restricted to those on the NATO payroll for we feel that we could have aided materially in hastening world understanding by giving them our recipe for corn pone, hog jowl and turnip greens.

### A United Nations' Discovery May Change The Course Of History

The United Nations has just issued the most world-shattering and important announcement since it was set up in 1945, and one which will perhaps play a monumental part in changing the history of the world.

On March 5, Secretary-General Dag Hammarskjold of that One-World, peace-making organization gave out the information to the people of the member nations that husbands around over the world are now washing the dishes, peeling the potatoes and changing the baby's diapers.

This data was made available to the world after a lengthy survey made by women's organizations connected with the U.N. who were curious to know what part, if any, is played by men in the operation of modern homes.

A special session of the "Commission on the Status of Women"

*An Associated Press release from Moscow says that the Soviets refer to rock 'n roll music as "hideous" although the State Department has been assuring us right along that we were winning the cold war by sending Negro rock 'n roll musicians behind the Iron Curtain at enormous costs to American taxpayers.*

of the U.N. will be held in Geneva from March 17 to April 4 to further study the subject and find out the actual number of males who are sufficiently "house-broke" to accept K.P. duty in their own home.

We always knew that the United Nations was someday going to justify itself and prove that it was worth all the millions of dollars which have been emptied out of American pockets and channelled into its treasury.

Now they have done it.

The right of every human being to earn, to own and to keep the fruits of his toil is a divine one and nowhere in the organic law of this Republic is there any vestige of authority for Congress to seize the assets of the citizen and convey them to aliens across the ocean. By insisting on continued foreign aid spending, the President is reverting to the practices of William the Conqueror who kept a Doomsday Book in which he forced his subjects to list their holdings in order that he might appropriate such of their possessions as he desired and re-distribute them according to the will of the Crown. Under our form of government, it is morally the prime responsibility of members of the House and Senate to protect the property of American citizens from unlawful seizure and those who vote to confiscate billions of dollars from taxpayers and donate this money to the people of a foreign State, are guilty of disloyalty to the American people and of repudiating their sworn obligation to uphold Constitutional Government in the United States.

The widely ballyhooed bi-partisan campaign to promote foreign aid spending launched in Washington on February 25 was "bi-partisan" only to the extent that half of those heading it are in office and want to hang on and the other half are on the outside itching to get back in.

It was the greatest massed assault against private property rights in history and the only one in which heads and former heads of State have ever officially taken part. It was an occasion where Modern Republicans and Fair Deal Democrats were willing to declare a truce in their war against each other and gang up in the interest of their common objective of impoverishing the nation's taxpayers and depleting the Federal treasury.

Somehow, they seem to have the idea that there is some special form of glory awaiting those who can think up the most idiotic ways to squander the greatest amount of public monies in a given period of time.

Speakers were Eisenhower and Truman; Nixon and Acheson and Dulles and Stevenson—men who fairly hate each other personally, but who are held together by their mutual desire to see American sovereignty dissolved and the United States plunged into One-World Government and who apparently believe that the quickest way to achieve this end is to liquidate the holdings of American taxpayers and force insolvency on the Federal government.

Bolstering the above political figures in the great crusade to enroll grass roots opinion behind foreign aid spending were hundreds of slick, suave and high-powered promoters and pressure boys from the organized segment of labor, education, religion and minority groups celebrated for their ability in fund-raising tactics but who actually know only one way to get money and that is to sweet-talk it out of those who earn it.

They are also noted as psychological propandists dedicated to the sacred theory that anything that works is a failure and that everything must be changed from what it is to what social planners think it should be.

Expressed another way, they are the exclusive consumers of the human race, barnacles on the Ship of State and a fungus growth on civilized society. Their sole equipment consists of over-worked tongues and under-developed brains but they are past grand masters in the fine art of supervising the nation's political, social and economic destiny and acknowledged experts in directing the expenditure of other peoples' money.

Taking part in the Washington clam-bake were representatives of the National Education Association, National Council of Churches, Americans for Democratic Action, CIO-AFL, National Association for

[Continued on Page 2]

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

## DAR President Gives The Facts In Frank Discussion Recommended Reading For Modern Republicans

"We have seen the American cause betrayed by Communists in our midst aided and abetted by 'Liberals' and collectivists who combine in a pattern for world-wide revolution," Mrs. Frederick Groves, National president of DAR told a meeting of 500 members of that organization at the Hilton Hotel in San Antonio February 19.

"We made possible the surrender to the Soviets of the Republic of China and part of Indo-China, thus delivering into their hands 600,000,000 people," this grand American patriot added.

After explaining how foreign aid money has been spent for dress suits for Grecian undertakers, public baths for Egyptian camel drivers and in sending shiploads of machinery to rust and fall apart on the docks of alien countries, Mrs. Groves claimed also that the religious basis of our civilization is under fire in the class room.

She urged that adults assume responsibility for imparting a love of country and liberty in the minds of youth in order that the precious legacy of our fathers may be preserved.

Before this country abandoned Constitutional Government and went internationalist the following plank was an integral part of Republican Party platforms:

"The Republican Party has always been the staunch supporter of the American system of a protective tariff. It believes that the home market, built up under that policy, the greatest and richest market in the world, belongs first to American agriculture, industry and labor. No pretext can justify the surrender of that market to such competition as would destroy our farms, mines and factories, and lower the standard of living which we have established for our workers."

We offer this as recommended reading for all so-called Republicans in Congress who intend to vote for an extension of the arrangement which places the power to regulate American tariff affairs with a gang of Internationalists and One-Worlders in Geneva.

## Freedom Belongs Only To Those Who Will Fight To Protect It

Vida, Montana, is a small village and its area would cover only a few blocks of space required for the average large city but there is a strong spirit of Americanism in that small Montana town which is heartening. If a comparable attitude prevailed in New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Philadelphia and other metropolitan centers where subversives are thickly clustered, the battle against Communism would be won.

Fighting against heavy odds in a State heavily dominated by union labor, the Farmers Anti-Communist Club of Vida is carrying on a campaign against Communist infiltration of our institutions which is attracting widespread attention not only in Montana but beyond the borders of the State.

"A Message to Americans" prepared by the Vida Farmers Anti-Communist Club of which Vern L. Vine is President and Arthur Sorley is Secretary has been run as a paid ad by the Club in various papers. We are reproducing it as a tribute to that organization as we do not accept ads and if we did, we would not have the heart to charge for such a fine document proclaiming the principles of Americanism:

It is your duty and responsibility to know and understand what is happening to your country. You represent your loved ones as trustee. You are custodian of freedom, Liberty and Justice as laid down in our Constitution and Bill of Rights. How many people do you represent? As the head of the family, can you look your loved ones in the eye and honestly say that you have represented them in protecting their most valued heritage? Have you treasured Our American Way of Life as laid down by our founding fathers in the Constitution and Bill of Rights? What would your defense be if your son were to say to you, "But Father, you were born free, and I was born part slave?"

Do you think that you have been worthy of the trust that has been placed upon you? Freedom shall belong to those men and women who will fight to protect it. Do you realize that socialism is almost upon us, that communism infiltrates government, colleges, religious groups, unions, the press, radio, the movie industry, and television?

Do you realize that the One Worlders are trying to make us a part of a One-World Government? Do you want your sons and daughters represented and governed by One-World philosophies? Are you ready to give up all the wonders of this great land and go back one hundred years in an effort to build a World Brotherhood with millions of people who resent our way of life, and resent our interference in their way of life?

