



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

TWENTY-SIXTH SENATE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Fifth Meeting of the Spring Senate
March 12, 1990
Dallas/El Paso Room, University Center
7:00 p.m.

1. Call to Order by the Presiding Officer:

The meeting was called to order by the Speaker of the Senate, Michael Danke, at 7:00 P.M.

2. Call of the Roll by the Secretary:

The following Senators were present: Barrus, Browne, Burns, Danke, Foley, Harper, Hoglund, Karim, Marino, Miklos, Saeed, Sharma, Spacek and Urban

The following Senators were late: Grimm, Hasselbrink

The following Senators were excused: Parker, Zarka, and Wuthrich

The following Senators were absent: Dockery, and Patel

The following Executive Cabinet members were present: President Belicove, and Mark Burge

The following University Officials were present: Nlya Ptomey

3. Reading and approval of the Senate Journal from the Previous Meeting:

The Senate Journal was approved as corrected by the members.

4. Report of the President:

President Belicove began by welcoming the Senate to the meeting. He outlined several items of interest to the Senate: 1) He explained a bill that was written by President and sponsored by Senator Hasselbrink. The Senate Bill would make a line item transfer for the purpose of maintaining the AAUS Central Region Chair Office at the University of Houston. In more detail he informed the Senate that this was a financial consideration that President Belicove was asking for to insure his position with AAUS. The money would be taken from the unused salary of both the President and the Director of External Affairs. The money would be transferred out to an organization bank account. The account would also be signed by the Dean of Students, William Munson. 2) He discussed the Senate Resolution that dealt with the unrelated business income tax changes. President Belicove felt that the Resolution would better serve its purpose if it was directed more towards congress. Therefore, he proposed a new piece of legislation, that if passed would be forwarded to Congress. 3) President Belicove commended the University of Houston Basketball team on a great season. In addition, he announced that a Senate Resolution which recognized their success this season, would be introduced later in the evening. 4) Announced that he had written a Senate Resolution supporting the Health Center Fee Agreement. He felt that it would be advisable to decide whether the Senate collectively supported the Health Center Fee Agreement. 5) Spoke about a University Bill that would establish a Standing University Committee under the department Scholarships and Financial Aid. President Belicove noted that this Bill was more reform in the department which was outlined in his earlier legislative agenda. He felt that the department need to hear student comments on a regular basis. 6) President Belicove passed out a 1990-91 Student Service Fee Committee Allocation recommendation. He explained that one of the allocations would be if there was not a health Center Fee and one if there was a Fee. 7) The Senate watched a commercial about the University of Houston. President Belicove felt that the commercial had not reflected the University of Houston in the best possible way. He felt that the University was not utilizing their resources as it should and he expressed concern for a Senate Resolution. 8) Announced that selections were held for the Student Programming Board Officers and that Rekha Sharma would be requesting the Senate to pass or not pass favorably on the selected individuals. 9) President Belicove felt that the election polls for the run-off elections should be open each day at all the sites. 10) Stressed to the Senators to accomplish as much as possible with the little time that they have left.



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

5. *Report of the Vice President:*

None

6. *Report of the Speaker:*

Speaker Danke asked if Senators Karim, Owens, Heaney, and Ware should be removed under the automatic removal clause. The general consensus was that if they did not file excuses by the time of the adjournment of the previous meeting, they would be removed.

Speaker Danke congratulated the Senate for maturing to the point where the Senate could pass ten or twelve pieces of quality legislation in one meeting. He noted that last year's Senate had difficulty with six. He also congratulated the Senate on its attendance down the stretch, noting that most Senates tend to decline rapidly.

Speaker Danke said that nothing would make him happier than to bind up a lot of quality legislation from this session. He reminded Senators that ten full working days remained. He also noted that the binding machine had not been purchased because tax brought the total to \$300.30. General consent was granted to allow the purchase at that price. Senator Foley donated three dimes to the cause.

Senator Browne - questioned what happened to the training session. Speaker Danke replied it was cancelled. Senator Browne asked if we would have some sort of party. Speaker Danke replied it was typically taken care of with the new President's inauguration party.

Senator Hasselbrink - expressed a desire to see the training session held.

Senator Burns - asked if the Constitutional Amendment to alter the number of Senators in At Large Seats passed. Speaker Danke replied that it had passed handily.

7. *Special Reports: None*

8. *One Minute Speeches by the Members.*

President Belicove - Introduced his guest Mark Burge, who is currently a sophomore and the Co-director of Public Relations in the Students' Association.

