

BULK RATE  
U. S. Postage  
PAID  
Fort Worth, Texas  
Permit No. 1665

# THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 10

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, JANUARY, 1959

No. 1

## Is The American Republic Heading For The Cemetery Of Dead Nations?

### Warning Which Comes Many Years Too Late

Recently we received a brochure printed in heavy red type which is being widely distributed titled "Escape from the H-Bomb."

There were eight pages of warnings on how to escape death and how to evacuate a bombed city in case the Soviets decided to let us have it.

We don't know what the reaction of others was to this scare-head material but it infuriated us until we simply boiled.

We were thinking of how much we in this country have contributed to a situation wherein it has become necessary to warn the American people that they may be wiped off the map just any day now.

We thought of how our atomic scientists and traitors in government agencies in Washington had sneaked nuclear secrets to the Communists thereby enabling them to perfect their deadly weapons against us.

We thought of the \$11,000,000,000.00 of American taxpayers' money which had been given to the Kremlin barbarians—not one cent of which has been paid back—and which helped them to build a lethal war machine against which we must now be warned and advised to run for our lives.

We thought of the traitors in the Treasury Department who gave the plates for printing American currency to the Red Devils in Moscow to help them sustain their economy while plotting the overthrow of the American Republic.

We thought of the order given to MacArthur to withhold his fire at the Yalu River to permit the Reds to achieve victory over United States forces after hundreds of thousands of American soldiers had given their lives in two phony wars engendered by scheming politicians in this and other nations.

We thought of the treachery which dictated the action in which Patton had his hands tied and was prevented from advancing on Germany in order that the Communists might take over that country and strengthen their strangle-hold on the world.

We thought of the toasts drunk to and with the Kremlin butchers at Geneva by our leaders and the letters of congratulation sent to Moscow on the anniversary of the Hellish Bolshevik Revolution.

We thought of the indefensible actions of the Supreme Court of the United States in freeing practically every Communist charged with plotting the overthrow of the American government and the resulting encouragement to the Kremlin whose prime objective is to demolish the American Republic.

We even thought back to the despicable act of recognition of the Russian savages by an arrogant, irresponsible and incompetent American President after every other respectable nation on the face of the earth had withheld such recognition.

Above all we thought of how Joe McCarthy was slapped down for exposing Communist agents in sensitive positions in government and the Army and how he was mocked and scorned by those who now come whining to the American people with their belated warning that we may soon be blasted into Kingdom Come.

All this and much more came to our mind as we read the words of advice as to how we might possibly escape with our lives from a potential diabolic attack on us by an enemy for whose prowess and capacity to destroy us we are largely to blame.

The only way we knew to express our contempt was to dump the thing in the waste basket and to mutter to ourself in disgust that any effort by our statesmen to protect the American people has come many years too late.

As the inexorable March of Time brings us to another year, American citizens who were born and bred in the majesty and splendor of a free Constitutional Republic face the crushing and sorrowful prospect of existence under a de facto Collectivist government. All pretense of adhering to the invincible principles which have lifted this nation to unparalleled heights of greatness, are gradually being abandoned. The most reckless and unprincipled attacks ever made on American institutions and traditions by the enemies of Constitutional Government are scheduled for the current session of Congress. Hiring lawmakers who, during the November campaign, were bought and paid for by a Moscow-trained union leader who had gone into the market place and purchased them as one would enter a butcher shop and buy a rump roast — have made public threats that they will use their newly acquired power to throttle the freedom of American citizens and to smash institutions and concepts which have endured since the birth of the Republic. In brief, the picture is dark for Americans who love their country and are concerned in its preservation. With the exception of most Southerners, those of either political party in the new Congress who have the faintest conception of the danger facing the land of their birth, or who would lift a finger to avert it, are as rare as a whooping crane.

During the national election last November when those who will shape the legislative policies of the United States for the next two years were being chosen, millions of qualified voters, out of sheer disgust and frustration, stayed away from the polls.

They saw no difference between the Socialistic platforms of Fair Deal Democrats and those of Modern Republicans and refused to be parties to the election of either.

As they had known it would be in either event, the present Congress is shot through with miserable and dangerous specimens of mediocrity dredged up from the cesspool of "Liberalism" who make no secret of their objective which is to demolish every safeguard erected for the protection of the rights and freedom of the American people and to broaden and enlarge the program of wanton spending of taxpayers' money which is leading ultimately and inevitably toward bankruptcy and economic collapse.

With the opening of the Eighty-sixth session, this swarm of irresponsible demagogues has come charging into the Halls of Congress like rampaging bulls crashing into a china shop with the wild-eyed determination that everything they can't break will be soiled beyond redemption or repair.

It would be sheer nonsense to claim that any member of the Congress of the United States is a Communist Party member because that, of course, is not true. The cause of Communism is not served by those who carry cards.

The facts must be faced, however, that an appalling number of the new Congress, in both the House and Senate, are committed to policies identical to those sponsored by the Communist apparatus and who will vote accordingly.

This can only mean that unless a near miracle occurs and older

(See IS AMERICAN REPUBLIC—Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

## \$250 Per Day 'Investigator' Finds Teamsters Union Free of Corruption

According to testimony before the McClellan Committee of the Senate investigating rackets, roly poly ex-Senator George H. Bender of Ohio is drawing down two hundred and fifty dollars per day as a member of the committee named by Jimmy Hoffa to "investigate" the Teamsters Union.

The McClellan Committee — whose members do not get paid \$250 per day — had already investigated the Teamsters under Hoffa's administration and found it shot through with all kinds of crime including extortion, murder, prostitution and allied vices.

But Hoffa didn't like the report of the Senate Committee so he set up his own committee to investigate himself and this committee has brought in its own report and—guess what—Bender has found that there is absolutely no corruption in Hoffa's outfit.

Well, after all, who could see any corruption in a set-up which was showering down \$250 per day on the investigator?

## ACLU Credits Johnson With Defeat of Court Curb Bill

The Honorable Lyndon Johnson of Texas is a "parliamentary genius."

At least the American Civil Liberties Union came out in print recently and said so.

The ACLU President, one Patrick Malin, said in an Associated Press interview that "the improved climate for civil liberties" was largely due to Senator Johnson who was able to defeat attempts to curb the Supreme Court by a series of parliamentary tricks, thereby endearing himself to ACLU and other left-wing bodies throughout the country.

To most self-respecting lawmakers from the South, endorsement by the American Civil Liberties Union would be regarded as anything but a compliment but if Senator Johnson considers it as such, we hope he savors it to the full.

One of the blackest days in American history was November 16, 1933 when Franklin D. Roosevelt, as President of the United States, extended official recognition to the Soviet Union.

One of the very few courageous and able statesmen left in the Senate is Senator Barry Goldwater of Arizona and yet none of the political prognosticators ever mention him as a presidential possibility. Of course his state is unimportant in the matter of electoral votes but in this hour of national peril, such considerations should be foregone.

According to a press report Henry Cabot Lodge became offended recently when a Russian in the United Nations referred to this country as "Capitalistic." Lodge was quoted as claiming that the United States is not "capitalistic" but rather is "a country of economic humanism." Of all the balderdash, tripe and hogwash! "Economic Humanism" indeed! It was of course set up as a Capitalistic Country which is an honor and a distinction but the truth is that because of cheap-skate politicians like Lodge who hold high office, it is now a Socialist State.

## IS AMERICAN REPUBLIC (Continued from Page 1)

members of the House and Senate who have heretofore been considered as borderline cases can be converted to Americanism, reverse their past records and hereafter honor their oath of office to uphold the Constitution, the net result will be a Collectivist Government for the American Republic when the "Liberals" now nesting in our national lawmaking body have completed their dirty work.

Thoughtful men who have carefully watched the degenerate influence of so-called "Liberalism" on the trend of American legislation and have calmly appraised the gradual but steady advance of Communist ideology into our governmental policies during recent years, frankly do not believe that there is much hope for national survival.

