

# THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 6

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, FEBRUARY, 1955

No. 2

## 'Promote the General Welfare' Is Fatal Clause in Constitution

### The Answer in the Peress Case Is not Good Enough

The mounting question of Who Promoted Peress which reverberated from coast to coast and from the Great Lakes to the Gulf was partially silenced by the Army's long delayed answer to the effect that those responsible were a general named Walter L. Weible and John Adams of the Defense Department.

Most people knew that John Adams had something to do with it but he is just a hired man with pull but no authority. To suggest that some obscure general, in the face of all the controversy about Peress' Communists connections, would step in and take the responsibility of promoting and honorably discharging him, is to insult the intelligence of interested Americans.

The blame rests on a higher level and the question, so far as we are concerned, is still unanswered.

### If He'd Only Start His Operations Down This Way!

A newspaper story with a Chicago dateline said that a bearded "phantom philanthropist" had the police of that city in a dither because of his very peculiar actions.

It seems he would appear on a dark side street of that city at various times and hand out crisp, new twenty-dollar bills to utter strangers.

His identity had not been learned even after he had disposed of many hundreds of dollars in this new version of a share-the-wealth plan.

In an effort to be helpful, we started to wire them and suggest that maybe it was Harold Stassen in false whiskers but, on second thought we decided not to.

We remembered that Harold works his give-away racket in Europe and only hands out money to foreigners. Also, it would be insulting to suggest that he would give away anything less than a million dollars at a time.

### Session of Congress Opened After Traditional Ceremony

Before Congress opened last month, the traditional religious service was held in Washington, a ceremony which, by long custom, takes place prior to the convening of a new session.

It is always a touching and impressive occasion where the President of the United States and members of both Houses of Congress assemble together and pray.

Later, when the session gets under way, the taxpayers start praying.

### Like A Vulture Who Lights On His Prey

Sometime ago, an unemployed stenographer won \$75,158.84 in some kind of national contest.

The announcement of her good luck had no sooner hit public print when agents from the Internal Revenue Department swooped down on her like vultures pouncing on the carcass of a dead horse, and demanded \$45,002.66 of her winnings.

We do not mean to imply criticism of the Internal Revenue Department which was merely carrying out provisions of the tax law and they had no other recourse.

The criticism belongs to the people for in this country they are the Court of Last Resort and they are responsible for electing representatives to the Congress who commit extortion against the taxpayers and who frame revenue laws sanctioning legalized theft.

Any government which confiscates 60 per cent and more of a citizen's income, regardless of whether that income was derived from investments, earned through salary or won in a crap game is as guilty of criminal action as a bank robber who sticks up his victim with a sixshooter.

In the course of civilization many great empires have toppled and gone down before the onslaughts of an invading enemy but ours is the first nation in all history whose leaders have seized on a phrase in its organic law to accomplish its own destruction.

"We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect Union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution of the United States of America."

In these simple words which any grammar school student can understand, the framers of the American Constitution explained for the benefit of those who would come after them, the objectives of the greatest instrument for the governing of a free people ever fashioned by human mind.

It is a plain, uncomplicated and forthright document formulated by representatives of the several States who, as the creators, set up a Federal government with limited authority as the creature, which could better effectuate such aims, and solely such aims, as were specified in the preamble.

When this great document was finished after long, earnest and prayerful deliberation by selfless and dedicated patriots, it was given us to have and to hold forever as an instrument guaranteeing, so far as was humanly possible, the perpetuation of a nation indivisible, under God, and insuring against oppression by tyrants at home or abroad.

In consigning into our keeping this product of the best brains of the land, designed for the guidance and protection of a free and independent people, the founders warned us that ours was a Republic "if we could keep it."

To an ever-widening circle of serious-minded Americans there is a growing conviction that we haven't kept it. To many it is no longer a question of trying to save the Republic but one of seeking to regain it.

One by one, every objective set forth in the Preamble, with one notable exception, has either been repudiated as unworkable in a modern State or the functions attending its execution have been delegated to extraneous governing bodies until today the Republic so proudly fashioned by early American statesmen and jealously guarded for more than a hundred and fifty years, is merely one State in a 60-State World Government.

The one exception meticulously observed for the past twenty-two years is the little clause in the Preamble which lists "the general welfare" as one of the ends to be served.

Just three little words! And yet through violent abuse and deliberate distortion of their meaning, they have been converted into an instrument for subverting practically every other provision in the Constitution and employed as the basis of immoral, degenerate and extravagant policies designed to ultimately break down the orderly processes of Constitutional government in the United States.

While the architects of the Constitution were men of unchallenged integrity, understanding and vision concerned only in laying the cornerstone of a Republic which would endure against all profane attacks against it, the inclusion of these few words was unfortunate and was to assume tragic implication on that far distant future day when men of small mold would seize on them as an excuse for usurping authority

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

## Financial Backers of World Conspiracy Shown in Report

There is one government report which comes as near to exposing the giant overall plan for World collectivism and those financing the plot, as could possibly be assembled in one volume.

This is Union Calendar No. 926, House Report 2681, 83rd Congress, Second Session, which may be obtained from the Government Printing Office in Washington.

This report is a summary of the findings of the Special Committee of Congress to investigate tax-exempt Foundations and while the objective of the Committee was not the exposure of such a conspiracy, it has done just that.

The findings of that committee reveal a vast network of interlocking subversive groups committed openly to changing the form of the American government to a World Socialist State with the large Foundations furnishing the "risk capital" for establishing this New Social Order.

The law dealing with the granting of tax-exemption by the Treasury Department contains the stipulation that those receiving tax exemption shall be engaged strictly in "educational" activities and any sort of "political" operations on the part of those receiving such tax exemption, automatically deprives them of such privilege.

Smart lawyers for the Foundations have argued and have gotten by with it, that the Foundations are not engaged in "politics" because their representatives do not appear before Congress, as such, and favor or oppose certain legislation. They are too clever to engage in such crudities.

Instead, they subsidize groups and individuals who do their dirty work for them and all these groups are inter-related and their work correlated, with the common objective of killing off the American Free Enterprise system and substituting national Socialism. Any group which does not fall in line and report its findings in

keeping with the objectives of the Foundations gets no more help.

For instance, when Alger Hiss was heading the Carnegie Foundation, the American Bar Association was asked to make a study of the Genocide Treaty and report back to the Foundation. The Bar Association did so and reported that the Genocide Treaty, in their view, should not be ratified. When their report was finished, Hiss was no longer head of the Foundation having been sent to the penitentiary meantime, but Joseph E. Johnson who succeeded him, was very bitter and complained that the Bar Association had given a one-sided viewpoint.

In this Union Calendar No. 926, will be found the names of many of the interlocking groups in the United States who influence to a large extent the thinking and policies of those who control the political, educational, religious, economic and cultural life of the United States.

There are scores of these groups, all having contact one with the other, but the main ones are National Education Association, The American Historical Association, Social Science Research Council, The Council of Learned Societies, The President's Commission on Education, The Foreign Policy Association, The Council of Foreign Relations, the Institute of Pacific Relations (now inactive because of its exposure as a direct agency of Moscow) American Friends National Academy of Sciences and many others, all financed by the large Foundations, principally Ford, Rockefeller and Carnegie.

Every American concerned in the preservation of his country should get one of these reports and read for himself the published objectives of these groups and then write to the Secretary of the Treasury and ask him by what line of reasoning the Foundations are exempted from paying taxes for the support of the American government while subsidizing those who are trying to destroy it.

## It's On Again Off Again Finnegan

Annie Lee Moss, a Negro woman in Washington, must have what it takes.

She has been batted around and back and forth between different government agencies after being tagged as an ex-Communist by a Senate Investigating Committee, but she always comes back and lands another job.

