

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To plead for a return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 3

Fort Worth, Texas, July-August, 1952

No. 7-8

THE EIGHTY-THIRD CONGRESS WILL BE THE LAST FRONTIER IN THE FIGHT OF WORLD SOCIALISM AGAINST AMERICANISM

Our Charges Are Confirmed By the President of The United States

Repeatedly we have charged in these pages that labor unions had grown so powerful under the coddling of two presidents that they had out-grown government and that Philip Murray of CIO is the boss of Harry S. Truman.

It is one thing for a paper to make an assertion, for it could or could not be true. It might be only the biased and prejudiced opinion of the editor.

But when the President of the United States confirms an editorial statement, it must be true.

The Taft-Hartley law was an official Act of Congress set up for preventing strikes such as now prevail against the steel industry and which has slowed the defense production program almost to a standstill.

When the Supreme Court informed Mr. Truman that he did not have the authority to take over the steel companies, Congress requested him to use the Taft-Hartley law which had been enacted by that body to handle

situations such as that now confronting him.

His reply, given through the press, was that Congress could not compel him to seek an injunction against the labor unions as provided in the law and that if he did "650,000 CIO strikers might not obey a back-to-work order."

And there we have it, in the President's own words. He won't enjoin the unions and if he did, they might not pay any attention to it.

Eight thousand CIO steel workers in Gary, Indiana, many of whom carried placards stating "We, as free Americans, will not work under a Taft-Hartley injunction" were addressed by Murray who confirmed Truman's statement. He said the CIO would not obey any court injunction issued under the law passed by Congress.

So now, reinforced by the president's own words and actions, we repeat that the labor unions are bigger than government and that Philip Murray controls the president.

The Vote On Veto Got Lost In The Shuffle

We are wondering why the Senate did not override the veto of the Texas Tideland bill before adjourning.

They do so much maneuvering, swapping and framing that it is impossible for the people to keep up with what goes on in Congress.

There was plenty of noise and publicity about what the Senate was going to do about this attempt of the president to get away with his big steal and then it all died down.

Members put their heads together, went into a huddle and came up with a blank stare.

We suppose they decided to wait for a new Congress before taking action but by that time, it may be too late.

The Truman administration may have it all sewed up in leases to their fast money boys by then.

An Hour Late And A Dollar Short

As we rush to make our deadline, we notice from headlines that the State Department will bar all Soviet propaganda from this country.

Ha! If we had time, we'd tell a

Our Political Morals Are Suffering From Dry Rot

Evidence that our national political morals are suffering from dry rot assails our nostrils on every hand and needs no emphasis by us, but perhaps the most striking example of general moral decay is to be found in the attitude of most of the announced or potential candidates for nomination as president on the Democratic ticket.

With Truman's disgraceful record, ranging all the way from bungling ineptitude to wilful defiance of Constitutional law and including in between condonation of corruption and defense of Communists in government, one would think that any decent aspirant for the office would shun Truman and his support as they would a deadly contagion.

Instead, they openly court his approval and, like cringing beggars on a street corner, hold out their hat for a few small crumbs of favor.

funny one.

The State Department may be able to stop the propaganda coming in from Russia but it's not the foreign brand but the home grown variety of Communist propaganda that has just about put this country on the ropes.

The State Department is to be commended for this last-minute effort but they are about twenty years too late.

Hope of the people must lie in election of strong and invincible members of national lawmaking body who will safeguard the sovereignty of the United States against its enemies on all fronts.

In the initial edition of the Southern Conservative, we announced that our purpose was "to plead for a return of Constitutional government" and in this hour we are moved to re-dedicate it to this cause believing, as we do, that this slogan makes more sense now than it did when adopted.

In that first edition, we made it clear that we acknowledged allegiance to neither of the major national political parties since each has suffered heavily from infiltration by international Socialistic forces.

We also expressed our belief that the hope of survival of the American form of government lay in a continued coalition of Conservative Republicans and Southern Democrats in Congress whose combined efforts have held back the terrifying menace of Communism which, under encouragement from the two last national administrations, has threatened the very structure of the Republic.

That conviction is strengthened by events and we are convinced that all patriotic Americans realize that their final hope for a continuation of the republican form of government rests in the Congress of the United States.

As we go to press, one major national political convention has just come to an end in Chicago and another is about to begin.

Our policy has been to fight for principles and to endorse no candidates for office and from that vantage point of neutrality we feel we are able to appraise the overall picture of the candidates who have been, or will be, chosen to guide the nation's destiny for the next four years.

It is recorded in ancient history that the neigh of a horse once settled the contest between rival powers for the throne of Persia the contenders agreeing among themselves that the one whose steed should whinny first would have the job.

Under this unique arrangement Darius became ruler of the Kingdom which is now Iran and doubtless many Americans feel that the future leadership of the United States rests on an equally precarious whim of fate in which the choice of a president is settled by the trumpet of an elephant or the bray of a jackass and in which, for better or worse, the decision is irrevocable and final.

As this is written, the Democratic Party has not chosen its nominee for president but, for this discussion, the name of their candidate is not important.

Only one thing is certain and that is that he will conform in fullest measure to the lilliputian stature of their last two leaders both of whom exerted their last ounce of energy and employed the full power of their high office in an all-out attempt to destroy the American Republic whose Constitution they swore to uphold, and to replace it with a Socialist State.

Obviously, no candidate for the Democratic nomination has the moral courage to openly denounce the corruption of the Truman administration and the domination of government by labor unions, nor the political integrity to unequivocally demand a return to the policy of States Rights, restoration of the two-thirds rule and other sound principles which attended the party in the days of its greatness before it was profaned by the blasphemous touch of the New Deal.

As for the Republican National Convention recently held in Chicago, it rendered one undying service to the people of the nation.

This was in permitting them to hear, perhaps for the last time, an all-out defense of Americanism as embodied in the immortal words of General Douglas MacArthur—an Americanism which, conceivably, may have few champions in the dark days ahead as the relentless battle to absorb us in an all-enveloping World State is waged with increased intensity.

Also, for the masterly speech of the Honorable Herbert Hoover, only living ex-president of the United States and the last incorruptible occupant of that office, who made the precedent-shattering assertion that he wasn't ashamed to come out squarely for the defense of his own country first.

Too, the unforgettable talk by the Matchless Marine, Senator Joseph R. McCarthy of Wisconsin who always fights to win, whether he is attack-

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It

We Are Getting Fed Up With Slams At The South by Both Major Political Parties

For some strange reason those who frame the platforms of the two political parties every four years seem to feel that they must beam a blast at Dixie and include an "anti-lynching" plank.

From their actions, one would get the impression that the favorite outdoor sport of Southerners is to go out and lynch somebody every morning before breakfast.

As a matter of cold fact, there is far less lawlessness and mob violence here than in the cities of the North where this idea of libeling the South is born.

There have been, for instance, numerous cases of workers being bludgeoned to death with a club wielded by labor union mobsters, during a strike.

There are also scores of killings by professional gangsters who take their victims for a ride and leave them riddled with bullets in a dark alley and who are never brought to trial.

Yet, when politicians undertake to put this plank into effect by writing a measure for passage by Congress, they invariably refuse to treat murders by gangsters and killings by labor union mobsters as "lynchings."

This is because those who frame such legislation are too cowardly to risk the ire of labor leaders and organized gangsters in the big Northern and Eastern cities whose vote might defeat them at the polls.

As for the vote in the South, Democrats have always felt that they had it any way and Republicans figured they couldn't get it.

All of which proves the complete inconsistency of both parties in pretending to want legislation against mob violence.

When the "anti-lynching" bill was before Congress in 1948, we were temporarily living in Chicago where mob murders have been perfected to a fine art.

We wrote a letter to one of the proponents of the bill in the Congress and asked him some pertinent questions about the measure and, although we enclosed a stamped, self-addressed envelope for reply, we haven't had an answer yet. We have a copy of that letter dated April 10, 1948, before us and this is what we wrote:

"I have been in Chicago only a few months but I have observed from the local papers that a popular method of disposing of an enemy here seems to be for a gang of underworld characters to either plant a bomb under his doorstep or take him for a ride and rub him out with a sawed-off shotgun.

"Also, I have read of several fatal injuries to strike breakers inflicted by labor union thugs who did their victims in with a club.

"I am just wondering if this type of criminal is to be included among those you seek to punish in your proposed anti-lynching legislation which today's press indicates you will undertake to have enacted.