They feel that only coordination, expression and direction into the proper channels of the seething resentment against the nation's betrayers which is reaching ground-swell proportions throughout the country, can stop the mass assault on the citizens' freedom and repeated raids on the Federal Treasury, and thereby avert total bankruptcy and complete collapse of the nation's economy.

One of the first and most diabolic attacks of the onrushing horde of "Liberals" was on Senate Rule 22 which provides for free and unlimited debate in that body on fundamental issues of vital concern to the American people, and which has heretofore served as a brake on reckless and ill-considered legislation hatched up in the House by those yearning to make headlines and set up a record for subsequent use in campaigns back home.

This time-honored rule which permits members of the Senate to conduct filibusters against measures they consider undesirable and against the public interest, has been the bulwark against complete domination of the Federal government over the Sovereignty of the States. Its alteration or weakening means a lethal blow at the very cornerstone of American government. Without free and unlimited debate in the past, this nation's statute books would have been completely, instead of only partially, cluttered with Soviet-inspired legislation.

Members of the Senate from the North and East, ignoring the fact that in their own States armed police constantly patrol the halls of their public schools and housing projects to guard against knifings, rapings and murders resulting from forced racial mixing are on record as preparing punitive bills designed to humiliate and chastise the people of the South for seeking to handle their own problem in a more intelligent manner.

Another threat imposed against the people of the Sovereign States of the Union by these political pirates preying upon the rights of the citizen, is that loss of representation in Congress will result when and if the people of such State resist blackmailing tactics of the Department of Justice and the Supreme Court who seek to whip them into line on degrading and abhorrent racial practices.

Looming like a black cloud over the horizon is also the constant threat of drawn bayonets in the hands of Federal soldiers to be plunged into the backs of any American who dares to defy Washington orders defining the color of the skin of those with whom they must associate.

Additional raids on Constitutional government which the political marauders in the Eighty-sixth Congress have publicly threatened to make include the passage of a law which will deny the States the right to punish those who commit certain crimes within their borders and to set up the Department of Justice as a Federal Gestapo with supreme authority over the judicial machinery of the several States. They include also the threat to confiscate taxpayers' money for extra-curricular and non-governmental functions in amounts which stagger human imagination and the repeal of laws which grant American citizens the right to work with or without joining labor unions.

And above and beyond it all is the threat of the suppression of the right of free speech and freedom of the press as implied in the mutterings of left-wing newcomers to the House and Senate who warn that an all-out attempt will be made by "Liberal" forces to silence all patriotic publications which dare to challenge their assaults on the Constitution and on the freedom and rights of the American people.

For those of us whose shadow is falling toward the East and for whom the sands in the hourglass of time are running low, it doesn't make too much difference because when total demolition of the Republic shall have been accomplished, we will probably have passed beyond the power of human tyrants to enslave us.

It is the thought of children and grandchildren burdened with intolerable debt in which they had no hand in the making and deprived of their glorious heritage of freedom and human dignity, which brings a mist to the eyes of every American who has a mind to think and a heart to grieve.

## The Ten Pillars of Economic Freedom

Editor's note: The basic principles underlying our nation's economy, have, in our opinion, never been so forcefully expressed as in these fundamental precepts set forth by the American Economic Foundation. Because most of us can improve our defense of the conservative viewpoint, we are going to run these Ten Pillars of Economic Wisdom for the next several months. Good as they are, they might be even better, and we invite our readers to make any suggestions that come to mind.

1. Nothing in our material world can come from nowhere or go nowhere, nor can it be free: everything in our economic life has a source, a destination, and a cost that must be paid.
2. Government is never a source of goods. Everything produced is produced by the people, and everything that government gives to the people it must first take from the people.
3. Government is never the source of valuable money. The only valuable money that government has is that money taxed or borrowed from the people's earnings. Government money created out of thin air by bank borrowing, dilutes the value of all existing money in the nation and places an unnecessary debt burden on the people.
4. In our modern exchange economy, all payroll and employment comes from customers, and the only worthwhile job security is customer security; if there are no customers, there can be no payroll and no jobs.
5. Customer security can be achieved by the worker only when the "boss" is allowed by the worker to do the things that win and hold customers. Job security, therefore, is a partnership problem that can be solved only in a spirit of mutual understanding.
6. Because wages are the principal cost of everything, wage increases, without corresponding increases in production, simply increase the cost of the goods and, in a short time, penalize even the workers who received them.
7. The greatest good for the greatest number means, in its material sense, the greatest goods for the greatest number, which in turn, means the greatest productivity per worker.
8. All productivity is based on three factors: (1) natural resources, whose form, place, and condition are changed by the expenditure of (2) human energy (both muscular and mental), with the aid of (3) tools.
9. Tools are the only one of these three factors that man can increase, and tools come into being in a free society only when there is a reward for the temporary self-denial that people must practice in order to channel part of their earnings away from purchases that produce immediate comfort and pleasure, and into new tools of production. Proper payment for the use of tools is essential to their creation.
10. The productivity of the tools — that is, the efficiency of the human energy applied in connection with their use — is highest in a competitive society in which the economic decisions are made by millions of progress-seeking individuals, rather than in a state-planned society in which those decisions are made by a handful of all-powerful people, regardless of how well-meaning, unselfish, sincere, and intelligent those people may be.

## President, Attorney General and Supreme Court Need Instruction on Constitution

One of the most forthright and sensible suggestions for clearing up prevailing ignorance and misunderstanding of the American Constitution has been advanced by William E. Garner, Montgomery, Alabama, attorney.

Getting right down to the nub of the trouble which is a lack of understanding of that document by Washington politicians in high office, Mr. Garner offered a remedy.

Replying to the President's recent criticism of Alabama officials who refused to open their files to the politically appointed Civil Rights Commission, in connection with which the President termed the conduct of Alabama officials reprehensible, Mr. Garner turned the tables and indicated that any "reprehensible conduct" involved in the matter was that of Mr. Eisenhower himself and members of the Supreme Court and the Justice Department. As evidence of this he cited the sending of elite troops to occupy an American city to bayonet its citizens into submission.

"You have sounded an appeal for respect for the law," Mr. Garner wrote the President. "I suggest that

you begin such a movement yourself by meeting at an early date with Attorney General Rogers and members of the Supreme Court and asking some able Constitutional lawyer to explain to all of you the meaning of the Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

"The 'reprehensible' kind of thing about which you talk—the widespread disregard for the law of the land—really is happening but not in Alabama. It is happening in the District of Columbia. There the Supreme Court has arrogated to itself the shaping of national policy and the decreeing of law by its whim; the radicals in Congress are abandoning principles to court the vote of left-wing minorities and you are slavishly serving as errand boy for them rather than acting as a check on the other branches of government as the Constitution commands."

We do not know Mr. Garner but if he should, by some miracle, persuade the President, the Attorney General and the members of the Supreme Court to learn just a little bit about the American Constitution, millions of Americans would sit up and call him blessed.

The Christmas mails were reported to have been clogged this year, as in the past, with obscene and filthy Yule cards which are annually circulated as part of the highly organized conspiracy to destroy Christianity and its institutions in the United States. Postal authorities claim they are helpless to do anything in the matter as much as they deplore the passage of this dirty material through the mails. We wonder, however, if something could not be done about it if those who manufacture and circulate such material were other than who they are.

We hate to display vulgar curiosity but we sure would like to know what the Christmas present was which Bernard Goldfine gave to the Sherman Adamses this year.

The lawmakers who were in office during Franklin D. Roosevelt's first administration were called by many the "Yellow Dog Congress" because they tucked their tails and performed like mongrel curs when he cracked the whip. According to the advance billing of the present Congress, however, those 1933 lawmakers were models of Conservative Statesmanship in comparison to those who will legislate for the next two years. May a merciful Providence watch over us in that period.

