

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 5

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, OCTOBER, 1954

No. 10

Servile Surrender by Southern Officials Will Sound Death Knell of States Rights

Death Plucks Giant From The Floor of The Senate

The Angel of Death smiled on the Soviets and their defenders in the USA when Senator Pat McCarran of Nevada was cut down by the grim reaper.

One of the scant minority in the United States Senate entitled to be ranked as a fearless American statesman, Senator McCarran's long career in that body was marked by an un-deviating policy of sound Americanism from which he could not be swerved.

Elected to the Senate in the Democratic landslide of 1932, he consistently refused to vote for Socialistic laws on demand of the New Deal dictator, even though most of his colleagues fell like grain before a scythe.

Always keenly alert to the danger facing his country through the Communist menace, he was prophetic even as the shadows closed around him. Almost his last words were in denunciation of, and warning against, attempts of Soviet pawns to force a Senate censure of another great American because of his relentless fight against this common foe.

It is Sacrilegious we know to question the will of Divine Providence and all good Americans who take this blow as a personal loss, must find consolation in the adage that death loves a shining mark, else why would a giant be plucked from an arena of human action where pygmies preponderantly prevail.

Braver Men Than These ONE THING IS FOR SURE— Have Died of Fright

The American people never witnessed a more pitiable exhibition of political cowardice than that displayed by the Senate leadership in deciding to wait until November 8 to vote on whether McCarthy should be censured for exposing Communists without due regard for the sensitive feelings of those shielding them.

Senator William F. Knowland made a valiant effort to convince the people that the delay was decided on in order that more time might be devoted to studying the matter after the heat and confusion of a political campaign had passed.

This was not true of course. Senator Knowland knew it wasn't true and he knew the American people knew it wasn't true, but there was nothing else he, as majority leader, could do except try his best to conceal the real motives.

The reason the matter was de-

There is more than an even chance that some of the censure-minded senators may be in no position to censure anybody when they get back to Washington a few days after the November election.

layed is because they were plain scared of the situation and didn't have the nerve to face up to it.

If they had met and voted not to censure, they would have had to go home and face McCarthy's enemies just before election in which many of them are involved. If they had voted to censure they would have had to brave the wrath of McCarthy's friends who are legion with the certainty of a terrific fight for their political future.

Maybe they are able to convince themselves that their action was the decision of men with iron in their spines, but we have seen broken-backed caterpillars with more.

Any Attorney General who meekly trudges to Washington on December sixth to take dictation from Federal egg heads about how the internal affairs of his State shall be run not only knows less than nothing about the organic structure of the American government and the paramount power of the States comprising it but proves himself totally incompetent and unfit to interpret Constitutional law for the Commonwealth he represents.

The segregation ruling handed down by the Supreme Court with unanimous collusion jarred State officials of the South like a thunderbolt from a clear sky and each has reacted according to his own knowledge and conception of basic law and the limited authority of the Federal government.

In most States segregation has continued in effect for the present with the suggestion from certain constituted authorities that, eventually, "plans will be worked out to adjust".

In others the machinery is being set up for the passage of Constitutional amendments which will do away with the public school system altogether and enable segregation to continue in effect.

In some others, as in Virginia, the students themselves finding they were betrayed by their leaders, have taken matters into their own hands in a forthright manner which has put their fumbling, bumbling educational authorities to shame.

Without any threat of violence or harm to anybody, they calmly informed school principals that if Negroes were not removed from white class rooms at once, they would simply pick them up bodily and heave them out. As in all cases where courageous action supersedes spineless indecision, their methods worked. The Negroes were sent back to their own schools.

In still others, servile belly-crawling politicians at the head of State governments and educational institutions, who know only one way to perform and that is to dance when Washington whistles the tune, have fallen in line like goose-stepping Prussians and murmured their willingness to comply.

Even though the previous experience of the motley assortment of queer characters on the Supreme Court who caused the upheaval in the first place, has been limited largely to ambulance-chasing and police court practice, they know enough law to realize that their unconstitutional ruling on a matter outside their jurisdiction constitutes a big bluff and that they haven't the slightest power to enforce it.

