

Southwestern University
Georgetown, Texas

*Copy to
Capt. R. B. M.
W. P. Davidson, M. C. C. &
Mrs. C. M. C. &
P. O. Box 2 -
Franklin*

JOHN C. GRANBERY
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY AND ECONOMICS
916 WALNUT STREET

2

The necessary delay in securing the ballot for our Texas women does not prevent a larger utilization of her skill and energies at the present time. For example, why should she not be represented in the Board of Regents of the State University? Would she be likely to increase the profanity and drunkenness in that august body? As the University is for both men and women it is only fair that women should be represented on the Board. It is not likely that they would do ~~less~~ worse than the men upon whom the honor has been recently bestowed, and it is highly probable that some improvement would result. Indeed, it is possible that the presence of but one woman might temper and modify the language used during the sessions in a wholesome manner.

We consider the time peculiarly propitious for such direct participation in our common tasks. Our women have already given abundant proof of their capacity, their interest, their intelligence, and their devotion, and the State sorely needs the contribution to the public welfare that they may make. We call upon all to accord to women those opportunities for service that are her right and of which Texas stands in need.

Georgetown, Texas,

July 28, 1917.

Men's Woman Suffrage League

of Georgetown,

John C. Granbery, President,

W.P. Davidson, Secretary.

therefore is Colonel Bailey's second method
futile, because it falls on ears that had
not, knowing that it is not reasonable
to suppose that America casts for Democracy
~~to give to European despots a voice~~
to give to European despots a voice
+ deny that same of women who

The trouble with Col. Bailey is that
he has looked too long upon the ballot
as designed primarily for the purpose
of electing a Democrat to office! Whereas
it was really designed as a method
of ~~of a means~~ dispensing individual opinions
about Governmental affairs. We require
that there we have not time at our
command to outline for him a brief
history of the development of voting from the
old days of the viva voce + "show of hands"
method down to the most modern voting
machines, each method an improvement upon
the last as a way of accurately counting
the opinions of citizens, so that the majority
opinion may rule.

But the Times Herald has said "let
the battle go on!" Why battle? we have no
battle with Col. Bailey. Apart from it being
entirely unadvisable to engage in a battle
with a ^{Gentleman} who has a
whole column of a newspaper at his command

never followed the battle method
and an unlimited supply of ink