The United Nations, the great "Peace" organization, has been in operation for thirteen years and there is more strife, hatred, and threat of war all over the world than at any other time in history.

The people always end up as slaves when rulers seize the purse. When the Bolsheviks took over Russia and confiscated the property of persons and of institutions, it became a nation in which there is prosperity among the rulers and poverty among the ruled.

## Newspapers Partly to Blame For Lack of Strong Leadership

Like many other States, including our own Texas, Tennessee has very poor and weak representation in the Senate of the United States and like many Texans the citizens of the Volunteer State often protest against this unfairness to the people of that great Commonwealth.

Recently, for instance, when the Knoxville News-Sentinel complained that the Congress, the Executive and the Supreme Court are guilty of leading America into bureaucratic enslavement, A. G. Heinsohn, prominent industrialist of Sevierville, promptly countered with this suggestion to that publication:

"If the editors of the News-Sentinel are opposed to Socialism, then why do they urge the voters of Tennessee to keep sending Estes Kefauver and Albert Gore to the United States Senate?"

It is remarkable how many World War II movies or those having to do with it, are being shown on television and even stage plays are using the theme. It would seem that it is the Nazis who are now trying to overthrow the American government, instead of the Communists, as practically no shows are being presented which inform the American people how deadly the Communist menace is and what advances they are making in their objectives. Are the Nazis being used as a "red herring" to keep our minds off what is actually happening?

"Mass violence should be subject to Federal law" says Congressman Ralph W. Gwynn of New York in speaking of labor union violence in connection with strikes. He is right, of course, but minority groups are above the law.

## FAUBUS SUPPORTED BY 30,000 LETTERS

(Dallas Morning News)

The Chicago Tribune sent a staff writer to Little Rock to study Gov. Orval Faubus' mail—a deluge of 30,000 letters from all parts of the country on that regrettable school crisis.

Communications favor Faubus' battle against federally ordered school integration by 50 to 1. From Chicago, which now has its own race problem, letters favored Faubus by the same ratio.

Here's the encouraging theme in most of the letters: They do not condemn Negroes. They do not stress the color angle. They do condemn the Federal Government for attempting to wield power in what letter writers feel is strictly a local and state issue.

That is the theme the country has not grasped. More important in the integration decision than the emotion of color mixing is this dangerously unwarranted power of Washington.

If an all-powerful government can tell an Arkansas city who must sit next to whom in schools, it can tell what books they must study; what courses they must take; what authors they must learn.

Hitler's first move, after burning the Reichstag, was to take over Germany's school system. The Nazis were ready to march.

From a subscriber in Salem, Virginia: "May your courage never fail, your hand never falter."

## May the Good Lord Save Us From This Calamity

We hate to be eternally making dire predictions of potential calamities which may befall this nation but in this case we are going to do just that.

All the signs point to the fact that Nelson Rockefeller is going out hammer and tongs for the Republican nomination for President of the United States in 1960, no matter how much he may deny it.

His press agents are flooding the country with releases to newspapers picturing him as a great philanthropist and humanitarian who seeks to bring about a better world and the papers are falling for the gag and printing it three and four columns at a time.

He is no more a Republican than we are but with the millions which he can pour into the national convention, he will probably buy the nomination.

We mean no reflection on him personally. He is probably a gentleman and a scholar in his private life but politically he is one of the most dangerous characters in the nation.

He was educated in a "progressive education" school and any informed person knows that "progressive education" means adherence to One-World government.

One of his first jobs after leaving college was as manager of Rockefeller Center. Right off the bat he sent to Mexico for the Communist painter Diego Rivera to do the murals in the R.C.A. building. Rivera, of course, pictured degenerate "capitalists" as oppressing the "workers." Saner members of the family immediately had the panels chipped away at a cost of \$21,500 over Nelson Rockefeller's bitter objections.

He is a dedicated One-Worlder and Internationalist and if — God forbid — he should be elected President he would set up a record which would make Eisenhower, in retrospect, seem like a devoted Nationalist.

Believe it or not, a member of the Eisenhower Cabinet has come out with a suggestion for sound common sense procedure on the part of Congress. The Postmaster General, Arthur E. Summerfield, would have Congress act to curb the political activity of organized labor. He would have the anti-trust law applied to big labor as it now applies to big business. With Congress heavily dotted with labor union stooges, however, there is not a chance that this proposal will be adopted.

"I wish we had more United States Days and fewer United Nations Days"—General Mark Clark. We wish that every day was a United States Day and that there was no United Nations Day. After all, we can't get around the fact that "Loyalty to the United Nations is Treason to the United States."

Emanuel Cellar, of New York, one of the most extreme radicals in a Congress which is running over with them, announced before leaving his home for Washington that he will introduce a bill that will make it a crime to enforce racial segregation in schools and colleges. He's the type that will do it, too.

## The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF  
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH  
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor  
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building  
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

### THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

## A Cunning Trick Which Is Proving Very Effective

The voters of this country should be warned of a clever device which is being more and more employed in American elections, and especially local and State elections.

In nearly every case, there will be only one "Liberal" as a candidate for a certain office while three or four who pretend to be "Conservatives" will offer for the same race. Of course they are not Conservatives and the purpose of the arrangement is to split the Conservative vote and let the Liberal get elected.

Usually, one candidate in this group is a sincere Conservative and the others get in the race to defeat such candidate. They may even make a campaign which specifies some Conservative policies but if their record is gone into, it will be found that they are of the opposition. This especially happens in the case of school board elections for it is in the educational field that the Liberals especially seek to infiltrate.

This, we understand, was the case in the recent school board election at Little Rock when several "Moderates" were elected. Of course there is no such thing as a "Moderate" these days and any one who claims to be one is merely a Pinko hiding under that title.

These tactics are also understood to be partly responsible for the election of a Liberal colored woman to the Houston school board recently.

Before future elections are held, it would be well for the citizens who favor Constitutional Government to look candidates over carefully in order that they may not be misled into voting for phony Conservatives, thereby defeating a real one.

## A Horror Story of Crime That Passes All Understanding

### Advocates of Racial Blood Mixing Have Found the Way

Our attention is frequently called to one of the most underhanded and reprehensible practices in which human beings could indulge, although very few Americans are aware of it.

We refused to believe it until we saw a letter from an official of the Red Cross confirming that it was true.

What we have reference to is the mixing of the blood of the white and Negro races for transfusions given by that organization. "The race of the donor has not been shown on any Red Cross records or on the labels applied to the bottles of blood since 1951," wrote this Red Cross official in reply to an inquiry concerning the matter.

Aside from the physical danger of the transmission of disease in this way, the moral aspect is just about as revolting as any action ever recorded.

As a result of this forced mixing of white and Negro blood many Americans have taken a vow to have nothing but live transfusions in the event such an operation is necessary.

It would be difficult to think of any transaction more degrading and insulting to both white people and Negroes than this cowardly performance.

It is not necessary, of course, to trace the origin of the order demanding that this step be taken.

In some States legislation is being prepared making it compulsory that the Red Cross label all blood plasma shipped into such State and in Louisiana this law is already in effect. Many others are expected to act accordingly as soon as it is generally known that the Red Cross is guilty of this repulsive practice.

## States Rights Dealt Blow by Democrats

Taking a leaf from the book of Washington bureaucracy, the Democratic National Executive Committee advanced centralization of power another notch when they overruled the action of the Louisiana State Committee in firing its national committeeman, Camille F. Gravel, Jr., and voted to retain him in that position.

Thus States Rights is dealt another blow and the people of Louisiana are denied the right to choose their representative to the councils of the Socialist organization operating as the Democratic Party. Louisiana citizens wanted Jett M. Talbot as their spokesman on party matters but Paul Butler of Indiana and the other left-wing stooges conducting party affairs wanted Gravel, so Gravel received the nod.

