

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 8

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, JUNE, 1957

No. 6

Is the State Department Working for the United States or for Foreign Countries?

Let's Extend Rather Than Limit Debate in United States Senate

We are deeply appreciative of an invitation extended us to appear before a proposed hearing of a special sub-committee of the Rules Committee of the United States Senate to be held for the purpose of discussing a tentative plan of limiting debate in that body.

While we are by no means an authority on the subject, we feel that we have an equity in the matter which all Americans enjoy under a system of government which is presumably of the people, by the people and for the people. It is in that capacity, and as a sovereign citizen, that we herein offer our views, since we will be unable to attend the hearing.

The very fact that there should be any tentative proposal by members of the United States Senate for limiting debate on legislation affecting the economic and social destiny of 170,000,000 Americans, is, itself, a threat to Constitutional Government even if, ultimately, no change is made in the present system.

It indicates a disposition to further whittle away the fast vanishing rights and institutions which are basic in the American governing system.

The glorious history of the past has been largely written around the eloquent addresses which masterful speakers like Daniel Webster, Henry Clay and John C. Calhoun made on the floor of the United States Senate in defense of, or opposition to, legislative measures vital to the interests of all the people of the American Republic.

If there is one place under God's great canopy where discussion of important public issues should be full, free and unrestrained, it is in the Upper House of the American Congress which operates as our legislative Court of Last Resort handing down decisions on domestic and foreign policies of deepest import to the people of the last remaining Constitutional nation on earth.

We can only conclude that a proposal by certain members of that great lawmaking body to cut debate and deliberation to the minimum, means that they personally have nothing to say and would not know how to say it if they did, and, having no worthwhile contribution to make to subjects under discussion, they prefer vote-swapping and horse-trading tactics in the enactment of legislation since it imposes no strain on their intellect and saves wear and tear on their brain.

We respectfully point out to the sub-committee of the Committee on Rules that if there had been "unlimited" debate between all members of the Senate on that dark day in August of 1945 and the American people had thereby been informed of the provisions in the fine print of the United Nations Charter, this Republic would not today be verging on one-world government and its taxpayers committed to the financial and military support of alien peoples in the four corners of the earth.

What responsible American citizens demand of their public servants in the Senate of the United States is not that they say less but that they talk more about legislation before them, to the end that not only the American people may be informed of the subject but that they, themselves, may thereby acquire more knowledge concerning Constitutional legislative procedure, a subject about which they too often display a woeful lack of understanding.

That a proposal to limit debate in the Senate is even to be considered poses a dangerous possibility for the American people which is only mitigated by the fact that one member of the sub-committee, the Honorable Herman Talmadge of Georgia, is possessed of a measure of statesmanship and understanding of the Constitution not surpassed by that of any of his illustrious predecessors in that body and he will represent, in the discussions, not only the people of Georgia but all good citizens of the United States.

Although the American taxpayers put up the money to pay the salaries of its vast and far-flung personnel, the State Department's primary concern seems to be for the industry of foreign nations and top officials of that agency appear singularly disinterested in the welfare of American producers. Under the usurped legislative authority to fix tariff rates and regulate foreign commerce which the Department now exercises, American business concerns which are unable to meet the increasingly unfair competition that the arrangement imposes, are gradually folding up and going out of business.

Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States says that: "The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts . . . to regulate commerce with foreign countries."

This provision in the Constitution has never been repealed or amended through regular processes yet Congress has lost the authority thus delegated to it by those who wrote our basic law and the State Department, whose proper functions lie solely in the diplomatic field, now exercises this authority through a diabolic trick played on the American people more than twenty years ago.

When this country was still operated under Constitutional Government, tariff legislation was written in the Congress and was framed either by the Democrats or Republicans, according to which political party was in power. (There were no International Socialists in the legislative, executive or judicial branches of the American government during that period).

At that time the two major political parties were separate entities and had differing views on major issues including the tariff. Republicans generally were for a tariff which would protect American industry while Democrats believed in free trade and, except in special items, allowed products from other countries to come in duty-free to compete with those of domestic producers.

When the New Deal came to power, Franklin D. Roosevelt, who was a puppet of the Internationalists, too, persuaded a weak-kneed Congress to transfer authority to pass tariff legislation over to the White House. This was done not only in violation of the Constitution but of the rights of American producers and manufacturers who would thereby be forced to compete with the products of poorly-paid labor in foreign countries turned out by plants and factories which, believe it or not, are built with money given them as "foreign aid". This adds insult to injury in the case of American business firms.

This unconstitutional transfer of authority occurred during the depression when Americans by the thousands were practically falling over each other in the mad stampede to Washington to get a hand-out from Roosevelt whom they saw as a little tin God and in the confusion attendant thereto, very few Americans realized that this great swindle was being put over.

Roosevelt transferred this authority to the State Department and top bureaucrats in that agency vested it, in turn, in a Commission composed of international jug-heads and which is now called GATT (interpreted by many thoughtful Americans as meaning "Give America the Treatment") and which sits in Geneva and makes decisions concerning imports to the United States which the Constitution delegated Congress, and Congress alone, to make.

When Eisenhower came to office with his big promises to clean up the messes, he not only did not clean up this one but became bogged down in it up to his ears. Instead of attempting to reclaim this authority and help restore it to the American Congress where it belongs, he wholeheartedly approved the GATT arrangement and has asked for an extension of it. Being a thorough-going internationalist, he could hardly be expected to understand the immorality involved in the transfer of Constitutional authority from the American Congress to a Commission in Switzerland composed of one-world eggheads.

In the meantime, the two major political parties have ceased to be two separate units with differing views on major issues and have become, instead, one International Socialist Party operating under two names and, having sacrificed the principles which once distinguished them, neither has made the matter a party issue or attempted to erase this disgraceful blot on the integrity and honor of the American governing system.

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It

Negro Says More Racial Dynamite in Integration Than Appears on Surface

Petitions asking that segregation of the races be maintained in the South are being circulated in Memphis and other Southern cities by M. D. Hemphill, Negro, and president of the Mid-South Public Relations Bureau. The petitions are signed by 1,100 colored people who have volunteered to spread the petitions over the Mid-South in an attempt to keep segregation in effect in all Southern schools and churches.

"I was born in Arkansas and reared in the South, and of the thousands of Negroes in all walks of life whom I have interviewed, I have found very few who favor mixed schools," Hemphill said in an interview in the Memphis Commercial Appeal on June 2.

"The Southern Negro is in a better position educationally, politically and economically than the Negroes anywhere in the world. Race relations are improving steadily.

"Despite all the talk about the liberal North and East, no Negro has been made head of a State College or University. In the South Negro college and university presidents are plentiful.

"Negroes need to refresh their memories on the fact that just a few years ago, we were complaining because Negroes in the South were being taught by white teachers.

"This move to integrate the schools in the South is loaded with more racial dynamite than appears on the surface and the Negro is the one who will be blown away.

"Nowhere in the integrated states do Negroes get proportionate representation. Every device is used to keep qualified Negroes from being assigned. This is not true in the South. The state of Arkansas employs more than 6,000 Negro school teachers and pays them more than 13 million dollars in salaries. The state of Georgia employs more than 7,000 Negro teachers and paid them more than 15 million dollars in salaries last year. North Carolina paid Negro teachers 22 million dollars last year.

"This movement to end segregation in the schools is merely the beginning of a well-laid plan to end segregation completely. If this happens the Negro will be thrown into direct competition with the white race and our business institutions will crumble."

Joyce Mew, chairman of the British Housewives' League, London, compares Prime Minister MacMillan's Socialist book "The Middle Way" with Hitler's "Mein Kampf." She says it should have been called "MacMillan's Mein Kampf." She can't understand why a Socialist like MacMillan should be head of England's Conservative Party. We can't either.