She was first suspended from a \$3,335 a year job last February following testimony of witnesses before the McCarthy Committee who swore they had been with her at Communist meetings. In March, she was put back to work in another government agency but later suspended from that.

Recently the Secretary of Defense, Charles E. Wilson—no less—took a hand in the matter and ordered her put back to work in a "non-sensitive" job in the Pentagon.

Following one of the occasions when she was bounced out on her ear, Senator Stuart Symington of Missouri announced to all and sundry that if she didn't get back in the government, he would give her a job.

With the characteristic swiftness with which she rushes to the defense of any one charged with Communist-front affiliation, Eleanor Roosevelt got out her trusty typewriter and also came to Annie's aid.

This Moss woman sure seems to stand in good with the white folks.

## A Wonderful New Plan of Tax Reduction Suggested

An Associated Press article from Washington carries the cheering news that the tax burden on Americans is being lifted through a unique method.

On last July 1, the debt per person in this country was \$1,671.25. On July 1, 1956, it will be only \$1,661.02, quite a saving to each taxpayer in the United States.

The national debt will not have been reduced, it was pointed out, to accomplish this amazing result. In fact, the national debt is rapidly growing and there is no indication that it will not continue to increase.

The reduction of the burden on individuals is caused by an increase in population which compels more persons to share the debt, thereby reducing the cost per capita, it was explained.

On this basis, if the population continues to increase at the present rate, we should all be pretty well out of debt by 2055 and be ready to start all over again with a clean slate.

## Chinese Conference Pitiful Farce That Pinpoints Our Lost Prestige

The phony conference between the Secretary General of the United Nations and the head of the Chinese Communists concerning some American War prisoners held in China, pinpoints perhaps better than any recent incident, the general debility and sickness of our once powerful Republic.

There was a day when no nation would have dared hold an American for any reason and if by chance they had done so, Washington would have made it so hot for them that they would have produced the hostage in double-quick time.

In the case of the many American boys now in Chinese prisons, nothing was done at all until outraged Americans demanded action.

And then, what "bold courageous course" was pursued? Our Secretary of State meekly trudged to the United Nations and laid the responsibility on that alien doorstep.

*"Patriotism is being punished in Washington today. That is the ugly fact. And we, the people, had better get busy or we are not going to have any country. What are our senators afraid of that they yield to the intimidating 'methods' of those who promote the One World Flag and One World 'citizenship' and the destruction of the American Republic?"—Richard Lloyd Jones, editor Tulsa, Oklahoma, Tribune.*

And then what? The United Nations, composed almost in its entirety of Socialist and Communist countries whose sympathy rests in Peiping, sent a Norwegian citizen to plead with a Chinese gangster to release American soldiers.

And if that is not prima facie evidence that we have just about hit bottom in international prestige, what will it take to prove the point?

## "Promote General Welfare"

(Continued from Page 1)

never intended to be granted and to engage in social innovations and experiments which would plunge the Federal government into an indebtedness beyond human power to compute and imperil the national economy and the solvency of the Republic itself.

This peerless country willed to us by wise and provident forefathers was the golden land of opportunity where any humble lad might cherish the ambition to some day become president and where the poorest among us through energy, thrift and initiative, could hope to accumulate material possessions which would provide security to ourselves and to our posterity.

The perversion, distortion and corruption of the clause in the Constitution concerning the "general welfare" has demolished this house of dreams.

Every possible handicap, including exorbitant tax levies in life and heavier penalties at death, has been thrown up to prevent one citizen from possessing more worldly goods than another; to make his security dependent, not on his own effort and achievement, but on a paternalistic government in Washington; and no man can any longer become President of the United States until he first "clears it with Sidney" by seeking approval of the secret masters who govern us.

Once this country was the sanctuary for the oppressed seeking freedom from tyranny of a particular ruler. Now it is largely the refuge of alien spies seeking to submerge it in the organized tyranny of a collection of rulers as embodied in the United Nations.

The wording of the Constitution was plain, understandable and simple. It included no ambiguous, vague or contradictory double-talk and to interpret it required no great measure of statesmanship or learning. Level-headed leaders with a little plain common, horse sense was all that was needed to put it into execution in the interests of all the American people and to keep it there.

For more than a hundred and fifty years our adherence to a Constitutional form of government, with limited-authority and checks and balances, won us the grudging respect and admiration of all the world and earned us the top-ranking post as the most powerful among the nations of the earth.

And then a new type of leadership emerged from the mire and corruption of New York's machine politics and took over the reins of government. Leather-lunged demagogues and rabbit-brained dreamers crawled out of the woodwork and started babbling and blubbing all over the place about "human rights"—and we blew our top.

States Rights and Property Rights, basic guarantees in the American Constitution, were trampled under foot in the mad scramble toward National Socialism and eventual World Government.

The innocent little phrase concerning "the general welfare" was stretched out of all proportion to the intent of its makers and so far are having to borrow the money that we give away.

Social planners saw in it not only the authority to convert the Federal government into a vast social agency to feed the idle and indolent in this country but viewed it as a free meal ticket for bums and panhandlers all over the world.

The founders of the American Republic wanted us to keep the priceless legacy they bequeathed us. Later, Lenin came along and proposed that we destroy it by spending it to death.

It doesn't require the brain of a genius to understand which plan we are following.

## Who Shall Control Houston Schools, Local Citizens or N.E.A.?

(Editorial from the Houston Chronicle)

The report of the special committee of the National Education Association, which investigated the "climate of thinking in Houston" and the "unrest in the public schools," was exactly what everybody who is familiar with the N.E.A. had expected. It could have been written before the investigating committee ever came to Houston. It smeared everybody who has dared to oppose the attempts of the N.E.A. to control the public schools in the United States and establish in them the socialistic philosophy of education known as Progressive Education.

The whole report is a fine example of today's pseudo-liberalism which goes berserk at any opposition and attempts to brainwash everyone into conformity with so-called liberal ideas. It is propaganda, pure and simple, fashioned out of the familiar N.E.A. line used in Pasadena, Cal., and other cities where parents have dared to challenge the Washington educators.

The report will not, however, change anything in Houston. Parents who believe in traditional education and local control of the public schools will only be solidified in their opposition to the N.E.A. and its program.

It is unlikely that the people of Houston, known for their spirit of independence, will pay much attention to a propagandistic report by a group of professional troublemakers headquartered in Washington and responsible only to themselves.

If an investigation of the Houston school system was needed why was it not made by the State Board of Education or some group responsible to the people of Texas, if not to the taxpayers of Houston who support our public schools?

Recall how the investigation came about. It was triggered by the failure of the Houston school board to renew the contract of Dr. George Ebey, deputy superintendent of schools. The board acted after studying a report

on Ebey, made at the board's request by the General Research Co. of Houston, composed of former longtime FBI agents. The ex-FBI men investigated Ebey's background in the many localities where he had previously worked.

The N.E.A. report said the board acted "precipitately" in the Ebey matter. The use of the word "precipitately" is odd. The matter had been up for months and the board went to the trouble of having Ebey investigated before voting.

An interesting point in the report is that it shows only a third of Houston public school teachers were in favor of the investigation.

The committee declared itself opposed to dual control of the schools. The Chronicle has held that position throughout. It has contended that the Houston schools should be controlled by the elected school board and that the efforts of the N.E.A. to muscle in was what has caused much of the controversy here—we don't want that kind of dual control.

As to the question of divided control at a lower level, there is much to be said in favor of a superintendent and business manager dividing responsibility for carrying out policies fixed by the school board. Offhand, it would appear difficult to find an outstanding educator who is also an outstanding businessman. Educating more than 120,000 children is a big job. Managing physical property valued at \$200,000,000 is a big job. Finding one man capable of handling both jobs would appear difficult to most people except perhaps to the N.E.A.