Do you realize that 36 pct. of your earnings go to government in taxes? Millions of men and women have to join unions in order to work (this is a violation of their constitutional rights.) Freedom-loving men and women who live on farms must now report and become a part of social security.

The government is suing over two hundred farmers for growing too much wheat. Farm subsidies, Federal Aid to Education, and Federal Housing are all a form of regimentation. Social Security, pension plans, or any other type of government handout is another type of control. We could write volumes on what has happened to our America, but the people will not listen. A good American immediately becomes a crackpot, he is ridiculed, laughed at and stands a chance of losing his position in business and the respect of his friends and associates. Why do people who do not have the courage to stand up and be counted, oppose those who do possess the courage? These obstructionists constitute a fifth column working on the side of the enemy.

## Bi-Partisan Campaign—

(Continued from Page 1)

the Advancement of Colored People, Committee for an Effective Congress and scores of other off-beat cliques whose combined record of participation in anything remotely smacking of Americanism could be written on the back of a one-cent postage stamp.

About the only group which had no representation at the festivities was the great body of working, sweating and harried taxpayers who must dig down in their jeans and put up the money to pay the cost of whatever fantastic squander program these glorified con men are able to force through the present session of Congress.

It was notable that not even by inference was any reference made by any speaker at the Washington conclave to the Constitution of the United States nor any attempt made to show justification or authority in basic law for the Congress to rob the people of this country to enrich those of foreign lands, or to render their own nation weak in order that alien States might be made strong. There is, of course, no Constitutional authority for our elected representatives to extort money or anything else of value from an American citizen and give it to the government of another country.

Neither was there any attempt made at the Washington kick-off meeting to answer a question propounded by a teen-age girl on a nation-wide television program on the Sunday preceding the Washington gathering at which time the movie czar, Eric Johnston, set himself up to inform the nation's youth concerning foreign aid.

On that occasion, this teen-age girl might be said to have made a sap out of Johnston except that nature had already beaten her to it. "What is going to happen to American financial and economic stability" the young lady demanded of Hollywood's prize dim-wit "when we have to enlarge our own debt and increase our own deficit in order to guarantee financial and economic stability to other nations of the world?"

If there had been space enough for it to land, this disconcerting question would have hit the master of ceremonies right squarely between the eyes but, as it was, he mouthed a few inanities about "economic world stability" and hastily changed the subject. The question innocently asked by this wide-eyed youngster remains unanswered and we submit that her query makes more sense than all the blather and baloney indulged in by all the international gyp artists and global chiselers at the Washington blow-out put together.

At this point it seems proper to warn the American people that during the next few weeks when this gang of professional hired opinion-moulders square off and go into action, the American public is going to have their brains washed like a pair of dirty socks.

From the forum, the pulpit, civic groups, over television, radio and in the irresponsible segment of the press, the merits of foreign aid will be thundered forth in stentorian tones and so overwhelming will be the deluge of propaganda that only the resolute, the stout-hearted and the patriotic American will be able to withstand it.

Sadly enough, there will be no effective comeback on the part of the great bulk of our population who have the greatest equity in the subject, because they are not organized.

Also, they can't fight the wealth of the huge tax-exempt Foundations or the Federal treasury for, regardless of all claims that the Foreign Aid campaign is a spontaneous movement by private individuals, the finances which will make it go and the influence which will give it prestige emanate from such sources as Rockefeller, Ford and Federal government agencies. The preachers, educators, movie moguls, social scientists and other characters who carry the banner and wave the flag are merely window dressing.

In our opinion, there is one way, and only one way, in which this vast give-away program can be defeated and it would be comparatively simple involving quick action by only a few right-thinking Americans determined to preserve the Republic.

There are about five hundred extremely wealthy men scattered around over the nation who furnish the major part of the money for the campaign chests of candidates for high office.

If these rich men would concentrate on the Senate and direct their fire on that body, they could get the job done. There is no use to waste time on the House because the majority of representatives in the Lower House of Congress are as wild as a bull moose in mating season and they had as well be marked off as hopeless.

These wealthy men could contact the two senators from their own State and let them know in no uncertain terms that they want their tax money to remain in the United States and that they no longer propose to finance the economic, military or social projects of alien peoples.

They could inform these senators that if they continue to vote for a give-away program which is detrimental to the interests of the United States, they can, when the next election rolls around, go out and scratch with the chickens for their own campaign funds.

Our august statesmen may know little or nothing about American governing processes and may have little concern in perpetuating the American Republic but you can bet your bottom dollar that they are concerned in perpetuating their own political fortunes and that any threat of having the sources of their personal campaign funds dried up would constitute a language which they could all understand.

The only other alternative is that nobody do anything and that the American taxpayers remain silent on the theory that lowly beggars at the bottom of the economic ladder may not question the divine right of lordly bureaucrats on the top rung to rob them of their substance and re-distribute it "according to the will of the Crown."

## Nixon Gives Senators The Bum's Rush In Pushing Through Confirmations

When the confirmation of the members of the President's Commission on Civil Rights came before the Senate on March 4, it was disposed of in a few minutes and all of them were confirmed—and by voice vote at that.

Ordinarily the confirmation of appointees rates a few minutes discussion and in this case discussion should have been prolonged and thorough but the Vice President had other ideas. He rushed the names through in record time and after reading each name remarked immediately: "Without objection the nomination is confirmed."

Only two members of the Senate had the courage to call his hand concerning his handling of the subject and to indicate their desire to be recorded as having voted against the confirmation of this Commission.

These two senators were the Honorable Herman Talmadge of Georgia and the Honorable Strom Thurmond of South Carolina. Senator Talmadge stated: "Had a vote been taken on the motion to confirm the President's nominees to the Commission on Civil Rights, I would have voted against confirmation and I wish the record to show."

Senator Thurmond said: "The Commission is unnecessary and unwise. I believe that it cannot accomplish any good and that it may result in great harm. Therefore, I wish to be on record as opposing the confirmation of the nominations of the members of the Commission."

## National Economy Seems Slated To Hit The Skids

An Associated Press release from Washington on March 10 says that already Congressional leaders are considering a further increase in the national debt limit although it was only recently upped from \$275,000,000,000.00 to \$280,000,000,000.00.

The reason, we gather from this article, is that our representatives in Washington do not know how to meet inflation, unemployment and the general depression which is practically facing us, except by setting up a costly public works program and the debt ceiling of \$280,000,000,000.00 will not take care of this program since Congress refuses to cut appropriations to any appreciable extent. All members of the House, of course, come up for re-election in November, as well as 32 members of the Senate, and apparently they feel that to cut off any boondoggling projects in their States and districts would lose votes for them. So they go merrily on voting money for practically any silly project that happens to be suggested by wild-eyed planning experts.

That is the sorry situation that confronts the Republic and with statesmanship at an all-time low, the national economy seems destined to hit the skids with no leadership available to save it.

Aside from the wisdom, or lack of it, in the appointment of such a Commission, is the fact that no notice was apparently taken of the record of two members of the Commission as disclosed in the official proceedings of a committee of the Congress itself.

In Union Calendar 838, Committee on Un-American Activities of the House of Representatives, May 29, 1946, page 6, appears the name of the Institute of International Education, Inc., under the heading of "American Branches of the Communist International."

Two members of the Civil Rights Commission, whose confirmation the Vice President, Richard M. Nixon, rushed through the Senate without a record vote, are shown on the literature of the Institute of International Education, Inc., as Trustees of that organization. They are Dr. John A. Hannah of Michigan and Dr. Theodore M. Hesburgh of Indiana.