Senator Saeed - Introduced Mark Berry, who is assistant to the Directors in the Students' Association. Senator Saeed added that Mr. Berry will be a senator representing the Social Sciences. He announced that the campaign organization CLEAN had won 37 out of 39 positions in the elections.

Senator Urban - Introduced her daughter Ashley.

Senator Foley - Introduced his Legislative Intern, Chris Miller

Senator Miklos - Noted that many students around campus were talking about reverting the election code back to the old system of voting as many times as a student wanted. He realized that the low turnout of the latest election was because of the system, not the candidates. He added that he did not feel that reverting back to the old system would be wise, because the legitimacy of the Students' Association did not need to be questioned again.

Senator Browne - Questioned the motives of a certain party that "chose to make light of every serious issue on campus in this election." He added that this party took serious vote away from serious candidates.

Senator Saeed - Brought the Senate up to date on the current Immigration status of Chinese Students. He told the Senate that their visas were extended until June 1990 and they can apply for further visas and remain in the United States.

Senator Barrus - Spoke with someone interested in changing the Election Code. Senator Barrus brought forth the idea "giving up the secret ballot and somehow mark the social security number as it appears on the I.D. on the ballot and have a computer print out to calculate the votes."

Senator Grimm - Liked Senator Barrus' idea and suggested that the extra bubbles on the Scan-Tron should be put to use in order to find out which kind of students were voting.

Senator Foley - Felt that the last thing students wanted was to answer a lot of questions. He added that the Students' Association was "not into political marketing, and that would be for an independent firm."

Senator Hasselbrink - Felt that if the election polls were moved in front of the University Center and if there were longer tables and signs publicizing the elections, greater turnout would occur.

Senator Miklos - Informed Senator Barrus that identification marks that could be possibly be traced back to the student could not be used according to State law.



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

President Belicove - Informed the Senate that individuals were not being elected on their own merits, but instead they were elected because of the party they were representing. He added that these people were the ones that were kicked-off the Senate each year.

Senator Harper - Felt that the polling sites for the Engineering and Business Colleges should be moved. He also suggested that the students be given free passes of some sort if they vote. This would be an incentive for the students.

9. *Report of Standing Committees:*

1. Academic Affairs - Yahya Saeed, Chair - Several members met, but were unable to meet with quorum. However, the committee discussed and informally recommended several pieces of legislation.

2. Internal Affairs - Chris Browne, Chair - Met informally to discuss SB26024. Chair Browne stated that the report was the result of a lot of hard work and the committee reported out favorably on the Senate Bill. He announced that the fourteen bills from the previous Senate would come out in the next meeting. He informed the Senate that the some of the Bylaws would be handed out at tonight's meeting as well as at the next meeting.

3. Student Life - Rekha Sharma, Chair - Announced that the Committee did not meet because both Senator Hasselbrink and Senator Sharma were serving on the selection committee for the Student Programming Board officer selection.

4. University Administration and Finance - Robert Miklos, Chair - Met with quorum at 6:00 p.m. and discussed UB26036: A UNIVERSITY BILL ESTABLISHING A STANDING UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON THE DEPARTMENT OF SCHOLARSHIPS AND FINANCIAL AID. Chair Miklos added that the committee was in favor of the bill after a few amendments. The committee also reported out favorably on SR26022: A RESOLUTION PROTESTING THE SLOW PACE OF ENACTING UB26012.

10. *Report of the Select Committee:*

11. *Special Reports:*

President Belicove informed the Senate about the new proposal from the Child Care Advisory Board the current structure of the Child Care Center. The new proposal would charge people for an entire day or an entire week despite if the person used the Center for two hours. These students who use the Child Care Center for a few hours would be subsidizing the people who used the facilities for a week. President Belicove stated that many students feel that they should be able to use the facilities for only part of the day. He announced that there would be a resolution coming before the Senate and introducing a new policy in place of this proposed policy.

12. *Unfinished Business and General Orders:*

a. Presidential Nominations and Appointments

None

b. Legislation

Senator Miklos moved to consider favorably UB26036: A UNIVERSITY BILL ESTABLISHING A STANDING UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ON THE DEPARTMENT OF SCHOLARSHIPS AND FINANCIAL AID. The motion was seconded by Senator Browne. As speaker in favor, Senator Miklos informed the Senate of the amendments made in committee. A direct vote was taken on the committee amended version and the University Bill passed unanimously.