The entire report of the N.E.A. committee is filled with exaggeration and misrepresentation. The fight will continue between N.E.A. and the citizens of Houston over which shall control the public schools here. Certainly, the people who oppose socialization of the school system and the children in it are not going to abandon their position because professional educators have decided to smear anyone who opposes their schemes.

## Council of Bishops Gets Hand Called for Its Arrogant Action

The Council of Bishops of the Methodist Church at a recent meeting in Chicago passed resolutions which notified the American people that the Methodist Church will serve as the instrument for putting the Supreme Court's ruling on segregation into effect.

But if the Official Board of the First Methodist Church of Lexington, Mississippi, has anything to say about it, they won't.

The action of the Council of Bishops was no sooner taken than the Mississippi congregation got together and passed resolutions on the subject, also.

Briefly these resolutions charged that both the Supreme Court and the Council of Bishops were operating entirely outside their respective jurisdictions and totally without authority.

Furthermore the good Mississippi Methodists disavowed the Council of Bishops' right to commit the membership of the Methodist churches to this program and repudiated any suggestion that their own church would be bound by any action taken at Chicago without their approval.

The courage and independence of the Mississippians illustrates

*Textile manufacturer A. G. Heinselm, Jr. of Knoxville, Tennessee says there are two classes of people in this country. One class thinks that Americans are capable of working out their own destiny and the other thinks Americans should be wet-nursed through life by bureaucratic masters. We would add that there is a third and more dangerous class, those who don't think. Period.*

very forcefully the type of action that is needed in this country at this time, all down the line.

When enough Governors stand up on their hind feet and defy the Supreme Court for illegally taking over public education in the various States, the Court will have to back down if the Constitution is still in effect.

And by the same token if enough local churches perform as the Mississippi congregation did and tell arrogant political Bishops where to head in, it will serve to halt the disgusting spectacle of the churches being used as cats-paws by sinister alien forces seeking to install Communist practices in this country.

## Prominent Minister Says National Council Does Not Represent Members

Growing resentment among the rank and file members of Protestant churches against the action of the National Council of Churches in committing them to the cause of international Socialism, was strongly emphasized by Rev. W. H. Kellogg, Episcopal Minister of Huntsville, Texas in an address before the Council of the Diocese of Texas at Houston recently, in which he said in part:

All of us in this room are trying to be good Episcopalians. On that, we are agreed. But on political matters, we are as divided as we are united in the matter of Church. If a movement should develop, looking toward the domination of our Church by some Federal Bureau, we would all get busy and "vote the rascals out". But here is one Episcopalian who feels almost equally outraged about having his political and economic thinking done for him—by proxy—by self-appointed representatives far removed, even though they masquerade as "Church" and "Churchmen."

I refer not to our real Episcopal Church as you and I have known it, loved it and revered it, but to the National Council of Churches of Christ, U. S. A., and to the World Council of Churches. Our Episcopal Church somehow has become a member of these groups. I ask each of you individually: did you vote for it? Were you consulted?

A small group of people within these Councils of Churches are prone to make all sorts of political, economic and social pronouncements in your name and in my name, falsely claiming to "represent" 35 million American Protestants and 30 denominational groups. By what right does a mere handful of men who at best represent only representatives, two or three times removed in a chain of representation, presume to speak for you and me as Episcopalians and 35 million other free citizen members of Christian Churches?

In September of 1952, the NCC endorsed the United Nations, and especially the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. This endorsement was contained in the National Council's World Order Day message to be read in Protestant, Eastern Orthodox and Anglican Churches on Sunday, October 19. Formerly, and frequently since then, they presumed to speak for us. Now, in this case, they handed down their pronouncement to us.

In another instance, this topside group of ecclesiastical meddlers in matters political, handed down another pronouncement in the name of its 35 million members condemning the Bricker Amendment. The submission to the States of this important question was blocked in the Senate by only one vote. Who knows but that the pressure of this group, falsely claiming to represent my views and perhaps yours, was the factor that turned the tide?

Our Episcopal Church would not have done these things. But the National Council of Churches far removed from any of us, does not hesitate to speak for all of us and each of us.

For nearly two thousand years, Christians have focused their beliefs and hopes on the Prince of Peace. Now it is commonplace to hear prominent Churchmen speak of the United Nations as "man's last, best hope of peace in this world"—the United Nations—where God's name and the Prince of Peace are never mentioned!

These are just two cases. And on these, as well as others, we here at this meeting probably disagree. No matter the subject on which the Na-

tional Council makes a pronouncement, many of us would disagree. Instead of being a unifying force in Christianity, it is a divisive force.

In their political, social and economic pronouncements, the NCC misrepresents me most of the time. Regardless of whether or not you agree with what they have said, does it not behoove each of us to protect the other's freedom of speech they violate when they presume to speak for us?

By what right does this group of social gospel exponents consider themselves a political action pressure group representing all of us? By their advocacy of political force to create economic and social equality, do they not actually create a heresy on the Christian doctrine of the responsibility of the individual?

I have tried to study these questions as time would permit. The National Council of Churches seems to have a limitless amount of published material supposed to make better Christians of us all. We pay the bill. In my opinion, their literature is more designed to make better collectivists of us than Christians. But the leadership of the National Council of Churches for years has been equating Christianity with collectivism and in doing this, they appear to see nothing at all incongruous. I do!

To me, socialism is socialism, no matter how finely it may be dressed in pious Christian platitudes and taught under the guise of Christianity. If some of this NCC sponsored, authored and approved church "literature" ever comes to be widely used, it may be hard to tell the difference between a Sunday School and a school of socialism.

I do not ask that you take my word for any of this. Read for yourselves, if you wish, but think for yourselves too. These people are constantly advocating a World Church and world government. As churchmen, they have every right to consider the possible benefits of some sort of world church, if they want to, so long as they don't claim to represent my thinking on the subject. But their advocacy in my name of a super-state in which the sovereignty of the United States must be submerged, infuriates me as an American citizen. In the religious field, I find their writings full of everything from some real Christianity to just plain gobble-de-gook to heresy. In the political field is also everything—up to and including sedition.

My church at home is a small one. It has struggled for a hundred years to grow. I want to continue to support it and to help it grow. But in the past, I have deeply resented having one cent of my pledge money siphoned off from local needs to support the political propaganda and pressure machine that is the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches. In the past, I have only resented. I am now through with that resentment and simply refuse to support it further.

Editor's note: It is our belief that there are scores of independent, sound-thinking and incorruptible ministers in various Protestant denominations who, like the courageous Huntsville leader, recognize the National Council of Churches as the mouthpiece of international collectivists. We hope that, like him, they dare to speak out and warn their membership of this insidious anti-American propaganda group.

"Men must be governed by God or they will be ruled by tyrants."  
—William Penn.

## The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF  
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH  
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor  
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building  
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year  
(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one  
free subscription to be sent to any person  
of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress,  
members of State Legislatures, Governors,  
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with  
the wind but it takes an eagle to fly  
against the storm.

### THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United  
States by the Constitution, nor prohibited  
by it to the States are reserved to the  
States respectively, or to the people.

## Papa Loves Mambo And the Samba Too

Senator Theodore Green of Rhode Island, ardent defender of "senatorial dignity" and who votes to sustain the prestige of that body when it is lowered by untruth members who persist in exposing Communist spies, made the headlines twice in one week recently.

First, he enlivened a fancy dress White House party by slinging a wicked leg in the intricate South American dance known as the Mambo which is quite the rage among his contemporaries in the younger set. The senator is barely 87.

As the orchestra finished and struck up another South American tune, the youthful rug-cutter, without missing a beat, drifted into the rhythmic contortions of the Samba and is reported to have turned in a performance which amazed his envious colleagues.