Maybe it is all right for these two men to be Trustees of the Institute of International Education, Inc., which a committee of Congress brands as one of the "American Branches of the Communist International." Maybe they are working under cover to expose its activities, but until this is established or it is proved that the Institute put their names on its literature without authority, we think that any senator who voted for confirmation of these two men in the face of the record, should be very careful not to condemn any acts of the Soviet against this country in the future.

*We wonder how many people were thrilled as we were when Senator George W. Malone of Nevada cut Ed Murrow down to size on the latter's television program March 2 which consisted of a large chunk of propaganda in behalf of Statehood for Hawaii and Alaska. The Nevada statesman told his interrogator that, while he, Murrow, meant well, he just didn't know what he was talking about as his ideas were formed within the narrow walls of New York skyscrapers and he had little knowledge of other sections of the country. The Nevadan said, in effect, that New York was a menace to the rest of the nation and intimated that, rather than take in Alaska and Hawaii, he would like to get rid of a certain State already in the Union. The urbane Mr. Murrow then switched to Harry Bridges in Honolulu who has the facial contours of a kangaroo and the ideological beliefs of a Bolshevik revolutionary and who proceeded to expound his views on annexation which were more in keeping with the objectives of Mr. Murrow's propaganda program.*

*Mr. Roscoe Drummond says in his column that "Senator Johnson of Texas is decisively taking himself out of the race for the Democratic presidential nomination in 1960." That will be news to most Texans who didn't know he was in it.*

## You Can Lead A Horse To Water But You Can't Make Him Drink

### They Catch The Minnows But Let Whales Get Away

All the hullabaloo in Congress and the White House for the resignation of Richard A. Mack as Federal Communications Commissioner and which resulted in his stepping down and out, would seem to be a case of straining at a gnat and swallowing a camel.

While Mack's handling of his personal finances was questionable to say the least, there was no evidence presented to indicate that he was guilty of malfeasance in connection with his office.

We believe there would have been a greater measure of public approval if some of the really big crooks had been dislodged and Congressman Harris of Arkansas is peculiarly fitted to lead a movement to force them out.

We are thinking particularly of members of the Supreme Court who not only deserve to be impeached but would have been long ago when they first started their pro-Communist activities to break down the American Constitution, if the corruption and rottenness of political-morals in other branches of government were not on a par with those prevailing in the judiciary department.

No State has suffered more indignity and humiliation than Arkansas because of the un-Constitutional behavior of the Court and we hope that, since Congressman Harris is a native of that great commonwealth, he throws his harpoon into the big ones next time.

### Bill's Aim Is To Save Us From The Supreme Court

In an attempt to halt the Supreme Court's apparent efforts to deliver this country into the hands of its enemies through one pro-Communist ruling after another, Senator William E. Jenner of Indiana, has introduced Senate bill 2646 in the Senate of the United States.

A companion measure, House Resolution 9937 has been introduced by Congressman Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania.

These bills would limit the Supreme Court's jurisdiction and withdraw it from these specific fields: the investigative function of Congress; the security program of the executive branch of the government; State anti-subversive legislation; home rule over local schools and admissions of persons to practice law within individual States.

All right-thinking Americans want to see this bill passed but we still think that the best way to handle the situation is to impeach every mother's son on the Court who has been guilty of criminal assault on the Constitution of the United States.

*From a physician in Cleveland, Ohio: "You are a combination of Will Rogers and Westbrook Pegler. You are a true American with the courage of a lioness."*

Prince Edward County, Virginia, is one of those involved in the infamous Supreme Court decision in 1954 ordering the mixing of the races in public schools.

There is still no integration in the Prince Edward County schools and from all indications there is not going to be.

It is true that the original decision of the Washington Kangaroo Court has been supplemented by a ruling by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals at Baltimore directing Federal District Judge Hutcheson of Richmond, Virginia, to fix a deadline for Prince Edward County schools to begin carrying out the 1954 public school de-segregation order.

Judge Hutcheson so far has not fixed any such date and even if he does, the citizens of Prince Edward County are prepared for it and they will not integrate their schools. They will close them. Preparations have already been made to instruct white pupils in private buildings such as churches, fraternal halls, warehouses and private residences.

There are 1,715 Negro school children in that country compared to 1,531 White school children and whether any provision has been made for similar schooling for them is not announced.

Meantime, it would be interesting to know whether or not the "Feds" are sharpening up their bayonets in preparation for an invasion of the great county of Prince Edward in the Old Dominion.

### This Must Be "Sharing The Wealth" In Reverse

An International News Service press release from New York says that venereal diseases which were claimed to be almost entirely under control in 1955 have "shot up nationwide during 1957 for the second year in a row."

New York public health experts, the article stated, report 10,000 new cases in that city and that the problem is most serious among teen-agers and school children.

While New York schools have long been "integrated" after a fashion, it is only in the last two years that the Reds there have really mixed them up thoroughly and the results are not surprising.

What informed persons have always known is that there is a heavy incidence of venereal diseases among Negroes which is difficult to overcome as they seldom report it to the authorities and seem to consider it a normal condition. Now that they are using the same facilities as white children in all New York schools, the outcome is logical.

This was one of the strong arguments against integration by those who had no moral convictions on the subject and it should have had weight but apparently didn't.

Ministers of many churches insisted on integration as a step toward Christian Brotherhood and evidently felt that sharing the Negroes' venereal disease was a test of "brotherly love" to which American white children should be subjected.

## The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF  
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH  
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor  
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building  
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

[Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.]

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

### THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

### Why Stick Around When There's Nothing To Do?

There continues to be a lot of criticism of the President for his innumerable vacations and for his golf playing but we don't understand why.

There was a time, under Constitutional Government, when the President was the responsible Chief Executive of the nation and made all important decisions in matters over which the Constitution gave him authority, and under those conditions his presence on the job was imperative, but that is not true any more.

The American government has been re-organized on a global basis and the White House is merely a way-station on the international circuit. Our foreign and domestic policies are first passed on in London, Paris, Moscow and other foreign capitals and transmitted to Washington to be carried out. The physical presence of the President is not necessary; one-worlders on his advisory staff have everything under control and the Master Plan operates with precision and efficiency.

Meantime, if the President can find relaxation and diversion on the golf course why deny him this privilege?

Under One-World Government, the functions of a mere head of a particular nation will be negligible, if not non-existent, and maybe the President is simply anticipating the status of future Chief Executives and claiming for himself some of the idleness and leisurely hours he knows they will enjoy.

All this being true, we can't see where it makes any difference whether the President is in Washington or off somewhere playing golf, ice hockey or squat tag.

## Political Psychiatrists Carry On Eternal Propaganda Campaign

Time was when the American people regarded mental illness as the tragedy which it was, and is, and dealt with each case as it arose. Each State had its own laws governing the situation and there was no effort to make a Federal case out of the temporary, or permanent, disability of the human mind.

But political psychiatrists who have crawled out of the woodwork by the thousands in recent years with the announced determination to supervise the thinking of the human race, have changed all that. They not only demand uniform legislation on the subject which largely gives them the right to decide who is and who is not mentally deranged but they insist that all those who do not accept the political philosophy of the head-shrinkers are crazy and should be locked up.

So they have instituted an international campaign for the perpetual discussion of the question of mental illness and any group of Americans numbering as many as fifty who may assemble together for any purpose, are lucky if the subject does not pop up before the session ends.

Perhaps the most tragic aspect of the matter is that the constant agitation of the subject is not confined to adults but young children are the recipients of a heavy barrage of propaganda in this morbid area as political psychiatrists are increasingly invading the school rooms of the nation.

