

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 10

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, FEBRUARY, 1959

No. 2

Urban Renewal Is a Vicious New Angle in Federal Grab for Power

REPUBLICANS JUST A WEE BIT LATE IN DECLARING WHAT THE PARTY STANDS FOR

At a meeting of leading Republicans at Des Moines recently, the belated decision was reached to set down in black and white what their party stands for.

To us this seems quite beside the point since any declaration on the subject which they make can only apply to the future. What it has stood for during more than six years that they have been in power is a matter of record.

They have stood for wild and extravagant spending of the taxpayers' money unmatched in history; for giving it away to foreign, (including Communist), countries in amounts beyond computation; for the appeasement of Communism and Communists at home and abroad; for the destruction of State Sovereignty and for centralization of authority by force of bayonet; for the filling of Federal offices of importance with men possessed of no political morals and void of all sense of responsibility to the American people; for the promotion of economic policies which are unsound and un-American and of social programs which have no rightful place on the agenda of the Fed-

eral government. In brief, they have merely served as an echo of the pronouncements and practices of Roosevelt's and Truman's brand of National Socialism.

What shall it profit the country for the Republicans to come out now and inform the American people what they stand for since they are on the way out?

There has been no greater tragedy perhaps in American history than the total and complete disintegration of the Republican Party through the absolute abandonment of all the principles which once underlay that great organization.

Hopes were high in 1952 when, after twenty years of Roosevelt, Truman and corruption, the Republicans came to power with a solemn promise to restore Constitutional Government in the United States.

They didn't do it; they merely completed the demolition job on the Republic which was begun in 1933.

So why all the shouting now and the great haste to commit to writing what the Republicans stand for?

To their sorrow, the American people already know the answer.

What The Governor Of South Carolina Said On Segregation

Ever since the days of John C. Calhoun, South Carolina has been noted for the high quality of statesmanship on the part of leaders and the present governor, E. F. Hollings, apparently will carry on the tradition.

On the occasion of his inauguration on January 20, Governor Hollings assured the citizens of South Carolina, without equivocation and in unmistakable terms, that he will not surrender to economic blackmail and the insults and defamation which both major political parties are hurling at the South in the attempt to enforce integration.

"There is today no law and no provision of the Constitution re-

quiring racially integrated schools," Governor Hollings said. In fact, the contrary is true, he claimed and added: "I cannot conscientiously take the oath to protect and defend the Constitution and not object to the Supreme Court usurping the mandatory power that Constitutionally is vested in three-fourths of the States."

Hollings insisted that both responsible Whites and Negroes in South Carolina are in agreement on segregation and that everything possible has been done to provide equality in education.

"Let alone, we shall continue to do this," he concluded.

At stated intervals the American people go to the polls to elect men to represent them in both Houses of Congress. No sooner have many of these chosen public servants shaken the dust of their local community from their feet and arrived in Washington than a metamorphosis sets in and strange new personalities emerge. Instead of devoting the full measure of their energy, resource and ability to the defense and preservation of the Sovereignty of the State which has honored them, they become glorified puppets of the Federal Government in its endless and eternal struggle for authority which the Constitution has denied it. As a result, the States are rapidly dwindling to the status of subjugated provinces whose citizens are little more than servile slaves of snooping overlords in Washington determined to pry into and direct every detail of their private lives. Urban Renewal is a vicious weapon, voted by the Congress, which will enable the Federal Government to seize control of the cities even as it has already laid profane hands on the Sovereign States of the Union.

Centralized authority has always been the snag on which ambitious heads of nations have stubbed their toe and fallen flat on their face, dragging their government down with them.

One by one ancient dictators bit the dust when their domination of the people became absolute and the citizens' inalienable right to work out their own social and economic destiny was abridged "for the good of the State."

Pericles decreed the beginning of the end of Athens' glory and Appius Claudius started Rome on the death march to oblivion when they instituted One-Man government and squeezed the last vestige of human freedom from their unwilling subjects.

It was in that age of civilization that a theory of government first began to flower which is popular in this country today—the philosophy that taxpayers, like children, should be seen and not heard and that their lives are best planned and managed by a benevolent centralized authority.

It is always difficult to pin-point the exact date in history when tyranny first raises its ugly head over the horizon of a free nation for despotism, like creeping paralysis, inches up on its victims so slowly, steadily and imperceptibly that its approach is seldom detected until it is too late to check its deadly advance.

In the United States the theory of centralized authority is associated with Alexander Hamilton, the Federalist, but this trend was halted by level-headed and freedom-loving statesmen who came after him. The dreamy Internationalist, Woodrow Wilson, toyed with the idea of strong central control without ever knowing quite what to do about it.

It is generally conceded that Franklin D. Roosevelt gave this policy the drive, impetus and momentum which continues today with such unabated force that Sovereign States, whose Constitutional authority is actually paramount to that of the Federal Government, are fast losing the battle to Washington and American citizens are rapidly becoming a people of lost identity.

Like all clever tyrants, Roosevelt used guile and deceptively soft words to distract the taxpayers while he formulated policies which looted

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

Conservatives Should Be In One Party And Liberals In Another

More and more it is becoming apparent to thoughtful Americans that unless Conservatives are banded together into one party and Liberals into another, there is little hope for the American Republic in the foreseeable future.

As the make-up of the two parties now stands, there are staunch Conservatives and extreme leftists in both parties with the leftists in control in each instance although predominant sentiment of the American people is believed to be conservative.

In this mixed-up and confused situation, it is almost certain that International Socialists like Nelson

Rockefeller and Adlai Stevenson, or somebody comparable to them, will be the candidates in 1960.

If so, this will mean that millions of qualified voters will again refuse to vote for either and the American people will again have leadership dedicated to the advancement of alien peoples and totally unconcerned in the well-being, rights and freedom of the citizens of the United States.

Surely an all-wise Creator will shape events in such manner that Americans may have an American to guide them when the present Internationalist administration shall have come to an end.

It has been the history of the human race that the ruling classes never surrender power or relinquish the purse or the sword unless compelled by the people to do so.

Freedom is always the object of attack by politicians while its preservation is the aim of statesmen.

The right to own property and transmit it to heirs was one of the first rights set up when civilized men formed the institution of government.

The Federal Government owes nothing to the citizen except the opportunity to achieve, the protection of his freedom and the right to work and to own.

American People Are In Need Of A Good Dose Of Americanism

The American people have been suffering from a malignant and apparently incurable malady for several years and it seems to be getting worse.

There is no official name for the disease but we call it "foreignitis."

For a people who have so readily accepted National Socialism as a form of Government, it would seem that European Royalty would have no appeal for the rank and file.

And it does not have to be tip-top Royalty either to send them into a dither—fringe Royalty will serve just as well.

Nothing could be much more amusing than pictures which appeared in some Eastern papers around Christmas showing Americans backing out of the presence of a former American movie actress and curtsying all over the place to her, during a ball given for her and her husband while visiting this country.

To us, she was much more ad-

mirable in her former position as a lovely, wholesome young American woman of wealth and refinement who always reflected credit on the movie industry. She gained nothing of awe and respect from us for having married a foreigner with some sort of claim to a title.

We thought that when the United States broke from England and became a Republic, all that nonsense about titles was thrown overboard and that "Royalty" became more or less a joke, but let a foreigner with either a genuine or a spurious claim to a title of nobility invade our shores, and we go into a tailspin.