"I am just a plain American citizen with average intelligence and perception and know very little of the intricacies of legislation or political motives which inspire its passage. (We hope we are forgiven for the bald-faced lie implied in the last part of this paragraph for about the only thing we are completely familiar with is the tricky manner in which double-dealing politicians perform)

"To my simple, uncomplicated mind, this method of murder in which several gang up on their helpless victim and take the law into their own hands and mete out supreme punish-

As He Damned Pleases

JACKSON (MISS.) DAILY NEWS

The President of the United States has been talking recently like a profane playboy of the Western world.

When he ceases to be President, says Harry Truman, he looks forward to 10 or 15 years of "just having fun."

And in the course of having fun he is going to do just as he "Damn pleases."

Not the way George Washington talked during and after the War of the Revolution.

Not the way Abraham Lincoln talked during the War between the States.

Not the way Woodrow Wilson, broken in health but with indomitable spirit, talked during and after World War I.

Great and true Americans, these. Impressed by the solemnity of their trust. Deeply conscious of the deaths and maimings, the suffering, the losses, the national tragedies, that had befallen the people under their leadership. And keenly aware of the fearful problems to be struggled with as the aftermath of war's destruction.

But Harry Truman! He looks forward joyfully to just having fun and doing as he damn pleases.

It would be idle to dispute Mr. Truman's desire to enjoy himself, running amok if he damn pleases, while the great Republic tries desperately to lift itself out of the hole that is his legacy to the people who once honored him. It would be wonderful if they too might have fun and recklessly do as they damn please.

No such happiness, unhappily, for them. No playboy future, for them or for their children. One sees the realization of their trouble, in their solemn faces — on the streets, in the workshops, in the homes. Not nearly so many carefree faces as there used to be. Not the smiles and laughter.

Harry Truman may wash all that out of his hair. He may go along, cracking his jokes, chuckling over them, enjoying his whisky and his poker games with that old gang of his in Independence and Kansas City, Mo. But he will have no ribbon of national homage to stick in his coat as Washington and Lincoln and Woodrow Wilson had.

During the Democratic National Convention in Chicago, we predict that the people will hear frequently about current "prosperity" but we also prophesy that not a chirp will be heard about the almost \$300,000,000,000 indebtedness against this prosperity nor the more than 100,000 dead or missing American soldiers who have been sacrificed to bring it about.

ment constitutes mob violence by any definition that can be applied to that term.

"Also, it seems to me that a poor guy who has been blown to bits by a bomb, had his brains spattered with a machine gun or been conked into eternity with a blackjack is just as dead as one who dangles from a rope on the limb of a tree.

"So I would deeply appreciate it if you will advise me if these types of "lynchings" are to be included in the proposed measure and, if not, will you kindly explain the nuances and fine points of justice involved in the passing of Federal legislation designed to punish mob violence in Texas, shall we say, and condoning it in Illinois?"

We are still waiting for an answer.

If Karl Marx Could Speak He Would Say "Well Done Thou Good and Faithful Servant"

In Arkansas recently where Harry Truman had gone to dedicate one of the government's Socialistic power projects, he screamed and ranted all over the landscape about being called a Socialist.

He said that every time he did anything for the people, his enemies called it Socialism.

It does not much matter what his opponents call him. His official actions speak louder than the human voice and if he will only examine his record, he will find how closely he has hewed to the Party Line of those with whom he resents being classed.

For instance, Karl Marx suggested heavy taxes as the best way to destroy Capitalism. The Federal income tax which was 1 per cent in 1915, is now 91 per cent on higher bracket incomes.

Marx advocated a redistribution of the wealth by exorbitant taxes. Truman has complied almost one hundred per cent with this demand.

Marx was also against the right of a person to inherit wealth. The inheritance tax under Truman has climbed to 77 per cent.

Marx bore down strong on his demand that the state take over private business and operate it in competition with private business to the end that Capitalism would be frozen out. The Federal government now has \$160,000,000,000 invested in business ventures which are competitive with private industry such as electric power projects, farm land, telephone companies, loan companies, insurance,

The Chicago Police Department has announced that it will rid the city of all pickpockets during the National Democratic Convention. We take it that this applies to local characters only and any of the Fair Deal contingent, especially those from the Internal Revenue Department who may be under indictment, are not to be molested.

Those who did not see the picture of Averell Harriman made out in Idaho wearing a ten-gallon hat really missed the most comical spectacle in years. The Park Avenue cowboy was really something to see.

mortgages, purchasing and selling of farm products and other enterprises which they have no more right to operate than they do race tracks, pool halls or night clubs.

The private ownership of land was also set down in the Manifesto as detrimental to a true Socialist State. The Federal government is the owner of nearly 500,000,000 acres of land and is constantly increasing its holdings. While Marx did not advocate outright theft of property Truman went him one better on that deal by attempting to steal the Texas Tidelands.

If the originator of State Socialism could speak from his grave to the outgoing president of the United States he would say "Well done, thou good and faithful servant."

Eighty Third Congress—

(Continued from Page 1)

ing our enemies in the far-off Pacific or in the State Department in Washington, will long linger in the memory of real Americans who heard it.

Otherwise, it was the usual struggle for power between two factions both of whom were more concerned in procedures to win votes than in measures to keep intact the individual political ideologies of a mighty nation.

They spent hours of time and used reams of paper in shaping a plank which would dictate to American business executives what color the skin of their employes should be but failed to warn of the deadly menace of the United Nations to the security, sovereignty and the continued existence of the United States as an independent Republic.

There are several schools of thought on the subject of General Dwight D. Eisenhower, named by the Republicans to contest for the presidency in the November election.

There are obviously millions of honest, thoughtful citizens who consider him the best equipped person to break the strangle-hold of the Fair Deal on the Federal government.

They conscientiously believe, also, that he will measure up to the tenets of faith of the party whose standard he will bear in the battle for ballots in November.

There are likewise many millions of equally sincere, earnest and alarmed Americans who are convinced that he will be the victim of the same sinister forces of World Socialists who have stage-managed the last two national administrations and who have shaped our domestic and foreign policies of government for almost twenty years.

Their basis for this belief lies in the undeniable fact that his candidacy for the nomination was supported by many New Deal Republicans, Internationalists, Communist-fronters, left-wing newspapers and rag-tag Socialists cast up in the Fair Deal backwash.

In brief, they fear that the powerful international coterie of anti-American World Planners now have control of two major political parties in this country, instead of one.

Too, there are countless others who, like us, are committed to neither viewpoint and who only hope that if the General is elected in November, he will prove to the American people that he possesses the patriotism and political integrity to overcome the handicap which he suffers because of some of the professionals who backed him, justify the implicit faith of the amateurs who have flocked to his banner, and courageously meet a challenge such as has faced few men in the drama-packed history of the Republic.

Meantime, from the hearts of American patriots who may feel that they are left without a presidential candidate, fervent prayers should go up to a merciful God who tempers the wind to the shorn lamb for an Eighty-Third Congress of strong and invincible members who will safeguard American sovereignty and the Constitution from enemies on all fronts who may seek to submerge the United States in the wave of International Socialism threatening to engulf the world.

Americans Entitled To Know If Foundations Are Engaging in Subversive Activities

A resolution by Representative Cox of Georgia was passed by Congress some time ago calling for the appointment of a committee to investigate large tax-exempt philanthropic and charitable Foundations to discover if such Foundations are using their resources "for un-American and subversive activities or for purposes not in the interest of tradition of the United States."

No more important proposal has ever been made on the floor of Congress in our opinion, than this effort to let the American people in on what is going on in the name of charity and philanthropy by these heavily financed groups.

During the discussion in the House, it was pointed out that these Foundations, in some cases, are international in character, spend billions of dollars without any sort of official supervision and have never been investigated by any authorized agency.

It was brought out that one or another of the Foundations has financed the atheistic Negro poet, Langston Hughes, since 1931 and that in spite of his membership in innumerable Communist front organizations which

seek to destroy the American government, he is still enjoying a grant from the Rockefeller Foundation.

It was also charged that Hans Eisler, brother of the notorious Russian spy who was allowed to escape this country and return to his Communist masters, was the recipient of a \$25,000 grant from the Carnegie Foundation.

Owen Lattimore was pictured as the most successful operator in the country in milking these Foundations for the support of left-wingers and subversive movements.

The Julius Rosenwald Foundation was shown as having been instrumental in stirring up class and racial dissension throughout the South.

We hope that this matter is pursued vigorously and that these Foundations which, in some cases have been headed by traitors to this country, and which in many instances have financed programs detrimental to the interests of the United States, will be exposed and subjected to the penalties which have always been inflicted against those who work against the interests of their own country.

Just To Keep The Record Straight

This being an election year, Americans should not forget that when the Internal Security Act was passed in 1950 to protect this country and its institutions from alien enemies, many of those who are now out on the hustings, or will be soon trying to tell us how to vote, were among those who backed Truman up when he vetoed it and voted to sustain his veto.