The Honorable Edgar C. Bundy who, as head of the National Layman's Council is doing a wonderful job of informing the American people concerning the infiltration of Communism into American pulpits says that in the matter of joining Communist fronts, clergymen outnumbered educators by more than two to one.

Supreme Court Acts to Break Up A 'Conspiracy'

The Supreme Court has discovered and admitted that a terrible "conspiracy" exists and has taken prompt steps to deal with it.

For fear some one may get the wrong idea, this is not a conspiracy against the safety and security of the American Republic by alien enemies who are contemptuous of the Constitution and the American form of government, including Swedish Marxists in Stockholm. The Court does not know anything about that conspiracy.

This new "conspiracy" has to do with the du-Ponts of Delaware, an American free enterprise concern, which the Court has found guilty of conspiring to "purposely employ their 23 per cent stock interest in General Motors to pry open the G. M. market to entrench itself as the primary supplier of G. M. requirements for automotive finishes and fabrics".

As a penalty the Court has ordered a "splitup" between the du Ponts and General Motors.

Of course the du Ponts may have committed a heinous crime against society by owning 23 per cent of General Motors stock. We just wouldn't know since we don't own a share of anything ourself. In the absence of any knowledge of the subject, we are going to keep on worrying about another "conspiracy" that we do know a lot about.

That is the conspiracy of International Communism which is gradually acquiring a majority of the stock in the American Republic and we hope that someday, the Supreme Court learns about that one.

Hollywood Sends Out Another 'Message'

The resentment and indignation against the film "Island in the Sun" reached such proportions in South Carolina that a member of the Legislature there has introduced a bill in the legislature to impose a fine of five thousand dollars on any theater in the State showing the picture.

The film written by an English "liberal" who knows nothing of the subject of Negroes, is shot through with propaganda for integration of the black and white race and portrays the degenerate practices which such offerings delight in presenting to the public.

Appearing in the film are two Negroes, Harry Belafonte and Dorothy Dandridge and a white female, Joan Fontaine, and the film pairs off white and black for "romance" scenes which are enough to turn the stomach of a buzzard.

Darryl F. Zanuck of Hollywood who is producing the filthy thing has found it necessary to offer to pay the fine of all motion picture theater operators who show the film in violation of any law which may be passed in the various States.

Zanuck is a typical representative of Hollywood "culture" of the brand which undertakes to present its message through the medium of films sponsoring mongrelization of the races.

"It takes great nations a while to remember that they cannot decide things for themselves but must accept the decision of the majority of all the nations involved."—Eleanor Roosevelt. Spoken like a tried and true Socialist.

The Chicago Tribune quotes the late Senator Joe McCarthy as saying that "offering to share our atomic wealth is in a class with the Chicago Police Department offering to share its pistols and machine guns with the Dillinger mob."

Is the State Department (Continued from Page 1)

Individual members of the Congress have violently protested the matter and Senator George Malone of Nevada has carried on a one-man campaign for years to get this authority restored to Congress and has held hearings in connection with it and testimony concerning the harmful effects of the plan on American industry covers hundreds of thousands of pages in official government files, but nothing happens.

Meantime, many American business concerns have who are unable to compete with foreigners in whose favor the whole thing is rigged have gone out of business. This is especially true in the case of the mining and metals industry and one entire village in the State of Washington whose economy was dependent on copper, which is now being allowed to flow into the United States from Africa, will fold up and become another "ghost town". Recently the Associated Press carried a Holden, Washington, dateline stating that nearly one hundred private homes there which cost an average of \$3,000 were being sold for chicken houses at one dollar each and being carted away by the purchasers, as practically all residents of the town prepare to move elsewhere.

Recently a bill has been introduced in Congress by Representative Cleveland M. Bailey of West Virginia and others which would fix the import quotas to be allowed in this country as a means of establishing some sort of regulation of foreign commerce.

Immediately the State Department set up a howl of protest on the theory, presumably, that Congress was infringing on the State Department's right to enact tariff legislation and, instead of being laughed out of the picture, that agency's arguments are apparently being given great weight by members of Congress whose capacity to think for themselves has long been surrendered along with other fundamental rights.

The Secretary of State himself, of course, said nothing as he was buzzing around in the air over some foreign country. He seldom lights on the ground long enough in Washington to discuss anything, but one of his assistants came through with this clincher:

"This Department believes that the President alone is in position to weigh the various considerations of domestic and foreign policy which should be taken into account before any measure potentially as far-reaching in its impact on our foreign relations as an import quota, is established. . . . The enactment of this legislation would not be in accord with the program of the President".

These are not merely the impudent words of some little squirt in the State Department who wrote them but the established policy of the administration and of the Federal government in relation to the protection and the welfare of American Free Enterprise, as opposed to its deep concern in that of foreign business ventures.

And imagine the audacity of the State Department's claim that only the President is in position to decide the matter when nowhere in the Constitution is the President mentioned in the delegation of this authority to Congress.

Congressman Bailey, on receipt of the message, paid his respects to the State Department in well-chosen words, none of which were complimentary. That agency, he said, would "drive Congress out of its own domain and reduce it to a state of mumbling futility" . . . What notion the Department could have about the functions of Congress as defined in the Constitution "must remain a mystery unless the Department holds the Constitution and the people whose rights it defines, in very low esteem", he said and added:

"Congress cannot renounce doing what the Constitution says it is to do. Nor can it authorize the executive to make international agreements that will impair, ensnarl or compromise this express power fixed by the Constitution".

Congressman Bailey is exactly right in his premise that this cannot legally be done but the cold fact remains that it is being done and the executive is, through the State Department, exercising functions that Constitutionally belong to the Congress. It is like the Negro who phoned his lawyer that he had committed a certain offense and had landed in jail. "But they can't put you in jail for that" the lawyer told the colored boy to which the latter replied: "But, boss, I've phoned you from the jail".

The average American shies away from discussions concerning the tariff and shuns it as a subject that is complicated, uninteresting and boring. This may be true but the principle involved in the present tariff set-up in which our country is involved is something that every citizen needs to study, to understand and to protest.

It boils down to the simple fact that the right of Congress to pass tariff legislation and fix duties on imports, which responsibility was imposed on it by the Constitution, has been surrendered and is now in the hands of a bunch of internationalists in Geneva. Every citizen can understand that.

This surrender of its Constitutional functions by the Congress of the United States and the side-stepping of its delegated functions is not only treason but is a long step toward preparation of the American Republic for quiet burial in an international graveyard.

We Think Chinese Attack on The Embassy Was 'Planned'

When we remind ourselves that nothing ever happens any more and that everything is "planned that way" so far as matters affecting government in the United States are concerned, we may be better able to evaluate the incident of the attack on the American Embassy by Nationalist Chinese.

There have been about as many reasons advanced for this outbreak as there are persons who have sought to analyze the incident, and since we always have a definite opinion about such matters, and no hesitation about expressing it, we offer our suggestion concerning the affair, for what it is worth.

We think it was planned to soften up opposition to the admission of Red China to the United Nations.

It must be remembered that the sympathy of the people of the United States is with Chiang Kai-Shek, friend of this country and uncompromising enemy of Communism, and attempts to admit Red China to the U. N. would stir up a veritable hornet's nest of opposition here.

Secretary of State Dulles is on record as saying, in 1950, that the United Nations, to be a representative world organization, must accept all nations as members and that this included Red China. Mr. Eisenhower has said many times that he would oppose the admission of Red China to the United Nations, because he dared not say otherwise, but he has always qualified it by saying "at this time".

Well, time is running short and the pressure from England, France and other Marxist nations as well as from American Reds is getting stronger and the administration can resist anything except pressure from left-wingers, fellow travellers and Communist sympathizers.

So long as the public sentiment of the United States is with Nationalist China, the administration is behind the eight-ball so far as agreement to the admission of Red China into the U. N. is concerned.