Another evidence of "foreignitis" is the manner in which young Americans are sent abroad to imbibe "culture" through exchange programs although about the only thing they actually acquire is Atheism and Communism.

The remedy for this national disease would seem to be a good old-fashioned dose of Americanism.

URBAN RENEWAL— (Continued from Page 1)

them of their freedom and robbed them of their substance which he redistributed to those who were unable, or unwilling, to work for their own keep.

Contrary to popular belief, it was also Roosevelt who invented the tranquilizer long before medical scientists came up with the Miltown Pill.

The brand-name of his tranquilizer was the Fireside Chat and through this hypnotic device he lulled the people to sleep. In their semi-comatose state, it was easy for him to rob them of their initiative, deprive them of the capacity for original thought and convert them into willing and impotent slaves of a centralized government in Washington.

In this partially conscious condition, a large segment of the American people were transformed from sturdy, independent and rugged individuals ready and eager to face the hardships and handicaps their parents had met and overcome on the thorny road to success, and converted them into whimpering, sniveling and pap-sucking weaklings unable to envision any future which did not include a soft job during their productive years with social security and a government dole waiting for them in the sunset of life.

It was a noxious and deadly doctrine — more dangerous than any physical disease ever visited upon the human race.

However, all that is water over the dam except that it is important for Americans to review the steps leading up to the horrible apathy which envelops them now. It explains why they have attained their present semi-serf status with relation to their Federal Government and it will cushion the shock which awaits them as new and daring grabs for power unfold before them, such as the Urban Renewal project for instance.

Heretofore attempts at Federal control of the citizen have been largely confined to legislation by Congress, Executive orders and Judicial rulings which restricted or usurped the authority of the several States as a whole and which was more or less a "retail" operation in tyranny but this new grab for power is being launched on a "wholesale" basis whereby the cities will be taken over, one by one, until the entire nation shall have been hopelessly trapped in the gargantuan scheme of centralized control.

This Federalization project known as Urban Renewal, in which Washington puts up two-thirds of the funds involved in tearing down and re-building certain sections of a city, is in keeping with the custom of dictators from the beginning of time who disguise all movements for the subjugation of the people as a benevolent service to the public.

Urban Renewal proposes to eliminate all slums, reconstruct business districts to conform to Federally-prepared blueprints and, in brief, to turn out a municipal paradise in which the citizen will enjoy every imaginable benefit except the priceless privilege of individual freedom and the right to regulate his own life and operate his own business in accordance with his own desire as guaranteed him in the basic provisions of the Constitution of the United States.

Urban Renewal which would forcibly remove the inhabitants of a slum district and relocate them elsewhere regardless of whether or not they want to move, ignores the basic concept that a man's home is his castle and this holds true whether such home is a mansion in the most exclusive residential district or a corrugated iron shack near the city dump. It overlooks also the vital fact that most of those who live in slums are there by choice and that any district into which most of them would move would automatically become another slum.

For, in spite of all the visionary dreams of do-gooders and intellectual dope-heads, there are varying stratas of humanity and differing economic and social classes in this country, always have been and always will be until the hand of fate rings down the curtain on the stage of eternity.

To classify this new venture in centralized authority, however, as strictly a Federal project is not exactly in accordance with fact since the plan is jointly sponsored by the Federal Government, the Rockefeller interests and some of the slickest real estate promoters and quick money boys ever granted a license to operate their racket on the American continent.

By the adoption of Urban Renewal, the Federal Government would benefit through strengthened control over the citizen, the Rockefeller interests by the extension of their vast financial empire since it is partly their money used to build huge industrial centers under the plan, and the real estate promoters would reap millions of dollars by the confiscation of slum areas at their own price and their re-sale at enormous profit.

The Congress of the United States infringes on State Sovereignty by Civil Rights and allied legislation, the Supreme Court by un-Constitutional decisions on integration of schools and the Executive Department of government by sending armed troops to frighten and intimidate the citizenry and the duly elected officials of States and Municipalities, but for all-out Federal grabs for power and attempts to dominate the people at the grass roots, the Urban Renewal proposal is without precedent in the history of governmental regimentation.

All Americans who have not totally surrendered their right to think and to act should go thoroughly into this insidious project before it becomes fastened on their own city and take measures to repel it before they are further trapped in the deadly net of Federal tyranny.

When Federal control over the cities is achieved by means of the contribution of two-thirds of the money used to re-plan them, it is only one step further then to One-World Government since, as Communist leaders have pointed out, it's much easier for the Soviets to overthrow the Republic when the country is all done up in one Federal package than it is in the case of forty-nine separate State units with their thousands of municipal divisions.

When, and if, the time comes when Washington politicians acquire the strangle-hold on the various towns and cities of the nation which they have gotten over the States by forcible seizure, the American Republic, like ancient Athens and Rome, will have come to the end of the trail.

Another Principle Destroyed And A New "Crime" Developed

May James Roosevelt Fail As Did His Father Before Him

A time-honored principle of the press which holds that a reporter regards the source of his information as confidential and need not reveal it has been struck down by a New York judge.

Marie Torre, a television columnist, was sent to jail for refusing to reveal the source of her information in a statement she made two years ago in her column in which she apparently quoted a remark of a Columbia Broadcasting System official concerning Judy Garland, a Hollywood movie actress who took offense and sued CBS for a million dollars.

Since State courts almost invariably protect the right of reporters to keep the source of their information confidential, we figured that this must be an unusual case.

We felt sure that the official in question must have accused Miss Garland of triple murder or at least of having pushed a widow woman's dog in the creek or the judge would not have sent the lady columnist to jail.

Imagine our consternation when it finally came out, as Miss Torre languished in the jug, that the aforementioned official had merely suggested that the reason why Miss Garland refused to work for the network was because she considered herself too fat. Ye gods!

As one who has waged an eternal battle with calories throughout most of our adult life, we can sympathize with the charming movie actress and understand her chagrin at thus being described, but this is the first time that we ever realized that it is a crime to refer to anybody as "fat" and that such charge is subject to libel for which a million dollars can be demanded by the offended party.

Anyway, it has given us an idea which we shall act upon at the first opportunity.

While we are not a movie actress and our weight is of no importance to anyone but us, we occasionally have friends tactfully ask us if we haven't gained a little since they saw us last.

Hereafter, we are going to keep our ears and eyes open and see if we can't inveigle some well-heeled character into coming out flat and accusing us of being fat in which case we will slap a million dollar libel suit on them.

That'll fix 'em—and us, too, if we can collect.

We've said it so many times that it sounds like we're singing a song but one of the most vicious and dangerous pressure groups in the country is the National Education Association. They are back in Washington this year, in droves, plugging for Federal Aid to education. They want the Federal government to subsidize the public schools of the nation but where a government that is nearly \$300,000,000,000.00 in debt is going to get the money these eggheads haven't the faintest idea. Of course the Federal government has no business in educational fields even if it were solvent, and had billions in surplus.

LEGISLATION BY PRINCIPLE GIVES WAY TO LEGISLATION BY COMPROMISE

When the Senate voted at the opening session of the Eighty-sixth Congress that only three-fourths of the members present could halt debate, instead of three-fourths of all members as formerly, the action was hailed by headlines in the press as "a personal victory for Lyndon Johnson".