We hasten to add that none of this unwholesome activity is indulged in by ethical psychiatrists who confine their service to actually helping to bring about the cure of mentally diseased persons. In practically every case, those who are sent out to direct the thinking of the young and old into what they consider the proper channels are connected with the World Federation of Mental Health which holds that those who do not subscribe to the principles of a One-World Government are insane and in dire need of psychiatric treatment. Usually, also, these visiting psychiatrists are, or have been, members of subversive groups or organizations.

A case in point is that of Houston where Dr. Leo Berman was recently sent to lecture on the subject of Mental Health. He was brought to Houston by the Hogg Foundation for Mental Hygiene of Austin and appeared under the auspices of the Child Study Clinic of the Houston Independent School District and the Baylor University College of Medicine.

Obviously, the three reputable groups who brought Dr. Berman to Houston made no investigation of his past affiliations before inviting him to lecture in Houston. His imposing titles probably impressed them to the point where they accepted him at face value.

In 1948, Dr. Leo Berman was a delegate from the United States to the International Congress on Mental Health in London where the decision was officially made that in order to qualify as a "World Citizen" one must be free of racial prejudice; released from unhealthy family relationships; unrestricted by preconceived notions of morality; unhampered by narrow nationalism; released from parental influences and free from outmoded ideas of loyalty to country or flag.

In the Spring Catalogue of the Samuel Adams School for Social Studies, Boston, Massachusetts, 1947, Dr. Leo Berman is shown as a member of the faculty.

The Samuel Adams School for Social Studies was cited by the Attorney General of the United States in 1947 as "an adjunct, in Boston, Massachusetts, of the Communist Party."

We recommend that greater care be exercised by local groups when bringing in outside speakers and especially when such speakers' objectives are to influence the plastic minds of school children.

## Extension Of Reciprocal Trade Act Believed Slated For Failure

Predicting that extension of the Reciprocal Trade Act as requested by the Eisenhower administration will have hard sledding in Congress, the Las Vegas, Nevada, Review-Journal of February second reminds its readers of the fight which Senator George W. Malone of that State has been making for more than a decade against this device for destroying American industry.

Although it was a lone, up-hill fight on his part years ago, other key Republicans are leading the opposition to the Act and Democrats who have traditionally formed the bulwark of its support are doubtful of its extension, the Review-Journal says.

The publication, which is one of the larger newspapers in Nevada, concludes its editorial comments on the subject:

Leaders of both parties in

the House, Speaker Sam Rayburn of Texas and Representative Joseph W. Martin of Massachusetts, agree that extension of the trade act is the most controversial piece of legislation facing Congress this year. The 22-year-old law expires June 30.

Malone has stood alone for these many years and has been castigated by his own colleagues as well as the press. The time is coming, and it may not be many weeks off, when his lone fight will pay off and the American markets will return to the hands of the American people.

Every American who has any interest in the welfare of his own country will pray that this Nevada newspaper is right and that this anti-American Reciprocal Trade Act fails to get the necessary vote for its extension this time.

## New Council President Runs True To Form

Anyone who is shocked—and who isn't?—at the pro-Communist position invariably taken on public issues by the National Council of Churches should consider the record of the new president of the outfit who was elected at their national convention in St. Louis last December.

The American Legion's official publication, the Firing Line, dated January 15th, 1958, gives a report of the Rev. Edwin T. Dahlberg's activities and affiliations which tells of his objections to defense spending for the protection of this country; favoring Presidential clemency for Communist Earl Browder when the latter was in the Federal penitentiary at Atlanta; a plea for the deportation order of Harry Bridges to be set aside; endorsement of official statement that freedom of worship exists in Communist Yugoslavia; attendance at a conference in Washington in 1949 which resulted in the organization of a Communist Front organization known as the Committee for Peaceful Alternatives to the Atlantic Pact; signing of an open letter for repeal of the McCarran-Walter Immigration law; signer of a letter to President Eisenhower asking freedom for Morton Sobell, convicted Soviet spy; urging the banning of all hydrogen bomb tests by the United States government and a member of the Advisory Council of the Fellowship of Reconciliation which agitates for the release of imprisoned Communist Party leaders convicted under the Smith Act.

If there is any American who doubts the pro-Communist attitude of the National Council of Churches, they should study carefully this record of the Council's President. They should also realize that Dahlberg is little different in his pro-Communist affiliations, from everyone who has headed the Council from the beginning.

The Republic has sustained a loss in the defection of thousands of its ministers to the cause of International Communism which can't be regained until these men of the Cloth are reconverted to Christianity.

The Christian Religion is the backbone of the American Republic and God speed the day when it is restored in the churches and in the lives of the custodians of these sacred edifices.

*For the fourth time since taking office the President has persuaded Congress to raise the statutory debt ceiling and it is now \$280,000,000,000. Presumably the ceiling will automatically revert to \$275,000,000,000 in June, 1959, but of course it won't. By that time, the internationalists will have some other "emergency" figured out and, instead of being lowered, it will in all probability be raised again. Lenin and Marx "planned it that way."*

*Just in case you didn't know it, the American taxpayers are putting up \$12,345,000.00 for a display at the World's Fair in Brussels next summer. The Commissioner General, however, in charge of our "exhibits" has demanded that we dig up \$2,054,000 more or risk having to keep the outfit open only eight hours per day. Incidentally, our "exhibits" at the Fair are largely New York theatrical productions.*

## Little Rock School Board Asks Court To Suspend Integration Order

There have been many reports that some members of the Little Rock School Board were Red in their views and that they had secretly approved the integration of the schools although it is claimed they were not prepared for integration to be accomplished in exactly the way it came about—by Federal troops jabbing students in the back with bayonets.

At any rate, it now appears that, whatever their views on the subject might formerly have been, members of the Board have repented of their sins and are now ready to call it a day.

In an official communication to the United States District Court of Arkansas, the Board recently pled that the Court stay an order which allowed nine Negro students to enter previously all-white Central High in Little Rock.

According to the petition widespread opposition to integration has made conditions at Central High almost unbearable and the School District officials practically were "left standing alone" as the victims of extraordinary opposition to mixing of the races in the public schools.

Suspension of the Court's integration order is asked "until there is a clear definition of the concept 'all deliberate speed' in the United States Supreme Court ruling in 1954 on school desegregation" and "until there are effective legal procedures by which the schools can be integrated without impairment of the quality of the educational program."

The situation in Central High where numerous clashes have occurred was apparently brought to a head when a trouble-making Negro girl was expelled. She was hustled off to New York by the Reds where she was placed in an "integrated" school in that city.

## Public Getting Fed Up With "Integration" On TV Screen

It is reported that a lot of people are boycotting the products of the sponsors of television programs which specialize in mixing white and black performers.

However, we are informed that the sponsors are not always to blame as the programs which come out of New York are compelled to adhere to this repulsive practice under something called the anti-discrimination law.

Regardless of whether these performances are voluntary or compulsory they are disgusting in the extreme and the public is becoming more critical of them and more people are turning the dial when they come on.

We are thinking especially of the moderator of one hour-long Sunday television show who blithely announced that he now wanted to present a "beautiful young lady" to his audience. Thereupon out bounced a big, fat, thick-lipped Negro woman to do a vulgar dance and sing a suggestive song. It must have given even the most dedicated mongrelizer a turn

## Large Foundations Are Able To Subvert Entire Nations

"Foundations—their Power and Influence," is one of the most attractive looking books we have seen in years, but its beauty ends on the outside.

Within its pages is the most sordid story within the possibility of the human mind to imagine.

It is the authentic, well-documented story of the large tax-exempt Foundations of the United States and brings home to the reader the ruinous power of big money when directed toward evil ends and its profound influence upon public affairs.