But a few more attacks on Americans in Formosa could change the picture with respect to our attitude toward Chiang Kai-Shek's little flowery kingdom and its people and there are plenty of Reds in Formosa, just as in this country, who can always be depended on, with a little prodding from the proper source to get the job done.

When we become thoroughly irked with the Nationalists, we become, ergo, more tolerant with the Chinese Reds on the mainland, and less shocked at the idea of that heathen country being accepted into the "Brotherhood of Nations".

And if any one is inclined to smile at this analysis, let them remember that China was sold to the Communists in the first place by Pro-Reds in the State Department in Washington and that except for these under-cover manipulations, Chiang Kai-Shek would still be President of the Chinese Republic instead of an exile on Formosa.

Girard Case Represents Surrender of Principle

If there is any maximum depth to which this country can fall in its surrender to, and appeasement of, foreign nations, that point would seem to have been reached with the surrender of William S. Girard, an American soldier to the tender mercies of a Japanese Court in his trial for accidental murder of a Niponese woman.

The hearts of American mothers will be filled with greater anguish than ever at the prospect of their sons being drafted into the armed forces and then having the protection of the Flag of the country for which they serve taken away from them in their hour of peril.

If so many similar instances of appeasement had not shocked the citizenship, it would be difficult to realize that such utter surrender of principle by Washington leaders has been made.

E. G. Schulze of Dallas makes the point that Betsey, the Baltimore chimpanzee who is doing a land office business with her paintings, should be allowed to go to school with white children. Otherwise he fears that she may get an inferiority complex. He suggests that there be formed a National Association for the Advancement of Chimpanzees and that this organization file suit in the Supreme Court to put an end to segregation in the Baltimore Zoo where Betsey lives.

Borrowing Money to Give Away Is Downright Dishonest by Any Test

Those who have felt sure that our "economy-minded Congress" was going to slash the disgracefully high appropriations bill submitted to them by the President had better not let their hopes soar too high because it may all turn out to be nothing more than a big blast of hot air.

Already several members of the Senate who have screamed the loudest about the matter are beginning to weaken and to suggest in the press that maybe some of the items they cut out should be restored and this means that they are probably already whipped into line because of some threat or promise adroitly whispered to them by the proper persons.

After they returned from their Easter vacation at home, where they got an earful from taxpayers about the subject, they kept the headlines hot with threats to whittle the appropriations bill down to size but, having gone on record for the benefit of the folks back home, they will probably get back to their horse-trading and vote for the bill at practically the original figures.

On top of the unprecedented appropriations bill already before the Congress, the President is about to ask for \$32,350,000 for constructing a new Presidential office building to house the Army of advisers which the chief executive requires in running the job, to say nothing of the \$149,500,000

Bring on the Pacts and We Will Sign on the Dotted Line

Enjoining A Corpse

(The Dallas Morning News)

According to Ida M. Darden, editor of the Southern Conservative (Fort Worth), the Supreme Court "opened a casket and posthumously enjoined a corpse from disposing of his own private property."

Miss Darden works it out this way: Stephen Girard died in 1831. His will said that Girard College should have his estate and should be open to male white orphans as students. The Supreme Court opens it to colored orphans as well.

"Desecrating the dead," Miss Darden calls it. Sometimes you almost get the notion that that young woman doesn't fully approve of the Supreme Court, anyhow.

(Editor's note: With the exception of using the terms "Miss" and "young", the Dallas Morning News is exactly right. We certainly do not approve of the Supreme Court as presently constituted.)

The joke of the year: "There may be some cynics who think that a platform is just a list of platitudes to lure the naive voter—a sort of facade behind which candidates sneak into power and then do as they please. I am not one of those."—Dwight D. Eisenhower in press interview June 6.

On June 3, the United Press carried a story with a Karachi, Pakistan, dateline announcing the joyful news that the United States had formally joined the Baghdad Pact military committee and had thereby "promised to carry its full share of responsibilities" in defense of the Pact's Middle East Nations.

This is no doubt a relief to American taxpayers who had probably feared that they would be denied the privilege of financing any squabble that may take place in that area.

The article stated further that the invitation for the United States to join this military committee was extended on the morning of June 3 and was accepted that same afternoon with the State Department's Loy Henderson taking care of the details.

There was no explanation as to the long delay and why we hesitated three or four hours before accepting the invitation to join up, but Henderson made up for our tardiness in accepting the bid by assuring the Pact's Council of Ministers in session in Karachi that it was a "great honor" for us to become a member and that "the United States expects to carry its full share of responsibilities in this important matter".

Since we have only around ten thousand treaties, executive agreements and pacts with foreign nations in many of which we promise to finance and fight their wars, it would have been quite a reflection on our international spirit of brotherhood if we had failed to get in on this one.

One of the thousands of Boards, Commissions or Bureaus in Washington should be compelled to read all the pacts, treaties and executive agreements to which the United States has been committed by irresponsible politicians in order that the people may know how deeply involved they and their children and their children's children are in the generations to come.

When Franklin D. Roosevelt put the nation on the dole in 1933 he gave his "word of honor" to the Governors of the various States that this was only temporary and that as soon as the "emergency" was over, this Socialist procedure would be abandoned. He said this in order to get the Governors to cooperate in the program by passing bond issues in each State to match Federal funds. On this June 6, a 36-year-old New York woman received the 10,000,000th check under our dole system and quite a ceremony was held to celebrate the event. Marion B. Folsom, Welfare Chief presented the check and President Eisenhower wrote the woman a letter telling her she could accept the check "proudly." That is certainly investing the word "pride" with a different meaning from its original definition which was "self-esteem" and "lofty self-respect."

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

White House Opposed to Right of Trial by Jury

The President has officially gone on record as opposed to the American people's traditional right of trial by jury.

When Senator Erwin of North Carolina tacked on such an amendment to the Civil Rights atrocity which the administration is determined to put through Congress, the White House lobbyists announced that this was displeasing to Mr. Eisenhower and added that it was not felt that a Southern jury would be fair in its decision concerning civil rights of Negroes.

And because a man in the White House does not have faith in Southerners to administer justice, he proposes to abolish the Constitutional right of Trial by Jury which is basic law of this country and has been since the Republic was founded.

The Southern people were never the recipients of any more humiliating insults by carpetbaggers during and following the Civil War than are being heaved at them right now by the forces of Modern Republicanism in Washington.

Better Men Have Been Hung for Less Than This

This little incident, reported in a Chicago newspaper, clipping of which was sent us, did not happen in Russia, Poland, Hungary or even Yugoslavia. It happened in Chicago.

H. Alan Long, district internal revenue director accused Clarence L. Hayes, Jr., a florist of owing \$80.06 on his 1951 income tax.

Internal revenue agents armed with an administrative warrant issued by Long, descended on Hayes' florist shop one night recently. They opened the florist's cash register, took out \$80.96 and handed Hayes a "paid in full" receipt, punched the "no sale" key and departed.

Apparently all the gangsters in Chicago are not operating in the windy city's underground.

Pakistan Visitor Knocks Foreign Aid Program Into A Cocked Hat

Officials of the American State Department, which has a world-wide reputation for generosity with taxpayers money, brought a prominent Pakistanian official to this country as their guest early in June. They probably wish now that they had left him at his home base, or that they had, at least, sent one of their numerous public relations boys along with him on his trip over the country to monitor his press interviews.

At Dallas on June 6 this Pakistanian, Mr. Shamsul Huda Chaudhury, proceeded to toss a nice little bomb right into the State Department's foreign propaganda program by letting it be known through the columns of the Dallas Morning News that such propaganda, so far as his country is concerned, adds up to less than nothing.

He said that the people of the Far East have the distinct impression that the millions of dollars which this country sends them as foreign aid is just spare change lying around loose in the pockets of American millionaires for which they have no need and which they just give away to get rid of it.

"Many Asian countries have the idea that the \$50,000,000 given to them would have been wasted any way" Mr. Chaudhury frankly told a News reporter, and he added that while America was always sending them millions of dollars, the people of Asia know nothing of America or Americans "because there is not enough publicity about America in the Far East".