If it came as a surprise to responsible Americans that the purpose of legislation is not to grant any personal victory to ambitious politicians, but to maintain principles of government, that is beside the point.

The rule has been changed and the camel got his head in the tent. Since the new rule provides that cloture, or debate-stopping maneuvers, can be applied on rules changes where heretofore there was no limitation of debate where rules changes were concerned, it means that subsequent sessions will be confronted with the same efforts to emasculate Rule XXII.

The time-honored rule, of course should have remained as it was and any change at all was a concession

to those who are out to destroy Constitutional Government which the weakening of this rule makes easier.

Outside of a few Southerners led by Senator Richard Russell of Georgia, there was no organized defense for the retention of Rule XXII which was set up to prevent the passage of ill-considered and unwise legislation.

Johnson, the majority leader, apparently was not concerned with the preservation of the principle embodied in Rule XXII. He hit the deck with a "compromise" right from the first. Obviously a "compromise" is the only type of legislative procedure with which he is familiar.

Perhaps the American people who for so long have had to accept the lesser of two evils in choosing their national leaders should be grateful that the change was no worse.

Maybe, with statesmanship at its lowest ebb in history, we have reached the point where "compromise" is the best we may expect.

And Our Own Country Is Nearly \$300 Billion In Debt

Here is a cute little item which was buried back among the want ads of the daily press on January 23: "The United States agreed Friday to pay the dollar cost, up to \$1,200,000.00, of supplying Poland with American books, films, newspapers and magazines during 1959. The new agreement is \$200,000.00 more than last year".

And there seems to be no individual, or group of individuals, in Washington who have the authority or the inclination to stop such stupid and criminal acts as this against the American taxpayers.

Is One Of These Your Child's Textbook On American History?

Those who have been unable to read "Brain Washing in the High Schools" by E. Merrill Root, professor of English at Earlham College, Richmond, Indiana, should at least take the time to find out whether or not any of the following textbooks are being used to "educate" their child or children in the history of the United States:

The United States, Experiment in Democracy by Avery Craven and Walter Johnson; The Making of Modern America, by Leon H. Canfield and Howard B. Wilder; America's History, by Lewis Paul Todd and Merle Curti; The American Story, by Ruth Wood Gavian and William A. Hamm; Story of America, by Ralph Volney Har-

Warren Resigns, But Read This Before Applauding

It has been reported in news from Washington that Chief Justice Earl Warren has submitted his resignation—as a member of the American Bar Association.

His resignation was said to have been caused by the sharp criticism directed against him and other members of the Court for some of their decisions by officials of the Bar Association.

That's fine for the American Bar Association and a good riddance of bad rubbish but it does not help the American people any.

Only his resignation from the Supreme Court could do that.

(The Houston Chronicle)

After Congressman Martin Dies brought about the creation of the House un-American activities committee and became its first chairman in the 1930s, the committee began ferreting out a frightening degree of Communist infiltration into the federal government and almost all facets of American life. The Commiecrats, whose secret playhouse was penetrated, began screaming to the high heavens about violation of civil rights, witch hunting and persecution.

President Roosevelt took the side of the Commiecrats. He first tried to persuade Dies to lay off the Communists. But Dies, who has just retired as the congressman at large from Texas after the office was abolished, is a determined man. Then the President applied strong-arm tactics. He threatened and even tried to get Dies defeated in his Orange district. By this time, however, enough members of Congress and a large segment of the American public had been awakened to the Communist menace. The un-American activities committee survived.

There are many in high places who believe that this country might already have been subverted to a dangerous degree by the Communists had it not been for the work of the committee.

J. Edgar Hoover, head of the FBI and the symbol of security for the American people, recently said, "Your committee is safeguarding our freedom."

Comes now another Roosevelt, son of the late President, to try to achieve what his father failed to do. Rep. James Roosevelt (D., Cal.) has introduced a resolution in the House to kill the un-American activities committee.

For once, the Republicans in the House have shown a little collective fortitude. In an unprecedented action, Republican members of the House met Thursday and voted unanimously to oppose the Roosevelt resolution. The nation owes these G.O.P. members a debt of gratitude.

If each G.O.P. member sticks to his vote, the Roosevelt resolution is doomed. There are enough sane and patriotic Democrats to join the Republicans and defeat the resolution.

Not only should the committee be continued, it should have the strong backing of the House and, for that matter, of the entire American public.

THERE IS VIRTUE IN "APARTNESS" ALSO

"Togetherness" may be a good catch-word for those who spout "Human Brotherhood" but sometimes it can be carried too far in the opinion of a Michigan man.

He has just sued to oust his brother-in-law from his home. He claims that the brother-in-law came to visit him soon after he married and has been there ever since.

That was twenty-nine years ago.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress,
members of State Legislatures, Governors,
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with
the wind but it takes an eagle to fly
against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Where 'Compromise' Leaves Off And Treachery Begins

Senator Lyndon Johnson of Texas who achieved the unenviable distinction of being the first member of Congress from the Lone Star State to vote for a Civil Rights bill since the Civil War has established another precedent.

He has written and offered to the Senate a Civil Rights bill of his very own.

Disregarding any "compromising" provisions which may be embodied in his bill and whether his distorted conception of the matter enables him to envision his measure as something better than those which may be offered by others, the cold-blooded fact remains that any Southerner who contributes to the further distress and heartbreak of the Southern people by either voting for, or sponsoring, any type of Civil Rights bill is disloyal to the South in any language.

Personally, we have far greater respect for Javitts of New York who is an uncompromising mongrelizer and not ashamed of it, than we have for a Southern public servant who would deliberately insult the majority of his constituents in the vague hope of advancing his own political interests.

Any man in public office who classifies himself as a "moderate" is a political hermaphrodite. He is neither for anything nor against anything and, in his neuter gender status, commands respect from neither Conservatives nor Liberals who are pronounced in their convictions.

From an overly-generous subscriber in Dallas: "Every editorial you write for the Southern Conservative is touched with immortality."

IT'S LAWMAKERS, NOT LOBBYISTS, WHO SHOULD BE PUT ON THE SPOT

A new piece of legislation known as the Lobby Law became effective in Texas with the opening of the current session of the legislature at Austin under which all those seeking to influence legislation must register and give full information concerning their employment.

This seems pretty silly to us.

After all, what is "lobbying" except the exercise of the right of the citizen to "seek redress of wrongs" and if anybody thinks that the average bunch of lawmakers can't enact as much "wrong" legislation as any other kind, they have another guess coming.

Any member of a legislature who votes for a law restraining lobbyists is unsure of his own convictions and afraid they won't stand up under pressure. If we were a member of a legislature, a lobbyist could talk until he was blue in the face without influencing our vote unless he could produce factual evidence and documented proof that he was right and we were wrong in which case it would be our duty to change our mind. Full discussion never hurts any proposed law.

We have known lots of lawmakers on a more or less intimate basis and we've known lots of lobbyists too in various State capitals. When it comes to a matter of brains, experience and understanding of fundamental principles of government, members of the Third House often have it all over their colleagues who are on the inside looking out.

In fact, it has often occurred to us that there should be some sort of questionnaire which all members of a legislature should be required

to answer along with taking their oath of office.

They should be forced to tell who actually financed their campaign and what selfish motive such sponsor had in doing so.

They should have to answer at least a couple of dozen questions relating to fundamental provisions of their State Constitution to prove what, if anything, they know about that important document.