Later in the week the Rhode Island statesman made another shindig at the Burmese Embassy where he removed his shoes and took a whirl at a complicated native Burmese dance in his bare feet.

We always knew the senator had brains but we didn't know before where he carried them.

*"Nationalism rises from our national sacrifices. Every nation has laid its dead upon the altar of its country. These died with their national flag before their eyes and their national hymns upon their lips. National pride has swelled from their suffering and sacrifice. Within free nations, these emotions, added to their religious faith, are their spiritual strength. These emotions sustain their resolution to defend themselves against aggression and domination."*—Herbert Hoover.

## Americans Must Once More Bow Their Heads in Shame

Another incident emphasizing the similarity of the present administration to that of Harry Truman has occurred in Washington.

According to press reports the names of Senator Joseph R. McCarthy and his lovely wife were omitted from the invitation lists for two State dinners to which all other Republican Senators had a bid. The White House secretary who issued the invitations said this omission was the decision of the President and his wife.

Truman did an equally crude thing in 1948 when he openly insulted the Governor of South Carolina and his wife by refusing to speak to them because of that State's opposition to his candidacy for President.

American presidents and their family are traditionally assumed to be persons of culture and refinement and above all to have an acute conception of the amenities which prevent petty political resentment from being reflected in the social affairs of the White House which, after all, belongs to the people.

When this recognized rule of good breeding is violated, it makes many Americans ashamed and unhappy and especially in the present case since Senator McCarthy enjoys the confidence and respect of a substantial majority of the people of the United States.

We are sure that if the President and his wife had given the matter more serious thought, they would not have been guilty of this breach of good taste or engaged in unethical official behavior.

They just probably did not realize that they were not in a private home of their own throwing a party for personal friends and, having lived abroad so long, they forgot that in this country the President is merely the public servant of the people expected at all times to live up to the traditional decorum of the office.

## Form of Eloquence So Desperately Lacking in the Halls of Congress

Recently, all in the same week, we had the rare privilege of listening to addresses by two great Americans, each of whom is among the few forceful and brilliant speakers which this generation has produced.

We have reference to Mary Cain of Mississippi and Clarence Manion of Indiana, two personalities who are totally unlike in their manner and approach to their subject but having a common philosophy in their solutions for the current ills of government compounded of unalloyed Americanism and plain horse sense.

We reacted as we invariably do on such occasions and that was with a silent prayer that the philosophy of these two devoted American patriots, which once resounded throughout the Halls of Congress when great statesmen held forth there, may once again become the basis of our national policy when the absurd theories of shallow-brained idealists shall have run their course and perished of their own inanity.

Naturally both of them plead constantly for a return to Constitutional government in the United States and for a revival of that spirit of independence which inspired American patriots at Concord to resist a form of tyranny that was no longer bearable to a people determined to be free.

As Mary Cain so forcefully points out, the stamp tax imposed on the colonists by a foreign despot was a mere pittance when compared with the unconscionable levies against American citizens today but the principle is the same and both constitute tyranny of rulers against a sovereign people which ends only when defied by the united protests of an outraged citizenship.

## Along Came the Commies And Took the 'Liberals'

A strikingly clear picture of the situation in the 1930's when the Communist conspiracy was building up in this country, was given by Congressman Martin Dies in testimony to the Jenner Committee, when he said:

"There were ten million 'liberals' running around like a chicken with its head chopped off and wanting to change everything. They didn't know exactly what they wanted to change, but they wanted change.

"Along came the Commies and they were the only group in that bunch that had a program. They knew where they were going and what they were doing.

"So they took over this ten million 'liberals' and used them and suddenly came the exposure

## Peress and Monmouth?

(From Dallas Morning News)

The Senate investigations subcommittee, now under John McClellan instead of Joe McCarthy, wants \$192,000 to continue work.

Among first orders of business are Peress and Fort Monmouth. Laughter is justified. The same committeemen who ended up investigating McCarthy now want to do what Joe wanted to do in the first place.

Well, better late than never. But what about the White House? Will it pull another blunder by covering up? Or will it be even more naive by giving a Democratic committee what it would not give a Republican? Or is John McClellan asking \$192,000 to prove Joe McCarthy was right?

that here were these organizations that the 'liberals' had sponsored and worked under and contributed money to, under the control of Moscow."

## Federal Acts Should Be Properly Labeled

Poor, long-suffering Americans who have been lying awake nights worrying because National Socialism has quit creeping and started crawling, are to have a break at last which may enable them to forget their insomnia-producing problems.

A Federal government agency has come to bat and struck down a formidable domestic enemy and exposed an unfriendly if not a subversive, foe.

In a carefully planned and brilliantly executed maneuver, the Federal Trade Commission bore down on a pillow manufacturer and caught him dead-to-rights in a plot against the peace and security of the American people.

Through quiet and effective strategy of a pillow expert employed by the Commission, two pillows of the offending concern were seized and ripped open exposing a horrible example of violation on a wholesale scale of a Federal statute which takes precedence over all other human enactments except the Charter of the United Nations.

It developed that the pillow manufacturer had betrayed the confidence reposed in him by the American public by advertising that his product was filled with "90 per cent duck feathers plucked from the downy breast of the fowl."

When the pillow expert had scientifically sifted the contents of the pillows, it was found that they contained only 89.4 per cent of duck feathers and that many of these were picked from another part of the duck's anatomy.

The concern was harshly lectured by outraged Federal representatives and warned that in future they must label their product according to its contents or risk the full penalty of the law.

We can rest easier now in the assurance that the rule of proper labeling will be guaranteed by Washington officials in future.

Our own thought is that if they will just carry this labeling business further and compel the administration and the Congress to put a proper label on government policies and legislative enactments and tell the American people where they originated and by whom, we, for one, will be willing to sleep on a pillow stuffed with the hair from a horse's tail.

So, in future, if they properly comply with Federal labeling rules concerning Federal Housing, Socialized Medicine, Civil Rights, Social Security, wealth-distributing tax bills and various other subjects in which the Federal government feels that it exercises jurisdiction, we may expect these proposals to bear this notation; "90 per cent of this Act was written by Karl Marx and was plucked directly from the Communist Manifesto."

*The greatest threat to our national security is through international conferences. We have lost every one since 1933 and it is now known that Russia expects to control the world by way of the conference table.*

## Revelations of Reece Committee Make It Imperative for Congress to Act

One of the greatest acts of public service which the Congress could render to the people and to the nation would be for them to use the information available to them in official reports of the Reece Committee and pass legislation preventing the abuse of the tax-exemption privilege and providing penalties for those who are now doing so.

The Internal Revenue Act, Section 501, governing the granting of tax exemption provides that such exemption shall only be extended to "corporations and any community chest, fund or foundation organized and operated exclusively for educational purposes . . . no substantial part of the activities of which is carrying on propaganda or otherwise attempting to influence legislation . . .".

The Reece Committee has thoroughly investigated the large foundations and offered proof that such foundations who are escaping millions in taxation, are violating, and have been for years, practically every provision of the Internal Revenue Act and that they have subsidized individuals, groups and movements who have strong Communist connections and that the overall purpose of these Foundations is to install a Socialist government in the United States.

As to "influencing legislation", the Ford Foundation has set up a fund of fifteen million dollars to "influ-

ence" the Congress to quit investigating Communists.

It is quite possible that these large foundations who have spent billions to acquire control over powerful public figures and to build up influence reaching into many segments of American society are too big for the government to bring into line and hold accountable for their violations of Federal Law.

If this is true the people are entitled to know the facts because they necessarily pay more taxes to the extent that these large tax-exempt groups escape it.

With the data assembled by the Reece Committee for the information of Congress, we do not see how they can ignore it or refuse to take any action in the matter.

It is all the more alarming in view of the fact that it is practically an impossibility for individuals, groups or organizations combatting the subversive ideologies which the large foundations promote, to get similar exemptions by the treasury.