If we know Louisiana people, however, we do not think the matter is ended and we predict that Mr. Gravel is going to find it tough going if he persists in remaining in a job where he is not wanted.

It seems incredible that the horrible racial conditions prevailing in Philadelphia and other big cities of the North and East as depicted in David Lawrence's U. S. News and World Report on December 19th should have escaped even casual mention by the press of the nation generally.

In the edition referred to above there is a horror story of the atrocious crimes now being committed principally by Negroes who have flocked to Northern and Eastern States in recent years, with the schools largely the locale for most of the criminal activity.

This crime wave, of course, has been greatly accentuated since the Supreme Court decision on segregation, the passage of the Civil Rights bill, creation of the Civil Rights Commission and other activity intended to capture the Negro vote.

By and large, Negro mentality is incapable of evaluating the behavior of Liberal politicians in both political parties who have gone hog-wild on the subject and are vieing with each other in courting Negro favor.

To the average Negro this means license to commit any crime in the calendar without fear of punishment. The word is rumored to have gone out over the Negro grapevine that regardless of the crime, they will be set free when their case reaches the Supreme Court.

The whole thing constitutes a horror story that is without precedent in civilized history.

## Political Bantam Rooster Struts Stuff at Press Club

Tolerant members of the National Press Club in Washington permitted the little man from Independence, Harry S. Truman, to have his brief place in the sun recently when they invited him to address their group.

Exhibiting the full measure of conceit and egotism which God, somehow, seems to confer on little men to compensate them for their small stature, Truman pranced and pirouetted all over the podium as he told newsmen how good he was and what a wonderful President he had made.

To atone for the lack of logic, reason and common sense in his remarks, Truman thickly larded his talk with the vulgarity and profanity for which he is noted, and if the newsmen were not edified by the former President's tirade, they were at least amused and entertained much as they would have been diverted by the antics of any performing clown.

In discussing his achievements as President, the Missouri Miracle failed to refer to his friendship for Alger Hiss, his promotion of Harry Dexter White or his reference to Stalin as "good old Joe", after "good old Joe" had made a monkey out of him at Potsdam.

In retrospect, Truman has carved an immortal niche for himself but in actual practice as President he was a prime failure at the job.

## One Hundred Per Cent Raise In Rates is Some Increase

Since the last national election in which wild-eyed radicals were elected to Congress in droves, it has been freely rumored that every possible attempt to beat down patriots and impede their work during the next two crucial years would be made.

A good start has already been made, perhaps unintentionally, in connection with postal rates although this move was made during the last session of Congress.

For instance, the Post Office has been charging a "bulk mailing fee" of ten dollars per year for many years. So far as we could see there is no service rendered for this ten dollar fee. It merely gives the mailer of third class mail the right to put mail in the postoffice in bulk, after the mailer has bought postage for such bulk mail.

This year we received a statement from the postoffice for our usual bulk mailing fee, but instead of being ten dollars, it has increased one hundred per cent and the fee this year was twenty dollars. (We hadn't read the fine print in the postal increase legislation passed last year and were a little startled at this one hundred per cent increase).

Also we have been informed that under our permit we will have to pay two cents per paper mailing fee beginning this month, whereas it was one and one-half cents last year and before that one cent.

This, together with the increase in rates for first class mail, makes it pretty tough on those who use the mails extensively.

However, if we felt that it was the end of the matter most of us would not complain but the chances are that Summerfield will be back before Congress during the current session asking for additional increases in rates.

In addition to discouraging those who use third class mail to send out their publications, a hundred per cent raise in rates does nothing to help curb inflation.

*Claiming that the manly, honorable and ethical thing for lawyers to do would be "denounce a scoundrel on the bench with facts" the matchless Westbrook Pegler said in his column: "Lawyers nowadays strike an eye-rolling pose of patient resignation in discussions of the Supreme Court, thus obscuring the fact that they all are henchmen of the system and cowardly content to take the blood money which this monstrous growth extorts from every branch of society. Individual lawyers do not attack the Supreme Court nor even the federal district courts simply because they have to go before such rascals to earn their living. They see no reason to deprive their families of new cars and the standard Thursday smorgasbords at the country club."*

*It would be un-Constitutional, of course, but it's too bad there can't be some kind of law that no Governor of the State of New York should ever be considered as a candidate for the Presidency. The only one who was ever nominated who was fitted for the office was one who didn't get elected—Al Smith.*

## Sovereign Citizens of the South Threatened With Official Blackmail Only Myth in Public Imagination

We have pointed out many times that certain agencies of the Federal government were being used as weapons to punish the American people although we realized that it was difficult for a normal citizen to believe this.

Recently several incidents have confirmed this charge.

The latest bureaucrat to promise dire punishment to those who do not perform according to orders from Washington is Attorney General William P. Rogers, head of the Department of Justice which is charged only with law enforcement. Rogers made a speech in which he issued veiled warnings that the Federal government might "withhold certain favors such as the location or expansion of Federal facilities" in areas where there are "community tensions resulting from racial prejudices."

Going even further, Rogers in a statement to the press is quoted as even planning to take away the Congressional representation of a Sovereign State of this Union. His wrath on this occasion was directed against the legally elected officials of the State of Alabama who refused to produce confidential State records when commanded to do so by Eisenhower's politically appointed Civil Rights Commission.

Holding up the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution as a weapon of punishment, Rogers threatened that, under its provisions he can take away Alabama representation

### Congress May Have to Appropriate Big Money For Concentration Camps

Practically every new "Liberal" who was elected to Congress in November has come out in the public print and indicated that he is going into the next session wearing brass knuckles in order to slug the South into submission on Civil Rights and integration.

If these irresponsible characters insist on carrying out their program there is going to have to be a new type of appropriation made because it's going to take a lot of concentration camps to hold all the Southerners who will refuse to submit to these planned insults and indignities, and it takes money to build concentration camps.

The Communists have always been noted for their divisionary tactics but when they triggered this racial agitation in the United States they set off a wave of hatred against the South which has made this area the target of every Red in Washington, and destroyed every vestige of unity which formerly existed between Southern white people and Negroes.

That was the Communists' objective, of course, but they never dreamed that they would have it so good or that they had so many confederates in high position in this country who would join in their diabolic conspiracy.

in Congress and intimated that he may do it.

Of course the Civil Rights Commission has no more authority to assume dictatorship over duly elected State officials than we have to demand a share of the gold in Fort Knox. Neither has the Attorney General of the United States any authority to threaten to use his office to punish American citizens, but since Constitutional Government has been abandoned, there are no lengths to which left-wing integrationists will not go in their attempts to discredit, humiliate and even punish decent people of the South.

Following as it did the insults heaped upon the South by the National Committee of the Democratic Party in its Washington meeting, it is made clear that while leaders of both major parties are vitally concerned in maintaining "international relations" and in supporting and keeping the good will of foreigners, the people of the South mean less than the dirt under their feet and are deserving of no consideration whatever.

At just about the same time, another blabber-mouth in Washington, Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare Fleming blatantly threatened that no Federal Aid will be extended to public schools in the South which refuse to knuckle under to Gunnar Myrdahl's ruling on integration as delivered by puppets on the Supreme Court.

Neither Rogers nor Fleming are elected officials of the Federal government and are simply hired hands with specific duties.

By what authority do they engage in political blackmail and threaten to use agencies of government to club the people of the South into submission?

### Soviets Don't Tolerate Strikes But Encourage Them in the U.S.

Any American citizen has an inherent right to quit a job when it suits him to do so and for any reason whatever.

But for vast numbers of workers to connive together to quit in a body for the purpose of pressuring an employer into granting demands which may or may not be reasonable, is an un-American procedure which would not be tolerated if those who make the laws of this country possessed the integrity and statesmanship we have a right to expect of them.