They should be compelled to name the university or college they attended, how many left-wing professors instructed them and how much brain-washing they underwent in the process.

Also, they should be required to declare under oath to what extent their political thinking has been influenced by the Sunday sermons of Marxists in the pulpit.

If something like this could be figured out, we'd be getting somewhere because, when the chips are down and the roll is called, it's the lawmakers and not the lobbyists who do the voting.

In the final analysis, we can't escape the fact that when a business executive hires a man to represent his interests in the State Capital, he is not going to pick out some young buck who is still wet behind the ears and who has yet to acquire his legislative wisdom by trial and error, but, instead, is going to select a person of experience, maturity and ability.

On the other hand, it has been proved time and time again that almost anybody can get elected to the legislature.

In view of all this, our reaction is "phooey on the lobby law."

THOSE WHO SEEK FEDERAL AID SHARE RESPONSIBILITY FOR FEDERAL WASTE

Recognized throughout the country as an authority on sound policies of government, the Honorable Robert B. Dresser of Providence, Rhode Island, believes that equal blame for the extravagant spending in Washington rests with those who seek Federal Aid.

Included in a recent statement by Mr. Dresser covering inflation, taxation and other subjects of vital interest which was released by the Committee for Constitutional Government, was this pertinent observation:

Despite the obvious necessity of economy, the Federal Government continues to increase rather than decrease expenditures. Billions of dollars of the taxpayers' money are being spent unnecessarily, so that in the current fiscal year expenditures will reach, it is esti-

A columnist says that United Airlines pilot Charles Dent is carrying on a crusade to get more Americans interested in the work of the United Nations, thereby hoping to engender more respect for it. We would have to unlearn what we already know about the outfit before we could have respect for it.

mated, \$80 billion, a record peacetime high, and there will be a deficit of at least \$12 billion. At the same time the debt limit has been increased by Congress to \$288 billion. The waste and extravagance are appalling.

It should be observed, however, that Congress is not alone responsible for the heavy spending. That responsibility must be shared by the various pressure groups who are demanding their share of the Federal pie, ignorant or heedless of the consequences. Included in these groups are certain businessmen who, while preaching economy, seek Federal aid for State and local purposes, and this despite the fact that every dollar received from the Federal Government has first been collected by way of taxes from the people of the States.

Animals have to find their food or starve and an all-wise nature intended that man should do the same thing. But man has now fallen below the animals and demands that society feed him and that the government underwrite his living expenses.

Two Parties Differ Only in Labels

(Fort Worth Star-Telegram)

The national chairmen of both the Democrats and the Republicans are concerned about presenting to the public a "clear image" of their parties. They should be, for the images of the two parties have been so blurred in recent years that one has been distinguishable from the other only by the label. As an example, nobody raised an eyebrow last year when it was suggested that Chief Justice Warren, a nominal Republican, be made the Democratic presidential nominee in 1960.

The trouble with trying to present a clear image of either of the parties is that there is no agreement on what form the image should take. The Republicans have been flirting with something called "modern Republicanism," which seems to be a virtual carbon copy of New Dealism and Fair Dealism. This is something to which the Democrats claim the copyright, and even the most fervent "me-tooism" can hardly convince the public that the Republicans are better at it than the original practitioners.

Those who had most success under the Republican banner in the recent congressional elections — Nelson Rockefeller in New York and U. S. Senator Goldwater in Arizona — presented entirely contrasting images of Republicanism to the voters. The image held aloft by Mr. Rockefeller was the prototype of modern Republicanism, though with the Republican label studiously hidden from view. The image upheld by Senator Goldwater was that of the staunch conservative Republican making no compromise with the liberal line. It is no wonder that the two pictures can not be merged without producing a confusing blur, like two images captured successively upon the same film.

As for the Democrats, what is the true image? Is it that offered by the Democratic advisory committee, with its insistence upon a program which is anathema to the South and the destruction of the South's chief means of resistance in Congress? Is it a party with a strong liberal-labor accent which only in name represents the traditional Democratic principles to which the South has given its loyalty through the years? Or is the moderation of a Lyndon Johnson, or the conservatism of a Byrd or a Russell?

Out of such widely varying elements it is hard, if not impossible, to construct any sort of a consistent image. Many voters—a constantly increasing number of them—now say they vote for the man instead of the party. The reason is plain enough. The two parties have no image—nothing that clearly designates them as being either Republican or Democratic.

A Sovereign State which accepts "aid" from the Federal government in return for surrendering its rights and freedom is in the same class as a kept woman who trades her self-respect for luxuries showered on her by a sugar daddy.

Life-Termers on Supreme Court Get an Ear Full Of Suggestions From a Smoldering Southerner

No matter how many groups may publish the list of Americans they most admire, one of our favorite persons in all the United States will continue to be Tom Anderson, editor of Farm and Ranch at Nashville, Tennessee.

We not only admire Mr. Anderson for his forthright and undiluted Americanism and his outspoken defense of the underlying principles which have made this country great, but we admire him for his ability, when discussing Washington bureaucrats, to express his opinion in caustic phrases which is the only language conscientious Americans can employ in depicting the behavior of unscrupulous politicians.

Below we give our readers the benefit of one of Mr. Anderson's typical dissertations on the State of the Union as it relates to the Judiciary Department of the Federal Government. This offering is in the form of a letter addressed to the Supreme Court of the United States by Mr. Anderson and which appeared in the December "Straight Talk" bulletin periodically put out by Farm and Ranch:

The Supreme Court of the United States,
Washington, D.C.
Dear Lifers:

Just because President Roosevelt vilified the Supreme Court is no excuse for the common man to. After all, by rewriting the Constitution to suit modern life you are saving the people all the time, trouble and expense of having to amend the Constitution.

The reactionaries who have to be pulled into the 20th century dragging their feet and clutching the Constitution to their breasts naturally can't understand you fellows. They're used to having judges on the Court. They don't realize that human rights are what count today—not law—and that you justices were appointed because of your social, economic and political backgrounds and ambitions. How could you make America over, if you were tied down to the rulings of past Courts, the laws of Congress

We Thought It Would Finally Come To This

Washington politicians now propose to set a limit on the number of deliveries the stork can make in a specified time.

According to a recent press release, the unpredictable Senator William Langer from North Dakota has offered a bill which would prohibit women government workers from having more than one "maternity leave" per year.

We have not done any particular research on the subject but we thought, off-hand, that this was a case in which nature had beaten the politicians to the draw and had regulated such events automatically.

And we still think, Senator Langer to the contrary, that the odds against the stork making more than one visit per year to the recipients of his bundle of bliss, are very heavy.

or that 'ancient Constitution?

You threw out the convictions of 14 California Communists . . . you ruled against firing a government worker suspected of being a security risk . . . you turned loose a dope peddler because the government agent who sold the stuff to him had to remain anonymous so he could trap other citizens . . . like good sports you decreed that FBI files must be opened to criminal or Communist when the contents of those files are being used to prosecute him . . . you ruled that past membership in the Communist party is not a bar to the practice of law . . . you released three men who harbored a convicted and fugitive Communist leader because the FBI agents raided the house without a warrant . . . you held the Justice Department can't bar Communist activity by an alien who is under a deportation order . . . you destroyed the right of states to try Communists on sedition laws, saying all sedition cases must be tried by the Federal Government only. 'Betcha you can turn Communists loose faster'n J. Edgar Hoover can lock 'em up.