It tells how the pressure of the Foundations starts at the bottom of the academic ladder in attempts to pervert the institutions of learning which they endow.

First they make the grant to enable a beginner to get a much desired doctorate. Later when this same individual becomes a teacher at a modest salary, they follow up with supplemental grants in order that he may do some special form of research and thus become financially dependent on them. These cases multiply indefinitely until these giant financial octopi have their branches in every university and college in the land, and it follows as night follows day that the ideologies of the Foundations are taught in their respective fields by those who are recipients of the grants.

The enormous power of the Foundations is further extended by direct grants to schools and universities and to any movement seeking to control the thinking of large segments of the people.

Unfortunately, the directing heads of the larger Foundations are committed to One-World Government which, in the final analysis, means International Communism and the trend in this direction which began soon after the Foundation-endowed Institute of Pacific Relations sold China out to the Communists is first-hand testimony to the power of the great Foundations in subverting the people of an entire nation.

The author is Rene A. Wormser who was Counsel for the Reece Committee of the House of Representatives of the American Congress set up to investigate the Foundations and whose work was so effectively sabotaged by pro-Communist partisans planted on the Committee. "Foundations—their Power and Influence." Devin-Adair Company, New York. \$7.50.

to witness her disgusting performance.

The clean, all-white shows are all the more appreciated because of the vulgarity and bad taste of those which present mixed entertainers.

This is not to say that we don't like all-Negro shows because we do. Amos and Andy is one of our favorite programs of all time.

It is the mixing of the races on stage and screen in response to Communist clamor that is infuriating to all good Americans.

## Democrats Denouncing Republicans Is Pot Calling The Kettle Black

### Republicans Reply To Dime-a-Dance

(Dallas Morning News)

Harry Truman, the old piano player, waltzed into the 1958 political season blaming Republicans for everything that's bad and claiming everything good for Democracy. The GOP replies that his remarks were "dime-a-dance oratory."

But there's a laughable irony in it. Republicanism under Dwight Eisenhower is as close to Harry's Fair Dealism as the keys on a piano. Bill Knowland accuses Truman of making the people try to forget the "deeds of his administration." Truth is, the deeds of Trumanism were not too different from those carried on by Eisenhowerism.

From now until November the major parties will tear into each other with no objectivity; certainly none from Truman. But the objective thinker knows the sameness of these parties. That's the political tragedy of our times. The voter who opposes liberal control has no place to go.

Truman, the master of political invective, hit the GOP where it hurts—the business recession. Eisenhower's problem in 1958, and that of all Republicans, remains the same as when the GOP went into the White House five years ago: to erase from the public mind the idea that Republicanism means hard times.

The current recession took real form last November. Its duration to date is four months. But Truman's recession in 1949 lasted 15 months. Korean war spending ended it—just as World War II spending ended the New Deal depression. That's the GOP dilemma—how to avoid a war and avoid a depression.

*None of the thievery, extortion and other murderous activities on the part of labor union goons which is being brought to light by the McLellan Committee should surprise the American people. The skulduggery of the labor union racketeers has been revealed by Westbrook Pegler in his column for more than twenty years and the present disclosures are anticlimax.*

## Mutual Aid Program Is Benefitting Communists And Fellow Travellers

Speaking to a group of patriotic societies at the Mayflower Hotel in Washington, Hon. Spruille Braden, formerly Ambassador to several Latin-American countries and later assistant Secretary of State expressed the conviction that the Mutual Security plan is destroying our religion, our way of life, the Constitution and therefore all decent and moral civilization.

This is because so-called foreign aid is being employed for the benefit of Communists and fellow travellers. Mr. Braden said, and their aim is to destroy all belief in God over vast areas of the earth.

Deploping the average American's lack of knowledge of the subject, Mr. Braden said:

"One of the serious aspects of this whole problem is that the American people cannot possibly read the thousands of pages of Congress-

All the charges of mismanagement and corruption in the administration of government by Modern Republicans made by Fair Deal Democrats is undoubtedly true and the latter would be rendering undying service to the nation in making such charges, if their own skirts were only clean.

But the indisputable fact is that the Eisenhower administration is merely carrying out policies instituted by Roosevelt and continued by Truman and that there is not an iota of difference in the political ideologies of the two major parties under their present leadership.

So it makes no sense for International Socialists wearing the Democratic label to offer to save the country from International Socialists carrying the Republican brand.

To defeat Nixon or whoever may be put up by Modern Republicans and elect some skate like Stevenson, Kennedy, Williams, Meyner et al would be like rescuing a man from a den of enraged lions and then tossing him into a rattlesnake pit. It's death to the Constitution and to Americanism in either case.

There simply cannot be a return to Constitutional Government and preservation of the United States as a free and sovereign Republic if we continue to put in the White House men who have no understanding of, or sympathy for, the American form of government. We must elect a man as President who is an American, first, last and always and it does not matter what party label he wears.

We cherish the hope that Democrats will return to sanity and nominate a Conservative candidate and it might be advisable for a Conservative Republican to be placed on the ticket with him.

Since the people, and not Washington politicians, cast the majority of ballots, we are sure that such a ticket would win.

sional hearings, debates and reports, plus analyses by the General Accounting Office. Any layman who tries to determine how much has been spent, and for what, soon finds himself lost in a maze of incomprehensible figures. Our citizens do not get the facts; for the most part, they never see nor hear authoritative criticisms of foreign aid. Instead, under the so-called bipartisan policy, they are flooded with an intensive propaganda, costing many millions of dollars of their own money, put out by ICA, and its equally extravagant and useless twin bureaucracy, the United States Information Agency (USIA). U. S. Citizens never have been permitted either to reach an informed judgment or to vote on foreign aid. In effect, the taxes to pay for it are imposed without the electorate's consent. Where is the Declaration of Independence?

## What Is A Mere Two Hundred Million Dollars Any Way?

Here's some late information from Washington calculated to give taxpayers the jitters, and contribute to national insomnia.

When slap-happy spenders were preparing the budget for the Foreign Aid program, they overlooked an insignificant little item which should have been included.

They forgot to mention the fact that some brilliant genius in the State Department had, more than a year ago, promised to give Tunisia and Morocco one hundred million dollars per year "to avert pending economic crisis in North Africa."

This is one of the things the President had reference to when he asked Congress for "\$200,000,000.00 in contingency funds to meet unexpected calls for foreign aid" which are not included in the regular Foreign Aid bill of nearly \$4,000,000,000.00.

Government economists estimate, it was pointed out, that Morocco and Tunisia can ward off an economic break-down for only a few months more unless "they are helped in a big way from some source."

We would like to know, and we imagine most other Americans would too, why in the Sam Hill these government economists don't come clean with some information showing exactly how long the United States "can ward off an economic break-down" if we continue to finance all the tramps and bums in the world.

Meantime, inflation and unemployment continue to gather momentum as traitors in control of the nation's purse insist on emptying its contents into the coffers of alien countries whose leaders would stab us in the back any time it would serve their interests to do so.

The Russian Anti-Communist Youth in America group claims that the Soviets are holding power in Russia against the will of the majority and claim that the failure of the American people to distinguish between Russia and the Soviet Union is widespread. The Communists who dominate the land formerly called Russia number only a few millions, they claim, but the Russian people are counted in hundreds of millions. Maybe they're right but forty years is a long time and the Russian people have been in slavery that long and if the spirit of freedom still burns in their hearts, after forty years of Communism, it would be most unusual. The young people there, as in the United States, have been brainwashed and in the Soviets' book, older persons don't count. We would like to think the Anti-Communist Youth group is correct in assuming that the desire for freedom still burns in Russian hearts.