Although strikes are not tolerated in Communist countries where the workers are little less than serfs, those who are familiar with Com-

*The South must cut loose from the Democratic Party if it wants to maintain any influence in politics and government, says the Dallas Morning News which suggests that a new party be formed. "It would be a minority party but there would be enough strength to maintain a balance of power between the wholly liberal Democratic Party and the half-liberal Republican Party" the News adds.*

### If This is an Honor, We'd Rather Remain Unsung

It appears that there are not enough lending agencies in the Federal Government to distribute all the money over the world which Washington bureaucrats want to give away, so another one is proposed.

It is reported in the press that the Eisenhower Administration is sponsoring and will recommend to Congress that something known as the International Development Association, a sort of subsidiary of the World Bank, be set up by Congress as a "small" loan agency for undeveloped countries.

Somebody discovered, it seems, that there was a field for an agency to make long-term loans on an international basis for economic development of some countries which, in the mad scramble of giving, might have been overlooked and the new agency is to take care of this oversight.

From our personal viewpoint the dirtiest deal connected with the new project is that it is to be known by the alphabetical title of IDA. Ye gods!

We have not yet been notified by its sponsors whether or not it was named for us but if so, we hereby refuse the honor and denounce the compliment as an infringement on our dignity, integrity and Americanism.

We have never even had a hurricane named for us and just to think that the distinction of having something finally called IDA would be an agency for scattering money all over the world! It is just too much!

munist literature realize that this weapon is strongly urged as a weapon to destroy "capitalism." Although the Soviets would not stand for such tactics one minute in their own countries, they constantly prod American workers to strike and to do so when such action would bring about the greatest inconvenience and cause the greatest confusion to the greatest number.

The tie-up of the planes during the holiday season must have reminded informed Americans that the strikers were performing according to instructions and that such behavior on the part of labor leaders had the full approval of Soviet world planners who direct such things.

*"The rules of the Senate which allow full freedom of debate are designed for protection of the minority and this design is the warp and woof of our Constitution. You cannot remove it without damaging the whole fabric. Therefore, before tampering with this right, we should assure ourselves that what is lost will not be greater than what is gained."—Thomas Jefferson.*

From his Scottsdale, Arizona, home just before Christmas Clarence Budington Kelland, noted author and former executive director of the Republican National Committee, issued a statement in which he was unsparing in his criticism of the President whom he blames for the Republican losses in the last election.

"The blame for the disgraceful Republican defeat should be placed squarely where it belongs—on the lap of President Dwight Eisenhower," Kelland said.

He said that neither of Eisenhower's victories was a victory for the Republican party and asserted that he was only elected both in 1952 and 1956 because the voters had "an unreasoning hallucination that he possessed qualities of leadership which would lift us out of the slough of Socialism and Statism into which we had been plunged by Roosevelt and Truman."

The President did not possess this quality of leadership, Kelland declared and added: "As a matter of tragic fact, Dwight Eisenhower was a facade with no solid structure behind it. Like a stage act on a motion picture lot, he presented an impressive and attractive picture; but you opened any door in the scenery and found yourself in a vacant lot."

"The public for a time was in the grip of hysterical infatuation for an idealized personage who never existed."

### Patriotism and Loyalty To United States Are Now Regarded as Dirty Words

We wonder how many red-blooded Americans realize that the time has come in the history of this nation when patriotism is virtually regarded as a dirty word and when apology is offered for the behavior of those who are loyal to their country and to American institutions.

We were reminded forcefully of this recently while witnessing the television program of the inimitable Dan Smoot, one of the best informed and highest class commentators in the nation, and who gives his listeners documented facts and an unslanted version of the State of the Union.

Before he came on the air, the announcer felt it necessary to remark that Dan Smoot's views did not necessarily reflect those of the station or the sponsor, although any real American should feel honored to entertain such, or similar, views.

At other times we have heard Ed Murrow, Chet Huntley, and dozens of other "Liberals" hold forth on television and radio and project their left-wing propaganda and slanted viewpoint to millions of Americans but no announcer preceded them to deny that their expressions were those of the station or the sponsor.

What in Heaven's name has happened to our country that dedicated patriots must be explained, but those who extol Socialism are taken for granted and need no defense?

# If Right to Unlimited Debate is Destroyed Senate Will Become as Useless as English House of Lords

The most masterly discussion ever advanced against the efforts of demagogues to alter Senate Rule XXII which allows unlimited debate on matters of vital interest to the American people has been released by the Federation for Constitutional Government of which the Honorable John U. Barr of New Orleans is Chairman.

The article, as presented below, was written by Stuart O. Landry, author and publisher. It is possible that before this appears in print the Liberals in the Senate may have emasculated Rule XXII. If so, Mr. Landry's article will merely serve to show what the American people have lost by such action:

While enemies from without, armed to the hilt, are threatening our peace and security, enemies within are trying to break down the bulwarks of our republican form of government. Some internal enemies will be aghast at being so labeled, since many are sincere and even patriotic. But, as "Hell is paved with good intentions," so well-intentioned but purblind patriots can lead the Republic to destruction.

A state can be conquered by foreign enemies or be destroyed by weaknesses inherent in its populace—lack of character, supineness, a decline of morals. But more important, a people can be misled by demagogues. Witness Athens, one of antiquity's greatest states, brought to ruin through the machinations of Themistocles who urged his fellow citizens to fight the Peloponnesian War.

Later, the Roman Republic, as the Senate began to be circumscribed, became an empire under dictators. The liberties of the people were gradually restricted, and, except under a few good emperors, the dictatorships were cruel and oppressive. S.P.Q.R.—"The Senate and the Roman People"—became meaningless.

Shall our glorious Republic go the way of the past? It will assuredly start down the road to dictatorship if some fanatical and hot-headed politicians have their way.

A real and ever present danger that now confronts us is the attack on Rule XXII of the United States Senate.

This is the cloture rule that enables the Senate to close debate upon any bill before it. To halt debate and bring a measure which has been "filibustered" to a vote, 16 senators must sign a motion which must be acted upon by the Senate one hour after it convenes the second day following. Two-thirds of the members of the Senate, or 64 members, must vote affirmatively to close the debate and effect cloture.

Rule XXII was adopted on March 8, 1917, and at that time permitted two-thirds of senators present and voting to effect cloture. On March 17, 1949, the rule was amended to require the vote of two-thirds of the elected senators to halt debate.

Now a bi-partisan movement by several senators to revise Rule XXII again and to permit a simple majority of the senators present to vote a cloture is being agitated.

To make such a change in the Rule will throttle and limit debate, reduce the authority, power and dignity of each individual senator, lower the standing of the Senate as the world's greatest deliberative body, even alter the form of our government and lead to serious consequences for the Nation.

The movement to change Rule XXII of the Senate is the result of propaganda concerning so-called "civil rights." This

propaganda is so strong and persuasive that it has caused many senators to consider altering the Rule so that special legislation to solve the race problem may be passed over the protests of a large minority.

Senator Clark said frankly in the debate of July 28, 1958: "Civil Rights is, of course, the primary reason why we in the Senate who believe in a stronger civil rights bill are desirous of having Rule XXII amended." All speeches of those desiring to change or modify the rule harp on "civil rights."

The right to unlimited debate or freedom of speech in the Senate transcends any one issue of the moment. Years after the civil rights issue is resolved or forgotten, other issues just as grave will arise. Should the Senate throw out Rule XXII, the principle back of which has protected minority viewpoints for nearly the whole period of our history as a Nation, just to meet a present-day situation?

No matter how right and just "civil rights" laws may seem, to enact them by removing legislative safeguards in effect so long is to burn down the house to roast the pig.