Since 1932 the Court has departed from decisions previously rendered on 35 occasions, whereas there had been only 29 such reversals in the entire history of the court before 1932. So the recent law of the land is sorta like a movie actress' hair—whatever suits the mood and the occasion. The Constitution is your hula hoop to be played with according to your fancy.

Can't you make the States Righters realize that schools and things must be federalized if we are to compete with Russian schools and things? Like the Kremlin, you must make all states under your domination conform to whatever national educational and social standards in your great wisdom you deem best for the world and us. Back when states and communities were free

to run the schools any way they wanted to, they had little one-room schoolhouses where the only thing red was the schoolhouse itself and where about all they had worth having was freedom—and, of course, that's out of date now.

Let's not make the same mistake on integration and civil rights that we made on Prohibition. Just because the people didn't want Prohibition and it couldn't be enforced, Congress repealed it. But everybody knows people just can't drink equally, although many do separately. Separate but equal, you've ruled, is not equality! And all men are created equal as anybody who'll just look around him can plainly see. We must force togetherness in churches, parks, theatres, swimming pools, subdivisions, dancing classes, farm organizations, editorial staffs, boards of directors, garden clubs, fraternities, society pages, living rooms and boudoirs. Twenty-four states have laws against intermarriage of whites and Negroes. Why don't you make that against the law of the land?

This head-on battle for power between the federal and state governments goes back to Noah. He had to bring into the ark "of every living thing of all flesh, two of every sort . . ." If he had just forced integration on the boat, we wouldn't have all this trouble now. Just think of the possibilities: A woodpecker housed with a carrier pigeon might have produced a pigeonpecker that not only would deliver a message across a continent but would knock on the door when it got there.

The racists should take a more tolerant view of racial amalgamation (they call it mongrelization). When we're like Brazil, Cuba and Puerto Rico everybody will be the same color. For amusement, we can have white-face musicals and books like "Old Beige Joe" and "Grey Beauty." There won't be any more

Brush-Bearded Adolescents Are Having Roman Holiday In Cuba

There should be some way to gag New York female columnists who traipse over to Cuba and write reams of gushing drivel in praise of the cold-blooded killers who have taken over that island.

There is little doubt that Batista probably robbed the Cubans deaf, dumb and blind but does anybody know of any government heads these days who are not bankrupting the taxpayers?

We have no earthly use or respect for any dictator, but if we have to choose between one who

engages in a type of refined despotism and one who blatantly invites the world to come and witness the public execution of his political enemies, we will take the former.

The pimply faced adolescents with the brush beards who are now having a Roman holiday in Cuba are the material of which Stalins, Malenkovs and Krushchevs are made regardless of the fact that New York sob sisters insist on referring to them as deliverers of the people, and the saviours of "Democracy."

The publishers are reported to have issued a special edition of WHO'S WHO for use in Washington, D.C. In the nation's capital the volume is said to be titled "WHO DAT?"

It has been announced in the press that the Senate Committee on Monopolies of which Estes KeFauver of Tennessee is chairman will be very active during the current session of Congress and will investigate many phases of the subject. We will bet a twenty-nine-cent dollar, however, that the

Sammy Davis, Juniors on TV, 'cause there won't be any more Sammy Davis, Juniors. Ain't that fabulous?

Isn't it unfair that citizens in some areas of the nation do not have an equal opportunity to really mix with their colored brothers? Would you please pass a law requiring those little exclusive commuter towns around the big eastern cities to benefit by living with, going to school with, working with and socializing with their share of Negroes? I am sure you'll agree that it's un-modern-American to let the Negroes be discriminated against by being forced on poor whites only. The Burning Tree and Augusta National golf clubs, the DAR and the Junior League, Princeton and Groton must be Little Rocked.

Aren't you worried about the clear and present danger that the Southern die-hards might really make a go of private segregated schools? Suppose Arkansas decided to refund to each student his or her per capita share of school tax money to be used to go to the school of his choice—couldn't you make a law of the land against that? Some pupils would choose an integrated school, some a white, some a Catholic, some a colored . . . what a horrible un-modern-American mess, freedom of choice!

Some people who recognize that the Supreme Court—not the Constitution—is the law of the land, say that integration is inevitable, we might as well accept it. Reactionaries say a people integrated against their will is a people unintegrated still. Abraham Lincoln said "To sin by silence when they should protest, makes cowards of men." But Abe lived a long time ago, before the Supreme Court Chamber became a mixing mortar—which is a bowl in which the ingredients are broken, crushed, and ground together—with all deliberate speed.

The hue and cry against "Colonialism" which has gone up since World War II is a device of the Communists. Indonesia is a fair example of how "anti-Colonialism" operates. Up until 1949 the Dutch controlled that country and under their rule the people were happy and contented. When the "Independence Movement" for Indonesia started Washington got into the act and finally forced the Dutch out of that area. Indonesia then went to the Communists, and we are supplying them with money and arms to fight anti-Communist movements which break out there now and then. Another instance of our opposition to Communism.

biggest monopoly in the United States will not be investigated by KeFauver's Committee. We are referring, of course, to the labor union monopoly which seeks a monopoly on the right of an American citizen to work and would deny him that privilege unless he kicks in with membership dues.

AFL-CIO BEGINS ORGANIZING SCHOOL TEACHERS' UNIONS

We told in a recent edition how the AFL-CIO was planning to organize policemen, school teachers, nurses and others whose occupations and responsibility to the public make it imperative that they not be subject to orders by labor union leaders who can call them out on strike at any time.

The first move of the AFL-CIO along this line in Texas has now been made. A small group of Houston teachers have organized a local of the American Federation of Teachers, an affiliate of the AFL-CIO.

The Houston local was set up by one Henry Clarke, international representative of the Teachers Union, who came to Houston following an election in which the people of Houston voted against a raise in taxes to increase teachers' salaries. He seized upon the occasion to bring a few teachers into the union and promised those who attended his organizational meeting "a sizable increase in salaries through collective bargaining backed by political influence."

In this connection, a brief review of the American Federation of Teachers is interesting and informative.

The Federation has had an unsavory reputation which dates back many years. In the beginning it was set up with funds furnished by the Communist-aiding Garland Fund and was closely associated with the American Civil Liberties Union and stood for freedom to teach Socialism, Communism and Atheism.

In an article in the Saturday Evening Post in 1939 Benjamin Stolberg said that several hundred teachers withdrew from the Federation following unsuccessful efforts

We are advised that in Phoenix, Arizona, the FHA, after taking over houses from Negroes in so-called slum clearance projects, is advising these Negroes to take the money received for their property and buy in white neighborhoods.

If Nelson Rockefeller should ever be nominated as a candidate for President of the United States—God forbid—we could look forward to seeing Anna Rosenberg as the female E. M. House or Sherman Adams of his administration.

From an uninhibited patriot in West Texas: "You and I need never worry over seeing the inside of a concentration camp. We have already said too much and told the truth too often. Brainwashing us would be like painting over raised letters on a signboard. Be seeing you in the liquidation center."

Nobody can say that Texas is not right on the beam and keeping in the forefront of modern government policy. The State Auditor has just announced that within a short time the State government will be out of funds and will be operating in the red. We just can't afford to let Washington get ahead of us.

of educators to bring peace in the strife-torn organization and added: "Since then the Stalinists have had it all their own way, both nationally and in the important New York Local. The American Teacher (the Federation's official organ) and the New York Teacher (official organ of the New York Local) and the College News Letter are virtually house organs of the Communist Party."