After giving this black eye to Mr. Dulles' little pet USIA program, which administration officials have insisted was publicizing the United States all over the world, Mr. Chaudhury remembered something else, too.

He said that, incidentally, it might be a good thing to let the Asian people know that Americans do sometimes listen to good music as the only thing his people had ever heard from the United States was rock 'n roll jazz.

The unfortunate part of the whole thing is that Mr. Chaudhury could not have been invited to appear before Congress which is considering State Department demands for \$144,000,000 for its USIA program in order that it may send bigger and better rock 'n roll jazz bands all over the world to familiarize the people of foreign countries with "American culture".

'Charity' Funds Discontinued To Racial Propagandists

The Fort Worth United Fund, or Community Chest, has announced that from now on, the Urban League will not receive any of the money donated by the people of Fort Worth for "charity".

There has been a lot of opposition during the past year to the idea of money intended for the benefit of the needy going to a branch of a national propaganda organization which seeks to mix the races in housing, schools and golf courses. A lot of literature protesting the donation of funds by this charitable group to the League was distributed to business firms throughout the city.

We are very proud of the fact, however, that we were the first to protest the matter over three years ago when we refused to give our small contribution to solicitors for the United Fund and told them the reasons for our refusal. They looked at us as if they thought we were slightly out of our mind and later sent others to whip us into line but we never budged.

We published the record of the Urban League in several editions of the paper but it was only after opposition from one small, insignificant person had burgeoned into resentment from hundreds that officials of the Fund gave in and removed the League from their list of beneficiaries.

We only wish that many other evil things which we protest would end as successfully.

America \$peaks

(By H. I. Phillips)

To meet both ends I seldom can
(But I'm a help to Pakistan);
It's hard to keep my shirt and pants
(But after all, I'm fond of France);
I guess I'll have to break my lease
(I must cooperate with Greece);
Hedged in by debts quite vast I am
(But I must not neglect Siam).

The wolf from door I can't repel
(Still I must help keep Burma well);

By Tuesday I am out of dough
(Malaya's feeling better, though);
My dollar very little buys
(But Egypt's safer, I surmise);
My own financial outlook's murky
(But still my heart belongs to Turkey).

I'm busted flat, and feeling blue
(But I'm a pal of Timbaktu);
We're adding breadcrumbs to our hash
(Who cares? Ain't Tito short of cash?);
The sheriff's knocking on my door
(However, England's asking more);
I'm in a hole, but feeling noble
I wish things were not quite so global!

The Congress was advised recently by the State and Defense Department that 450 missing American service men of the Korean war must "be presumed to be dead." If they are, they probably died of old age while waiting for their country to rescue them from the enemy.

Supreme Court Authority Moves in to Conquer Asia

The Swedish Marxist, Gunnar Myrdal, whose domination of Supreme Court verdicts has reversed social customs of a century in the United States, is out to conquer new worlds.

Resigning as Secretary of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe after ten years, he is now the representative of the pink Twentieth Century Fund and will barge into Asia to "direct an economic study of Southeast Asia" in an "impartial professional approach to controversial subjects of public concern."

The Twentieth Century Fund is on a level with the other tax-exempt Funds and Foundations which are working around the clock to redistribute the wealth of the world and bring all countries, including the United States, into International Socialism, Communism or whatever it is called.

Since his "American Dilemma" which turned out to be a textbook adopted by the American Supreme Court as its authority for decisions on integration, the Swedish Marxist has written another book called "An International Economy" in which Marx' and Lenin's theories are presented as sound principles on which all governments must eventually be based.

In this book he advocates Foreign Aid as a way "to level the peaks and fill the valleys" or in other words to redistribute the wealth of America between foreign nations thereby putting all countries on the same basis. He says also that a strong point which the Communists can use in the development of under-developed countries is the fact that they "have succeeded in exterminating racial prejudices in their minds".

Commenting on this statement the Richmond, Virginia, News-Leader says: "The man who wrote that is either a fool or a cynic. For he overlooks the simple truth that the unprejudiced Communists will confer the misery of their system on any unlucky people, whether they are white, black, yellow or red."

One reason Myrdal may have wanted to go to Asia is because his old lady, Alva Myrdal, was appointed by the Socialist government of Sweden in 1955 as Ambassador to India, Burma and Ceylon and she could still be there.

She is the one who, in UNESCO literature, makes the cozy little suggestion that, when there are not enough men to go around, a "community household" be set up with a whole flock of women sharing the same husband.

"Sharing the Wealth" is just part of the Gunnar Myrdal family philosophy. They believe in sharing everything, it seems.

How the people of the United States can accept calmly the fact that a low-lived foreigner like this Myrdal is allowed to dictate the policies of the American government is beyond us.

The Canada Council, with \$100,000,000 to its credit is setting out to bring "culture" to that great Dominion. Canadians had better watch this outfit. "Culture" groups seem to provide an effective medium for Communist activity; at least that's the way it is in the United States. At their first meeting the Council had representatives there from the Rockefeller, Ford, and Carnegie Foundations.

Questions to Which the American People Should Demand An Answer

Last February 15, 16 and 17 there was a highly secret meeting of persons in high authority in the United States, Canada and Europe which attains more sinister proportions as information concerning it is gradually acquired. It was held at the King and Prince Hotel in St. Simons Island not far off the Georgia Coast, and all travelers who tried to get accommodations at the hotel during this time were denied admittance and told that the entire hotel had been reserved by this secret group.

There have been various estimates of the number attending this meeting from 60 to 91, but that it not too important. It is who attended it and why they were there, and why they were hiding out and avoiding publicity which is of interest. Twenty-one of the "delegates" refused to register their names and the whole thing was shrouded in an aura of mystery which is uncalled for in any gathering unless there is something about the conclave of which those attending are ashamed.

One thing that is known is that all of them were internationalists and that none of them have ever been identified with any movement devoted to promoting Americanism. As to the foreigners who were present, all of them were Socialists interested in one-world government. Why were these foreign Socialists at this meeting and in conference with highly-placed American dignitaries?

Since there is not much chance of any publicity concerning the gathering being released at this late date, all those who feel apprehension about the matter should write the White House, the members of the House and Senate and any other contacts they may have in Washington to see if they can dig up a few facts concerning this clandestine meeting on St. Simons Island last February.

Somebody, or some group, planned the meeting and called it. What person or group was it?

How many were invited and who were they? How many attended and who were they?

Some pinkos of the publishing industry were there but wrote nothing about it in their publications. Why?

Who presided at the meeting, what subject or subjects did they discuss and what decisions did they reach?

The White House, the Central Intelligence Agency and the Senate were among the official agencies reported to have had representation there. Aren't the taxpayers entitled to know if this is true and who the representatives were?

Does another secret meeting which has been called to meet in Vienna from August 15 to September 15 have any relation to the one held at St. Simons Island in Georgia and will the same persons who attended the Georgia meeting be present at the Austrian gathering. Does the fact that the Atomic Energy Board is meeting in Vienna at the same time have any connection with the secret meeting which has been called for that city on that date?

Something is being hatched up at these secret meetings which inevitably must affect the people of the United States. What is it?

Slim GOP Pickin's

(Dallas Morning News)

The Republican National Committee held six regional party meetings, then went to the President and told him the results:

Big donors to the party are balking. The pickin's are slim for campaigning. There is no money, because regular contributors are mad about the big budget from the White House.

The big budget is only one manifestation of Republican troubles. Most Republicans are conservative. They are disappointed in Mr. Eisenhower's shift to the left. They worked their hearts out for an ideal in 1952 and the ideal is somewhat shattered. Hence—no enthusiasm for giving.