The Constitution of the United States (Art. I - Sec. 5) says: "Each house may determine the rules of its proceedings." Accordingly, in 1789 the first Senate adopted 19 rules, some of which regulated the conduct of senators during debate, such as Rule 2, "No member shall speak to another or read any printed paper." These first rules permitted "moving the previous question," which if carried by a majority shuts off debate. At that time both in the British Parliament and the United States Senate it was felt that "moving the previous question" was a tactic to be employed only when delicate or impolitic matters were being aired in debate. In the first seventeen years after its organization the previous question was moved only four times and used only three times.

In 1806 the Senate rules were revised and reference to the "previous question" omitted. Since that time, with few exceptions, debate in the Senate has been free and unlimited.

There is, of course, a sort of cloture known as "unanimous consent agreement." Such agreements are frequently used to fix an hour at which the Senate will vote. Since 1872 most of the annual supply or appropriation measures have placed limitations on debate. Thus when necessary or important no cloture motion is needed.

On July 12, 1841 Henry Clay attempted to reintroduce the "moving of the previous question" to end unlimited debate. Opposed by Calhoun the Senate rejected his proposal.

Over the years attempts were made now and then to limit debate. In 1869 four resolutions seeking to enforce cloture failed to pass. In fact, if anything, debate was made even freer because in 1872 a precedent was established that a senator cannot be taken from the floor for irrelevancy in debate.

From 1873 to 1880 nine resolutions were introduced to limit debate in some form.

On Feb. 16th, 1881 a resolution, in effect a form of "moving the previous question" was defeated. Senator Edmunds in opposing the resolution said:

"I would rather not a single bill shall pass between now and the Fourth day of March than to introduce into this body, which is the only one where there is free debate and the only one which can under its rules discuss fully. I think it of greater importance to the public inter-

est in the long run and in the short run that every bill on your calendar should fail than that any senator should be cut off from the right of expressing his opinion . . . upon every measure that is to be voted on here."

On January 11, 1884 the present Senate rules were revised and adopted. The only changes have been those concerning cloture.

On March 8, 1917 the Senate adopted a cloture rule in an extraordinary session of Congress at the call of President Wilson whose armed ship bill had been filibustered to death. This rule is now part of Rule XXII, revised in 1948.

For over 150 years every great issue that has confronted our nation has been debated in the Senate and resolved upon in spite of what is now called undemocratic restraint. Territorial additions, population growth, great wars, increase in wealth and many social changes have occurred since the little country of four million people along the Atlantic seaboard started us on the way to become a world power. This has been accomplished under our system of government in which the Senate has often had a decisive part.

Because of its size the members of the House must not only obtain permission from the Speaker before being allowed the floor but must limit their speeches to the time allowed, a half hour or so. Senators may get the floor without appointment and talk as long as they want to. While many of the speeches do not meet the standard set by Clay, Webster and Calhoun and in later times, by John Sharp Williams, Albert Beveridge and Henry Cabot Lodge, yet they are generally most carefully prepared and well delivered.

Senators, representing their commonwealths, often have to oppose measures inimical to the interests of their states. They talk at length, debate and use delaying motions to prevent what they believe to be an obnoxious bill reaching a vote.

In the Spring of 1956 Senators Douglas, Lehman, Morse and others conducted a prolonged discussion, much unnecessary and without point, of the Natural Gas Bill. Earlier the same senators with Senator Hill fought the Tide Lands Oil Bill with long speeches and dilatory tactics. These were in the nature of filibusters, but were not so called.

Among the vicious bills defeated by Senate filibusters was the famous Force Bill of 1890-91. The absence of a cloture rule prevented the enactment of a bill, already passed by the House, to place elections for Federal officials under Federal authorities. The Armed Ship resolution in 1917 was defeated by a Senate filibuster to the chagrin of President Wilson.

Of 36 bills delayed or defeated by filibusters from 1865 to 1950, 25 later became laws. Since 1919 the Senate has voted to enforce cloture—the last time in 1927—four times out of 22 moves to invoke it.

1. Minorities have rights which no majority should override. Government is constituted to protect minorities against majorities. Obstruction is justifiable as a means of preventing a majority from trampling upon minority rights until a broad-political consensus has developed.

2. A Senate majority does not necessarily represent a consensus of the people or even of the States. Prolonged debate may prevent hasty majority action which would be out of harmony with genuine popular consensus.

3. It is the special duty of the Senate, sitting in an appellate capacity, carefully to inspect proposed legislation. Where legislation can be gavelled through the House of Representatives at breakneck speed with only scanty debate under special rules framed by a partisan committee, it is essential that one place be left for thorough-going debate.

4. Filibusters really do not prevent needed legislation, because every important measure defeated by filibuster has been enacted later, except the civil rights bills. No really meritorious measure has been permanently defeated and some vicious proposals have been killed. The filibuster has killed more bad bills than good ones.

5. It is the unique function of the Senate to act as a check upon the executive, a responsibility it could not perform without full freedom of debate. Unrestricted debate in the Senate is the only check upon presidential and party autocracy.

6. The provision of the Constitution which requires the yeas and nays to be recorded in the Journal at the desire of one-fifth of the Members present is an intentional safeguard, allowing the minority to delay proceedings.

7. The Senate, without majority cloture, actually passes a larger percentage of bills introduced in that body than does the House of Representatives, with cloture.

8. Filibusters are justifiable whenever a great, vital, fundamental, constitutional question is presented and a majority is trying to override the organic law of the United States. Under such circumstances, Senators as ambassadors of the States in Congress have a duty to protect the rights of the States.

The leading argument against filibustering in the Senate is based on the theory of majority rule. The answer to this argument is that the Senate is not founded on this principle. It is a brake and check on the House of Representatives. It is likewise said that filibusters are not democratic. This is fallacious as the United States is a republic, not a democracy. Majorities are often intolerant and have sometimes destroyed freedom.

Other trivial objections are that filibustering prevents efficient legislation; that it arouses popular resentment and brings the Senate into bad repute both at home and abroad; that it has delayed social legislation. These allegations are questionable.

The Senate of the United States is the result of a compromise—a brilliant solution of a problem which deadlocked the convention of delegates who met in 1787 to confect a constitution. The representatives from the smaller states such as Rhode Island (population, 68,000), Delaware (pop., 59,000) and Georgia (pop., 82,500) were afraid to go into a federation where the congressional representation would be based on population alone. This would give the larger states such as Virginia (pop., 747,500), Pennsylvania (pop., 434,000) and Massachusetts (pop., 378,500) all the power, and the small states would be a helpless minority. So it was arranged that the lower house would be based on population and the senate to consist of two senators from each state. No bill could become a law unless it passed both houses. The Senate became a check upon the House. The Senate was and is a protection to minorities. Bryce said of this arrangement,

(See UNLIMITED DEBATE—Page 7)

## Integrationists in Congress Sink To New All-Time Low Level

Perhaps the most disgraceful legislative performance in the history of organized government was the attempt being made in Congress to prevent the seating of Dr. Dale Alford of Little Rock who defeated Brooks Hays in the November election.

It is recognized, of course, that the House and the Senate are the final judges of the seating of members but this authority is exercised only in the case of obvious fraud and corruption in the election of such members, a charge which cannot truthfully be made in the case of Dr. Alford.

The people of the Fifth Congressional District in Arkansas simply became disgusted with Hays for his compromising tactics and fence-straddling attitude on the subject of integration of the races in Arkansas schools, a subject of lethal importance in that State. Accordingly, a majority of the citizens there wrote in the name of Dr. Alford a procedure that is recognized in all States of the Union and a prerogative of voters since time immemorial.

No objection was offered by his colleagues in Congress when Hays took office although it has been publicly disclosed that he was connected with the infamous Southern Conference for Human Welfare, one of the outfits which was active in agitating for the social mixing of the White and Negro races in the South until it was exposed as a Red front and disbanded.

The effort to unseat Dr. Alford is merely part of the conspiracy for bringing about enforced integration of the races in the South in spite of almost universal opposition to it by responsible citizens of Dixie.