Among these were senators Dennis Chavez of New Mexico, Paul Douglas of Illinois, Theodore F. Green of Rhode Island, Hubert Humphrey of Minnesota, Estes Kefauver of Tennessee, Harley M. Kilgore of West Virginia, Herbert Lehman of New York and James E. Murray of Montana.

Incredible as it seems, these men voted against a bill which made it a \$10,000 fine for government employees to give out secrets to the enemy; barring Communists from jobs in the government; denying passports to Communists and compelling Communist organizations to register with the attorney general.

These men have put themselves on record in a way which proves that their allegiance is not all for their own country and their arguments and pleas in the coming campaign should be given no consideration whatever.

This may sound like nagging, and it is.

We want to know again why nothing has been done about the deportation of the Communist Harry Bridges and about the case of the spy Judith Coplan.

It is our understanding that Bridges is going strong out on the Pacific Coast where he is stirring up trouble among shipping companies in the matter of the transportation of supplies to our soldiers in Korea.

We also hear that Judith Coplan is living the same normal life as other American women whom she sought to sell into Communist slavery.

Any One Who Does Not Resent Exorbitant Taxes Is Abnormal

Occasionally, when some movie star's popularity and publicity begin to wane, they break out with the idiotic statement that they just dearly love to pay their exorbitant income tax and that they consider it a privilege to contribute their share toward helping backward countries and a lot of other blah.

Of course they hate to be gypped just like every one else but they take this method of calling attention to themselves in the hope of retrieving the spotlight whose rays are being beamed in another direction.

Of course we don't mind paying our income tax either—that is we don't mind it any worse than having an eye-tooth pulled.

While our tax is but a fly speck beside a mountain in comparison to that of movie stars, nevertheless when the time rolls around for us to ante up, we wring our hands, tear our hair and weep louder than Johnny Ray.

We bend over backward in making our return and are meticulous in reporting every dime, if any, we receive, but our action is not inspired by lofty motives or desire to contribute to the improvement of backward countries.

We do it because we are afraid not to, that's why.

No More Hamburgers For Buckingham Palace Menu

A dispatch from London announces that Queen Elizabeth has been voted a pay raise and she will now get \$1,330,000 to take care of her expenses for a year.

This is quite a boost over the amount allowed her father the late King George VI who had to struggle along and try to make ends meet on only \$1,148,000.

By exercising the thrift for which the English are noted, the lovely Queen should be able to make out from one pay day to the next even if she indulges in a top sirloin now and then.

Democrats' Sell-Out at 1936 Convention Now Bounces Back Like A Rubber Check

Fair Dealers don't need the South any longer in order to get their party elected according to Francis Biddle, Chairman of Americans for Democratic Action.

Biddle is another one of those politically degenerate sons of a good family whose father left him so much free enterprise money that he can afford to dwell in political slums and pal around with Socialist bums and help plan the destruction of the system by which he became a multimillionaire without effort on his own part.

One of his pet activities is ADA, an aggregation of radicals who are too pink to be good Americans and not quite red enough to be outright Communists. It was set up by Eleanor Roosevelt and other fellow travellers for the purpose of keeping Franklin D. Roosevelt's Marxian policies of government in effect.

Since the beginning of a two-party system in this country, the South was the backbone of the Democratic Party and no candidate could hope for nomination without Southern support.

This was true until 1936 when jelly-spined delegates from the South allowed the super confidence man Franklin D. Roosevelt to city-slick them into abandoning the two-thirds rule in nominating candidates through which they held the balance of power.

As a result they now have to suffer the humiliation of having a weasel-brained Eastern politician who doesn't know the difference between a principle of government and a Fair Deal directive on the price of flea powder, offer them the supreme insult by reminding them that they are no longer needed.

Freedom Of Speech And The Press Is Target Of Bill By New Yorker

One of the most vicious measures ever introduced in any modern law-making body was House Resolution 7717, by Representative Javitts of New York, whose stated purpose is "to make unlawful the transportation or importation of false and defamatory statements designed to arouse intergroup conflict."

While the measure was introduced by the New York Congressman, he was merely serving as the lackey of United Nations forces who are back of the bill and who have been hammering away at our Constitutional processes of government ever since the dark day in 1945 when the United States Senate committed us to participation in this absurd fiasco.

The bill also has the support of organizations in this country whose mission is the smearing of patriotic Americans who defend our institutions of government and way of life, and who are so powerful that investigating committees of Congress are afraid to label them with the tag of subversion which they so richly deserve.

Attempting to ride roughshod over Article I of our Bill of Rights which provides that Congress shall make no law abridging the right of free speech or of the press, the Stalinists who framed the proposed bill assert therein "that the circulation of such material interferes with the national policy of fostering the enjoyment of human

If Seems That Some New Mexico People Like Us

Needless to say, we are very grateful for any commendation from New Mexico since our set-to with one of the House members from that State who didn't mince words in telling us what he thought of us in a letter reproduced in the paper last month.

From a business man in the small city of Mesilla Park, New Mexico, we have received the following lift:

"From the small acorn planted with your first edition of the Southern Conservative, a great oak has grown. Its wide-spreading branches give shade and protection to millions who are now exposed to the most vicious elements ever sent down to destroy a people. To be chosen as the architect for this immortal work, you, my friend, have been exceedingly blessed. I join with thousands of your readers in asking divine guidance of the One who gave you this master mind and the supreme courage to carry out the dictates of this mind."

Subversive Speakers Talk In A Fort Worth Church

The Institute of International Relations, under the sponsorship of the American Friends Service Committee held a meeting at St. John's Evangelical Church at Fort Worth early in June with its usual quota of speakers with subversive records.

Each year more and more cancellations of this group are being made by Texas churches and religious and educational groups as their records become known.

rights and fundamental freedoms by all."

This nefarious legislative proposal is a product of the sinister Internationalist forces, both domestic and foreign, who bitterly oppose American resistance to treason in the United Nations and who would protect every group and clique in the world from "smearing" except Christian American patriots.

When Congress re-convenes, attempts to pass this subversive bill will be intensified for ideological enemies of the United States do not readily surrender and give up.

The passage of this bill, or anything remotely resembling it would be the death-knell of freedom of the press and freedom of speech, the priceless heritage of Americans from the founding fathers and in which event, we might as well paraphrase a currently popular hill-billy song and adopt it as our national anthem:

"Rack up the balls, boys,
Put away the cues,
Old Joe Stalin's on our trail,
And he ain't goin' to lose."

There are many Americans who sincerely fear that Harry S. Truman may self-start another "emergency" between now and November in order to keep his party in power for another four years. We wouldn't put it past him but it would seem like he must have run out of "emergencies" and not have any more tricks in his bag.

The Southern Conservative

A monthly publication of editorial opinion with national circulation.

Ida M. Darden, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year
(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

The Tenth Amendment To The Constitution of The United States:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

OUR AMERICA

By Dr. Ruth Alexander

(From New York Sunday Mirror July 6, 1952)

THE EYES of the world are on Texas. It is even possible that the fate of the world lies deep in the heart of Texas. Nobody knows which way the disputed Republican delegation problem will be decided. But as one Texas editor puts it, "whatever his politics, God grant us a President who is motivated by undivided loyalty to his own country."

Both Republican contestants are motivated by such loyalty but differ on methods by which our salvation is to be attained.

In any case, delegates to both Republican and Democratic Conventions face "the gravest responsibility ever entrusted to authorized spokesmen of the American people," according to Ida M. Darden's brilliant editorial in the "Southern Conservative" of Fort Worth, Texas.

"Like the spider web in the flag of the United Nations," she continues "A pattern of treason has been woven into the fabric of our national policies which can be broken only if men selected as standard bearers of their respective parties are not bound by ties of gratitude and obligation to those who have contributed to the nation's betrayal."

It is correct to blame the party presently in power for this "betrayal" only in a negative sense. That is the Republicans have been spectators rather than participants in government for 20 years of Communist infiltration. But few raised their voice in protest effectively excepting Senator Joe McCarthy, whose charges are being validated, but who suffered smears from his own party "Liberals" as well as from the Democrats.

Some of the accumulated evils, in addition to treason in high places, which must be corrected by any President "motivated by undivided loyalty to his country" are:

Elimination of a "domestic corruption unsurpassed since the political iniquity which brought about the fall of ancient Rome."

A re-evaluation of the powers of the executive, now swollen to the magnitude of a medieval monarch.