Bella Dodd, former Communist leader who left the party and gave valuable information in exposing Communist tactics, testified at length concerning the Federation which may be found beginning on page 145 of the 1953 Report, Un-American Activities in California. Miss Dodd's testimony was to the effect that the New York local to which she belonged while a Communist Party member, was heavily infiltrated and influenced by Communists.

Robert Graham of Smith College testified before the Committee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives, Washington, D.C., in 1953 to the effect that policies of leadership in the Federation were handled by Communists.

Barbara Hartle, a Communist Party member, testified before the Committee on Un-American Activities in 1954 and told of the infiltration of Communists in the Federation in the Pacific Northwest area.

Aside from the ethics of organizing school teachers into a union, the above would suggest that it is also a dangerous procedure as educators, as everyone knows, are the natural targets of Communists in their campaign to infiltrate the educational field in the United States.

Even while Russia was our "ally" during World War II, that country gave important secret information to the Japs concerning American plans to Liberate the Philippines according to Rear Admiral Samuel Eliot Morrison in his latest volume of a history of Naval Operations in World War II just released. It is incredible how the bestial Communists were trusted by American leaders with vital secrets during that period, all of which were used against us.

While we are sloughing off billions of dollars to so-called "under-privileged" nations of the earth, we should remember that under-privileged men have been the greatest and most valuable citizens in the history of Civilization. Christ was a carpenter; Moses was a slave; Columbus was a candle-maker; Watts was a dishwasher; Franklin was a printer; Whitney was a school teacher; Patrick Henry was a bartender; Lincoln was a rail-splitter; Burbank was a farm hand; Edison was a telegraph operator and Ford was a day laborer. None of them were pensioned or guaranteed a living by government.

POLL BY POLING EXPOSES THE PHONY VIEWS OF NATIONAL CHURCH COUNCIL

Patriotic Society Honors U.S. Senator From Georgia

On February 3 in Washington the American Coalition of Patriotic Societies, in keeping with their annual custom of honoring outstanding Americans, paid tribute to Senator Herman E. Talmadge of Georgia.

President Milton Lory presided and Colonel William E. Warner of the Ohio division of the organization was moderator.

The ACPS might have searched the nation over without finding a more worthy recipient of this tribute than the great United States Senator from Georgia.

EMPLOYERS SHOULD HAVE RIGHT TO FIRE HELP FOR ANY CAUSE

More and more it is becoming difficult for American employers to fire those who work for them although from a common sense viewpoint, they have the same right to fire as they do to hire.

This is often true in industry where the head of a business concern often dispenses with the services of an undesirable employee only to have this same employee bounce back on him like a rubber check when some labor union objects to such discharge.

It is also true in the firing of Federal government employees, school teachers, and many others.

In Washington when a government employee is fired, even though his loyalty may be in question, the matter often becomes a national incident and in some cases the Supreme Court gets into the act and orders such employee restored to the job with back pay.

And the firing of a school teacher, regardless of the merits of the case, frequently starts a feud in local circles which makes the Hatfields and the McCoys seem like emissaries of unity and peace.

In Fort Worth recently a woman was fired from an agency of the city government after she had criticized her superior in an interview to the press. Naturally she should have been fired for loyalty to an employer is an unwritten law and one of the fundamentals of employment in a free society.

Any person who is dissatisfied with a job has the right to resign and look for another position but to publicly insult those who employ them and then demand to remain on the payroll is something that should not be tolerated.

In the Fort Worth case many citizens took sides in the matter and flooded the press with letters on both sides of the issue.

This is wrong in principle and disgraceful in application.

In this country the employer—whether an individual, the head of

As has been widely published, the National Council of Churches or rather the leadership of the outfit, has gone on record in favor of recognition of Communist China by the American Government.

Recently some Protestant Clergymen, headed by the Rev. Dan Poling of Philadelphia, took a nation-wide poll of the pastors of Protestant ministers in the United States on the subject.

The poll revealed that 7437 of 8572 ministers of all Protestant denominations answering the poll and expressing an opinion, oppose the recognition of Red China by the United States.

This proves that the vast majority of the leadership of American Protestant churches is not in harmony with the views of the National Council of Churches and that the latter in asking for recognition of the Red Chinese are merely reflecting the views of the little clique of Communist sympathizers which controls the policies of NCC.

So it turns out that the politically powerful national church group no more represents the sentiments of American Protestantism than politicians in Washington represent the views of their constituents.

Lawmakers Crave Space And Privacy In Deliberations

The idea that legislation is the business solely of lawmakers and that the taxpayers' only part in the transaction is to supply the money to put it into effect, is increasingly taking hold.

Not only does there seem to be a disposition on the part of those who make the laws to limit debate on important issues, but apparently the less publicity a measure gets the better its authors like it.

We were reminded of this recently when a member of the Texas Legislature from San Angelo made an attempt to bar newspaper men from sitting at the press table on the floor of the House of Representatives at Austin. He claimed that the House was too crowded and wanted the press table removed to another part of the building.

If they are suffering from lack of room, we know a better way to acquire more space and render the State a service at the same time.

They could remove a passel of voting members and let the gentlemen of the press stay where they are.

What the latter write is usually far more important and informative than what some lawmakers say.

an industry or a government or school official—should have the unquestioned right to fire any employee for any cause which may seem satisfactory to the one who is authorized to do the hiring and the firing.

There is far too little respect shown for authority and for those who sign the payroll checks.

THE NUMBER TWO MONSTER OF MOSCOW IS ACCLAIMED AS CONQUERING HERO

The American people have observed many disgusting happenings in the past degenerate decade but to our mind the most sickening spectacle ever witnessed was the manner in which a certain sector of the American populace wined and dined and pawed over Anastas I. Mikoyan, the number two Monster of Moscow on his trip to this country.

It is understandable that persons like Eric Johnston, Adlai Stevenson and Walter Reuther should find his presence pleasant and congenial for there is little difference in the color of their political beliefs and his. Undoubtedly they had much in common with the representative of a gang of international bandits whose opposition to the American form of Constitutional Government is no more pronounced than that of many Liberals in this country.

But that leading industrialists of the nation should join in honoring one who is a symbol of vicious antagonism to the free enterprise system under which they have prospered is a sad reflection on their loyalty to the institutions of the country which have crowned their hopes and efforts with success beyond their fondest dreams.

As to the mothers along the way who offered up their little children to be fondled by one who has helped to make orphans of millions of youngsters behind the Iron Curtain, no words are adequate to express the contempt which such behavior inspires. Only ignorance of such vast proportions as to be beyond human understanding can excuse their performance.

Naturally all responsible Americans deplore any attacks of violence against the visiting Bolshevik even though understanding the resentment which provoked them.

If it was necessary that this agent of the Kremlin should visit the United States, and if any purpose was served thereby, he should have been received by those in Washington delegated to meet and talk with foreign emissaries who have anything to say.

If it was thought advisable to let him inspect the operations of industry, recreation and entertainment in this country, he should have been provided with guards to insure his safe conduct who would attend him in dignified silence until he made his exit from our shores.

Instead the red carpet was laid down, the little pot was put in the big pot and he was treated as a conquering hero who returns from the wars to receive the plaudits of the multitude.