When one analyzes the membership of both Houses of Congress and notes some of the characters who have been accepted without question for membership in those bodies, the result is shocked disbelief that this deliberate attempt to thwart the will of the people of a Sovereign State and to deny a seat to an honorable public servant would be tolerated by men of reason in the Congress.

## U.S. Gives \$95,000,000 More of Taxpayers' Money to Communists

Just as the Civil Defense Department was sending out scare literature all over the country warning Americans what to do in case of atomic attack by Communists, an Associated Press release from Washington gave some interesting information.

In this news article the American people were told that the United States had just signed an agreement with Communist Yugoslavia granting that Soviet-dominated country \$95,000,000.00 as economic aid. The money, of course, is taken from the American taxpayers who have absolutely no say-so in the matter.

It was stated that one of those who signed the agreement giving this money to Communist Yugoslavia was Deputy Foreign Minister Bogdan Crnobrnja whose jaw-breaking name seems appropriate in such a transaction.

Of course there was, the usual fiction that this money would be in the form of a "loan" on a thirty-year basis which is the joke of the ages as none of these "loans" are ever paid back. And it takes an optimist indeed to believe that, at the rate we are depleting the Federal Treasury, there will be any government left in thirty years to receive payment.

Also, in view of the fact that the United States is nearly three hundred billion dollars in debt, how can we continue in the loan business and let other nations have money and especially Communist nations when the Communist objective is the overthrow of the government of the United States?

Surely there is some one who can answer this question and explain what to normal persons seems little short of actual insanity, if not treason.

## Society Note From Black Capital of the World

Someone in Washington has sent us an article from a newspaper in that city on which they made a penciled notation: "Here is a society event in the black capital of the world."

The clipping was from the Washington Evening Star of Saturday, December 27 and told of a "cotillion" in the Statler, one of Washington's leading hotels in which fifteen "debutantes" were "presented" and photographs showing the "debutantes" all swathed in white accompanied the article.

Twelve of the "debutantes" were Negroes. The other three appeared to be white.

## PEARL HARBOR AND THE 'DAY OF INFAMY'

(Orlando, Florida, Sentinel)  
Rear Adm. Husband E. Kimmel has joined the ranks of those who say the late Pres. Franklin D. Roosevelt knew all about Japan's plans to attack Pearl Harbor.

This isn't news. It was evident a few days after Dec. 7, 1941, that such a full-scale attack on our principal Pacific base couldn't have been successful unless someone in our Government had wanted it to be.

The puzzler is why we ever allowed a man like Roosevelt to rise to the position of virtual dictatorship that he did?

The lesson is that we must not allow it to happen again.

*The United Nations Charter was lifted largely from the Constitution of the Soviet Republic and was set up largely by such men as Alger Hiss and Dr. Leo Pasvolksy and the anti-Christian nature of the outfit was not accidental but planned.*

*The greatest mistake any human can make is to regard Socialism as being any different from Communism. Fundamentally they are one and the same political theory, with the State being supreme and the individual having no rights and no function except to serve the State.*

*It takes an agile mind indeed to understand the philosophy of those who direct our foreign policy. While we send troops into the Middle East and other far-off places at the drop of a hat, Washington refused to aid in any way the Cuban government in staving off the recent Communist-approved Revolution in that country.*

*Whatever became of the Corrupt Practices Act governing national elections? Records filed in Washington show that the AFL-CIO contributed vast sums to the campaigns of 215 members of both Houses of Congress in the November election.*

## UNLIMITED DEBATE (Continued from Page 6)

"It is perhaps the most successful instance in history of what a judicious spirit of compromise may effect."

The idea of "dual sovereignty"—a sovereign state within a sovereign nation is distinctly American. The Senate protects the sovereignty of the smaller parts of the whole.

If the Senate becomes like the House, a mere passer of bills, an echo of the lower chamber, it then is only a replica of the senate or upper house or state legislatures. It would be unnecessary, as useless as the English House of Lords, and we might better have a uni-cameral national legislature.

The Senate is a check on hurried and dubious legislation. Each senator wields the power to prevent. Rule XXII is the Senate's most important rule.

Senate Rule XXII is based on the fundamental concept of our government, the protection of the different states from legislative encroachments by the Federal government—in a word, the protection of minority rights.

The Senate has more than justified the inspiration that brought it into being. Bryce thinks that the Senate has best met the function that the fathers of the Constitution desired in its stability, and to restrain "the propensity of a single and numerous assembly to yield to the impulse of sudden and violent passions."

Senator Curtis of Nebraska extended this idea: "Unlimited debate in the Senate tends to slow down and prevent the passage of legislation, thereby retarding the growth of big government and lessening the burden of government on our people."

Freedom of debate is the secret of its success. No cloture, or only that permitted by Rule XXII, is vital to the Senate's continuance as a great legislative body.

The Constitution requires a two-thirds majority instead of a simple majority vote on some important issues. This applies particularly to the Senate. For instance, it requires a two-thirds vote to ratify a treaty, to impeach any official of the government, or to expel a member. The President's veto can only be overridden by a two-thirds majority. An amendment to the Constitution requires a two-thirds majority vote. The Senate Rule that requires a two-thirds vote to invoke cloture is in keeping with the spirit of the Constitution.

We are not always at the mercy of a mere majority. The Constitution gives the President the right to veto. Although a bill may be passed by a majority of each chamber he can negate it by a veto. Thus one man has the power to

render invalid bills passed by majorities. The veto, can, of course, be overruled if passed again by a two-thirds vote in each house. President Eisenhower has vetoed 135 bills since becoming President and none has been repassed over his veto.

Now it is "civil rights" and bills aimed at the South. To pass them it is necessary to overturn long established customs of the Senate and to change Rule XXII. But having altered the rules other sections of the country at other times may suffer for want of this protection.

The West may want to ward off legislation affecting its silver, lumber, fruit industries; the Midwestern states to defend their corn, wheat, and cattle growing; the East to protect its manufacturing, fisheries and other industries.

Oil, gas, coal, railroads, trucking, air lines, radio, television and so on—all are subject to legislation good and bad.

The sparsely settled states might have to fight legislation that benefits the populous states. The Senate is the protecting wall for all minorities.

As this is written, Nkrumah is trying to change the constitution of Ghana, requiring a two-thirds vote of the parliament and the regional assemblies, to a simple majority so that he can control the country and become a dictator. When rules are an obstacle, aggressive and ambitious men will try to do away with them.

Tampering with Rule XXII is permitting the camel to get his head into the tent. It won't be long before he takes over—whether the dictator be an individual or a group.

"The United States is the oldest continuing political institution still retaining the almost exact political form with which it began. Although criticized continually its Constitution has stood the acid test of time and functioned with an efficiency that would surprise those who put it together," says a writer. Among its glories is the Senate.

To deprive the Senate of its power and function as a protector of minorities by limiting the privileges of its members through cloture is to violate the spirit and intent of the Constitution. Altering Rule XXII so that debate may be terminated easily is in effect amending the Constitution.

Arouse Yourselves, Americans! Don't Let the Passions of the Moment, incited to White Heat by Demagogues and Well-Meaning Reformers, Influence the Senate to Give Up the Precious Right of Free Speech and Unlimited Debate. Liberty Must and Shall Be Preserved!

## Nine American Governors to Honor Khrushchev with Visit

The announcement that nine Governors of the States of the American Union are planning to visit the Soviet Republic will be received with shocked amazement by sound-thinking Americans, but the mystery is explained by considering the origin of this undertaking.

It was hatched up by the Governors Conference associated with the notorious "1313" group in Chicago whose objectives have been well publicized by patriotic publications in recent months, and by the further fact that the project is to be financed by the Ford Foundation.