A return to "state rights, on which this Republic was founded" and which have been abolished by "liberal" wings

Rumanian Female Ogre Is Reported Deposed

It is reported that Ana Pauker, Communist leader of Rumania since 1945, and perhaps the most repulsive character of either sex in human history, has been deposed.

This shameless and depraved female climbed to power in a satellite state over the crushed and mangled bodies of her countrymen who resisted Soviet aggression and even tossed in the head of her husband to cinch her job as Stalin's straw boss in Rumania.

Knowing the devious and diabolic manner in which the Stalin mind operates, there are many in this country who think that the announcement of Pauker's downfall is another Soviet stall and that she is merely being groomed for a more powerful job.

We have some near counterparts of Pauker in this country but they have not been deposed.

Columbia Communist Fronter Makes Germ Warfare Charge

We have been waiting to see what action would be taken in Washington against the female Columbia University professor who openly charged that this country is waging bacteriological warfare against the Chinese and Koreans.

This charge was made in an interview by a Dr. Gene Weltfish, anthropologist at Columbia who is a notorious Communist Fronter with a long subversive record, according to official government investigating agencies, and was carried in leading daily newspapers on June 7th. She was quoted in these dispatches as asserting she could produce an eye witness and her own "documentation."

Remembering how patriotic Americans have been indicted, smeared and hounded by Fair Deal authorities for no more reason than that they refused to give the names of financial contributors to Americanism programs, we confidently expected that this Stalin ally would be arrested for treason, but nothing else has been heard of the incident.

Since it seems no official agency intends to deal with her, we take it on ourself to deny her dastardly charge and make the counter-charge that she is a menace and danger to our national security and belongs in Russia where the lying claim she voices originated.

Regardless of our contempt for some of our national leaders we know, as an American, that this country does not engage in bacteriological warfare or any other inhuman and uncivilized practice toward its enemies, in war or in peace.

We have held our nose and read passages from some of the Weltfish woman's books and have been amazed that this person should continue to be allowed to expound her personal and ideological views to decent young people in an American University.

of both parties "in favor of the old world policy of concentrating all power and authority in a centralized body composed of a small group of men."

The taxing power of Congress has been "employed to punish the enemies and reward the friends of the Administration and to redistribute the wealth according to Karl Marx' theory, 'from each according to his abilities, to each according to his needs'"—regardless of the merits of either.

UNESCO—THE GREATEST DANGER TO AMERICA'S YOUTH

By Lillian Moore Roberts

(Originally published and distributed by the Freedom Club of the First Congregational Church, Los Angeles)

Editor's Note: We do not know who Lillian Moore Roberts is. We only know that she has made the clearest, most forceful and unanswerable argument against the adoption by the Senate of UNESCO since this evil offshoot of the United Nations was first thrust on the American people. Her discussion of the subject is so forthright and simple that even Fair Deal United States senators should be able to understand it. We earnestly implore that every member of that great body, who will be called on to approve this conspiracy against American youth, read carefully Lillian Moore Roberts' article as herein reproduced.

UNESCO is the most powerful of the United Nations' instruments for establishing the forced uniformity of mankind. UNESCO is unseen, deadly, and everywhere. Among the dangers that confront freemen today, UNESCO is unique because:

It is so vast in its aims, channels of operation, publications, and agencies; It uses every means of communication through which the thinking of mankind is influenced; Its method of attacking freedom is so diffused and unfamiliar; It presents, above any other present organization, the gravest danger to freemen. Including all of the years of alphabetical Federal Bureaucracy, Pentagon channels, and United Nations' agencies, sub-agencies, affiliated agencies, panels, committees, conferences and commissions—UNESCO is the most bewildering organization ever conceived.

UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization) is a specialized agency of the Economic and Social Council which, in turn, operates under the Assembly of the United Nations which, in turn, functions under the Charter of the United Nations which, in turn, supersedes our own Constitution and—because we signed it as a treaty—is now the supreme law of the United States.

Headquarters in Europe: UNESCO'S world-wide headquarters is in Paris. UNESCO'S American headquarters is in Washington, D. C., and is called the U. S. National Commission for UNESCO. This Commission is made up of sixty representatives of national organizations and forty direct appointees of the State Department. From this Commission UNESCO'S program is channeled into local communities through myriad organizations, committees, and panels which, in turn, channel it to reach you and your children from every direction—from philanthropic societies, churches, radio, television, theatre, newspapers, magazines, motion pictures, schools, school organizations, civic groups, government agencies, etc.

There is no possible way to give or to gain a quick knowledge of this octopus-like organization of UNESCO with its thousands of publications, areas of operation and channels of propaganda. It is too vast and unwieldy to be summarized with briefness or clarity. So the work of reading and listening and discovering and understanding must be yours. But there is a guide, a simple guide, which will carry you safely and unerringly through the intricate maze of UNESCO, and the explanation of that guide is the purpose of this paper.

UNESCO Thrives on Confusion: We Americans are confused about the nature of the whole danger which surrounds us today. We don't seem really to know what it is or where it is. No two Americans will give you the same answer. At least fifty per cent of our danger is due to this confusion about it, because what we don't understand we cannot fight. Through lack of understanding we are even apt to aid something that we mean to resist. The churches are a tragic example of this. Almost every minister in the world would tell you, and with sincere honesty, that he considers Communism the great present

enemy of religion and he resists it in every possible way. And yet many of these same ministers unknowingly aid Communism every day of their lives. The same thing is true of the people of the United States. Every loyal American believes that he resists Communism, and yet an astounding number of these same Americans also unknowingly aid Communism every day of their lives.

Compartmental Thinking Dangerous: One reason for this is that the human mind has a habit of putting things into mental compartments. One of these mental compartments hold what most of us think of when we think of Communism and Communists. We think of them as enslaved people in far-away countries, the original Bolshevik revolutionists, Stalin and the Politburo in the Kremlin, the official heads of the Communist Party in the various nations of the world, the Communist spies who are caught in espionage, and the Communist members who are known to be operating in the United States.

Scientists Are Poor Statesmen. Doctors Don't Shoe Horses: Another of our mental compartments holds the generally acknowledged intellectual giants such as Einstein, Thomas Mann, Linus Pauling and others, who are cited as Communist Sympathizers by the Un-American Activities Committee. We don't understand why they are in favor of Communism but then we don't understand how they can work out the theory of relativity and write great novels either. This is confusion compounded. So this whole compartment is left up in a sort of intellectual stratosphere and dismissed as having no actual bearing on our pedestrian affairs.

Muddled, Fuzzy Thinking: Yet another of our mental compartments holds the so called "progressive and extreme liberals" who occupy the majority of the positions of power in our Federal Government, in our system of education, in our philanthropic institutions, and in the United Nations. Included among these are the Socialists and all of the other varieties of collectivists. This is the compartment with which most of us grapple daily, because the persons in this compartment affect our lives day by day. This effect is a disturbing one because the words and actions of these persons seem inconsistent day by day—one thing they do will seem good, another bad, another foolish, then another good, and so on, around and around. We can never decide what they are for or against, since we cannot discover exactly what it is that they are trying to accomplish, and this makes it impossible for us to think or act consistently.

Real Aim Uniformity and Mediocrity: So long as we do not realize that every person in each of these compartments is striving for exactly the same goal, we will remain impotent both individually and as a nation. This single goal toward which they all strive is to reduce mankind to uniformity. While we fight the obvious evil, the hidden evil can engulf us. If a man were to treat only the surface sore of can-

(Continued on Page 5)

UNESCO—

(Continued from Page 4)

oer and let the hidden part of the cancer continue to grow beneath the skin and spread throughout his entire system, what would happen to that man? He would die of cancer. Just so is Communism spreading throughout America. While we continue to fight the surface sore of Communism which we can clearly see, the hidden affiliates of Communism are spreading unseen throughout the whole life of America. It doesn't matter what you call cancer, the disease will kill the body just as surely. And it doesn't matter what you call these concepts. If their practices accord with Communism they will kill America just as surely.

The Crucible of Human Reduction: Karl Marx, Lenin, Stalin, Communist leaders and members, intellectuals, Communists sympathizers, "progressives" and extreme liberals, Socialists and other collectivists, each and every one of these persons acts to achieve this same ultimate goal—to reduce mankind to uniformity, morally, mentally, and materially. It is beside the point whether they admit being Communists, refuse to say whether or not they are Communists, flatly deny that they are or ever have been Communists, or have never been asked the question. It is beside the point whether they act as they do through malicious design, unthinking idealism, intellectual arrogance, or stupidity. What does matter is the act itself and the results it will produce, and an act has no will of its own; therefore, an act cannot distinguish between the sincerity and the insincerity of the person who commits it. If a man acts in such a way that his acts, if carried to their logical conclusion, will help to reduce mankind to uniformity, that man is working to advance Communism whether he knows it or not. And the end result will be the same—the triumph of World Communism.