Meantime the hopes of the slaves behind the Iron Curtain for American understanding, sympathy and assistance must have plummeted to zero.

The United States Treasury has reported that the National Debt is now the highest in history having reached the magnificent total of \$280,851,429,657.00, but they can stop bragging right now. The real spenders are the New Congress and they should be able to top this figure without even trying.

URBAN RENEWAL LAW IS HYDRA-HEADED MONSTER

(Editor's note: In the little city of Brownwood, Texas, not long ago local Liberals, reported to have been aided by Federal funds, engaged in a campaign to put an Urban Renewal program into effect there. Shortly before the election right-thinking citizens, headed by attorney Gib Callaway, instituted a counter-campaign and gave the truth to the voters of that area. Urban Renewal was defeated 1200 to 800. The following circular, which other towns similarly threatened, might use to advantage, was given wide distribution in Brownwood.)

Urban Renewal is a hydra-headed monster.

It is not a wolf in sheep's clothing. It is a wolf in wolf's clothing, with its fangs bared for all to see. All you have to do to realize this is to read the law.

Under its express provisions, the City Council can employ a committee of five to nine men, paid an unlimited salary with YOUR MONEY.

This hireling can, without a writ and against your will, kick down the door of your home, insult your wife and order you to move. The proud boast that "A man's home is his castle" is gone. You are the helpless victim of an ignorant committee man, with unlimited power.

What can you do about it? Nothing. THAT IS THE LAW. Don't let them insult your intelligence by telling you this is an exaggeration. It is just a small part of truth. This is the most sinister, the most un-American, the most un-Democratic, and the most tyrannical law that has ever been perpetrated on the American people.

The only argument I have heard made in its defense, is that the Federal Government will pay two-thirds of the cost. By that they are saying to us: "We are selling you a rope with which to hang yourself. This rope costs three dollars. We will pay two dollars of this cost, out of the money we have already robbed you of so you can't afford to miss this bargain."

The law gives the City Council authority to collect taxes to pay it. It could cost you from a hundred thousand to a million dollars per year to operate, depending on the uncontrolled whim of the manager who is running it. The more homes he condemns and the more he spends, the larger his salary and those of his army of helpers. The potentialities of this thing for evil are absolutely unlimited. If we let it be adopted here, we are stuck with it forever.

The only way you can avoid being saddled with this incubus is to kill it with your vote on August 5th. There is no use to complain if you sit still and let them do this to you. VOTE.

An amusing aftermath of the recent election was the published reports that England and France were pleased with the Democratic victory in the United States because they felt the Democrats were stronger Internationalists than the President. How any one could be more of a One-Worlder and Internationalist than the President is beyond us.

Church Gathering Chooses Panel Member With A Long Communist Front Record

In all the thousands of pages of subversion as developed by official investigating committees, very few names more thickly dot the record than that of Carey McWilliams.

Not only is he revealed as belonging to more than fifty Communist fronts but he was also reported as a Communist Party member.

In view of this unsavory record, it was no doubt quite a shock to good Americans to learn from the Texas Churchman, the official organ of the Episcopal Church, that at a meeting of the Division of Racial Minorities of the Home Department of the National Council of the Episcopal Church in Austin, Texas, January 20 Carey McWilliams was listed as a panel member.

Austin is the home of the Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Southwest, an institution long known as harboring left-wing professors on its staff but it is believed that this is the first time an actual former party member has been invited to officially take part in denominational gatherings.

The Committee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives, Washington, D.C., in its publication entitled "Review of the Scientific and Cultural Conference for World Peace," published in 1949, on page 36, says: "Of the over 500 sponsors of the Scientific and Cultural Conference for World Peace at least 22 are either avowed members of the Communist Party, U.S.A., or their membership cards or party affiliations have been made part of a sworn public record." Then follows a listing of these 22 persons, Carey McWilliams among them.

The 4th Report, Un-American Activities in California, page 375, 1948 describes a Communist front organization known as the Sleepy Lagoon Defense Committee in which it says: "This organization is one of the off-shoots of International Labor Defense and was utilized to agitate among Mexican youth in Los Angeles County and, of course, for collecting funds for the Communist Party." Carey McWilliams is listed as national chairman of the SLDC.

Incidentally, according to the Texas Churchman, one of the panel discussions at the Austin meeting was titled "Social and Civil Inequalities Experienced by Latin-Americans." The discussion was led by McWilliams, and two others.

Hearings held by the Committee on Un-American Activities held in Washington in 1947 were featured by testimony from a witness named Roy M. Brewer, International Representative of the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees and Moving Picture Machine Operators who testified under oath concerning a strike at Warner Brothers

Cyrus Eaton, the pro-Communist Cleveland multimillionaire, has just returned from a visit to Moscow with high praise of the Soviets and their way of life. He said that Krushchev was an honest man and that the Hungarian Revolution was a phony issue provoked

studio in 1945 and who said: "There appeared at Warner Brothers studio mass picket lines which, according to the stated intent of their leader, were designed to prevent by physical force any one from going through into the studio. As a result of these mass picket lines, a complete state of anarchy was created at Warner Brothers studio. The management of that studio could not get into his own studio. The police department attempted to cope with it, but found themselves completely unable to do so with the result that pressure was brought to bear on various groups and eventually the strike was settled." According to Mr. Brewer's lengthy testimony, some of the most unbelievable acts of violence which have ever happened in the American labor movement were committed. A subsequent investigation by California found evidence of Communist activity behind the strike. Mr. Brewer named Carey McWilliams as one of those in the picket line.

Another man taking part in the Austin meeting, according to the Texas Churchman, was Dr. George I. Sanchez who is with the Department of History and Philosophy of Education at the University of Texas.

Dr. Sanchez is listed in Appendix IX, Committee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives, Washington, D.C. as having been affiliated with the First Congress of the Mexican and Spanish-American Peoples of the United States.

Of this organization, Appendix IX says: "Among the Communist fronts for racial agitation" which also served as "money-collecting media" and as "special political organizing centers for the racial minority they pretend to champion."

Sanchez was also a speaker at a meeting in Houston at the YWCA on May 17, 1955 sponsored by the Southern Conference Educational Fund, Inc., which succeeded the old Southern Conference for Human Welfare cited as a Communist front. The Houston meeting at which Sanchez spoke was headed by Aubrey Williams and James Dombrowski, both with long affiliations with Communist fronts.

Surely, there will be strong reaction from rank and file Episcopalians throughout the country when it becomes generally known that such men as McWilliams and Sanchez were invited to take part in an official meeting of that great denomination.

Also, we assume that responsible leaders of the denomination will go thoroughly into the matter and find out exactly who is responsible for inviting a former Communist Party member and a Communist-fronter to address an official conference of the Episcopal Church.

by the United States. If he were a citizen of Russia (and we wish he were), made a trip to the United States and returned with high praise of the American form of government, the Bolsheviks would probably shoot him.

WHY SOME WOMEN ADMIRE HER AND WHY WE DO NOT

Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt was scheduled to speak in Dallas on January 14 at Southern Methodist University where she would have no doubt delivered her usual tripe about the United Nations being the "world's greatest hope for peace" and for good measure thrown in some race-mixing propaganda on the side.

Because of weather conditions her plane was grounded at Chicago and she couldn't make it and so the proposed address was postponed until a date in April.

