



STUDENT ASSOCIATION

SENATE JOURNAL

PROCEEDINGS OF THE THIRD SESSION OF THE STUDENT SENATE

VOL. 3

MONDAY MAY 16, 1966

NO. 9

The Senate met at 7:10 p.m. in Room 160 of the Lamar Fleming Building and was called to order by the President RICHARD GAGHAGEN.

The Secretary called the roll and there were twenty-two Senators present with the following Senators absent: BRAD CLEMENTS, JIM CULPEPPER, JOHN GREENE, SUE LOESCH, MIKE MOUSER, SUE POKLUDA, JIM TANNER.

EXECUTIVE REPORT

The President reported that last Wednesday night Senators Driscoll and Cox along with the President met at Rice University to discuss the idea of forming a Houston Council of Colleges consisting of all the colleges and universities within Houston except the Houston Baptist College. This meeting was called on the initiative of Jerry Hafter and was very enthusiastically received. Topics will include such programs as Travel Opportunities and Exchange of Social Entertainment. It was agreed that a committee would be made up for the purpose of drawing up a constitution. It was also agreed that this committee be made up of two representatives from each college and university participating in this council. And this committee should be ready to go in operation this fall. The advantage of schools working jointly is that of bringing more big name speakers to Houston, having joint social activities such as dances and luncheons.

President GAGHAGEN attended the Texas Intercollegiate Student Association Executive Committee meeting on May 15, 1966, held at Pan American College. The Research Grant will be national in scope, and the University of Houston will be its headquarters. The Leadership Training Conference will be held at Baylor in the fall. The President reported that as of now there are no applicants for the Conference on Higher Education, and he is looking into the possibility of the University of Houston to be the host school. President GAGHAGEN accepted the appointment of Executive Director of T.I.S.A.

The President then made the appointment of Tom Koebernick to the Department of Leadership Training and Development. The appointment was referred to the Committee on Rules & Judiciary. President GAGHAGEN recommended S. 8 for consideration as amended. A petition was presented to the President before the Senate meeting by Pete Plummer. The petition was signed by thirty-six people who believed the bill should not be passed. The President read the reasons against the bill to the Senate.

Mr. CONE requested to address the Senate for the reason of presenting the results of the Dormitory Student Referendum. Mr. CONE reported that a questionnaire was sent to the Dormitory Students and 58% replied. Some of the bills have been acted on

and some are still in committee. Mr. CONE presented this referendum to the Senate for consideration as a future bill, and as statistics for future enactment of measures.

President GAGHAGEN explained the action of the University Center Policy Board with regard to a candidate presented to the Policy Board for election to the Program Council who did not have a 2.0 overall grade point average. This body had the authority to waive the grade average rule because it was not an official policy rule. The following are the officers of the Program Council: David Amidon, President; Tim Wood, Vice President; Susan Tipton, Secretary; and Mike Baker, Treasurer.

President GAGHAGEN urged the Senate to approve the Program Council Rules of Operation in order for the Senate to have a say in the proceedings of the Program Council and to create an inter-working relationship between the two bodies. Last year the Senate agreed that the Bylaws and Constitution should have Senate action before going to President Hoffman for approval. In addition, the relationship of the Senate with the University Center Policy Board would not interfere with the day by day operation of the Program Council.

COMMITTEE ON RULES & JUDICIARY

Mr. DRISCOLL, Chairman, reported that the Committee met on May 10, and after personally interviewing each appointee, the Committee recommended the approval of the following appointments: Lynn Hargis, Student Life Council; Pat Curry, Student Life Council, and Sonny Dobson, Election Commission.

COMMITTEE ON STUDENT LIFE

Mr. ZINSMEYER, Chairman, reported that S. 7 was approved by committee with an Amendment to Section A which reads "That Children and guests of University of Houston Administrators or students shall pay fifty cents admittance fee and no other swimmers shall be allowed." Also, Section 4 was deleted. Mr. ZINSMEYER reported that S. 12 was reported out of committee favorably.

COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC AFFAIRS

Mr. PARDUE, Chairman, reported that S. 8 was approved by committee. The Administration Program and choice of student will be worked out at a later date.

OLD BUSINESS

The Senate voted on each of the following appointments individually and confirmed them by a voice vote: Lynn Hargis, Student Life Council; Pat Curry, Student Life Council; and Sonny Dobson, Election Commission.

The President requested Miss HARRIS to read S. 7 in its amended form to the Senate after which the bill was discussed. Mr. DRISCOLL asked if members and guests of students be allowed in the pool. Miss TRUEHEART replied that guests of student faculty and students will be allowed, but that all others would be excluded from the use of the pool. Mr. GONZALES moved that Section 1, of S. 7 be amended to read "That any University of Houston student, faculty member, or member of the administration showing his ID card shall be permitted to swim free in the University of Houston

swimming pool." The motion was seconded and passed. Mr. GONZALES again made a motion to amend Section 3, to read, "That children and guests of University of Houston administrators, faculty or students shall pay fifty cents admittance fee and no other swimmers shall be allowed." This motion was seconded and passed. Miss TRUEHEART moved to amend Mr. GONZALES'S amendment to Section 4 to read, "That any University of Houston faculty member, or University of Houston student showing his ID card...." The motion was seconded, but failed. The motion was moved and seconded to approve the entire S. 7. The motion was seconded and passed.