The only possible reason for any such group going to visit the Communist dictator is either to try to influence Khrushchev to become converted to American ideals or to absorb some of the Kremlin's philosophy for application in their own jobs. And there is not much chance that Mr. Khrushchev is going to revise his thinking in the matter of governing processes.

We are sorry and ashamed to note that two Southern Governors, Collins of Florida and Coleman of Mississippi, are among those who will go to Moscow thereby paying tribute to the Kremlin butchers.

The most charitable explanation in these particular cases is that the two being country boys could not resist the lure of a free and expense-paid trip and are just going along for the ride.

## Don't Laugh Yet. Unions May Do This Very Thing

We are, naturally, inclined to laugh at the threat of labor unions to organize the policemen and school teachers of the country as the proposal seems, at first blush, too absurd to be taken seriously.

However, we had better refrain from expressing any amusement over the matter because anything at all can happen so far as labor unions are concerned.

Because a lot of their stooges were elected to high office in November, labor racketeers have taken it as a mandate to go the limit and unless they are restrained by proper legislation — which is unlikely — there are no lengths to which they will not go in trying to tie up those in every profession and calling.

In fact, the way we are headed, the time may come when a policeman who is in the act of arresting a killer may receive orders to go on strike in which case he would presumably back off, pocket his gun and let the criminal go on and murder somebody else.

Also a kindergarten teacher may be notified by a grievance committee to stop work in the middle of the day when, in true union tradition, she would put on her hat and go home leaving the babies to shift for themselves.

This situation is abhorrent, disgraceful and opposed to all decent impulses of the American people but because those who make our laws in Washington have spines of foam rubber so far as the labor vote is concerned, it will get worse until, and unless, the American people rise up and put a stop to it.

## The Immortal Lee Believed That The South Stood For Things That Are Eternal

Of the immortal General Robert E. Lee whose birthday anniversary is celebrated by true Southerners on January 19th, Thomas Dixon wrote in his book "Man in Gray":

"In the carriage of his body there was a quiet pride — a feeling of instinctive power. He had backbone, he used his brain, he reasoned, he looked at the world through kindly eyes. He feared God only. . . ."

"Lee believed in Democracy guided by true leaders and this reservation was not a compromise; it was a principle. He could conceive of no Democracy worth creating or preserving which did not produce the true leader to lead, shape, inspire and direct its life. The man called of God to do this work was fulfilling a divine mission. He must be of the very necessity of his calling, a nobleman. Lee lived daily in the consciousness of his own call to this exalted ideal. The chill that crept into his heart as he thought of the controversy (Civil War) came, not from fear of the clash of forces in the future, but from the dread of the changes which might mean the loss of priceless things in a Nation's life."

"He believed in every fibre of his being that, in spite of slavery, the Old South in her ideals, her love of home, her worship of God, her patriotism, her joy of living, her passion for beauty, stood for things that are eternal."

"Great changes were sweeping over the Republic. He felt this at the time as never before. Washington was rapidly approaching the end of the era in which the Nation had evolved a soul. His people had breathed that soul into the Republic. To this hour the mob had never ruled America. Its spirit had never dominated a crisis. The Nation had been shaped from its birth through the heart and brain of its leaders."

"But he recalled with a pang that the race of true leaders was passing. Calhoun had died two years ago. Henry Clay had died within the past two months. Daniel Webster lay on his deathbed at Mansfield and there were none in sight to take their places. We had begun the process of leveling, begun to degrade power; we had begun to scatter talent; we had begun to pull down our leaders to the level of the mob, in the name of Democracy. Lee faced this fact with grave misgivings. He believed that the first requirement of human society, if it shall live, is the discovery of men fit to command, to lead."

"With the passing of Clay, Calhoun, Webster, the Washington of 1852 had ceased to be a forum of great thought, of high thinking and of simple living. It had become the scene of luxury and extravagance. The two political parties had fallen to the lowest depths of groveling, to vote-getting by nominating the smallest man ever named for Presidential honors. The Democrats had passed all their real leaders by and named as standard-bearer an obscure little politician of New Hampshire, Mr. Franklin Pierce. His sole recommendation for the exalted office was that he would carry one or two doubtful Northern States and, with the Solid South, could be elected."

The above is recommended reading for every so-called Democrat in the Congress of the United States with the hope that they may posthumously absorb some of the undying greatness which surrounded this peerless leader like a halo.

## UNFOLDING EVENTS PROVE AGAIN THAT JOE McCARTHY WAS RIGHT

Those who keep up with the march of subversion in this country will remember that some years ago there was a lot of furor about a Negro woman who held a sensitive position in the Federal government.

The Negro woman's name was Annie Lee Moss and this woman was one of those whom Senator Joseph R. McCarthy pointed out as having been a Communist and he asked her removal from the position she held.

Immediately the pink press blasted away at McCarthy and defended the Negro all over the place. Some sort of perfunctory and phony investigation was made by authorities who came up with the information that Annie Lee was not, either, a Communist and never has been. Accordingly she was put back to work for the government although it was stated at the time that her future work would be in a non-sensitive position proving that even those who investigated her had their doubts.

At the time Senator Stuart Symington of Missouri, with a typical politician's eye to headlines, came out publicly and said that if the Moss woman was separated from her government job he would be glad to give her one on his own staff.

Recently a review of the case by the Subversive Activities Control Board brought out that the Moss woman was actually a member of the Communist Party at the time the charges were made by McCarthy. The report of the Control Board said in part: "The situation that has resulted . . . is that the Communist Party's own records and the authenticity of which it (the Communist Party) does not itself dispute . . . show that Annie Lee Moss, 72 R Street, S. W., Washington, was a party member."

Although it was splashed all over newspapers when Annie Lee was "cleared" by her defenders in government agencies, when it turned out recently that McCarthy's original charges concerning her were true, the silence in the press was as thick as a London fog.

Incidentally Annie Lee still holds an honored post in the Federal government in Washington which is supported by the tax money of loyal and devoted Americans.

## Washington Has Taken Over States; Now it is Going After the Cities

The Urban Renewal propaganda which is spreading over the nation like a brush fire is presumably a plan for slum clearance intended to improve and beautify the various cities of the country and is accepted as such by those who are incapable of thinking for themselves.

In reality it is part of the conspiracy for the Federal government to seize private property and take over the cities just as it is trying to take over the States. One-World government will be much more easily accomplished when centralization in Washington has been thoroughly achieved.

Under this plan the Federal government puts up two-thirds of the money which gives them control over the project and to assume that the bureaucrats won't exercise this control is to indulge in the worst form of stupidity of which the human mind is capable.

Local officials throughout the country, with no faint conception of what the movement portends, are going for it just as they have gone for every other fool project of similar nature.

One must wonder why human beings are given brains if they are not going to use them.

## Words of Approval From Washington Newspaper Man

On a National Press Club letterhead we have received the following communication from Washington, signed by a member of that organization and whose name, according to our usual practice, we are withholding:

"Our Mid-Western Neighborhood Reading Circle belongs to the millions of Americans who think it — while you say it — in your far-sighted, never brain-washed, Southern Conservative."

"Oh, how I wish the good Lord to bless you with Northern people also and with Western and Eastern subscribers by the millions."

"You put the honest-to-God truths so clearly and cogently and convincingly that I believe any honest American would subscribe after reading and studying four or five of your editions."

"Of course you go a bit far in criticizing our Federal Government, but it has gone so far afield and will soon go so far astray from the Constitutional pathway blue-printed for us all, that your points make the whole picture very clear."

"But this is to wish you health and happiness — also God's great blessings and guidance for your Holy Days and all along your pathway and may your hope and courage never fail until the Sleeping Giant awakes to protect our precious American Way of Life."

"I really miss your good stuff when I'm traveling far and fast. Regards."

"It is not thus — by arms — that the liberty of this country is to be destroyed. It is to be subverted only by a pretense of adhering to all forms of law and yet by breaking down all the substance of our liberties." — Alexander Hamilton.