And the foundations are not the only offenders. If it were possible for Congress to get around Executive rules suppressing information and procure a list of all those enjoying tax-exemption privileges, they would find some of the most active left-wing political groups in this country receiving the benefit of this provision in the revenue law.

## The Principle Is Exactly the Same

Evidently one of the points of difference between the Fair Deal Democrats and Fair Deal Republicans in Congress will lie in proving which party can build the greatest number of houses at taxpayers' expense.

Representative Albert Thomas of Houston, a dedicated New-Fair-Dealer if there ever was one, rushed into print as soon as Congress was organized with the breathless assertion that the greatest year in home building by the Federal government lay just ahead of us.

To prove his point, he quoted the Federal Housing Administration to this effect.

We wonder sometimes if some of our fast-talking and slow-thinking Socialists in Congress have cracked a book carrying a copy of the Constitution in the past quarter of a century.

There should be some rule governing the situation which compels members of both Houses of the Congress to read the Constitution of the United States at least once a month.

If they made any sort of pretense of legislating according to the provisions of that document, they would be compelled to dismantle the Federal Housing Authority and all the other unauthorized bureaus in less time than it took to set them up.

The Federal government has no more right to spend taxpayers' money in building houses for the citizen than it has to supply brassieres to Marilyn Monroe.

## Anybody Can Give Answers if They Don't Have to Be Right

Some one has called our attention to Eleanor Roosevelt's column in a magazine which hews to the Party Line in which she plays the role she assigned herself a long time ago and that is to answer questions on any subject anybody can think up. Nothing feazes her and she will make a stab at anything.

In reply to some silly question in that column about "McCarthyism," she blithely replied that the FBI was perfectly capable of protecting the security of the American people. This answer is inaccurate, if not deliberately dishonest.

While we concede that what Mrs. Roosevelt doesn't know about the workings of the American form of government would fill all the books in the Congressional library, she does know better than to credit the FBI with authority it does not possess.

Surely she hasn't forgotten when the alert FBI, which is merely a fact-finding body with no authority to act on its information, warned her husband about Alger Hiss and numerous other Communist spies operating in government agencies, only to have the deep humiliation of seeing these traitors promoted to more responsible positions.

No matter how pink and slanted the publication is, it should have some stipulation in its contract with the lady which would hold her somewhere near the truth and the facts in the answers she so readily gives.

## One of the Blackest Pages In All American History

### Government by Boards and Commissions Strengthened

According to an Associated Press release from Washington on January 18, the President will now take over the responsibility of personally passing on every employe of the Federal Government's various agencies in future.

In an action which indicates abandonment of all pretense of adhering to Constitutional government as Americans have known it, the president has set up a five-man committee which, it is explained in the A. P. article, will have "increased stature" over the government's old Fair Employment Board which the White House abolished.

Instead of reporting to the Congress of the United States serving as the official representatives of the American people, the committee will report directly to the President.

The new committee will see that there is no "discrimination against any employe or applicant for employment in the Federal government because of race, color, religion or national origin."

Two of the five members named by the President are Negroes, one of them an alternate delegate to the United Nations.

The action of the President in this instance greatly strengthens the practice of government by boards and commissions instead of by elected representatives of the people, a custom initiated by Franklin D. Roosevelt and which has grown steadily since that time.

Meantime, those planning to seek a job in Washington had better provide themselves with a piece of burnt cork just to be on the safe side.

### Lodge Gives Himself A Nice Pat on the Back

Henry Cabot Lodge, Jr., in a United Nations press release, says that he started two years ago as a delegate to the U.N. with the mission of restoring public faith in that organization. After two years, he says that he feels that he has achieved that mission to a great extent. He adds that "American satisfaction with the progress of the U.N. is at an all-time high."

Well, we started out over five years ago as editor of the Southern Conservative to destroy public faith in that bogus outfit and we haven't done so bad, either. We have had literally thousands of communications from every State in the Union and from some foreign countries thanking us for opening the eyes of the people to the real objective of this phony "peace" organization.

If Mr. Lodge feels that "American satisfaction" with the U.N. is at an "all-time high" how does he account for the fact that influential members of the United States Senate have demanded our withdrawal from that body and that leading members of the American Bar Association have denounced it as a conspiracy against the sovereignty of the United States?

Also, how does he explain the action of a former Chief Delegate to the U.N. from the Phillipines, Carlos P. Romulo in writing an article in a weekly American magazine titled "The United Nations is Dying"?

Mr. Lodge obviously is an artist at self-hypnosis and can make himself see what he would like to see.

One of the blackest pages in American history was the transfer of our American monetary plates to the Russian government in 1945 so that the Russian occupation of Germany would be financed by American taxpayers. Since millions in American money was being spent in Germany at the time, there was no way to distinguish between that illegally printed by the Russians and that used by the Americans in that area. The American government was compelled, because of this, to redeem all the currency so printed but the American people have never been told the total amount stolen from American taxpayers in this manner.

The transfer of these plates to the Russians was accomplished by traitors in the United States Treasury Department and included Harry Dexter White, William Ludwig Ullman and Harold Glasser.

Virginius Frank Coe, and Nathan Gregory Silvermaster were Russian spies working in other departments of the government in Washington who aided White in transferring the plates to the Soviets.

All these traitors were being paid by the Federal government and sworn testimony before a subcommittee on Government Operations shows that White even conferred with Secretary of the Treasury Morgenthau on the Russians' desire to secure these plates after which Morgenthau turned the matter over to White to handle. He handled it all right, and no one will ever know how much money the Russian beasts stole in American currency for the matter was hushed up as quickly as possible.

Interested Americans may read all about it in Senate Report No. 837, 83rd Congress, 1st session, obtainable at the Government Printing Office in Washington.

## They Stick Like A Postage Stamp

We do not know anything about the merits of the case in which a Russian-born American, Wolf Ladejinsky, was dismissed by one department of the Federal government on the grounds he was a security risk and snapped up by another at a higher salary.

We do know that this performance is a repetition of those so freely engaged in during previous administrations when it was impossible to get rid of one undesirable employe in a government agency, without having him bob up in another. In fact, it was revealed that Harry Truman had a standing order that security risks were to be treated in this manner.

These pesky government employes are like a monkey who, when his feet are pried loose from a limb will grab another and hang on by his tail, and it seems next to impossible to finally separate them from the payroll once they connect up with it.

Ladejinsky is reputed to be an expert at "land reform" programs and is now engaged in this type of activity in Indochina, although what business it is of ours to do any land reforming in that area, only Heaven knows.

Land reform, as currently interpreted, is simply Communism in action as the whole theory is "taking from each according to his means and giving to each according to his needs" and regardless of how you slice it, that is Socialistic and Communistic baloney, call it what you will.

Never in these instances is it seriously suggested that these birds get themselves a job outside the government and start working for a living for a change.

## Wisdom Lies in Having One - Armed Man Pass the Collection Plate

Since it seems there will be no end to the setting up of new committees, commissions and such in Washington, we think it would be well to establish another one.

This would be a sort of economic auditing board to report direct to the American people on the operation of the three separate departments of government and inform them when the Congress was about to legislate on subjects not authorized in the Constitution, when the White House was preparing to usurp, or have delegated to it, authority constitutionally denied the Chief Executive and warn when the Supreme Court was considering matters strictly outside its jurisdiction.

This board would be composed strictly of native white Americans professing the Christian faith and no college professors, economists, social scientists or members of Americans for Democratic Action or the National Council of Churches would be eligible to serve.

The American people were once the proud possessors of many valuable assets which seem to have either been mislaid or stolen such as a governing system based on States Rights, Free Enterprise, individual initiative, the right of selection of associates, and other prerogatives of a free and independent people.