"Wolves in Sheep's Clothing": Another block that exists in the American mind to the recognition of Communism should be pointed out. This is our inability to believe in a Communist in a dinner jacket. Many Americans do not recognize these "Marxist advocates" because of the obvious intelligence of the individual worker and his comfortable position in life. We say, "He's too smart to be a Communist," and then proceed to evolve some other explanation for his odd behavior which seems to us more reasonable. The truth, unfortunately, is that those of superior intellect are particularly susceptible to Communism because they come to believe that their intellect is the highest power that exists. It is for this reason that they deny God, for they can admit of no power higher than themselves; it is for this reason that they believe themselves chosen to plan and control the lives of the rest of humanity, for humanity will make all sorts of mistakes if left to its own devices since the huge majority of humanity cannot think as clearly as they, the "intellectuals," can. The slaves and the executions and the concentration camps and all of the horrors inseparable from Communism do not upset these intellectuals because they believe that these are necessary instruments of force which must be used to compel humanity to do what is best for it. They know that a large segment of humanity is sure to object, since every individual who has attained an unusual amount of something good must give it up, whether the good is freedom, material comfort, or religious beliefs. (All Americans naturally would fall into one or more of these categories, and here, as elsewhere, anyone who objected to giving up what he has would naturally have to be eliminated in some way.) This group believes that only when the world's people submit to being controlled from the cradle to the grave, only when the will to achieve and resist has been completely wiped out throughout the world only then will there be no wars. Actually it's as simple as that. Their "intellectual" concept boils down to this oldest and falsest of man's beliefs, that man enslaved is man secure and compliant. So,

as the intellectual sees it, it is right, proper, and mandatory that he work ceaselessly to reduce mankind to uniformity.

"As the Twig is Bent . . ." This, then, is the guide that will clearly identify any person or organization whose real design is to destroy freedom—the guide being the question, "Will this help to reduce mankind to uniformity?" UNESCO will become crystal clear to anyone who investigates it hand in hand with this guide, this guide which will, without fail, expose the similarity of purpose of all these doctrines of a controlled society. This guide will make it perfectly clear why Lenin, the founder of Communism, said, "Give us the child for eight years and it will be a Bolshevik forever," and then why UNESCO says, "The kindergarten or infant school has a significant part to play in the child's education. Not only can it correct many of the errors of home training, but it can also prepare the child for membership, at about the age of seven, in a group of his own age and habits—the first of many such social identifications that he must achieve on his way to membership in the world society." This guide will make it perfectly clear why William Z. Foster, imprisoned head of the Communist Party in America, said, "Our teachers must write new school textbooks and rewrite history from the Marxian viewpoint," and then why one of UNESCO's two major goals is the revision or rewriting of all textbooks in use in American schools, and why one of UNESCO's current projects is a world history of mankind written by four militant atheists.

Generous Instincts Betrayed: If you measure all that you see and hear with the question, "Will this help reduce mankind to uniformity," you will never lose your way in investigating UNESCO, the United Nations, our own Federal Government's policies, school curriculums, or anything else. Refuse yourself the luxury of emotion and wishful thinking. Do not permit yourself to be duped by beautiful words such as "brotherhood" and "peace" and "democratic" and "peoples of the world" and "elimination of barriers" and "solidarity of mankind." Let no ingratiating personality or intellectually superior manner sway you—remember, Alger Hiss was possessed of both. A person thus armed with knowledge and possessed of the courage to apply this knowledge regardless of intimidation or camouflage, is Communism's greatest enemy and Freedom's most valuable defender.

Quicksands of Internationalism: In studying UNESCO's publications, programs, meetings, speakers, goals, acts, etc., to see if they would tend to reduce mankind to uniformity, I believe that you will find the answer in every instance to be an unequivocal yes. Many of the answers to the UNESCO puzzle will then instantly come to hand—answers to such questions as, why does UNESCO try so hard to destroy American patriotism (which they call "nationalism"); why does UNESCO present "nationalism" as such an evil thing; why do they dispense with religion; why do they present internationalism and "world citizenship" as the most glorious achievement available to man; why do they attempt in every way to belittle the parents and diminish their influence with the child, why is their most powerful ammunition turned on the child and his teachers; why the attempt to eradicate all competition from the child's life both athletically and scholastically; why the lack of proper grounding in the three R's which will automatically destroy the child's future facility of learning? The answers are obvious.

Guideposts for the Vigilant: Happily, there are a few other guideposts which are useful in studying UNESCO. The principal methods of operation used by UNESCO are two:

Teaching by Omission—In all UNESCO writing and speaking it is not what they say that is so destructive, it is what they do not say. This method has the enormous advantage of being difficult to detect and the results, from their standpoint, are equally as good as if they had been permitted

openly to advocate the overthrow of our Republic. An example of this teaching by omission is the fact that nowhere in any UNESCO publications or recommended reading will you find America's unique freedoms explained or commended, and nowhere will you find even a mention of the horrors of Russian rule-by-force, Siberian slave labor, mass murders, assignment to work, denial of religion, or any other fact of unpleasant truth. The cumulative effect of this on a child who has never been taught the things that are left out, would naturally be to leave him in ignorance that governments differ. He would never realize that he had possessed freedoms which must be protected and sustained, therefore, he would make no objection when these freedoms were denied him. He would be the obedient servant of a controlled society.

Concealment by Volume: UNESCO will write thousands of innocent words in order to implant one distorted concept. This method has the enormous advantages of discouraging investigation because the investigator must wade through so much reading in order to unearth the distortions, and of inclining the reader to accept the distortions along with the truth.

There are two more guideposts which we might call indications of intention. These are:

Communist Sympathizers in UNESCO: Now it is certainly clear that Communists and Communist sympathizers do not join and work in organizations for the purpose of destroying Communism. They join and work in organizations for the purpose of promoting Communism. Where we find Communists and Communist sympathizers we can reasonably expect to find Communism. In an infected organization there need be only a few such persons because they are usually found occupying the positions which wield the greatest influence over that organization. A good part of the UNESCO Constitution was written by Archibald MacLeish, a man cited eight times by the Un-American Activities Committee. Mr. MacLeish is at the moment a Member at Large of the U. S. National Commission for UNESCO. One of the organization representatives on this same U. S. Commission is Dr. Harlow Shapley who is reported by the Un-American Activities Committee as having "a long and notorious record, carefully and substantially documented in the Committee's files as speaker, sponsor, officer, donor, participant and signer of Communist petitions, in a number of Communist fronts and causes," and as having been supported by individual Communists and listed as a supporter of the Soviet Union. In all, seventeen (17) of the members of the U. S. National Commission for UNESCO are cited by the Un-American Activities Committee, these seventeen having a total of seventy-five (75) citations listed against them. Seven of this seventeen have the honored title of Doctor before their name. The above figure does not include the thirteen (13) citations of Marc Connelly who is reported as the new head of UNESCO's theatre wing. The Un-American Activities Committee's Reports are available to every man, woman and child in the United States. So when a presumably learned organization purposely selects, out of a nation of 150 million people, Communists or Communist sympathizers for its officers, the only possible reason for it is that they desire to have such persons in these positions of influence.

Endorsement of Subversive Actions: If an organization openly advocates any steps which would result in the overthrow of our existing form of government, this organization is considered subversive. Now one of UNESCO's two major goals is to achieve the objectives of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The American Bar Association, legislators, scholars, newspapers, and laymen have repeatedly warned that if this Universal Declaration of Human Rights is ever adopted in any manner by the United States, our own Bill of Rights would be either repealed or rendered void, and we

would inevitably lose our freedoms, as well as our free enterprise system. Thus, overwhelmingly authoritative opinion reveals that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is subversive to an unparalleled degree.

In summary we can say that there is no way to simplify UNESCO itself. It is designed in such a way that its organization, its spheres of influence, its network of propaganda and its tons of official and recommended reading virtually defy a thorough investigation.

But—there is a way to simplify the investigation of UNESCO. You might call this an investigation by indication. It is much like the common sense method that we daily use in the kitchen to detect food that is not fit for consumption. If we see a piece of meat in the icebox which shows a spot of discoloration we promptly throw it out. We do not eat the meat in order to prove that it will make us sick, nor do we put the piece of meat under a microscope and analyze it bite by bite. We simply see the rotten spot, know this as an indication that the whole piece is tainted, and throw it out.

So—The indications which we look for in UNESCO are:

Will this help to reduce mankind to uniformity?

What vital truth does UNESCO not tell?