Congressman James Whitten of Mississippi has introduced a bill in Congress which would require that Veterans Hospitals provide separate facilities for white and Negro patients who request such separate facilities. Although common decency would suggest that this be done, the bill probably won't pass unless outraged Americans demand that their congressman and their two senators support it.

## How Can We Help Slaves While Hobnobbing With Their Masters?

Mr. George S. Montgomery, Jr., of Madison Avenue, New York, has addressed a letter to the President of the United States which, it seems to us, poses some vital questions which the people of the United States are entitled to have cleared up. Mr. Montgomery's letter follows: Dear Mr. President:

In December 1955 the President of the United States sent the following Christmas message to the world:

"The peaceful liberation of the captive peoples has been, is, and, until success is achieved, will continue to be a major goal of United States Foreign Policy."

On Lincoln's Birthday, February 12, 1958, there appeared in the American press a picture of the President of the United States warmly welcoming the new Ambassador of the Soviet Union to the White House. This was the same White House, the doors of which were deliberately closed to the late Senator from Wisconsin desperately endeavoring to awaken his fellow citizens to treason within our midst. And it was within this same White House and under the eyes of the President of the United States that the successful plot was concocted, eliminating the same Senator from further effective action.

I know of no administrative or political statement which has countermanded the high declaration of policy enunciated on Christmas 1955. By what authority, therefore, does the President of the United States now fraternize with the masters of those "captive peoples"?

I propound four questions:

First—what part is the President of the United States playing in the concealment from the American people of the fact that, as I write these words, the lives of unoffending humans of a dozen different nationalities are being ruthlessly exterminated in murderous slave labor camps in the swamps of Roumania by the same evil men whose hands the President of the United States reaches for so eagerly?

Second—how indifferent can the American President be to the unequivocal evidence of the swelling revolt against their masters among the millions of captive peoples in Red Russia and Red China?

Third—why must the President of the United States again and again come to the rescue of these brutal masters whenever their continued rule is threatened, through fraternization and interchange between American leaders and Soviet leaders when such fraternization can only result in stifling the hopes of the enslaved millions for freedom?

Fourth—is this not the time for the President of the United States to give heed to the voice of the American people and at last expel all of the agents of the evil Kremlin from their nests on the Potomac and the East River?

Surely no action could be more calculated to eliminate our evil enemies and to free the captive peoples in accordance with our high-sounding promises. Are we to be told that such action will subject America to the danger of falling H-bombs? If that is the reasoning behind the program for the Summit conferences, may God protect us from the ultimate consequences of such genuflection to blackmail. Which should we prefer—to be blasted from this earth in one cataclysmic instant while our heads are up and hope for freedom is high—or to have our thumbs pulled out in torture chambers to which the policy of our leaders is inevitably leading us?

I denounce this leadership which consorts with the evil men of the Kremlin as foreign to every American principle and fraught with sinister significance far beyond any question of misjudgment or bungling.

## We Can't Do Without World War II Taxes But Can Give Away Billions

How in the name of all that's Holy can members of Congress who intend to vote for the Foreign Aid bill, justify such act when they consider that the American people are still being taxed under a revenue measure passed during World War II?

It is a disgrace and a blot on the honor of the lawmakers that a tax is still collected on purses, cosmetics, railroad fare and scores of other necessities of life which they refuse to repeal on the ground that the revenue is necessary to the conduct of government.

To vote to give away billions of dollars to foreigners while refusing to repeal tax levies imposed during a war seventeen years ago is adding insult to injury. If we can't afford to do without taxes on necessities how can we afford to

Mrs. Martha Mayfield of Buffalo, New York who has devoted a long life to helping people in need is giving up charitable work according to the Buffalo Courier-Express of February 27. Two vicious Negro thugs so brutally clubbed the mission worker that all the incentive was "beaten out of her" Mrs. Mayfield admitted. Much of her work has been devoted to charity among colored people.

throw nearly \$4,000,000,000 down the drain by trying to plug up foreign rat holes?

And while we're on the subject, how much longer are these war taxes of 1941 going to be imposed on the American people? Isn't World War II over or is it?

## Where Have We Seen These Same Demands Before?

Some years ago the Communist Party was supposed to have been outlawed in the United States by legislation which was more or less of a joke by compelling them to register with the proper authorities.

Now that the Supreme Court, in its decisions, is squarely behind their right to operate in any area of activity, the Party has lost its timidity and boldly proclaims its demands.

Reading over these demands, one can understand why the Communists would have no hesitancy in making them because they coincide, in the main, with what the Modern Republicans and Fair Deal Democrats either have put into effect or propose to do so. Here are the majority of the Communists' demands which they want put into effect in this country:

Repeal of the McCarran-Walter Immigration Act; strengthened Civil Rights legislation; 30-hour work week; Federal Aid to education; increased Federal Housing; unrestricted World Trade; stopping of atom bomb tests; a summit conference; universal disarmament; the recognition of Red China by the United States and its acceptance into the United Nations; Foreign Aid to Communist countries; repeal of the Taft-Hartley act; increased old-age pensions and public health insurance.

In case the above demands are taken for the program of Modern Republicans or Fair Deal Democrats, we reiterate that these are the demands of the Communist Party in the United States.

It would be well for all patriots to watch the vote of their two senators and their congressman and if they support the majority, or all, of these policies, don't let them tell you ever again that they are against Communism.

Also scratch their names at the next election.

*New low in gubernatorial behavior: Governor Raymond Gary of Oklahoma whose actions are frequently claimed to be embarrassing and inexplicable to responsible citizens of that great State, has done it again. He has issued an order that hereafter Negroes are to be admitted to the Oklahoma National Guard. Approximately 8,200 members of the Guard have dropped out and apparently the Governor's idea is to replace them with Negroes. This should provide an interesting situation if, and when, some Oklahoma community refuses to integrate and the President takes over the National Guard and sends them in with bayonets to handle the situation. There are 6,800 white members of the Guard and how many of them will drop out when the new order takes effect is, of course, anybody's guess. Maybe it will wind up as an all-Negro National Guard.*

Subscribe To The  
SOUTHERN  
CONSERVATIVE  
Five Dollars Per Year  
A Free Subscription  
With Every Paid One

# 'Principles of Free Government Are As Everlasting As God's Stars And As Immutable As His Justice'

(With the American Judiciary holding the low spot in public esteem because of the Supreme Court's calculated campaign to break down Constitutional law, it is a shot in the arm when a judicial figure of personal integrity and with proper respect for legal ethics, publicly proclaims sound American views on principles of government. Such a figure is Judge, Ben W. Boyd, Associate Justice of the Court of Civil Appeals of the Second Judicial District of Texas. Below we reproduce, in part, an address by Judge Boyd before a District Bar Institute which should be heartening to those Americans who are deeply disturbed at widespread perversion of the Bench.—Editor)

In the ages-old and never-ending struggle between liberty and authority, between the individual and the state, those who have called themselves "liberals" have had more colors than the rainbow and more spots than the leopard. Those who have been liberal in one generation may be quite reactionary in another. The only consistency that can be claimed for the term "liberalism" is that it is a protest against the existing order of things. But when the existing order is liberal in the true sense, the protest necessarily calls for something else. If a liberal is one who loves liberty under law, I profess to be one; but if he is one who regards all changes as progress, then I disclaim all pretensions to the title.

Our country has been in a crisis of one kind or another for twenty-five years, and for that reason perhaps more than any other it has been a fertile field for the propagation of radical ideas; and that the field has been assiduously cultivated is beyond dispute. . . .