Senator Miklos moved to pass favorably SR26022: A RESOLUTION PROTESTING THE SLOW PACE OF ENACTING UB26012. The motion was seconded by Senator Browne. As speaker in favor, Senator Miklos told that Senate that he talked with the media department about the bill in November. The department promised that bins would be placed around campus by January. However, no bins have been set up as of March. He asked that this Senate Resolution be passed directly to the Presidents Office. A direct vote was taken and the Senate Resolution passed unanimously.

Senator Saeed moved to discharge and consider Senate Resolution 26020: A SENATE RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE ADDITION OF NEW, MORE DIVERSE FOREIGN LANGUAGE PROGRAMS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON. The motion was seconded by Senator Miklos. Senator Hoglund spoke in favor of the Resolution. He felt that the addition of such foreign language classes would be a great advantage at the University of Houston. In general debate, President Belicove agreed with the comments Senator Hoglund stated. He felt that



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

it was a prime opportunity for the University to gain prominence in an area, but he reminded the Senate that the core curriculum was the prime purpose of the University. He added that if the Senate was going to take a stand on this area of languages, the Senate also needed to focus on other important issue such as class size in the core classes. After no further general debate, a vote was taken and the Resolution passed unanimously.

Senator Saeed moved to discharge and consider UB26035: A UNIVERSITY BILL MANDATING THE INCLUSION OF AFRO-AMERICAN STUDIES COURSES AS A MEANS TO FULFILL THE CULTURAL HERITAGE REQUIREMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON CORE CURRICULUM. The motion was seconded by Senator Karim. As speaker in favor, Senator Hoglund noted that there was not a single Afro-American course available in the core curriculum. He state that the Afro American culture has given a lot to to the history of the United States and these courses should be included in core classes. In general debate, Senator Grimm felt that the Senate should consider the Bill before passing the bill. He reminded that Senate that Western Civilization had two roots: Jerusalem and Athens. He felt that the Senate may be depriving the students of both comprehensive understanding of our Western Traditions by adding this to the Core Curriculum. Senator Hasselbrink disagreed with Senator Grimm. He felt that this was an opportunity for a new option in the Core Curriculum. He added that these type of courses would further promote diversity at the University of Houston. Senator Foley moved to amend the bill to read: "...To satisfy the cultural heritage requirement of the core curriculum, a course in Afro-American studies." The motion was seconded by Senator Burns. As speaker Against the amendment, Senator Saeed felt the bill should read as it was printed and there was no need to change it. In general debate, Senator Grimm clarified the amendment to Senator Saeed. He stated that the amendment was offered to prevent the vast portion of Western European Culture from being neglected. Senator Sharma questioned whether we should decide what a student should take as a cultural heritage course. Senator Hasselbrink felt that the student took an Afro-american course, some Western European Culture would be taught in order to explain such events as immigration of the Afro-americans. Senator Miklos stated that he was against the amendment offered by Senator Foley. He explained that the Afro-American courses have been neglected at the University of Houston. In addition, he felt that the Senate does not have the right to tell a student what to take. In a point of information, Senator Grimm pointed out that the University of Houston did not have an Afro- American Studies program. Senator Karim felt that the student should be able to take what they want and more courses should be offered. Senator Sharma called the question and a vote was taken on the amendment. The amendment failed. In general debate on University Bill 26035, Senator Browne called the question. The vote on closing the bill passed. A vote was taken on UB26035: A UNIVERSITY BILL MANDATING THE INCLUSION OF AFRO-AMERICAN STUDIES COURSES AS A MEANS TO FULFILL THE CULTURAL HERITAGE REQUIREMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON CORE CURRICULUM and the bill passed. Senator Grimm submitted an explanation for his vote on the African American Studies Bill:

Addressing African American heritage as a portion of the Cultural Heritage (C.H) requirement at UH is a terrific idea. However, this bill was too swiftly conceived. It pursues this important policy change in the wrong way. First, we should direct the issue curriculum. Second, as passed, the proposal may allow students to focus exclusively on framework in which to understand civilization from its origins to the present day, then neglecting the whole for a part defeats the purpose of C.H. courses. It would be even more tragic if this proposal provided an incentive for professors teaching non African- American C.H. courses to neglect African American heritage in their classes, presuming interested students will exercise their will-known "right to shop" for classes (after all, it is in the Bill of Rights, right?) and choose to study African American heritage instead. Since African American heritage insofar as it is a part of civilization is part of all our heritage, it should be a portion of all C.H courses. For further flexibility, we should consider providing students the option of concentrating in African-American studies for *half* of their C.H requirement.