What distortions of truth lie buried deep in clouds of verbiage?

Are there any known Communists and Communist sympathizers in UNESCO?

Does UNESCO endorse any subversive actions?

"Faith of Our Fathers, Holy Faith . . ."

Every adult American is possessed of the inherent responsibility to pass on to the next generation the God given freedoms which were passed on to him by his forefathers. Freedom does not perpetuate itself, it must be sustained by those who understand it and who earn the right to enjoy it. If our children are to understand freedom they must be given truth, and only you can extend to them this saving hand of truth. Only you can refuse to be talked down to and intimidated by all the pseudo-intellectual educators, the moderns, progressives, and liberals who tell you that only they are trained to teach your child and that you should have no voice in the matter. Do not permit your children to be robbed of everything that gives life meaning without lifting a hand in their defense. Lift your voice! Apply your intelligence! Defeat the planned regimentation of your children! And work ceaselessly to secure for them a life founded on the incomparable American principle of "Freedom Under God."

Only through such intelligent, determined, consecrated effort can you in your life and time activate the age old covenant made with God by millions of the sons and daughters of Freedom, living and dead:

"Faith of our fathers, Holy faith,
We will be true to thee 'til death."

We Like Polywogs Better Than Bearded Bolesheviks

In an address to the Sigma Delta Chi meeting in Denver General Dwight D. Eisenhower claimed that fear of Russia is all based on "scary talk" and that there is no cause for alarm.

"If we are deserving of the heritage of freedom, there is no more reason to fear 190 million backward people, living on the Russian continent, surrounded by captive and recalcitrant states, than there is to fear polywogs swimming down a muddy creek," he stated.

Maybe so, but we'll take the polywogs if it is all the same to the general.

Whether we fear the bearded Bolesheviks or not, we had just as soon not have any truck with them.

Members of Congress Smoke Out Another Super-Secret Truman-Acheson World Agency

Last month we called attention to the International Materials Conference which had allotted 350,000 tons of newsprint to India in the face of a serious shortage of that product in this country.

In the meantime, Congress has taken up the matter for discussion and some light is being thrown on the subject.

This IMC is one of those queer, underhanded outfits that have a cute little habit of sneaking in, under the present administration, and becoming a factor in the nation's economy before the taxpayers who put up the money to pay for it, are given any information about who opened the door and let it in.

It seems that this latest international alphabet agency was born following the visit of Britain's Socialist Prime Minister Attlee to this country in January of 1951. Truman and Acheson were the midwives who presided at its birth and most of its activities have been top-secret until recently.

There was no statutory authority for this organization and it has been operating without Congressional sanction even though it has the power to divert critical material from this country to some other nation when

some mysterious directing agency decrees it.

From the discussions, the outfit seems to be a sort of super-socialist dispensing agency "taking from each according to his means and giving to each according to his need." There are twenty-eight nations participating in it, one or all of which can drain away vital material needed in the defense effort here and appropriate it for their own use.

Congressman Paul W. Shafer of Michigan described it this way: "The International Materials Conference has not aided the United States in preparing itself to meet Communistic aggression. On the contrary, it has drained away vital material for the civilian economies of other nations . . . IMC has imposed world-wide price control by holding secret meetings and telling the public nothing of its deliberations. If a group of private individuals or companies in the United States ever had the temerity to engage, even on a small scale, in the kind of market-splitting, price-fixing and other monopolistic practices of the IMC kind, they would promptly be subject to criminal prosecution for violation of the anti-trust laws."

It was brought out in the discussion, as a matter of course, that the original idea of IMC was a brain-child of the United Nations which, in turn, is the product of World Planners.

"Packaged Thinking For Women" Still Popular

One of the minor officials in the State Department where practically all officials are minor in the matter of ability, brains and understanding of American principles of government, is quoted in the Congressional Record in a statement which is not calculated to win friends for the Department.

The person is named Francis Russell and he was addressing a group of club women who periodically go to the State Department to get themselves indoctrinated, with the peculiar Acheson-Truman policies of government.

Claiming that we have made great gains in Korea, he clinched his claims with this corker:

"Forty thousand casualties in the overall picture for our gain in prestige, is nothing. This great demonstration of collective security and consolidating world opinion is the gain".

Mothers of those forty thousand dead men may not agree with Mr. Russell.

Because women persist in going in droves to the State Department to receive their injections of propaganda perhaps accounts for the fact that "Packaged Thinking for Women", although nearly four years old, is in such demand that another printing of it has just been ordered.

This book by Lucille Hardin Crane and Anne B. Hamilton first told the story of how American club women performed like sheep in following the leadership of a few smart gals who had been briefed by Washington leaders to sell them a bill of goods.

As a result millions of women were shown as endorsing the United Nations, Federal Aid to Education, Socialized medicine and all the other Socialistic policies to which the Truman administration is committed and, all the while, they knew no more about the various subjects than they do about the operating details of a jet-propulsion plane.

Socialism by treaty is a greater threat than the home-grown variety hatched in the White House, says Senator John Bricker of Ohio who warns

The Two Major Parties Have Switched Positions

Statements by the chief executives attending the National Governors Conference at Houston recently serve to remind us that consistency is a virtue that is practically non-existent, especially in politics.

Southerners were taught from the cradle to hate Republicans because of the GOP position on States Rights and the Negro question which were the main issues on which the two parties were divided.

Now, they have almost completely switched positions on these issues.

At the Houston Conference the most forceful and eloquent plea for a return of States Rights delivered in years was made by Republican governor Val Peterson of Nebraska, followed by an equally convincing argument in defense of this great principle by Republican Governor Walter J. Kohler of Wisconsin.

Conversely, a so-called Democratic governor, Adlai Stevenson of Illinois, expressed views on "civil rights" which were so directly opposed to all that the Democratic Party stood for before its conversion to Socialism, that they must have caused Southern Democrats of the long ago to kick out the sides of their caskets.

In Fort Worth, the treasurer of a Methodist church group has been indicted for having made off with \$115,000 over a period of years. This is a far cry from the days when such sums were unheard of in church circles and when the preacher was "pounded" with eggs, chickens, slabs of bacon and such to compensate him for tending his flock. But perhaps the churches feel they have to keep pace with Washington where no sum less than a million is even considered worth mentioning.

that enemies of free enterprise having failed to win approval of their policies in Congress are taking them to the United Nations for action.

Time May Come When It's An Honor Not To Receive Award

Once upon a time medals, citations and awards were conferred on worthy groups and persons of distinction, but not any more.

In fact, just the opposite is true in many instances and in order to be cited these days, it is almost necessary that the person, organization or group receiving the citation be strongly identified with the subversive forces who have combined in recent years to Socialize the United States and the world.

We have a forceful reminder of this in the action of Sigma Delta Chi, national college professional journalism fraternity in giving an award to one of the most pronounced left-wing publications in the United States, McCall's Magazine, and for, of all things, an article in its pages by one Arthur D. Morse last fall in which he made a vicious attack on Americans who have objected to the teaching of Socialism in American schools.

A few more travesties like this and decent Americans who see some group approaching to hang a medal on them, will take to the tall timbers and run for their life in order to protect their reputation from "guilt by association" with some of the subversives who have received awards in recent years.

Business Group Suggest Two Women New Dealers For Veep

The National Federation of Business and Professional Women's Clubs which, amusingly enough, insists it is non-political decided at its recent annual convention in Boston that women were being discriminated against because no member of the sex had ever been vice president of the United States.

They decided to do something about it and so they nominated two women, one for each major party, who should be considered for this high honor.

And boy, did they come up with a couple of lulus?

As candidate for vice president on the Republican ticket they named Margaret Chase Smith, Republican New Dealer whom the people of Maine for some reason best known to themselves, have elected as Senator from that State.

As the Democratic contestant for this position they chose Sarah Hughes, judge of a district court at Dallas.

Beginning as a policewoman in Washington, D.C., Mrs. Hughes came to Texas many years ago and was an aggressive New Dealer long before Roosevelt ever thought up the term.

She was a member of the Texas Legislature for several years where she effectively represented the labor union interests.

While we are not advocating any woman as vice president of the United States, we hope and pray that if one is ever elected to this position, she will represent the exact opposite in ideological beliefs from those possessed by either Mrs. Smith or Mrs. Hughes.

We imagine that the suggestion from the Business and Professional Women's organization did not come from the rank and file but from its leaders. In the case of such organizations, it is usually the little hard core who work themselves to the top and direct its policies.

The great majority of the members just sit back with their teeth in their mouth, and listen.

They hold up their hands and vote at the proper time and occasionally display enough independence and individual initiative to say "Me, too."