War is not the gravest calamity that ever befell the human race. I have never asked my country to give me peace; I ask only that it give me justice. And the element of justice I have particular reference to here is civil liberty. I certainly want to shield my children from the indescribably frightful holocaust of a third world war; and I can hope that peace will not forever dwell beyond the distant horizon, or exist forever only in the dream of the enthusiast, but that it

may come and make its abode among the children of men. But there is something infinitely more important to me than that. Peace without liberty and without dignity and without honor is a commodity with a price too high for us to pay. I am much more concerned that my children and my children's children may live, whether in peace or in war, without having to bear the degrading yoke of the oppressor, whether it comes from a conquering "new order" from without, or from domestic tyranny.

The thing we have most to fear is not war, even in the atomic age. The thing we have most to fear is government. The peoples of this world, from the time when the memory of man runneth not to the contrary, have not suffered their greatest indignity, degradation, and desolation from the ravages of war. They have not suffered their worst oppression from the invasion of foreign, hostile foes. If we add up the total of all the injustice and cruelty which the peoples of this earth have endured in every age and clime, we shall find that the major part of their wrongs have been inflicted upon them not by foreign conquerors but by their own governments. Malice domestic, not foreign levy, is the thing we have most to fear.

There are only two philosophies of government. There never have been any others. These two philosophies comprehend many parties, doctrines, and isms, but they comprehend them all. One philosophy teaches that the people belong to the state; the other, that the state belongs to the people. Many of the first category may object to such a plain statement of the fact; but I am not responsible for the facts, my only responsibility being to state them. One exalts the state and degrades the individual; the other exalts the dignity and the liberty of the individual and holds that the state is the servant of the people whose duty it is to protect that liberty and that dignity. Under one system a person is a subject of his rulers; under the other he is a citizen of his country.

Ours may be the only country in the world today whose people are not absolutely or practically at the mercy of their governments. In most nations no law can be declared invalid even though it sweeps away every protection the people have. The reason for this is that in those countries the

people have no rights except what it pleases their rulers to give; while in America, the government has no legitimate power except what the people have conferred upon it through the constitution. Our constitution is a law above the government, to which it must conform. It protects the individual from the tyranny of the majority. It protects me from my own government. It is my contact with my government, and the government has no more right to violate it than I have. Laws passed by Congress are passed by the agents of the people, and the acts of an agent are void if they exceed the authority given him by his principal. Accordingly, when our Congress enacts a statute not authorized by the constitution, such an enactment is not the law of the land.

We shall not lose our liberties and we need have no great fear of our government if we keep the constitution and the freedoms and protections it affords. Whether that instrument will withstand the assaults which are being made and will be made upon it is a question of gravest import to every man, woman, and child in these United States. When the safeguards of the constitution are withdrawn, if we are not deprived of life, liberty, and property without due process of law, or drawn and quartered, we shall owe our immunity not to the protection of any fundamental law, but only to the merciful inclinations of those who happen to be in control of the government.

So long as we have the restraints on government now provided by the constitution, we shall have a government of law and not of men; but when those restraints are gone or disregarded, we shall have a government of men and not of law. That is the only practical difference between our government and the totalitarian government of Communistic Russia.

It is not correct to say that the constitution gives us any rights. The people had the rights before they adopted the constitution. And until recent years at least it was generally considered that our rights to life, liberty, and property were inherent and inviolable, and that the government was instituted to protect us in their enjoyment.

The insidious character of the campaigns which are made to deprive the

people of their liberties often render them formidable when they are hardly apparent. Those who chafe at the restraints which the constitution puts on the men who administer our government often ridicule that document as a relic of the horse and buggy days. Of course they do not tell us what they have in mind. No would-be tyrant ever told his people that he intended to enslave them. The extinction of their liberties is usually so gradual that the victims are accustomed to their loss before they are aware of the fact. And in every case the transfer is accompanied by such alluring propaganda that the people, if they think about it at all, believe they are gaining more than they are giving up. Benjamin Franklin said that any people who traded liberty for security deserved neither liberty nor security. He might have added that they will have neither very long. But surely no sane man ever traded his birth-right for a mess of pottage who did not think he was making something on the deal. His future master comes to him in the guise of a benefactor; and those who in our day cannot abide liberty in their fellow-man—and they are legion—and who insist on planning and regimenting the lives of their equals, adopt the name of "liberals" in order to appear progressive and up-to-date, and it does not always instantly occur to us that the only thing they are liberal with is other people's freedom. At the risk of being considered unsophisticated and immature, I do not hesitate to admit that I am not illumined by a single ray of this new and modern light that teaches that the best way to make free government work is to destroy it. . . .

It is claimed that times have changed, and the former standards are no longer admissible. I overrule the objection. They stood in America with little question for nearly two centuries, while we build a civilization which is the wonder and admiration of the world. Principles of free government do not change. Men who profess them may change, but they do not. They are as everlasting as God's stars and as immutable as His justice. I believe in them as a Christian believes in his religion, and I will not yield them at the behest of any living man.

According to figures released by officials of Shelby County, Tennessee, in which the city of Memphis is located, there were a total of 2380 illegitimate births in that county during 1957. Of this number 2218 were Negroes and 162 White. The total cost of the Memphis taxpayers for the expenses in connection with the birth of these babies was \$606,000 and many citizens there are said to be up in arms against what they regard as the "illitimacy racket." The Legislature of that State passed a bill in 1957 which it is claimed would have put a stop to the high cost of the practice to white taxpayers but Governor Frank Clement vetoed it.

From a subscriber in New Orleans: "I have simply 'split my sides' laughing over the editorial in the February edition of the Southern Conservative about the Gruen Plan — and the pigeons. My husband came into the room and wanted to know what was so funny. I could not answer him but with tears rolling down my cheeks, I pointed to the editorial I had just read. I think it is wonderful that you can point out all the terrible things that are happening to us and, at the same time, give us such priceless humor along with it. I really believe that it drives the point home more forcefully."

The American people have cause to be grateful to Senator Harry Flood Byrd of Virginia for agreeing to run again for the United States Senate after previously having said that he would retire. Senator Byrd represents a type of lawmaker fast disappearing from the American scene—he is honest, capable and informed and he is scrupulously opposed to the squandering of taxpayers' money. We need more, not fewer, men of his type and will get them back again when the people rise up in their might and demand it.

From a subscriber in Atlanta, Georgia: "You continue to present unanswerable arguments with a fine sense of courage and humor."

The farcical celebration of "Brotherhood Week" recently found more hatred, more racial tension and more mutual distrust of each other on the part of human beings than at any time in the history of the world. This unhappy condition is due to eternal meddling in affairs which do not concern them by do-gooders who are determined to make everybody "love" everybody else even if they have to ram a bayonet in the back of dissenters to bring about "Brotherhood." When and if, the world gets back to normal and each race, group and class are allowed to associate with their own kind without interference, we think real "Brotherhood" will replace the phony type now prevailing.

## When God Passed Out Brains They Must Have Been AWOL

It's really remarkable how the English language can be twisted to mean anything that politicians want it to mean.

For instance, there are a lot of fancy names applied to what is happening to us. Some call it a "recession" or "pause in the downward trend"; others a "breather", a "falling off" or an "adjustment."

The facts are that we are face to face with a depression that may make that of 1932 seem like the Golden Age of Prosperity.