We believe a thorough auditing and inventory would reveal a dangerous shortage in these assets now and the American people are entitled to look at the ledger.

As a rule, we keep track of our personal possessions and money but carelessly allow our freedoms to be embezzled one by one.

We should at least show the same measure of caution displayed by a colored preacher who, before the Federal government elevated minority groups to priority status, used to come to us occasionally for a small contribution and who once said to us with a chuckle: "I've got a one-armed member of my congregation and I always gets him to pass de collection plate". He didn't exactly suspect his brethren of dishonesty but was simply playing it safe and taking no chances.

Americans should show equal concern about their rights which are being gradually filched from them by those in possession of the "collection plate".

The New Socialist senator from Oregon, Richard Neuberger, hardly hit the senate floor before introducing a bill to further gig the taxpayers. His measure would reduce from 65 to 60 the age at which women may begin drawing a pension from themselves and neighbors which they fondly regard as social security from the government. Neuberger was joined by several other disciples of Marx who signed the bill with him.

There may have been at some stage in history a weaker character in public office than Army Secretary Robert Stevens but we defy any one to name him.

## 'Co - Existence' Is Stalin's Brainchild

The idea of "peaceful co-existence" was first advanced by Stalin but nobody in this country paid any serious attention to the old cutthroat's suggestion until recently when it seems to be getting some powerful support.

The idea of it is, of course, to furnish a breathing spell for the Russians who are understood to be unprepared to pursue their plans for conquest of the world just at this time.

They are building a railroad from Moscow to Peiping and it will be a long time before that is finished but when it is and they can adequately furnish war materials to their bandits in Communist China, watch out.

## High Court Charged With 'Calculated Conspiracy'

Congress was asked to investigate the Supreme Court in a resolution introduced in the Georgia Legislature recently.

Noting that while the Constitution vests all legislative power in the Congress, the resolution by Representative Alpha Fowler, Jr., charges that the Court has "for the last two decades ceased merely to construe the Constitution but has undertaken its re-writing in accordance with their detestable preconceived notions and collectivism and Federal Utopian schemes". The resolution further says that "This tyrannical activity transcends the limits of mere judicial error and traverses the realm of calculated conspiracy against the liberty of free men."

A companion resolution, by the same author, calls on the Senate of the United States to reject presidential appointments to the Supreme Court of "persons lacking in judicial experience and temperament."

It is believed to be the first time in the nation's history that a State lawmaking body has entertained a resolution condemning the country's highest court but it is also the first time in history when the official behavior of members of that body has sunk to the level of that of ward politicians, and made such condemnation necessary.

From a subscriber in Regina, Canada "Your fight for the interests of the United States is part of a larger fight being carried on by many people all over the world, although, alas, I am afraid the number is very much in the minority . . . I could wish that you would allow outside interests some space in your excellent paper, if only to show your subscribers in the U.S. that the fight is not confined to Americans facing the dangers of Communists in key positions, but for many others for whom the same danger is much more real."

We have been seriously worried about a report to the effect that the enlistment oath for the armed services has been changed and the name "God" omitted and the reference to "defending the Constitution" deleted. It's hard to believe this and we can't believe it until those in position to know prove it to us. Then we will undertake to find out who ordered it done.

## The Least We Can Do Is Give Flyers Safe Planes

Following uncounted numbers of American planes which have crashed without apparent cause, we understand some effort is being made at an Army airfield in Florida to thoroughly test these planes before take-off and it is high time.

From the disclosure of espionage in defense plants and the miserable way the Army handled the Peress case there is reason to believe that security measures, even in the plants where planes are built, are not what they should be.

American flyers are entitled to have an even break when they start on their dangerous missions which they don't have unless it is made certain that there were no saboteurs in the factory where the plane was constructed.

## Our Thanks to the Reno Women's Republican Club

We are deeply grateful for a lovely pin cast from Nevada silver and presented to us with the compliments of the Womens Republican Club of Reno, of which Mrs. Mabel Havens is President.

We explained to Mrs. Virginia White of Reno who graciously brought the pin to us that we were not a Republican but rather a Southern Democrat who had not deserted, but had been deserted by, the Party.

We were assured that the donors knew this and that they were familiar with the type since Nevada was the home of a late great Democrat who was similarly situated and that they merely wished to give us a token of their appreciation for our efforts in behalf of Americanism.

Thanks very much to the Womens Republican Club of Reno. We shall wear the pin with great pride.

The one world internationalists bent on destroying the American form of government never give up. Humphrey of Minnesota has introduced a measure in the Senate to provide for funds to build schools which he naively explains would be "administered by state and local authorities." Each State would have to get approval of the United States Commissioner of Education and wouldn't that be something?

Luther Youngdahl who was made a Federal judge through a horse trade with Truman, performed as we predicted he would in the Lattimore case. He threw out the indictment against this eely character which charged him with lying when he denied he was a Communist. How degenerate can the judiciary get?

From a subscriber in Palo Alto, California: "I think you are doing a tremendous job of informing the people as a free press should. The American people are hungry for the truth and they do not get very much unless they are clever enough to know the score and where to get it."

From a livestock operator in South Dakota: "It doesn't seem possible that so much truth could be printed in such a little paper as the Southern Conservative."

## We'll Settle for Non - Global Gobel

As if the American people hadn't been punished enough through being hit in the ear by the caterwauling of Johnny Ray, we are now going to have "Eurovision" forced on us, if a London press release is to be believed.

This, we are told, is universal vision which is already in operation in Europe and which will "link us with the people of eight other nations." (What many good American have been worrying about is how to get "unlinked" from them).

It is explained by those taking the long view that if, in future, we can flick a switch and let a citizen of Bombay or the Zanzibar Protectorate, for example, come into our living room and visit, he will no longer be a stranger and in this way we will become one big happy family of nations, all eating out of the same pot and sipping through the same quill.

To illustrate the cultural potentialities of this global hook-up, we are assured that the day will soon come when Americans may sit in their own living room and watch a burlesque show in Vienna, a hula hula dance in Hawaii and a strip tease act in Paris.

We don't like to strain our eyes peering across the ocean and if it's all the same to the sponsors of the new project, we'll just keep tuned to local stations and settle for such domestic performers as George Gobel.

## What Americans Need Is More Spunk and Spine

(From the Southern Conservative, June, 1950, page 8)

We love the story of the Midwest farmer who, during the early days of the New Deal when government agents from Washington were swarming all over the landscape giving orders like a Top Sergeant about what could be planted and what must be plowed under, put this sign on his gate:

"This is my farm. I want no assistance and I will tolerate no interference. Any government agent coming on my property will be treated like any other trespasser."

As he had a local reputation of wielding a wicked shotgun, it is needless to say that representatives from the Federal Department of Agriculture gave him a wide berth.

It is our earnest wish that a resurgence of Americanism would put this kind of iron in the spines of the people again to the extent that they would rise up, rid the country of gangster politics and clean out the National Capital.

Nothing makes our blood boil quicker than the sight of a Federal snooper who probably never made twenty-five dollars a week until he latched on to a government job, prying and poking into the records of reputable business concerns, interfering with office routine, asking intimidating and insulting questions and acting generally as if he expected at any moment to uncover a murdered corpse.

We don't believe that there is a red-blooded American living who, under these circumstances, does not harbor a smouldering desire to heave these snoopers out the door.

(Editor's note: After more than five years, we are more convinced than before that what the American people need is more "Spunk and Spine.")

## Solons Indicate More Faith In Colleagues Than We Have

The Honorable Everett Dirksen and Honorable Chauncey Reed, senator and Congressman from Illinois, have introduced a joint resolution in the Senate and House.

The resolutions propose an amendment limiting the amount of income tax levy to a minimum of twenty-five per cent, with a provision which would "permit Congress by a vote of three-fourths of its members to exceed that rate at any time without limit."