But GOP National Chairman Meade Alcorn assured the President "they'll come around." Of course, they have no place else to go. Their choice is to stick with the half-liberal Eisenhower or the whole-liberal ADA-CIO combine which seeks to dominate Democratic Party policy. Conservative Democrats, incidentally, face the same dilemma.

Ford Foundation Fittingly Drifts Behind Iron Curtain

The Ford Foundation has finally followed its heart and has gone behind the Iron Curtain to make one of its notorious grants.

This one is for the sum of \$500,000 and is made to Communist Poland. It is to be used in what Foundation officials explain as a "two-way" exchange of students between Poland and the United States.

What this actually means, of course, is that young Polish Communists will come to the United States to indoctrinate American youth and that young American students will go to Poland and get their brains washed there, provided, of course, that the job has not already been accomplished by the College or University to which they are attached.

It's a "two-way" deal all right; both of them rotten.

It should be constantly kept in mind that the Ford Foundation is granted tax-exemption by the Federal Treasury and escapes the horrible tax-burden imposed on those who are not fortunate enough to have their money protected by a tie-in with a "benevolent" and "non-political" Foundation.

There have been brave attempts made by Committees of Congress to expose the real objectives of the large tax-exempt Foundations like Ford, Rockefeller and Carnegie but the latter are more powerful than government itself and have been able to load such committees so heavily with subversives that the investigations turn out to be no more effective than a burp in a whirlwind.

If by some miracle the original Henry Ford could only return to this mundane sphere for thirty days, he would make an omelet out of those cracked egg-heads who are spending the money which his brains and genius accumulated to project the ideals of International Communism into all sectors of the earth.

Reds Putting Heaviest Artillery Into Fight on Immigration Law

Texas Law Professor Attacks Government's Security Program

A professor of law at the University of Texas, Dr. Jerre Williams, thinks so little of the Government's security regulations that he is making speeches against the program.

On May 21 he addressed the Austin League of Women Voters, local division of the National League which is partial to speakers who make such discussions.

"The whole program is built on guilt by association" the professor parroted, mechanically quoting what many certain Fifth Amendment Americans have said before him.

"The great danger here is assuming that a person is in agreement with his associates."

If the good professor were not a victim of Progressive Education, he would have been taught some good American maxims including the one which says "birds of a feather flock together." Also, if he had followed the record of all those who have been investigated by the House Un-American Activities Committee and who took the Fifth Amendment, he would find that they don't invoke this amendment out of principle but out of guilt and fear.

Williams strongly defended the right of "confrontation" by the individual under investigation and insisted that the government should produce its sources of information and open up government files to the accused.

Williams talk is unimportant or would be except for his connection with the University of Texas. With so many burning questions to discuss and clarify, it is unfortunate when a representative of a large institution of learning directs his efforts toward weakening the American government's security program.

The Communists Have all The Details Figured Out

Kenneth Goff, former Communist, has made a signed affidavit concerning his activities from May 2, 1936 when he became a party member until he resigned October 9, 1939.

The things he was compelled to take part in would "curl your hair" but perhaps the most revolting was his instruction in the art of poisoning the water supply of whole cities.

"We discussed quite thoroughly the flouridation of water supplies and how we were using it in Russia as a tranquilizer in the prison camps," Goff attests in his affidavit.

"The leaders of our school felt that if it could be introduced into the American water supply, it would bring about a spirit of lethargy in the nation; it would keep the general public docile during a steady encroachment of Communism. We also discussed the fact that keeping a supply of deadly flouride near the water reservoir would be advantageous during the time of the revolution . . . We discussed in these schools . . . the seizure of the main utilities, such as light, power, gas and water but it was felt by the leadership that if a program of flouridation of the water could be carried out in the

The American Legion that great guardian of American liberties has warned of the Red drive against the McCarran-Walter law which is going into high gear with the introduction of legislation in Congress designed to break down all safeguards placed in that law for the protection of our internal security.

The situation is dangerous in the extreme, according to the Legion, because the Reds have moved in their heaviest artillery for the fight against this law.

The Legion pointed out the incident last year when skillful parliamentary manipulators tacked on an amendment to a minor bill which would have greatly emasculated the McCarran-Walter act. Fortunately this deception was discovered by Congressman Francis Walter of Pennsylvania, one of the authors of the present law and who is constantly on the alert against attempts of subversives to destroy it.

The Legion wants no repetition of the incident in the last Congress when the Reds almost won a victory.

Florida Wants Review Of Court Decisions

Congress has been memorialized by the Legislature of the State of Florida concerning matters which are of such pressing import that they should have priority over all other measures before that body.

In this memorial it is earnestly urged that the Senate of the United States comprise a Court with final appellate jurisdiction to review decisions and judgments of the Supreme Court of the United States, where questions involving the powers reserved to the States are concerned.

Under this memorial the decision of the Senate affirming, modifying or reversing a Supreme Court decision would be final.

The Congress is requested to submit an amendment to this effect for ratification by three-fourths of the several States.

In a second memorial to Congress by the Florida Legislature our national lawmaking body is requested to propose such amendments to the Constitution of the United States to provide that among the rights and powers reserved to each State are the police powers to enact regulations to promote the public peace, safety and welfare of the State and to provide for good order, education and harmonious race relations therein.

Whether these memorials will receive the consideration which they deserve in view of the rapid encroachment of the Federal government on the Rights of States, is not clear since, at the time these memorials were received, both Houses of the Congress were deeply involved in debate on the President's civil rights bill which proposes to wipe out States Rights altogether by abolishing such basic American safeguards as the right of trial by jury.

In other words, all States Rights may be completely wiped out before members of Congress get time to look at this urgent plea for their preservation.

nation, it would go a long way toward the advancement of the revolution.

The affidavit was signed and notarized in Arapahoe County, Colorado, on the 29th of last March.

Who Thrust World Leadership on Us?

Editor's note: The following editorial is from the Reese River Reville, Austin, Nevada, the oldest newspaper in that State and which has been published every week since 1863. Jock Taylor is the editor and publisher and we commend his views on this important subject to the thoughtful consideration of heads of metropolitan newspapers who could emulate the frank editorial discussion in this small weekly paper to the distinct benefit of their readers.

World leadership is a term that has a grandiloquent sound as mouthed continuously by the group in Washington and elsewhere that profits most in personal glory and otherwise by seeking to maintain it as a national fetish. Not so many years ago, and for a long time previous, that world leadership was claimed by Great Britain, which however had the good sense or good taste to make no claim that such leadership had been thrust upon it. On the contrary, Great Britain claimed it by right of achievement.

Today, what has become of the British Empire that was built up on the foundation of that world leadership? Bit by bit it has been sheared away until virtually nothing remains of it, and England has dropped back to the position it occupied before Drake smashed the Spanish Armada and England's advance toward world leadership commenced.

In our case it is different. For some reason whatever leadership we have at the moment seems unable to adopt any position without attaching to it some form of morality basis. Hence, it is made to appear that our world leadership is founded on a divine mandate to uplift the morals and way of life of the rest of the world.

Certainly this nation, the first part of the British Empire to split away from the mother country, was not founded on any such conception. The purpose of those who established it and drafted its Constitution was to give its people individual liberty and freedom to develop their own interests without interference from outside. The Constitution made no reference to, and had no interest in, world leadership. It laid down the working plan for a nation that would mind its own business and permit all others to do the same.

We have departed far from that basic conception. During the past quarter of a century the Constitution has been largely replaced by the visions of various types of pseudo-sciences — so-called social sciences in particular. Such minders of other people's business entered the modern field as social workers and similar meddlers during the days of the great depression. Under the spend and elect, WPA and other innovations of that period which sent us far in the direction of a socialist central government, they gained an ever stronger hold over our so-called statesmen, working their way into key positions of government and extending their "welfare" activities from the local to the international field.

To them primarily we owe the conception of world leadership that we are developing into a Frankenstein monster, one we have built up and are maintaining without justification. Our claim that world leadership is

Reds Reported Buying Into American Defense Concerns

A recent article in the press with a Geneva dateline should cause serious concern in this country but probably won't.