Senator Saeed moved to discharge and consider UB26029: A UNIVERSITY BILL WHICH REQUIRES TEACHERS TO OFFER STUDENTS A COURSEWORK EVALUATION BEFORE THE FIRST DROP DATE. The motion was seconded by Senator Miklos. As speaker in favor of the bill, Senator Miklos explained why the last enacted was offered. He explained that if a course offered material which could be evaluated, then before the first drop date, the student would receive an evaluation to know where the student stands in the class. If there is no evaluative material, such as in a law class, then the University Bill would not apply. As Speaker Against the bill, Senator Foley felt that the amendment made the University Bill worse. He felt that the words, "evaluative material" did not explain whether a professor should evaluate or not. He added that the teacher would ignore the requirement because it is not effective. In General Debate, Senator Grimm felt that the Senate should not be interfering what happens in a classroom. Senator Miklos informed Senator Grimm that any University Bill would establish policy and it would be enforced. Senator Karim called the question, but Senator Miklos objected. Senator Hasselbrink referred back to the original



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

discussion of the bill (a few weeks ago) He felt that the Students' Association would not get laughed at for requiring this procedure. He added that this would not restrict the faculty. Senator Grimm felt that the best way to do it would be to increase the faculty flexibility. He reminded the Senate that they were here to get and education, not grades. Senator Spacek called the question and after several inquiries, debate was closed. A roll call vote was taken on UB26029: A UNIVERSITY BILL WHICH REQUIRES TEACHERS TO OFFER STUDENTS A COURSEWORK EVALUATION BEFORE THE FIRST DROP DATE. The following Senators were in favor of the bill: Barrus, Hasselbrink, Hoglund, Karim, Miklos, Saeed, Sharma, Spacek, and Urban. The following senators were not in favor of the bill: Browne, Foley, Grimm, and Harper. The following abstained from voting: Burns. Following the roll call, the University Bill failed. Senator Miklos moved to reconsider the bill. In a point of order, Senator Grimm stated that only someone on the prevailing side can move to reconsider. Speaker Danke confirmed Senator Grimm's statement.

13. *New Business and Reconsideration of Presidential Vetoes:*

a. Presidential Nominations and Appointments

None

b Other New Business and General Orders

Senator Sharma moved to bypass and consider the following people to the Student Program Board Executive Cabinet: Venna Sardana,, SPB Executive Director

Duane Lee Grooms, Special Programming

Michelle Cruz, Marketing

Senator Foley moved to divide the question to separate D.Lee Grooms. The motion was seconded by Senator Harper. In general debate, Senator Hasselbrink felt that whether Lee Grooms was going to be a Senator next year or not was in-germaine. Senator Harper felt that both positions were inter-related within the Students' Association. Senator Browne questioned whether a student could serve on more than one branch at the same time. A vote was taken on the motion to divide the question and it passed. Speaker Danke informed the Senate that the main question would be to bypass and consider Venna Sardana and Michelle Cruz. As Speaker in favor, President Belicove informed the Senate of the candidates qualifications. A direct vote was taken and the appointments passed unanimously. On the motion to bypass and consider D.Lee Grooms, Senator Sharma spoke in favor of the candidate. She felt that as a member of the selection committee, he was the most qualified applicant. Senator Foley pointed out that Mr. Grooms would be serving on two branches of S.A. Senator Spacek felt that some of the Senators had reservations about Mr. Grooms. She felt that these senators were aware that he was not a previous member of SPB, and he had not been a director of Special projects. She felt that the position of Special projects was a huge responsibility and that it should be referred back to committee. Senator Browne felt that a person should not hold two positions inside the Students' Association. He had serious reservations on how effective one could be as Senator and serve as the Director of Special Projects. Senator Sharma explained that the as a Senator Mr. Grooms would be involved in Governmental aspects of the Students' Association, and that SPB was the programming portion of the Students' Association. Senator Sharma cited her own experience as being a Senator and also the director of the Council of Ethnic Organizations. Senator Browne moved for Duane Lee Grooms to speak before the Senate for five minutes. The motion was seconded by Senator Urban. A direct vote was taken and the motion passed. At this time Mr. Grooms came forth and presented himself to the Senate. Through he speech, he assured the senate that he would make ample time for both positions. He also impressed upon the senate that he had previous experience in organizing such activities as Casino Night, and Welcome Week in the Residents Hall Association, as well and the Homecoming Activities and the Spring Fair. After Mr. Grooms' speech, Senator Grimm called the previous question, a vote was taken and debate closed. A vote was taken on whether to bypass and consider Duane Lee Grooms for the director for Special Projects and the vote passed unanimously.