The President exercised the power of the chair and ruled that in the future the Senate will consider bills and resolutions starting with the smallest number first. The President then asked Mr. ADAMS to read S. 8 in its entirety to the Senate. After the bill was read, Mr. ADAMS said that the bill was considered carefully as to its inherent value. A consensus of opinion had been taken and the change for funds to be forth coming are good. University of Houston will announce a grant from the Federal Government to be given for programs of Community Development. As a result, a committee will be developed to be administered by this University for the purpose of improving the higher education of learning practices, improvement of race relations in our community, and to afford education opportunities to those less privileged. Vice President MATTERN asked if the University of Houston is the only school receiving this grant. Mr. ADAMS replied that other Universities have also received such a grant. Mr. DRISCOLL asked why there was a need for a Student Exchange Program since the University is already going to receive a grant for community development. Mr. WOOD proposed an amendment to this bill and deleting Sections 2 & 3. Mr. ADAMS replied that the Community Development cannot include everybody. Miss LOGAN asked if the University is guaranteed to receive the grant. Mr. ADAMS said, "Not anymore guaranteed than asking money for other programs in the budget such as Shasta." Mr. BLACKARD asked if half of the money from the grant could be given to help the under privileged in this area. Mr. O'QUINN said that the bill should not subsidize any student to go to the University because some students are working their way through college and will need their tuition payed. Mr. PENICK asked why the students could not work at this University as well. Mr. O'QUINN replied that the purpose of this program is to give needy students full benefits of the scholarship. Mr. SOFFAR agreed with Mr. O'QUINN and was against the amendment also. Mr. SOFFAR went on to say that at Brown University there is a similar exchange program with the purpose of giving these students a chance to exchange their ideas with other students. They are granted an education for one semester higher than their own. The only fallacy to this idea is that some Negro institutions felt that they could not mix with the students. A motion was made to end debate. It was seconded and passed. The senate then proceded to vote on the amendment made by Mr. WOOD to S. 8. The motion failed.

Mr. ZINSMEYER said that he was against the bill because he felt that the bill will not help improve the University's relations among students on campus. Mr. COX said that this university cannot be compared to other universities because it is way above average, and that the bill should benefit student from poor colleges. Very few Negro students would have sufficient funds to go to such a University as this. A motion was then made to end debate to S. 8. The motion was seconded but failed. Mr. DRISCOLL was in favor of defeat of the bill because in referring to Seciton 2, of S. 8, he asked if the students would be white or colored; and if they were colored students, this would defeat the purpose of the bill. Mr. ADAMS replied that all the objections to the bill were superfluous, and that the senate should vote on the merits of the bill. Mr. ZINSMEYER asked if senator would be adverse to sending bill back to committee. Mr. ADAMS replied yes. Mr. DRISCOLL said that it would be a bad mistake to pass a bill that had definite objections and points of the bill that were

unclear. Mr. SAXE moved the previous question. The motion was seconded, but failed. Mr. BLACKARD moved to table S. 8 and the motion was seconded ; but failed 14-7. Mr. HANCOCK said that the main purpose of the bill was to have Negro students come to our university and be culturally enriched but what guarantee will he have that they will go back? Mr. PARDUE replied that the grant is to be used in certain categories and it is up to the University to decide on the program. The bill does not have to state, "Is he black?, Is he white? Will he go back?" The bill clearly states that no students be allowed to participate in this program more than once. The main purpose of this body is to get the program established, and the particulars will be worked out. A motion was made to end debate. The motion was seconded, and passed, 14-7. The motion was made to approve S. 8. The motion was seconded and passed, 13-8. Miss BRATTIS registered her absence from voting on S. 8. Senators ZINSMEYER, HANCOCK, and GREENE registered their vote as negative to S. 8. Senators SOFFAR, and ADAMS registered their vote as affirmative to S. 8.

President GAGHAGEN requested unanimous consent on doing away with the reading of S. 12. Mr. PARDUE move to table S. 12 until next week's meeting. The motion was seconded and passed, 12-6.

NEW BUSINESS

Mr. DRISCOLL introduced S. 13 and a petition of 520 names in favor of this bill. Bill was then referred to Committee on Student Life.

Vice President MATTERN introduced S. 14, and bill was referred to Committee on Student Life.

Mr. PARDUE introduced S. R. 3, and the resolution was referred to Committee on Student Life.

President GAGHAGEN announced that there will be a Senate meeting next week at which time there will be nomination of senators for the summer Senate.

Mr. PARDUE requested that the Senate hear a non-member address the Senate. The motion was made, seconded and passed, 13-7. Mr. Till then addressed the Senate. Mr. Till read to the Senate the report turned out by the University Center Program Council. "It is inconceivable to this committee that the University Center Policy Board could select as President of the University Center Program Council an individual, who according to the criteria for election established by that board, was at the time of his selection unqualified for such office.

"It is the opinion of this committee that such irresponsible action jeopardizes the future of student participation. The personnel committee wishes to express its unqualified condemnation of this action by the University Center Policy Board.

"In view of action by the board in the selection of a president whose application would not ordinarily be submitted to the board for consideration, this committee is undecided as to its future function and place within the University Center Program Council operations."

Mr. DRISCOLL moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded and passed, 11-8. The meeting was adjourned at 9:45 p. m.