Having gotten by this far without any serious challenge of their jurisdictional authority being hurled at them, they continue to bluff it out and have set December 6th as the day when they will generously condescend to allow legal representatives of Southern States to come before them for instructions on how to run their public schools.

Well, the nine boys with the big heads and little brains on the Court are in for a surprise, so far as at least four States are concerned.

The officials of Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana and South Carolina are not going to be there and answer "present" when the roll is called up yonder and the nine judicial nitwits file out in their impressive black robes to dictate terms of surrender to the people of sovereign American States.

The legal authorities in those four Commonwealths of the Union are thoroughly familiar with the structure of the American government and the segregation ruling did not catch them off base.

They understand that the Tenth Amendment is still a vital and enforceable part of the American Constitution which reserves all powers to the States not specifically delegated to the Federal government and

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

Filthy, Obscene And Subversive Reference Books Found In California School Library

Those of us who—because of long study of the subject—are more familiar than most with the horrible implications of Communist infiltration into our American institutions, are prone to regard the attempts to destroy our national sovereignty and overthrow our government as the Soviet's most diabolic plot.

Then something happens to remind us that their allied conspiracy to pervert the minds and morals of American youth is an equally frightening facet of this Satanic ideology brewed in the demonic brains of theimps of Hell.

In Marin County, California, recently their achievements along this line were suddenly exposed to public view with sickening reverberation throughout the nation, which has alerted parents everywhere to the potential danger to children of school age.

Mrs. Anne Smart of Larkspur, California, the mother of a young son, became suspicious of library material in the public schools in Marin County and decided to conduct a one-woman investigation.

What she found in filth, slime, obscenity and subversion staggers the imagination and constitutes a serious challenge to our claims to a civilized and Christian society. Some of the books available to teen-age students discussed sex perversion in its most minute and horrible details in language which would make characters of the underworld blush with shame. Youthful sex adventures were condoned and experience with prostitutes pointed up as a natural form of "research and experimentation." High jinks of teen age dope addicts were presented in an entertaining manner and Communism as a way of life was extolled. A local Judge commented: "I'm a man and I have heard a lot but I have never seen in print some of the obscene stuff in those books. It was apparently put there just for the sake of filth". A Fairfax citizen added: "There are things in those books a man would not say to his wife. They are not fit to be read even by adults". Other irate citizens threatened to go on a "tax strike" and institute a "recall" of the guilty school officials responsible for placing this lascivious material on the library shelves.

At a public meeting of horrified citizens it was pointed out that this material was so foul and offensive that any one broadcasting it over the air would be subject to a jail sentence and a ten-thousand dollar fine and yet it had been placed in public school libraries as reference, and in some cases, required, reading for teen-age boys and girls. Public resentment was at a high pitch and it appeared for a time that the forces of decency would prevail and that the intolerable mess would be cleaned up.

And then it happened.

Just as in Washington when determined American patriots turn up damnable proof of Communist infiltration, a tempest of protest boils up all over the Senate, in the White House and other agencies of government, so was this California mother assailed by "liberal" champions of "academic freedom" and Soviet defenders generally who screamed "witch-hunt" "book-burning" "thought-control" and all the other Communist terms of denunciation

which have become nauseatingly familiar through constant repetition.

A chicken-hearted grand jury, asked to take action, passed the buck to school authorities on the ground that it was their problem.

The press in the area, with a few exceptions, came out with the usual Party Line and one large daily in nearby San Francisco which is often referred to as the West Coast edition of the Daily Worker wrote a sneering editorial and wound up with this observation "We refuse to tremble over the unlikely possibility that our youth is being subverted or corrupted by the books they read".

Two ministers contributed their bit. One of them referred to the mass meetings of protest as "wild people trying to tear down everything" and the other said: "If youth is contaminated, it will not come from the school library".

Women teachers protested that the filthy material was "taken out of context". A woman candidate for office on the "Independent Progressive Party" ticket denounced the "book-burners" and said the young were entitled to know "the facts of life". A Democratic candidate for Assemblyman passed the exposure of the indecent books off as "more un-American than the presence of a handfull of questionable books" while a female council member disposed of the affair with the comment: "Fascism is as bad as Communism". A queer creature claiming to represent the Author's League hovered over like a vulture threatening legal action if the books were pronounced subversive or obscene.