ADA Favors Federal Force In Selection Of Employees

Americans for Democratic Action is the trick title used by a group of Radicals who are far more alien in their political ideologies than American.

At a recent meeting in New York, they served notice that no quarter would be asked or given in the fight at Chicago to write a "civil rights" plank that goes all out for Federal force in the matter of a Southern business man's choice of his employees.

This action was expected from a group which was set up and is largely directed by holdovers from the Roosevelt administration and who, as hangers-on at the White House, considered it smart and fashionable to shack up with Communists of all races and colors.

They have never been investigated by committees of Congress charged with looking into subversive activities but we regard this as more of a testimony to the lack of astuteness on the part of members of Congress than a tribute to the motives of ADA.

Its younger members have been recruited principally from the ranks of those who have attended "liberal" institutions of learning and who have had no opportunity to learn anything whatever of Americanism either in school or by observing the operation of the Federal government by the Socialists who have administered it during their lives.

Any one who cares to check on it, will find that any policies they endorse will also be supported by the Daily Worker.

The Federal Government Is Carrying 37 Million Free Loaders

Americans who sincerely want to clean out the current form of corruption in Washington next November are faced with the cold-blooded fact that 37,000,000 people at this time are receiving some form of gratuity from the Federal government.

This includes social security payments, pensions, unemployment compensation, disability payments and other forms of gratuities.

Of course this does not mean that all beneficiaries of government checks will vote alike but it does demonstrate the vastness of the political machine begun by Roosevelt and built up to monumental proportions by Truman both of whose objective was to keep control of government in Democratic hands forever.

This figure was reached by experts who were asked to figure up the total number of persons getting some form of benefits from the Federal government by Congressman Ralph W. Gwynn of New York and released by him on the floor of Congress to his amazed colleagues who had no idea that recipients of government largess had reached the staggering total of 37,000,000.

The total presidential vote for the two major political parties in 1948 was 46,075,877 which is only 9,075,877 more votes than the number of persons receiving relief, hand-outs and other compensation from the government under the Truman administration.

MY NIGHT

By Ida M. Darden

This attractive book of one hundred pages is, as might be suspected, an attempted take-off on Eleanor Roosevelt's "My Day" that literary gem which adorns the pages of many daily newspapers and instructs Americans on how to live, think and vote.

"My Night", by implication, gives advice too and suggests rules of human behavior which, if followed, would probably lead to disaster or jail, but we guarantee that no more dire results will accrue from following the suggestions of "My Night" than will attend the serious acceptance of the advice given in the masterpieces of literature which inspired it to be written.

We do not claim that "My Night" will influence world opinion, revolutionize social and political customs or change the course of history but we do promise it will afford an hour's lusty entertainment and inspire some hearty chuckles.

Price **\$1.00**

Six Books for Five Dollars

Write

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

703 Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas

A Place For Hiss But None For MacArthur

Writing in The Freeman, Frazier Hunt, noted foreign correspondent told of the tragic battle General Douglas MacArthur had to wage on two fronts — against our enemies in the Pacific and those in Washington.

First it was the Roosevelt-Marshall-Hopkins triumvirate who put every possible obstacle in his way as he fought the Japanese and later the Truman - Marshall - Acheson trio ordered him to fight the North Koreans with his hands tied from lack of men and equipment.

"Few could question his vast knowledge of the sprawling Far East — of China and Russia and Japan" says Mr. Hunt. "Yet so powerful were his Washington detractors that never once in all the war years was his advice sought; nor was he invited to any of the several conferences where the fate of the world was decided. There was a place at Yalta for Alger Hiss, but none for Douglas MacArthur."

Mr. Hunt points out in detail the tragedy of General MacArthur's vindication and says that no man has suffered more than the man "whom the deadly measure of time has proved so right."

So deeply-rooted was the hatred and jealousy of Roosevelt and his military minions of the greatest fighting man in history that millions of dollars of taxpayers' money was spent in spreading propaganda against MacArthur among service men which should have gone into equipment and munitions for winning the war.

This fight of pigmies against a giant was even more bitter under Truman and the most shameful tragedy of the ages is that a situation could exist in which it was possible for a weasel-minded Captain of the Artillery to humiliate and embarrass a five - star General whose rank had been earned by a lifetime of patriotic devotion and service to his country.

Kansan Objects To State Department Liquor Bill

When the appropriation bill for current expenses of the Federal government was before Congress some-time ago, there were amusing incidents which cropped up among the tragedy of extravagance, waste and graft represented in the measure.

There was an increase of \$32,000,000 requested for expenses of the State Department alone. Of this amount, \$600,000 was claimed by a congressman from the State of Kansas to be a "liquor fund" for the entertainment of visiting dignitaries from foreign countries. The Kansan insisted that the \$15 per plate dinners served to visitors to this country meant that the "greatest share" of the expense was for liquor and not for food. He stoutly maintained that the taxpayers of the United States should not be forced to pay such exorbitant amounts for intoxicating drinks.

It was brought out that employees in the State Department in offices throughout the world are so numerous that they practically fall over each other's feet.

In the Philippines, for instance, it was stated that there are 1200 and that such a large number of State Department employees were sent to Pakistan that the Pakistan government objected on the ground that their economy could not stand the strain.

A Missouri congressman pointed out the Department had spent \$600,000 for an Embassy residence in Egypt which, he insisted, was a poor way to impress foreigners with our "Democracy."

The Next Edition Of The
Southern Conservative
Will Be Out In September

MY NIGHT

By the Editor

Last night the American delegation in Amalgamated Countries held a closed session to discuss matters of vital importance to the internal security of the United States.

Strict orders were issued that no one except bona fide members of the delegation was to be admitted to the floor and those attending were instructed to walk in on their hands to insure that they carried no objectionable literature in their pockets.

This precaution was taken to prevent any one smuggling in copies of the Bible, the Constitution of the United States or other documents which might conflict with the Charter of Amalgamated Countries.

I think that each of us was deeply impressed with our grave obligation to our country since we were gathered to discuss top-secret material such as the atom bomb, radar, the potential strength of American fighting forces and similar information which is so highly confidential that it cannot be divulged to any one except the Russians.

During the session all telephones were disconnected, all secretaries barred from the room and communication between the members was carried on in the Unknown Tongue which was recently adopted as the official language of Amalgamated Countries.

When it was necessary to send out after sandwiches, cocktails or other essentials, we employed Indian runners who had been imported from villages in the Himalaya Mountains for the occasion, each of whom had been carefully screened by the Loyalty Board of the State Department whose recognized thoroughness in this field insured their reliability.

While our findings must necessarily remain secret until officially presented to the General Assembly, I feel that I am violating no confidence by reporting that it was our conclusion that the welfare of the United States can be maintained and our national security assured only if Congress votes an appropriation of \$500,000,000 to strengthen the Air Force of the Liberian Republic, to increase the Standing Army of French Morocco and to enlarge the Navy of the Punjab Province.

Although the majority of those present were global-minded Americans of great understanding and broad vision, there were one or two isolationists who felt that the United States could not finance such a program without risking national bankruptcy.

It always distresses me to come in contact with such narrow, selfish and provincial views on the part of leaders in our Democracy who seem totally unable to understand the simple economic principle that the more money we spend or give away to foreign countries, the more prosperous we become as a nation.

Some temporary apprehension was felt at the close of the session when we had been sworn to secrecy regarding the proceedings and were preparing to leave.

As we were passing down the aisle, it was observed that the twelve-foot bowl of the \$2,000,000 chandelier moved violently and that something sticking out on the side which closely resembled human whiskers was quickly withdrawn as we looked up.

All of us were quite relieved when we reached the ante-room and discussed the matter with the caretaker on duty for the evening, who happened to be one of the Soviet janitors, and who was able to explain the incident to our entire satisfaction.

He assured us that the disturbance was caused by a long-haired female Siberian bloodhound who is being permitted to make her home in the chandelier while awaiting the birth of a litter of pups. He added that his decision to give the canine mother refuge in this comfortable spot was reached when he learned that the father was a coach dog from Dalmatia, thus giving the affair an international angle.

I was so overcome by this touching demonstration of universal good will and human understanding that it was several moments before I could pull myself together and collect my thoughts for an address I was scheduled to deliver later in the evening to a group which is sponsoring a Rural Electrification project on the Dead Sea in the Arabian Desert.

(Copyright 1952 by Ida M. Darden)



Harriman Invited To Prove Sincerity By Sharing Some of His Own Vast Wealth

Since the once great Democratic Party was ruthlessly ripped from its moorings by a late president, it has developed some political freaks who would have brought joy to the heart of the great Phineas T. Barnum, colorful showman who made a practice of collecting human oddities cast out by nature's mold.