The Geneva correspondent of NANA press service reports that Iron Curtain money has been flowing into Swiss banks in a steadily mounting volume during the past year, and that it is being put to "dangerous uses".

Because of Swiss banking law which permits depositors to use only a code number for identification and enables them to keep their identity secret, that little country has become an "intriguer's paradise" the correspondent writes, and adds that billions of dollars are carried in these accounts.

He reports that shortly after the Geneva "summit" conference, Kruschchev and other Russian leaders started depositing huge sums with Swiss banks and that the Chinese Communists also have made large deposits in these secret accounts.

Since the Swiss government jealously protects the secrecy surrounding banking methods in that country, information concerning these transactions is difficult to obtain but the article reveals that informed persons in Geneva who were willing to discuss the matter believe that the Communists are using these secret accounts to buy into American industrial concerns and especially those of military importance.

In view of the fact that the United Nations is stacked with their spies, to say nothing of their agents in defense plants which the late Senator McCarthy exposed, it would seem rather unnecessary for the Communists to go to this extra expense but they lay their plans carefully and leave nothing to chance.

A Yugoslav miner has named his twin sons Nasser and Nehru. With such a handicap, they will both probably turn out to be train robbers.

thrust upon us is not one that can be substantiated. On the contrary, such leadership is something our theorists are trying unsuccessfully to seize for us. We are forcing our money on foreign governments, regardless of whether they want it or not — and many of them don't. Using our money as a key, we are at the same time trying to force on other governments our ideas of how they should live and conduct themselves — which is something none of them want.

We have become an international Meddling Mattie. We are interfering with the internal affairs of other governments in a manner that would be deeply resented by us should they reverse the procedure and try to tell us how to live.

The great problem that confronts us, if we are ever to live in peace again, is not how to uplift the rest of the world, but how to mind our own business, both at home and abroad.

Woman Senator Must Learn A Lot Before She Knows Anything

All pride, if any, which women may have felt at having a member of their sex sit in the United States Senate has been cancelled out by the crude, ill-bred and inexcusable performance of the only female occupant of a seat in that body.

Margaret Chase Smith of Maine who was elected to the job following a long stretch as a telephone operator in the little town of Skowhegan, made a pitiful spectacle of herself when she flatly refused to join with all others of a committee of which she is a member to sign a formal letter of condolence to the widow of Senator Joseph R. McCarthy of Wisconsin who died recently.

Signing this communication would not have committed Mrs. Smith to endorsement of Senator McCarthy's fight on Communism — no one would expect her to comprehend, much less approve, this type of service to the Republic.

However, they would expect her — through association at least — to have become familiar by now with ordinary courtesies and normal conventions which must be observed under such circumstances.

Expressing sympathy for the family of the dead is merely a polite gesture indulged in by civilized people and reflects one of the customs which distinguish human beings from the lower animals.

In this connection, there are widespread expressions of regret that Mrs. McCarthy refused to let her name be considered in connection with the office which her illustrious husband held and it is felt that she would have reflected honesty, integrity and ability in the post.

Also, in that event, we would have had a great lady in the Senate.

How Tito Is Showing His Gratitude for Our Gifts

On May 31, Honorable Lawrence H. Smith of Wisconsin introduced into the Congressional Record certain information which would have once made our hair stand on end, but which in the general immorality which engulfs the nation, probably passed unnoticed.

As everybody knows, or should know, we are helping to support among other nations, Communist Yugoslavia and are supplying them with money, jet planes and other war material which could conceivably be used in fighting us, when and if, they get around to it.

At any rate, Congressman Smith had reproduced in the Record a news release from the INS correspondent in Belgrade who told how, during a guided tour of the Yugoslavian port of Rijeka recently, he slipped away to make a little side trip of his own. He reported that he saw dock workers inking out the letters U. S. A. on packages which had just arrived from New York and re-addressing them to Hong Kong.

Between cracks and openings in the boxes, this correspondent could see precision hand and similar small tools and a dock worker who could speak some English told him they were bound for Red China. This dock worker said the tools had been shipped from New York to Belgrade in a ship flying the Nigerian flag. He said that such shipments were common as Rijeka is a free port and customs inspectors were easy.

The correspondent was also told that the port was receiving much air-sea radar equipment and ship radio instruments and similar gear from the Radio Corporation of America.

Conservatives Win Smashing Victory Over Pearson

The victory of the Conservatives in the recent election in Canada over Lester Pearson's Liberal, or International Socialist party, is very encouraging.

It is understood that the Norman case played a very important part in the campaign there. Because Pearson strongly defended Norman even in view of his record as having been associated with Communists and with promoting Communist aims during his entire public career, he made enemies of anti-Communists throughout the Dominion.

An amusing aspect of the election was a statement by Trade Minister C. D. Howe who was swept out of office along with the rest of the "Liberals" and who described the swing to Conservatives as "a kind of disease going across the country."

If that is correct, let us pray that the disease becomes epidemic and that it spreads throughout the United States, as well as Canada.

To our friends and subscribers in Canada who were kind enough to send us copies of literature distributed during the campaign, and especially to two good friends in the House of Commons in Ottawa, we say congratulations for a job well done.

No Propaganda Behind Iron Curtain

Joseph Z. Cornfedder, writing in the Methodist Challenge, gives some interesting information which should not have been surprising to us or any other informed person, but was.

He says that while United Nations agencies such as UNESCO operate freely among parent, teacher, and other citizens groups in the United States and other Western Countries spreading U. N. propaganda, not one iota of activity along this line is carried on in Russia and other Iron Curtain Countries.

He points out that while hundreds of tons of printed propaganda is distributed by various U. N. agencies, to schools in Western Countries, principally the United States, no such activity is permitted under any circumstances in Moscow or any Russian satellite city.

He didn't say so, but we assume, also, that Communist countries behind the Iron Curtain do not have a female counterpart of Eleanor Roosevelt flitting around like a Junebug trying to work up sentiment in favor of the United Nations and its one-world government scheme.

Texans Hope Anderson Won't Muff His Chance at Greatness

Robert B. Anderson named by the President as the new Secretary of the Treasury was one of Texas' most outstanding citizens when he lived in this State. He was a Conservative Democrat and was held in high esteem by all who knew him or had occasion to come in contact with this brilliant son of the Lone Star State.

However, whether his connection with the administration in this exalted post will lift the Eisenhower regime to a higher strata of political morality or whether Modern Republicanism will pull him down to its own level, is a matter that is yet to be determined.

Texans generally hope that the case of Richard Nixon, in which the Californian also began his career as a sound Conservative American but quickly degenerated into an International Socialist on alignment with Modern Republicanism, will not be repeated in the case of Mr. Anderson.

Texans knew and respected the new Secretary of the Treasury as a sound and conservative champion of Constitutional Government.

They have yet to learn how he will perform under the sponsorship of Modern Republicanism.

The new appointee to this high office has the fundamental ability to take his place along with such great Secretaries of the Treasury as Alexander Hamilton, Carter Glass and Andrew Mellon.

He will also have an opportunity to perpetuate the pattern of bungling ineptitude set by William G. McAdoo, Henry Morgenthau, Jr. and John W. Snyder.

His fellow Texans will keep their fingers crossed.

Eleanor Is all Bugged Down In 'Sorrow for the South'

Last month in her insipid news column Eleanor Roosevelt said she was reading a book by one Carl T. Rowan called "Go South for Sorrow," and added that it "has forced me to review what has happened in the South since the Supreme Court decision against segregation in the schools."

Her "review" of the South forced her to the conclusion that in that area there was "an appalling record of lawlessness, of contempt for our Supreme Court and those who sit on it."

Winding up her inane remarks she said, "I do not have to go South for Sorrow. I sorrow here."