The provision automatically destroys any merit of the resolution because any one with intelligence enough to be permitted out doors alone, knows that even if the amendment were to pass and be ratified by the necessary number of States, the Congress would operate 100 per cent under that provision and not under the amendment itself.

Any way, it has about as much chance of being voted favorably out of the House and Senate as a one-legged man has of winning a foot race.

The current generation of lawmakers has grown up under the corrupting influence of the Sixteenth amendment and adhere strongly to the belief that taxpayers' money grows on trees and that all they have to do is reach up and pluck billions from the branches.

To undertake to convince them of the immorality of the Sixteenth amendment, which merely grants them a legal license to rob, would be about the same as trying to talk to them in the Hindustani language.

There is a way, however, to bring them to heel and this method was devised by the smart men who wrote the Constitution and who

had the foresight to provide, not one, but two ways of amending the Constitution.

The first way is for two-thirds of the members of both Houses of Congress to submit an amendment to the States and when three fourths of the States have ratified it, it becomes a part of the Constitution. But, for reasons stated above, and because no politician will shoot Santa Claus or kill the goose that lays the golden egg, we had as well forget that one.

But the second way is something else. Two-thirds of the States—thirty-two in number—may submit a resolution to Congress instructing them to send back to the States such and such an amendment in which case it becomes mandatory on Congress to do so, and they can't wiggle out. When three-fourths of the States have ratified it, it then becomes in full effect.

The Western Tax Council in Chicago has been working on this proposition since 1939 and through their efforts and other individuals and organizations working with them, resolutions on the subject have been passed by all but four or five of the necessary States needed to compel Congress to submit the amendment.

The sensible thing is for this job to be finished and the four or five remaining States necessary to complete it be persuaded to pass the resolution. Many legislatures are now in session.

Of course the honorable thing to do would be to repeal the Sixteenth amendment with nothing to take its place but a whole new crop of lawmakers with integrity and understanding and respect for property rights would have to be bred first and we don't have time.

## Bricker Amendment Will Serve Until We Come to Our Senses

The Bricker amendment will come up in the 84th Congress for consideration and every effort will be exerted against it this time as was the case in the 83rd when the measure was defeated by a small margin.

Opponents of the amendment are internationalists, one worlders, and other advocates of government by treaty whose lobby is highly financed by the large foundations and other groups plugging for national and international Socialism.

The Bricker amendment provides that no treaty or international agreement by the president and foreign powers may become internal law except through action by the United States Senate as provided in the Constitution.

The amendment will not cure all our ills, by any means, but it will serve to plug the loophole in the Constitution which makes treaties the supreme law of the land and enables them to take

*The Carnegie and Rockefeller Foundations gave millions of dollars to the National Educational Association and the Progressive Education Association for the purpose of Socializing the American public school system, and, until the latter went out of existence, these two groups did their best. The NEA still carries on in behalf of National Socialism. And the two Foundations don't pay the Federal government any tax because they are "educational."*

precedence over domestic enactments.

The real solution, of course, is to get out of the United Nations and stay out, but unless our leaders come to their senses, or we get new leaders, this may be a long way off and the Bricker amendment should be passed meantime to minimize the harm this evil one-world organization can do to our country.

## We Help Fatten the Soviets All the Better to Fight Us

### Barnum Was Right. You Can't Fool Them Always

It is rumored on good authority that, following the election in November when the Fair Dealers in both parties were feeling cocky, the plan was formulated to make it tough on patriotic Americans hereafter and to throttle free speech on the part of all except internationalists, one worlders, and other extreme left-wing radicals.

The idea was for a sort of revival of the inquisition methods instituted during Roosevelt's administration when it was inadvisable, if not dangerous, for any American to criticize the New Deal or its policies. Under the proposed plan the tactics of the late nefarious Buchanan Committee would be employed by Committees of Congress in future which have heretofore devoted their efforts to the exposure of Communism in government.

The "hate" press release by some member of the staff of the House Committee on Un-American Activities in which it was preposterously charged that right wing groups were as dangerous to "democratic principles" as those of the left wing groups, was a sort of trial balloon to get public reaction.

The reaction against the sentiments expressed in the press release was so overpowering that wiser heads among the radicals have all but decided to abandon the program.

What the Commie-loving planners overlooked or forgot entirely was that sentiment in this country has definitely changed in the past few years. Since Roosevelt's day, several million Americans have been alerted to the giant conspiracy against this country and where an intimidated people were practically afraid to breathe during the New Deal's heyday, they will haul off now and let the left-wingers have it with both barrels.

One of them, Congressman Abraham J. Multer of New York, did go so far as to introduce a bill in Congress to make it a penal offense for anybody to say anything against subversives but about all he has gotten so far on his measure is a horse laugh.

Here's where another old adage comes in handy and proves that those who framed these old saws had something: "You can't fool all of the people all of the time."

### It's Out of Date Now But It Worked Then

We get pretty fed up with proposals offered for curing juvenile delinquency such as constructing recreation centers, planned play programs and, in extreme cases, treatment by a psychiatrist.

When we were young, there were probably as many little hellions per capita as there are today but when they got out of line, nobody proposed recreation centers or planned play and psychiatrists were unheard of.

In fact, nobody proposed anything. They just took the young lad and marched him to the woodshed and when he came out, he might not be able to sit down as comfortably as before but he grew up with respect for authority, for his parents, other people's property and human life.

The President reported to Congress that about \$617,000,000 worth of surplus products which, through idiotic procedure in Washington, had been allowed to accumulate in government storehouses had been disposed of or were in the process of being disposed of.

No details were given of how they were disposed of, but it is understood that millions of pounds of butter, which constituted a large percentage of the surplus, was sold to foreign countries at a price which was only a fraction of what American housewives must pay. In many cases, it was given away, just to get rid of it.

It doesn't take a vivid imagination to visualize this butter going to people behind the Iron Curtain to fatten their ribs and make them physically able to prosecute the conspiracy against the United States with more vim and vigor.

Not only severing diplomatic relations with Russia and her satellites, but also cutting off supplies to the people of those countries is the only sane and sensible manner of dealing with those barbarians, but as is to be expected, the fact that it is a simple and plain solution, makes its rejection certain.

Our hard-headed and soft-brained diplomats have been sold on the ridiculous theory that by filling the stomachs of Communists, we can win them away from the Kremlin, but it hasn't worked and it has cost untold billions to prove that it won't work.

Since the full stomach plan has failed miserably, we suggest an empty-stomach policy be put into effect. A hungry man is more amenable to reason than one who is loggy with food.

We should place an embargo against the shipment of anything whatever to Russia and her allies and cut them off from getting anything anywhere that we can prevent.

It's not the most charitable proposition in the world but we have reached, and long ago passed, the place where we should re-learn the old adage that charity begins at home. It may mean the difference between survival and oblivion for this country.

## Democrats Give Proof Of Their Sincerity

The Democrats have been very emphatic in asserting that they intend to make an aggressive attempt to expose Communist spies in government.

As a demonstration of their sincerity, they denied Martin Dies, the most effective Communist fighter on their side of the aisle, a place on the Un-American Activities Committee.

They even took him off the Fisheries Committee of the House on which he served last session and it is rumored that this action was taken because of a fear that he might expose some Red Herrings.

*Senate Bill 519 is one which should be watched carefully and every possible means employed to get members of the Senate to vote against it. It is by Ives of New York and, if passed, would practically nullify the McCarran-Walter Immigration bill now in effect. Ives claims it follows recommendations of the President and it probably does. We have so many alien subversives here now that we can hardly step for them.*

## Now We Should Have More Time for Bird Watching

Those of us who frequently complain about the Federal government encroaching on fields not authorized by the Constitution, are shamed into silence when confronted by such essential domestic aid programs as that now proposed to be rendered by the Department of Commerce.