Because of crushing tax levies and Socialistic legislation to stifle private industry and free enterprise, our economy is now almost wholly dependent on defense spending and we are about to the point where we can't afford to have peace. We have to remain on the verge of war, or threats of war, to keep from going "bust". And naturally an economy which is based on eternal spending of the taxpayers' money cannot endure forever. The source is going to dry up, in the natural order of things.

And as an indication of the grasp which those who represent us in Washington have of the matter, they propose to halt the depression and cure unemployment by resorting to the leaf-raking program of the Roosevelt administration and appropriate millions for another WPA.

They could put the nation's economy back on its feet quicker than you can say "Karl Marx" by slashing taxes, discontinuing for all time the disgraceful give-away program in the interest of foreigners, taking the government out of competition with private business and submitting an amendment to the States for repeal of the income tax amendment.

But there are not over ten men in the Senate and twenty-five in the House who would dare to approach the problem from the viewpoint of sound statesmanship and handle the situation in the interest of the people of the United States. Their only reaction to any sort of an impasse, domestic or foreign, is to make another raid on the treasury and to rob the tax-paying geese that lay the golden eggs.

We have said before and we repeat that a full complement of Boy Scouts could have administered the Federal Government over the past twenty-five years with no less disastrous results to the economy and to the rights of the citizen and of property than have accrued from three International Socialist administrations in Washington.

### CORRECTION

We are sorry that in last month's edition of the paper we showed Senator George W. Malone as representing Nebraska instead of Nevada. It was a slip of the typewriter and we apologize. We knew, of course, that this great public servant belonged to the great State of Nevada before the people of the latter State started writing to remind us, but all of us are entitled to be stupid once in a while and we were probably merely taking advantage of that prerogative. We hope the people of Nevada will forgive us.

## We Offer A Sensible Solution To An Embarrassing Dilemma

Our good friend, Jack Porter of Houston, national Republican committeeman from Texas is in deep sorrowful trouble. In fact, he is right behind the 8-ball and wouldn't we dearly love to be in exactly the same kind of jam?

Mr. Porter has \$100,000 which nobody wants and he can't give away.

It's an involved story the half of which has never been told—until now, that is.

This good Texan has been in the dog-house ever since he gave a Republican dinner in Houston sometime ago and, in our opinion, very unjustly so because he didn't do anything out of line except talk out of turn and write letters when he had a telephone right at his elbow.

In his invitation to prospective guests at the dinner, he said, or intimated, that the money to be raised on the festive occasion would be used in efforts to pass the Harris gas bill through Congress which should, of course, have been passed long ago without any pressure being applied or a penny being raised for the purpose.

In some way the contents of Porter's letter were made public whereupon the administration and the Republican campaign committee in Washington broke out with a rash of virtue similar to that which afflicted the President two years ago and which caused him to veto the same bill because some oil man was alleged to have offered a United States senator \$2,500 to vote for it. The fact that the same oil man had contributed twice that amount to the 1952 Eisenhower campaign, was beside the point.

At any rate, there was a loud howl in Modern Republican circles in Washington and it was shouted in the headlines that the Porter money simply would not be accepted by the Republican campaign committee. So there!

We are personally very fond of Mr. Porter. So much so, in fact, that we deeply sympathize with him in his embarrassing dilemma and we are anxious to help take him off the spot.

So, we'll take the \$100,000 off his hands and we won't be embarrassed in the slightest by accepting the filthy, tainted money which the very fastidious Republicans rejected. We could do plenty with it.

Now here's the angle to the story which has not been played up. Mr. Eisenhower insists he is for the gas bill which reduces Federal authority over an important private industry but when the bill came to his desk two years ago, he vetoed it. He professed to be horrified because money had been mentioned in connection with the passage of the bill. That gave him an alibi; his political virtue was vindicated and his advisers overjoyed. Now the Porter fiasco seems to provide a second alibi which is so effective that the bill probably won't even get out of committee. It's all done with mirrors.

Meantime, we are going to meet the postman at the elevator every day from here on in anticipation of Mr. Porter's acceptance of our offer to take the unwanted money off his hands.

## NEA Has Done Enough Damage And Should Keep Still From Here On

It is amusing to see the National Education Association taking advantage of all the sputter about the Sputnik and demanding that we do away with fads and frills in education and strengthen fundamentals in public school curricula.

We would like to ask, pray, who was it who destroyed the fundamentals in education and who added the fads and frills if not the National Education Association?

This left-wing organization has set the policies of practically every public school in America during more than a quarter century and it was they who fastened Progressive Education on the nation with the result that students, in far too many cases, can neither read, write nor spell.

Thoughtful parents have been concerned for years over the subjects which were being taught their chil-

The biggest laugh of all time: when Eleanor Roosevelt denounces Communism and Communists with total disregard for the fact that she has done more than perhaps any woman in the USA to advance the cause of the Soviets and to initiate the policies for which they stand.

dren to the neglect of the "fundamentals" but when they tried to do anything about it they were publicly accused by NEA propagandists of trying to ruin the schools.

The purpose of Progressive Education was to "level off" American students, according to the World Socialist formula, and give Negroes a chance to lift themselves to the scholastic standard of white students but it didn't work. Progressive Education lowered the white students' standard without raising that of the colored race.

The best thing, and the only consistent thing, the leaders of The National Education Association can do is to keep still and try not to do any more harm to American youth than they have already done.

According to press reports, the Soviets exploded a nuclear weapon on February 23rd which is equal to one million tons of TNT. Nice people, those Soviets! This latest stunt would seem to normal persons to sort of put a crimp in the argument advanced by some of our good people, including many ministers, for "peaceful co-existence" with the Bolsheviks.

## A Couple Of Buns We Could Do Without

We don't know how others feel but we are fed up with the Churchill progeny and their insults to the United States.

First there was Sarah, the third-rate actress daughter of Sir Winston who, alleged to have been stewed to the gills, was arrested by Hollywood police after declaring that someday England would throw a bomb on the U. S. or words to that effect, as quoted in the press.

After she had left the country, her brother, Randolph, made the front pages of American newspapers following an interview in New York in which he bitterly attacked members of the press for referring to his sister's Hollywood stunt.

We certainly don't believe in holding parents responsible for the behavior of their adult delinquent offspring but if Sir Winston would just keep his brood at home hereafter, we think it would greatly help British-American relations.

## Resolution Would Give Negroes Their Own Nation In Africa

Senate Resolution 276 is before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee of the United States Senate and sponsors of the measure hope to get it favorably reported.

The Resolution by Senator Langer of North Dakota would establish a government in Africa for American Negroes and provide for transportation of all those who cared to go where they might have their own nation with a government of Negroes, by Negroes and for Negroes.

Rev. B. A. Jones, a Negro minister of Denison, Texas, is working for passage of the bill and requests us to mention the subject in our columns.

We are all for the Resolution but doubt that it will get anywhere for two reasons: Washington politicians want to exploit the Negro vote and don't want members of that race to have their own nation and their own government and second, we do not believe many colored people would be willing to leave the United States.

We commend the Reverend Jones for his sponsorship of the bill and wish him the greatest measure of success in the undertaking.

## Communist Activity Is "Honorable" Court Holds

Although it's not news any more, we are moved to record another victory for Communism in a Supreme Court decision.

According to their latest pro-Communist ruling, the Army has no authority "to give less than an honorable discharge to men accused of Communist activities before induction".

The decision was in connection with the cases of John Henry Harmon III and O. Abramowitz, New York draftees, who had been given "undesirable" discharges. The Court ordered the Army to alter the record and show that they were "honorably" discharged.

This ruling is in line with all decisions of the Court where Communists or Communist sympathizers are concerned and there is no point in remarking on it. We just thought we'd mention it.