She does not only not have to "Go South for Sorrow." She does not have to go South. Period. And the less she goes there, the better pleased Southerners will be.

With her obnoxious views on racial mixing, she is about as welcome in that area as a skunk at a lawn party and regardless of what she thinks of the South, the South thinks worse of her.

When she bobbed up in Houston last month, the management of the hotel where she stayed was greatly embarrassed by the presence of pickets thrown all around the building carrying banners with uncomplimentary references to this particular guest.

Once Again the Southern Conservative Gave Facts

We certainly don't claim to always be right but we certainly did call the shots in the case of the bill passed at the last session of Congress which would undo the Supreme Court's imposition of Federal control over the price of natural gas at the wells.

The measure passed both the House and Senate but was vetoed by the President who claimed that he favored the bill but was compelled to veto it for a "moral reason." This "moral reason" was because one of the members of the Senate claimed he had been offered a bribe by an oil company to vote for it.

We said editorially at the time of the veto that the President did not, in our opinion, veto the measure because of the alleged bribe incident but because he believed in Federal control. We pointed out that the same man who was reported to have attempted to "bribe" one of the senators with \$2,500 had contributed double that amount to the National Republican Committee during Eisenhower's candidacy for the office of President.

This session a similar measure was introduced but it has had hard sledding, principally because of administration opposition and crippling amendments offered by Modern Republicans.

An excerpt from an editorial in the Dallas News in this connection is interesting: "... Strangely, President Eisenhower recently made the baffling statement that the only kind of gas bill he would sign would be one that kept Federal control. Earlier he had gone on record in favor of a decontrol bill. No explanation has been given for his about-face. Last Congress passed a decontrol bill, only to have it vetoed because of lobbyist pressure in its behalf..."

So, the President didn't veto the earlier bill for "moral reasons" after all but simply because he is for Federal control, just as the Southern Conservative claimed.

We are not happy at being right about this because of the principle involved but the fact remains that we were right and that we saw through the reason given for vetoing the bill.

And all those big oil men who are liberal and generous with their money except when it comes to aiding in the promotion of Americanism, and who rushed up to Washington and to Augusta to play golf with the President may have had all the trouble and expense for nothing because the bill in which they are interested probably is not going to be passed or will be vetoed again, if it should be passed.

The United States is the only country on earth which would allow its government to send foreign aid to countries abroad in order that such countries may lower their own taxes while those of the contributing nation continue to skyrocket. There's a name for that type of "statesmanship" but it can't be used in publications which go through the mails.

Just think! We fought the Revolutionary War over the imposition of a tax on tea by the English. And, Holy Mackerel, think what is happening to us now and most of us not only are not fighting for our rights and freedom but we don't even know what is going on. May God forgive us!

We Are Already Living Under Socialism, Mr. Kruschchev

Another Communist Is Snatched From Stir by The Supreme Court

The Supreme Court finally made a ruling so baldly favoring Communists and other criminals that the Congress of the United States may be stirred to action and pass remedial legislation to correct the situation.

The ruling was written by one of the President's new appointees to the Court, William J. Brennan, and dealt with the case of a New Mexico Communist union leader, who had been sentenced to five years in prison on charges of filing a false non-Communist affidavit in 1950 with the National Labor Relations Board. Previously this character who was president of a Communist-dominated union, had been thrown out of the CIO.

In ordering a new trial for this Communist union leader, the Supreme Court held that the government must either turn over secret FBI reports to criminals who are on trial or abandon prosecution in such cases. This had not been done in the case on which the Court was passing.

The ruling even turned the stomach of Tom Clark, one of the Justices of the Court who has heretofore not only seemed shock-proof against action by the tribunal but who has taken part in many decisions which have nauseated the better element of the nation's citizenship.

Clark said that if the ruling was allowed to stand "those intelligence agencies of our government engaged in law enforcement may as well close up shop." While this may be a case of the pot calling the kettle black, there was indication in Washington that Congress has taken notice at last of the increasing decisions of the court which outrage public opinion and cause responsible Americans to tremble at the prospect of each new decision to be handed down by that body.

Senator Karl Mundt of North Dakota and Congressman Kenneth B. Keating of New York agreed with Clark that the matter posed a serious problem and indicated that legislation would be offered to off-set the action by the Court.

The ruling is, indirectly, another tribute to the far-sighted wisdom of the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy of Wisconsin who tried to tell his colleagues about Brennan's ideological views, when the latter was up before the Senate for confirmation. He got exactly nowhere and his lone vote was the only one registered against the "liberal" from Red Bank, New Jersey, when final action was taken.

Walter Leckrone, writing in the Indianapolis Times, says all the furor over Civil Rights of Negroes which is sweeping the country is a "mental epidemic" for which the antidote is common sense. "The fact that a proposal such as this (Civil Rights) even would be debated in Congress or have the support of people who really believe in Civil Rights, shows how far the contagion has spread," Mr. Leckrone says and adds that one civil right cannot be made stronger by wiping out another.

Nikita Kruschchev, Russian dictator, whose remarks were broadcast to American listeners on June 2 for some reason known only to the heads of the communications system which broadcast them, said that the people of this country would live under Socialism "in the future".

What does he mean "in the future" and what does he think they are living under now?

What does he think our Social Security system is, if not Socialism?

What does he think American farm subsidies are and the general farm program in which the government tells a man what he can and cannot plant and which fines him or puts him in jail if he disobeys, is it if it is not Socialism?

What does he think our government's participation in business in competition with private industry such as the Tennessee Valley Authority and hundreds of similar projects, is it if it is not Socialism?

What does he think that unemployment compensation, in which people are paid for not working, is if it is not Socialism?

What does he think it is when the nation's judiciary system steps over into the legislative field and passes legislation involving the public school systems of the various States in accordance with the will of a Marxist over in Sweden, if not Socialism?

Kruschchev knows all this and much more and when he predicted that Socialism for Americans was in the far-off future, instead of pinning it down to the present, he was merely laughing in his beard at the stupidity of a people for accepting without much protest his own vile system which has practically become ours.

What we, and many others, would like to know, is who thought up the idea of bringing the old horse thief into the homes of decent Americans to air his filthy views and why they did it.

Tennessee's bull-in-a-china-shop, Estes Kefauver, breaks into headlines with a demand that private power companies be required to pay taxes on advertising in which they "attack public power." Being a full-fledged Socialist, he is offended at the factual statements being put out by private power companies concerning the gigantic cost to American taxpayers because of the operation of the Socialist project called the Tennessee Valley Authority. People in all other sections of the United States suffer a heavy tax-gouge in order that those in the Tennessee Valley Authority area may enjoy low rates on their utilities. And regardless of anything the horse-faced Senator from Tennessee may say, the TVA is a disgrace to the nation.

Once This Could Have Happened Only in USSR

Reflecting the sentiment now rampant in Washington for the nullification or abolishment of the American Constitution, mental health propagandists, as a group, are perhaps making greater progress along this line than any other organized body of internationalists.

In this connection, the Honorable Usher Burdick of North Dakota had placed in the Congressional Record on June 4 a communication from the Modesto, California, Journal discussing a "mental health" bill introduced in the California legislature which would, if passed, annul completely the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution.

It is Senate Bill No. 1299 and provides: "Any peace officer may, at any time of the day or night, in the execution of any of the provisions of this article, enter any dwelling or other building if the patient is therein or if the officer has reasonable cause to believe the patient to be therein or if the officer is not admitted after his authority and purpose have been made known, he may, at any time of the day or night, break open any outer or inner door or window of such dwelling or other building or any part thereof in order to make entry for the execution of the provisions of this article."

Here is what the Fourth amendment to the Constitution of the United States says on that subject:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized."

All thoroughly informed people know that the organized international mental health propagandists are as great a threat to this country as Communism for they are part and parcel of the International Communist conspiracy. However, many will find it hard to believe that in one State of the Union they actually propose legislation which will allow them to break down the doors of a citizen's home in the dead of night and carry off, without indictment or trial by jury, any citizen who holds opposing political views.