Frankly, it is difficult for us to understand how American women have survived thus far without benefit of this priceless service.

In a press bulletin released by the Commodity Standards Division of the Department, we are advised that, after nine years of study and research on the subject, the experts have decided that much valuable time is wasted through "lack of a uniform measuring system to determine our sizes in dresses, coats, slips, etc."

They have concluded, after much "scientific sampling" to develop a sort of uniform system of sizes that will apply from the skin out, so to speak. They insist that the same "size designations must be used on underwear that is used on outerwear" and they intend to have all manufacturers and distributors adjust to the program . . . whether they will have them display a Blue Eagle in their window to denote conformity was not indicated.

From earnest and concentrated study of the bulletin, we take it that instead of having to specify a size 38 dress, a size 20 coat, a size 18 slip and a size 5 "etc." in the bright and rosy future we will be able to march jauntily into a shop and order a straight 38 all down the line.

In the meantime, we will keep our fingers crossed and will be praying that this particular merchant is conforming to the Department's program and that we don't get some "etc.'s" that will fit an elephant.

Of course there are bound to be some rugged individualists among us who sometimes gain or lose a pound or two between meals and who refuse to adjust to the Department's standard of measurements but, in that case, they will probably have a sort of sliding scale or flexible provision along the lines of the Farm Parity Bill, and no doubt elastic reinforcements will be provided in such emergencies.

The bulletin didn't say who did the "scientific sampling."

*Our private opinion, publicly expressed, is that if Senator McCarthy had confined his investigation to small fry subversives, the disgraceful "censure" Conspiracy would not have been hatched. It was when he started exposing the big operators in the Army and indicated future intentions of looking into the State Department, CIA and other top-bracket agencies that the frame-up against him got under way.*

*Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey of Indiana has written several volumes of filth, perversion and obscenity. Dr. Kinsey was financed in his "research" work by the Rockefeller Foundation which enjoys tax exemption privileges from the Federal government on the ground that its activities are "educational."*

## We Salute Unsung American of Long Ago for Inspiring Example

The latest group of Americans to be singled out for preferential treatment by government has been designated by an Under Secretary of Labor as the "chronically unemployed."

He is attacking the problem with zest and announces that a sort of "technical assistance program" is being worked out by his Department that will take care of them when they have drawn all the unemployment compensation the law allows.

This problem, according to the Under Secretary, has the "first and greatest claim upon our ingenuity and resources" and while he concedes it is primarily a matter for State concern, he promises that the Federal government will step in and do its part.

"Chronically unemployed" is a new name for an old problem.

Even in the small village near which we were born, we had "chronically unemployed." However, in that benighted era we had no "social conscience" such as we now boast, so we didn't refer to them by that fancy title. We just called them tramps.

One of them still lingers in our memory to this day because of the aloof dignity he was able to maintain without a penny to back it up and of the dim view he took of anything that might even remotely be classed as physical labor.

This mysterious character drifted into town one day and forgot to leave. Nobody knew where he came from and he didn't know where he was going. In the absence of any definite destination, or planned itinerary, he just calmly settled down for the rest of his life as a sort of non-paying guest of the community.

He said his name was Reed but further than that he would venture no information whatever, so the village wits promptly dubbed him "Coony" out of deference to his strong facial resemblance to a raccoon. This nickname clung to him until that summer day many years later when he quietly stretched out on the grass under a railroad trestle and passed away, the secret of his identity inviolate in death as it had been in life.

Although able-bodied and reasonably strong, from the day he hit town on, Cooney was never known to make any contribution to his own upkeep aside from occasionally shooting a squirrel or snaring a catfish from the South Fork of Hubbard Creek. With the same attitude of confident reliance which his prototype today displays toward the Federal government, he simply transferred the responsibility for his support to the shoulders of the local citizenry.

And if this small detail of his refusal to work for his own living inspired any resentment it was ignored, and especially by Coony. By tacit agreement the people of the town jointly took over the job of providing him with the necessities of life and would alternate in sending left-over or surplus food, along with cast-off clothing to the abandoned shack at the edge of the village which he had appropriated as his home on arrival.

This kindly gesture toward the needy was automatic and emphasized the universal spirit of Christian charity which prevailed in this country until the Federal government stepped in and assumed the responsibility of providing everything to the citizen from his diaper to his shroud.

Coony, old boy, wherever you are, we salute you! With the cruel arrogance and intolerance of childhood, we used to contemptuously regard you as a hobo and a stumblebum but from the vantage point of mature years, we have acquired a new conception of you and your kind.

We know now that you were merely born too soon and that your line of thinking was far in advance of the times in which you lived. If you could have stuck around a few more decades, you would have found your way of life vindicated and your species exalted far above and beyond the scant consideration given stupid taxpayers who cling to the dumb conception of every American earning his keep.

You would have seen class legislation enacted in your behalf, a fierce struggle among powerful political factions for your approval and support, and the initiation of national policies securing you in your inalienable right to loaf and live off the toil of others.

Even though in your time the economic theory of "taking from each according to his means and giving to each according to his needs" was still just a gleam in a Socialist's eye, you had the foresight to adopt it as your own personal code and to gallantly establish a precedent which would one day become an accepted policy throughout the land.

So, Hail and Farewell, Coony! Although you were a sort of silent prophet without honor in your day, you were a pioneer in the field of human rights and your fearless example in compelling your fellow townsmen to share their wealth provided a pattern on which our great statesmen base all social and economic legislation today.

## Another Wisconsin Citizen Refuses to Be Intimidated

Herbert V. Kohler of Kohler, Wisconsin, is president of the Kohler Company and an American business executive who has the stamina to deny labor unions the right to step in and run his business.

He also has no hesitancy in putting a CIO-sponsored member of the United States Senate in his place when such senator so far forgets his status as a public servant of all his constituents that he agrees to serve as a messenger boy for a labor union.

For ten months a strike has been on at the Kohler plant near Sheyboygan, Wisconsin, and the union strong-arm squad has committed all the forms of vandalism usually associated with goons in their efforts to maintain "labor's gains" as Franklin D. Roosevelt used to jauntily refer to it.

As if Mr. Kohler didn't have enough trouble protecting his property and the lives of his faithful employes from attacks by the lawless gangs, as spineless "law enforcement" officials refused to interfere, he was attacked from another source.

James E. Murray who maintains his seat in the United States Senate through the votes of Anaconda Copper employes in Montana, got into the act.

Even though the affair was in no way connected with his State or any of its citizens, Murray, with a measure of arrogance which would do credit to Malenkov or Tito in chastening an offending subordinate, wrote to Mr. Kohler demanding a full report of the matter and threatening him with an investigation by the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare of the Senate.

Like other notable citizens of Wisconsin, Mr. Kohler is not easily intimidated and in his reply, he took care of the situation adequately and also of the Montana Senator.

The whole correspondence appears in the Congressional Record of January 10, beginning on page 177 and the courage of Mr. Kohler and his refusal to be coerced or blackmailed by union labor and their stooges, is very heartening to those who are opposed to the facilities of government being placed at the disposal of one class of Americans to be employed to the detriment of another class.

*A Concurrent Resolution, S.C.R. 2, has been introduced by Mansfield of Montana, calling for the appointment of a joint committee of six members from the Senate and six from the House to look into the affairs of the Central Intelligence Agency, probably the most mysterious group in the capital. It was charged by the Hoover Commission with a lack of "proficiency and dependability in its estimates" and is set up along the line of the GESTAPO and MVID, is not answerable to Congress and practically nothing is known about its activities, its expenditures, or its far-flung personnel. However, nothing will be done about it, according to those in position to know, and all attempts to obtain any information about it will be futile.*