But even though she didn't get to Dallas, that city's leftwing female contingent broke out in a dither of compliments for their idol and spread out the verbal red carpet for her reception.

On that date a few local women came out in the Dallas News with a whole column of praise for the pin-up girl of the pinkos.

The pack was led by Judge Sarah Hughes whose leftish leanings have carried her so far that she can't proceed another inch without going overboard.

She declared that she admired Mrs. R. "because she was unselfish and untiring" and because she "devoted her boundless energy to the cause of peace".

A Mrs. Stakhakos Condos, President of the Dallas Federation of Women's Clubs chimed in that her admiration was based "on the courage and fortitude she displayed during her young adulthood in accepting and conquering physical and emotional problems."

To a Dr. Nina Fay Calhoun, past president of Altrusa, Mrs. Roosevelt is to be admired because "she is a well informed woman who is willing to serve her fellow men at a personal sacrifice, and to Mrs. Leon S. Price, national committee chairman for the National Congress of Parents and Teachers she has "intelligence and charm with a great caring for all people regardless of their race, creed or color".

There wasn't any invitation extended for the vast majority of Texas women to say why they don't admire Mrs. Roosevelt but since we're not timid where this intrepid lady is concerned, we will just break right down and tell why our respect and admiration for her never veers above the minimum.

Here, very briefly, is why we definitely do not admire her:

She can take the most depraved policy of government or the most degenerate social proposal which human minds can devise and clothe them with words which cause them to appeal to the unthinking multitudes as doctrines of divine inspiration.

And although she is a woman of recognized standing in wide circles whom fate endowed with almost limitless opportunity to exert wholesome influence on her fellow Americans she has chosen, instead, to make perhaps the most notable contribution to corrupt economic theories and abhorrent social practices of any woman in contemporary history.

AMERICAN READERS GET SAMPLE OF JOURNALISM AT ITS LOWEST LEVEL

In spite of its spurious claim that it presents all viewpoints on issues of vital importance to the American people, Reader's Digest has always been a left-wing publication which has donated its space liberally to those advocating National Socialism and sparingly to those who would preserve Constitutional Government in the American Republic.

We do not believe, however, that it has ever before allowed its pages to be soiled by such literary offal as that embodied in an article in the February edition concerning Governor Orval E. Faubus of Arkansas by an unknown Little Rock reporter with a depraved and distorted conception of public service.

That beleaguered Southern city has more than one degenerate journalist who would have to use a step-ladder to reach the level of a sewer but it also has plenty of high-class and informed members of the Fourth Estate who know how to discuss the situation there with dignity, fairness and intelligence. The Digest would have reflected more credit on the judgment of its editors by selecting a writer of such calibre.

Governor Orval E. Faubus is the Governor of a Southern State and is doing everything within his power to protect the sovereign rights of that State and whether the editors of Reader's Digest like him personally or not, they owe it to their readers to present his position and viewpoint by those capable of giving factual information rather than by an ignorant backwoodsman who has obviously never lifted himself above his original environment.

In its rise to financial affluence, Reader's Digest has been materially assisted by the people of the South who have subscribed and purchased its publication through subscription and from news stands and who have read its contents, which is often little more than trashy propaganda, with a minimum of complaint. One would think that common gratitude would deter the management from repaying such support with vile insult to a Governor of one of the Southern States.

Our guess is that Southern resentment will be expressed in no uncertain terms and that the circulation of Reader's Digest will be materially reduced in Dixie.

NEW MOVEMENT LOOMS IN TEXAS TO OFF-SET POWERFUL LEFTIST FORCES

"Nobody ever lobbies for economy in government."

This assertion in the press by one of Texas' soundest-thinking members of the House of Representatives in Austin, Hon. Frates Seeligson of San Antonio, together with a warning by a prominent industrialist of the State has generated a movement for better government which promises to become state-wide in proportions.

Closely allied with Representative Seeligson's pronouncement was the comment of the Honorable D. A. Hulcy, chairman of the board of the Lone Star Gas Company at Dallas calling attention to the apathy and laxity of the nation's business men toward legislation affecting their particular industry.

"Business leaders must get into politics actively if they are going to help write the ground rules under which they must live and operate," Mr. Hulcy told a state meeting of the Texas Manufacturers Association recently. He added that there are powerful forces who shape economic policies because they schooled themselves in politics as it is played at the grass roots and in smoke-filled rooms. These forces are steering our economy to the far left, he pointed out, while there are no comparable organized groups working as assiduously in the promotion of sound policies of government.

Above and beyond the inspiration provided by the views of the two great Texans mentioned above in the formation of the proposed movement lies the fear of the invasion of Texas by Walter Reuther and his goon squad, and a sincere desire to head off the calamity of labor government for Texas.

Emboldened by his success in getting many of his stooges into the present Congress, Walter Reuther is known to be casting sheep's eyes toward Texas with a view to making it the locale of his next conquest.

Texas has a right-to-work law which merely provides that a citizen shall be entitled to work and support his family and contribute to the support of government and civic institutions with or without joining a union.

Only the most depraved political thought holds that this principle should not be incorporated into the statutes of every State but the CIO-PAC is said to be ready to make a massed assault on the law in Texas.

We hope the new movement materializes and flowers into full strength and that a rugged and vigorous group of reputable and substantial citizens come together into an organization, with or without a name, which shall prove an effective force in the advancement of sound government in the Lone Star State.

Federal Action Which Might Have Taken Place In Moscow

There are scores of cases which could be cited but three separate incidents occurring recently make it extremely difficult for an American citizen to distinguish between official actions of those representing the Federal Government in Washington and those representing the Soviet Union in Moscow.

In one of these actions, a Michigan Farmer, Mr. Stanley Yankus, was compelled to abandon his chicken-raising business and seek other means of livelihood when a Federal agency confiscated his working capital and his farm implements because he had committed the heinous crime of planting wheat to feed his chickens in amounts considered excessive by Washington politicians.

The second action which is deplored by all right-thinking Americans was the massed assault on the public school system of Virginia where Governor Almond is striving against heavy odds to maintain States Rights in connection with their educational institutions and to hold back Communist forces who are back of the integration movement.

The third spectacle which makes good Americans hang their heads in shame was the action of a Federal judge in Montgomery, Alabama, in haling former Circuit Judge George C. Wallace before him to consider whether or not Judge Wallace was in contempt of court because of the latter's staunch defense of his rights in withholding records from Federal snoopers who are without authority to interfere in the affairs of a Sovereign State. Judge Wallace was not cited for contempt but it was a regrettable and sorry sight to see him haled into court like an ordinary criminal when, as a matter of fact, he was performing his duty to the people of Alabama in keeping records of his court inviolable.

Being President of United States Is Full-Time Job

Mr. John Foster Dulles repeatedly takes the position that this country must play the leading role in the troubles of the world and that the President's position as a world leader becomes more evident each day.

What millions of Americans would like to know is who selected Mr. Eisenhower to be a world leader and why should the United States assume the burdens of the world?

Being President of the United States is, or should be, a full time job and there are so many unresolved problems in this country that it's nothing short of stupid to assume that the President has time to serve as ruler of the world.

Any way, in assuming this position he is infringing on the prerogatives of the United Nations which is collecting many billions of dollars under the widely held belief that this organization was set up to supervise the affairs of all nations and keep peace in the world.

They can't both work the same side of the street.