They've had a long sweet sleep, but the American people had better wake up and find out what has been happening to them and to their rights and freedoms during their Rip Van Winkle nap.

Maybe You'd Call This A 'Liberal' Education

An Austin housewife has an elderly colored woman, Samantha, who has been coming to her home and doing her laundry for years.

Recently this colored woman brought her little grand-daughter along to look after as she did the weekly wash.

During the day her employer heard her say to the child: "Now you quit that Diploma, or I'se goin' to spank you good."

Out of curiosity she asked Samantha why she called the little girl "Diploma" and Samantha replied:

"I sent my daughter to college and that's what she got."

McCarthy's Fight Against Communists Was Bitterly Opposed From the First

That the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy of Wisconsin confidently expected full cooperation of his colleagues in the fight on Communism when he first came to Washington is attested by his published statements on the subject.

That his confidence was shattered on his first bout with a senatorial committee is equally clear. His election to the United States Senate came at the close of his service in Navy Intelligence in the South Pacific where he collected information and data he felt would be invaluable to those concerned in the internal security of his country.

"Upon my return to the United States I discovered that our long-time foreign policy was being scuttled—scuttled without the approval of either of America's two great political parties," he wrote. "At that time, I frankly had no idea that traitors were responsible."

"In my campaign for the United States Senate, I referred to the State Department planners as 'starry-eyed dreamers' drifting from crisis to crisis like a group of blind men through a labyrinth of their own creation. I then thought that we were losing to International Communism because of abysmal incompetence. At that time, I had not even heard the names of those whom I was later to expose and force out of policy-making jobs."

"Many of them I heard discussed for the first time by a man who was later to be hounded to his death by the Communists. I arrived in Washington in December, 1946, about two weeks before being sworn in as Senator."

"Three days later my administrative assistant and I received an invitation to have lunch with Jim Forrestal."

"I have often wondered how the extremely busy Secretary of the Navy discovered that a freshman Senator had arrived in town and why he took so much time out to discuss the problems which were so deeply disturbing him. More than an equal number of times I have thanked God that he did."

"Before meeting Jim Forrestal I had thought we were losing to International Communism because of stupidity on the part of our planners. I mentioned that to Forrestal. I shall forever remember his answer. He said: 'McCarthy, consistency has never been a mark of stupidity. If they were merely stupid, they would occasionally make a mistake in our favor.'"

McCarthy's encounter with the first of the many obstacles which were later to be incessantly thrown in his way as his evidence drew blood from Communist conspirators, was before a special committee of the Senate in 1950 to hear carefully documented evidence presented in detail but a truthful report of which was never to see the light of day.

Millard Tydings of Maryland, a notably undistinguished member of the Senate, was chairman of the committee and his preliminary appraisal of the hearings was expressed in these words: "Let me have McCarthy for three days in public hearings and he will never show his face in the Senate again."

"As I walked down the long marble corridors to the Senate Caucus room, I wondered if I would be able to accomplish what I had set out to do," Senator McCarthy wrote later.

"I glanced down the press table to my right. Elmer Davis, easy to identify by his heavy black-rimmed glasses, was seated at the end of the table. I remembered that Davis had headed the Office of War Informa-

tion. Many of the cases I was about to present had once been employees in the OWI under Davis and then had moved into the State Department."

"As I glanced at Davis, I recalled that Stanislaw Mikolajczyk, one of the anti-Communist leaders of Poland, had warned the State Department while Davis was head of OWI, that OWI broadcasts were 'following the Communist line consistently' and had said that the broadcasts 'might well have emanated from Moscow itself'. There could be no doubt how Davis would cover the story."

"At one of the other press tables, I noticed one of Drew Pearson's men. I could not help but remember that Pearson had employed a member of the Communist Party, Andrew Older, to write Pearson's stories on the House Committee on Un-American Activities and that another one of Pearson's limited staff was David Karr, who had previously worked for the Communist Party's official publication, the Daily Worker. No doubt about how Pearson would cover the story."

"I saw Marquis Childs stop Senator McMahon on his way into the committee room to chat with him. As I saw Childs with his hand on McMahon's shoulder, I remembered that Childs had defended both Remington and Hiss and had bitterly attacked 'General MacArthur's headquarters for exposing Communist Agnes Smedley who was to later will her estate to Chu Teh, one of the Chinese Communist leaders."

"The wire services were there—Associated Press, United Press and International News Service. In their presence, I felt some sense of security. Traditionally, their job was to present the facts without any editorializing or distortion. . . . I was later to learn, however, that the cards were stacked even there. The wire service men assigned to the Hill are almost to a man honest, fair and capable reporters. But after several experiences, there was impressed upon me the painful truth that the stories written by the competent, honest AP, UP or INS men assigned to cover the Senate or the House might not even be recognized by them when these stories went on the ticker to the thousands of newspapers throughout the country. Before being sent out to America's newspapers the stories pass across what is known as a re-write desk. . . ."

The testimony and documented evidence presented to the committee by the Senator resulted in the removal or resignation of nine of the investigated persons from the State Department but the report of the proceedings was, when finally issued, so distorted, whitewashed and rendered so innocuous as to be practically unrecognizable.

An astounding incident connected with that first hearing set it out as perhaps unprecedented in American history of such events. Tydings allowed counsel for the Democratic members of the Committee to be present but excluded those of Republican members but that was mild compared to his behavior in connection with one of the characters involved.

While the committee was taking "secret" testimony concerning Owen Lattimore in a session from which even McCarthy was barred, a man sitting at the hearing table taking notes of all that the witness, Louis Bdenz, was saying about him was—Owen Lattimore, himself.

From that time on, McCarthy's attempts to clean Communists out of strategic government positions were confronted with every restriction that

We Are A Reactionary and Are Extremely Proud of It

If any one really wants to pay tribute to us, let them call us a Reactionary.

According to Webster's definition of the word, it means "one who favors a return to an older order" in politics and that's us right down the line.

We would for instance like for this country to return to the honorable status it occupied before Constitutional Government was supplanted by New Dealism, Fair Dealism and Modern Republicanism, or a combination of all three under which we now operate.

We would like to return to the time when pride in our free enterprise system, or the capitalistic system if you will, so filled the hearts and minds of the American citizen that he had neither the time nor desire to experiment with decadent European ideologies nor to engage in subversive activities for the overthrow of his own matchless governing machinery.

We would like to return to the day when graduates of our institutions of learning were educated in the basic principles of Americanism, fired with patriotism for their own flag and country and inspired with ambition to make their own place and earn their own social security rather than depending on the Federal government to support them in old age.

We would like to go back to the day when the Executive, the Judicial and the Legislative branches of government were independent units serving as checks and balances against the usurpation of power by any of the three; when all treaties were shaped in Washington by the President with the advice and consent of the United States Senate; when our foreign policy was developed in accordance with the safety and security of the American Republic instead of being decided in Geneva, London and Moscow and relayed to Washington for adoption.

We would like to go back to the days when duly constituted authorities of the American government who left American prisoners of war to rot in enemy jails and who withheld the protection of the American Constitution from members of our armed forces and surrendered them for trial by alien courts would have been impeached before sundown.

We would like to go back to the days when the Governors and the members of the Legislatures of the Sovereign States had an understanding of their Constitutional Rights and the political courage and the personal manhood to defend them, and when any attempt by the Supreme Court or any other Washington agency to cross State lines and take over local institutions would have stirred an enraged people to immediate and drastic action.

Yes, we are a Reactionary and consider it a definite compliment to be called one.

could be thrown up against him and when, finally, his disclosures were on the point of flushing out the big boys at the top—way up at the top—the Committee for an Effective Congress' Paul Hoffman went into action to get him "censured" and the result is history. (For Tydings Committee material, see McCarthyism, the Fight for America).