Senator Saeed moved to bypass and consider UB26029: A UNIVERSITY BILL WHICH REQUIRES TEACHERS TO OFFER STUDENTS A COURSEWORK EVALUATION BEFORE THE FIRST DROP DATE. However, Speaker Danke ruled the motion out of order because according to parliamentary rules, the legislation had already been dealt with the session. He told the senate that the only alternate form of the legislation would be one which would substantially change the enacted.

14. *Introduction and First Reading of New Legislation:*

Senator Saeed introduced UB26029: A UNIVERSITY BILL WHICH REQUIRES TEACHERS TO OFFER STUDENTS A COURSEWORK EVALUATION BEFORE THE FIRST DROP DATE. Speaker Danke ruled the bill a dilatory piece of legislation He explained that the Senate was not allowed to see the same piece of legislation twice in the same session. In a point of information, Senator Karim asked



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

whether he could bring up the same legislation in the next Senate meeting and Speaker Danke replied yes but the legislation would have to be re-worded. There was an appeal on the decision of the Chair made by Senator Miklos. The appeal was undebatable. A direct vote on appealing Speaker Danke's decision was made and the vote passed: 8-yes, 5-no. The decision was appealed and Speaker Danke accepted University Bill 26037. The University bill was referred back to Internal Affairs because the Speaker felt that the matter should be dealt with in house and this would be the Internal Affairs Committee. Several Senators felt that the piece of legislation should be moved back to Academic Affairs. However, a vote was taken on the motion to move to legislation to Academic Affairs and it failed. A motion was made to move the legislation to the University Administration and Finance Committee because the committee has an equal amount of representation on the issue. A vote was taken and the motion passed. University Bill 26037: A UNIVERSITY BILL WHICH REQUIRES TEACHERS TO OFFER STUDENTS A COURSEWORK EVALUATION BEFORE THE FIRST DROP DATE.

Senator Urban introduced UB26038: A UNIVERSITY BILL LIMITING THE TOTAL COST OF ONE COURSE'S REQUIRED AND RECOMMENDED TEXTS TO FIFTY (50) DOLLARS PER SEMESTER-HOUR OF CREDIT FOR UNDERGRADUATE COURSES. The legislation was referred to Academic Affairs.

Senator Spacek introduced UB26039: A UNIVERSITY BILL ESTABLISHING A CAMPUS WIDE COMPUTER VIRUS POLICY. The Bill was referred to University Administration and Finance.

Senator Hasselbrink introduced SB26025: A SENATE BILL MAKING A LINE-ITEM TRANSFER FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING THE AAUS CENTRAL REGIONAL CHAIR OFFICE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON CAMPUS.

Senator Miklos introduced UB26040: A UNIVERSITY BILL CALLING FOR A CAMPUS WIDE YEARLY ENERGY AUDIT PROGRAM. The Bill was referred to the University Administration and Finance Committee.

Senator Miklos also introduced UB26041: A UNIVERSITY BILL CALLING FOR EXTENDING THE STUDENTS HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE OF PHARMACEUTICALS TO PRESCRIPTION BIRTH PREVENTION ITEMS. The bill was referred to the Student Life Committee.

Senator Barrus introduced SR26023: A SENATE RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE HEALTH CENTER FEE AGREEMENT. He also introduced UB26024: A STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE PLACEMENT OF RESTRICTIONS OF THE DISCOUNTED PURCHASE OF COMPUTER BY UNIVERSITY STUDENTS THROUGH CAMPUS OUTLETS. Senator Barrus also introduced UB26025: A SENATE RESOLUTION CONGRATULATION THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON MEN'S BASKETBALL TEAM FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE IN THE 1990 SEASON.