Oscar Ewing and Henry Wallace are typical examples but none of the queer characters who have performed on the Washington stage since International Socialists first began to "angel" the show, can top the Honorable Averell Harriman millionaire playboy and world diplomat extraordinary.

Harriman is the Park Avenue Pinko who strolls about Europe as head of the Mutual Security Agency with Uncle Sam's money bags slung from his shoulder strewing American dollars around as a planter sows seed for the harvest.

Being many times a millionaire through the initiative, resourcefulness and energy of his father, he has such little respect for the good old-fashioned virtues of thrift, economy and industry that he proposes outright redistribution of American wealth to less provident people throughout the world.

In a television broadcast sometime ago, Mr. Harriman came up with this remarkable statement of his extremely peculiar ideological views:

"I don't know of any way that one people can be rich and the rest of the world poor any more than one man in the country can be rich and the rest of the people poor."

We doubt if Karl Marx ever topped, or even tied, that one.

As a test of Mr. Harriman's sincerity, we would like to have it proved right quick just how far he would be willing to go in a practical application of his theory, in a good cause, by making a suggestion for his earnest consideration.

He says frankly that he doesn't see how any man in the country can be rich and the rest of the people poor.

Well, we do see how that can be for we are about as poor as they come while he is lousy rich but we are more than willing for him to prove his point, in our case any way, for we don't like poverty any more than he does and here is his chance.

If he will send us a substantial check out of his own bank account, we will promise to put it to a useful purpose in the interest of all Americans including Mr. Harriman and his posterity.

He is talking his heart out for Socialism. We are writing our heart out for Americanism. His theory has the support of Karl Marx. Ours has the backing of American patriots since the beginning of the Republic.

Either way, he can't lose. If his policies finally prevail, he will merely have made an advance payment on the program of re-distributing wealth in which he so firmly believes.

If the policies we champion are ultimately successful, he and his dependents will be the beneficiaries because they will be spared the results of his folly and that of the thousands of weaklings like him who are spreading the same twaddle and rot.

There Is Something Screw About The Lattimore Fiasco

"We don't know what the deal is about Owen Lattimore, of course, but we will bet a forty-three cent dollar that there is something phony somewhere, and that it is not on the up and up.

The report that the Johns Hopkins professor had been banned from leaving the country by the State Department and the later report that it was all a hoax for which State Department had apologized is all a little too pat to be on the square.

It has all the ear-marks of a frame-up, if we may say so—a frame-up in behalf of the professor, and not against him.

It is claimed that the McCarran report of the investigation into the affairs of the infamous Institute of Pacific Relations in which Lattimore's association with Communists was exposed, is about to be released for widespread distribution.

What could be more effective in offsetting the damaging testimony in this report than for an incident to occur such as the one which did occur and for an official agency of the government to apologize to the "persecuted" professor.

To be perfectly frank about it, the reason we think there is something phony about the whole thing is the fact that the State Department issued the warning to its agents to prevent Professor Lattimore from leaving the country.

If there had been any actual danger of his taking off for a visit to a country behind the Iron Curtain, we do not believe his friend Dean Acheson would have permitted anything to be done to stop him.

That's our opinion, in brief, of the State Department as currently operated.

We Have Plenty of Equipment In Newark But Not In Korea

In Newark, New Jersey, a war plant recently held an unveiling of the new Patton M-48 tanks at which practically all the brass in the Pentagon Building were present.

They are reported to be the finest and most modern tanks ever constructed and the first completely new medium tank developed since World War II.

A nationally-known newspaper man of the Scripps-Howard Staff, Jim G. Lucas, who was present at the gala event, wrote a column highly praising the tanks but added wistfully that he wished they were in Korea instead of Newark.

Having recently been in Korea and having first-hand information about how badly such equipment was needed by our men there, Mr. Lucas tried valiantly to pry from the Secretary of War, Frank Pace, the information about how long it would be before they were made available to the forces carrying on "Police Action" in the Pacific, but the Secretary admitted he didn't know.

"The M-48 is — by all odds — the world's best equipment, only it's in Newark," Mr. Lucas pointed out, and added, "And we are not fighting in Newark."

Member of California Legislature Invites Us To Attend A Session of That Body

A member of the New Mexico Senate writes us: "Let me state at this time that I enjoy reading your paper. It is indeed a very much worth-while contribution to constitutional government which is a necessity during these days. I pray to God that your courage stays with you and that this good work continues until its goal is attained."

We were especially pleased with the attitude of this Spanish-American gentleman from New Mexico who also enclosed a check with his communication. Our standing with a certain member of the Lower House of the New Mexico legislature was slightly below par as indicated by a letter published in the June edition of the Southern Conservative. Naturally, we are glad to have his poor opinion of us canceled out by the views of an Upper House member.

From a member of the Wisconsin House of Representatives, we received this communication: "I recently read in your paper about a Minnesota State Senator who wished you would quit sending him your 'stinking scandle sheet.' In my opinion you have the best damn 'stinking scandle sheet' in this whole good old U. S. of A. You may continue sending the paper to me because my law partner, my friends, and myself find it wholesome and refreshing. Continue the good work."

From the chairman of an interim committee of the California Assembly: "I have been much interested during the past year reading the 'Southern Conservative' and yesterday I received the June issue of your wonderful pa-

per. I read the letter from the Assemblyman from New Mexico and I certainly enjoyed your answer to him. If he doesn't crawl in a hole, and pull the cover after him, I'm going to be surprised. If you ever come to California when the Legislature is in session, I would consider it an honor and a privilege to introduce you to the California Assembly, have your name inscribed in the Journal and tell them what a wonderful citizen I think you are."

From the wife of a Houston Certified Public Accountant: "The answer to the New Mexico lawmaker is a masterpiece."

From a Memphis, Tennessee, business man: "Congratulations on your appropriate and brilliant reply to the New Mexico legislator in the June issue of the Southern Conservative. As usual, you did a superb piece of work in a forceful but refined manner. How any thoughtful American could miss the point is beyond me."

From a New Orleans manufacturer: "The June issue of the Southern Conservative came in this morning. I was particularly attracted by your letter to the member of the New Mexico Legislature. This is really a gem and I feel quite sure the gentleman in question will not show it to many of his friends."

A member of the New York Assembly says: "I enjoy your excellent articles" and a member of the unicameral, or one-body, legislature of Nebraska assures us that he enjoys reading the Southern Conservative very much.

Hiss Affair Is Ghost Of The Fair Deal Campaign

We have noticed several subtle articles in left-wing publications recently in which the attempt is made to imply that there is some doubt as to the guilt of Alger Hiss.

They hint of new evidence in the sickening case of a man trusted with high and responsible positions in government and who repaid this trust by selling out his country.

Obviously Fair Dealers realize that the Hiss Affair is one of the blackest pages in their long record of subversion, disloyalty and betrayal and they are feverishly anxious to plant doubt as to his guilt in the minds of the people before the election in November.

Truman Seeking To Perpetuate Corrupt Administration

We haven't heard of any one in Washington getting dust in their eyes from the broom of Attorney General James P. McGranery who was named by the president to do a thorough house-cleaning job in the filth and corruption-infested administration.

It is unbelievable that people could have ever been stupid enough to believe that Truman was sincere in wanting the crookedness of his official household exposed.

And, instead of worrying about the misdeeds of the past, he is concentrating his efforts to insure a continuation of corrupt practices in the future by trying to secure the nomination of a candidate for president whose depraved political ethics are on a par with his own.

We Thought At First It Was A Typographical Error

By now we suppose that nothing coming out of Washington should throw us, since we are practically shock-proof.

Out of the city on the banks of the Potomac have come some side-splitting and hilarious gags from some of the performing clowns who act in the world's biggest circus but nothing, in our opinion, will ever top one from the Honorable John W. McCormack of Massachusetts as recorded in a recent press dispatch.

With no intention apparently of trying to be funny, the Fair Deal majority leader arose in his dignity from his seat on the floor of the Lower House of Congress and proclaimed to one and all that Philip Murray, head of the CIO, was—so help us—a "statesman."

Mr. McCormack's designation of the labor leader in this manner, it seems, derives from the fact that Murray kept men on the job in the steel mills for three months after they had decided to go on strike and stop production of materials needed by the men who are dying in Korea.

We have heard almost every term in the English language, including some of the president's pet expressions, employed to describe the CIO chieftain but "statesman" was not among them.

From the editor of one of the largest daily newspapers in the South: "I can't resist sending you a note of congratulations on the column concerning your thoughts during 'Your Night' as you reflected on the departure of your small dog, Follow. 'My Night' is always good, but this one was a classic."