Senator Browne introduced SB26026: AN ACT TO ADOPT TITLE 1 OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CODE, TO BE KNOWN AS RULES; TO EXPRESSLY REPEAL BY-LAWS OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION REPLACED BY THE CODE; AND TO PROVIDE FOR SEVERABILITY. Senator Browne introduced SB26027: AN ACT TO ADOPT TITLE 2 OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CODE, TO BE KNOWN AS THE SENATE; TO EXPRESSLY REPEAL BY-LAWS OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION REPLACED BY THE CODE; AND TO PROVIDE SEVERABILITY. Senator Browne introduced 26028: AN ACT TO ADOPT TITLE 3 OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CODE, TO BE KNOWN AS EXECUTIVE; TO EXPRESSLY REPEAL BY-LAWS OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION REPLACED BY THE CODE; AND TO PROVIDE SEVERABILITY. Senator Browne introduced SB26029: AN ACT TO ADOPT TITLE 7 OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION CODE, TO BE KNOWN AS CODE OF IMPEACHMENT; TO EXPRESSLY REPEAL BY-LAWS OF THE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION REPLACED BY THE CODE; AND TO PROVIDE SEVERABILITY. The Senate Bills were all referred to the Internal Affairs Committee.

Senator Burns introduced SR26026: A SENATE RESOLUTION CONDEMNING THE CHILD-CARE CENTER'S ELIMINATION OF HOURLY-RATE CONTRACTS FOR CHILD CARE

Senator Barrus moved to bypass and consider SR26023: A SENATE RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE HEALTH CENTER FEE AGREEMENT. The motion was seconded by Senator Miklos. Senator Barrus explained that the Senate Resolution needed to be passed because referendum was next Wednesday and Thursday. A vote was taken and the bill passed.

Senator Hasselbrink moved to bypass and consider SB26024: A SENATE BILL MAKING A LINE-ITEM TRANSFER FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING THE AAUS CENTRAL REGIONAL CHAIR OFFICE AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON CAMPUS. The bill was seconded by Senator Barrus. As speaker in favor of the bill, Senator Hasselbrink reminded the Senate that Mikal Belicove was elected the



STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION SENATE JOURNAL

AAUS Central Chair. The position would require monetary support from the Senate. He encouraged the Senate to pass favorably on the bill. A direct vote was taken and the Senate Bill passed.

Senator Hasselbrink moved to bypass and consider SR26025: A SENATE RESOLUTION CONGRATULATION THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON MEN'S BASKETBALL TEAM FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE IN THE 1990 SEASON. Speaker Danke sensed general consensus on the resolution. A vote was taken and the resolution passed.

Senator Barrus move to bypass and consider SR26024: A STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE PLACEMENT OF RESTRICTION ON THE DISCOUNTED PURCHASE OF COMPUTERS BY UNIVERSITY STUDENTS THROUGH CAMPUS OUTLETS. The motion was seconded by Senator Browne. As speaker in favor, President Belicove explained that the piece of legislation passed at the previous meeting dealt with how it affects faculty members. SR26024 deals with how it affects the students. A vote was taken and the Senate Resolution passed unanimously.

Senator Burns moved to bypass and consider SR26026: A SENATE RESOLUTION CONDEMNING THE CHILD-CARE CENTER'S ELIMINATION OF HOURLY-RATE CONTRACTS FOR CHILD CARE. The motion was seconded by Senator Hogle. As speaker in favor, Senator Burns felt that it was upsetting because the advisory board recently passed a flat rate fee. This would force parents who used the facilities on an hourly basis to pay a three, four, or five day fee for daycare. In general debate, Senator Grimm asked if there was anyway to try and alter the policy by making this legislation a University Bill. President Belicove clarified that it was a proposed policy and was not in affect yet. Senator Foley asked if the committee gave any reason for the change. Senator Burns referred to the *Daily Cougar* and stated that the daycare center lost \$75,000.00 by charging hourly rates. She explained that the committee did not give the option of raising the hourly rate as opposed to a flat fee. Senator Sharma noted that the two students that served on the committee were against the change, but were ignored. Senator Spacek voiced her support for the bill. After no further debate, the bill passed.

15. *Comments and Announcements:*

Senator Browne - Announced that the next Internal Affairs meeting would be on Thursday, March 15, 1990 in the Students' Association Office. He added that the committee would be discussing the bylaws of the Constitution and urged all committee members to attend.

Senator Miklos - Informed the Senate of the next University Administration and Finance Meeting on Monday, March 26, 1990 and added that everyone was invited to attend.

Senator Sharma - Told the Senate about the upcoming CEO Diversity Week. She added that Norma Quarles, an anchor from CNN would be speaking on Tuesday, March 13, 1990. She also informed the Senate of the food fair on Wednesday, March 14, 1990.

16. *Adjournment:*

The meeting was adjourned by general consent at 10:27 P.M.