The League of Women Voters got in their two cents worth against the exposure of the books. A statement issued jointly by Leagues in San Anselmo, Mill Valley and Sausalito said control of school libraries "is by state law clearly a responsibility of the governing boards of school districts", and by inference "censored" Mrs. Smart for "bypassing" these boards and making the matter public. In other words, they didn't like her "methods", but offered no condemnation of the filthy books.

And what did the school officials do about the whole thing?

At first they took the books off the shelves but explained that it was only to check them. After "checking" them they came up with these conclusions:

High school libraries should not be "sterilized of all controversial ideas." Students should be permitted a free exchange of ideas and "minority or pressure groups should not be permitted to dictate what the children can read." Educators "are best qualified to judge the suitability of the books".

The books were then restored to the library where teen-age students who have not already read them may now do so.

While it is believed that this example of apparent, deliberate contribution to juvenile delinquency is an isolated case and that such depravity does not prevail in other schools, it is understood that a list of the offensive books is being prepared by Mrs. Smart's group to be sent to responsible persons in various localities for checking with their local school libraries.

Noted Educator Urges Americans to Restore Original Meaning to Christian Holiday

Dr. John Beaty, teacher of English at Southern Methodist University at Dallas is one of this country's greatest educators and an American patriot of the highest order.

Dr. Beaty, very properly, is disturbed over the effort constantly being made in the United States by subversive forces to divest Christian holidays, like Easter and Christmas, of their spiritual value and convert them into nothing more than buying orgies in which money passes from gullible purchasers into the hands of certain un-American minority groups.

"Americans should make a determined effort to keep Christmas and Easter Christian, or rather to restore them to their original purpose as Christian holidays," Dr. Beaty says. "This can be accomplished in various ways. For one thing, Christians should send only cards that have a Christian, or at least a seasonal, significance. Christians should also give as presents either such items as food and clothing which the recipient actually needs or should give subscriptions to patriotic publications, copies of the King James version of the New Testament in large type and other good books."

We heartily commend Dr. Beaty's views in this matter and we hope that those who read these pages will give

consideration to the blasphemous and subtle attempts which have been made for years to discredit Christianity and the emblems of spiritual faith.

If the matter is regarded of sufficient importance to Americans seeking the truth, they will find, on investigation, that the facetious and sacrilegious cards which are put out by the millions at Christmas and Easter time, are the product of the same persons who have flooded the American market for years with the obscene, vulgar and licentious comic books which frantic officials are now seeking to ban.

We hope that all good Americans will resolve now, at this early date, to observe Christmas and Easter in future in a proper and Christian manner and will refuse to spend one cent on the trashy cards put out by those who are part of the overall plan to de-Christianize the United States and make it part of the Communist World State.

Their greatest inroads have been made in the field of Christianity and the American churches have been their particular target of attack and one in which they have been all too successful.

There can be no better time to resolve to stem this un-Christian tide than right now.

Servile Surrender—

(Continued from Page 1)

that it was placed there as a permanent guarantee of their right to conduct their own internal affairs as they see fit and to protect them from just such cases of Federal encroachment as the segregation ruling involves.

The officials in these four States are completely aware of their rights in the matter and they are not timid about letting the world know that they are not about to surrender them on instructions by nine men or nine thousand men.

The glorious history of this Republic was not written by those who quailed at a tyrant's command or flinched before threats to their rights and freedom, but by those who bravely met all challenges head-on and fought to the death for the principles in which they believed.

The patriots in these four Southern States are living up to the American tradition and the performance which the legal heads of other sovereign States turn in on December 6th will accurately reflect the extent to which our leaders are committed to the preservation of the American principle of local self-government or have succumbed to the Communist conception of centralized control.

Those who got excited by the segregation ruling and flew off in all directions like a covey of birds flushed out of a broomweed patch, should calm down, read their Constitution, re-assure themselves of their rights and then fight for them with all the ferocity of a wounded tiger.

The Supreme Court hasn't passed any law prohibiting any State from practicing segregation in their public schools. We don't mean to imply that they wouldn't do it if they could but they have no such authority.

All the Court has done is to hold that certain Negro children in Kansas, Delaware, Virginia, South Carolina and the District of Columbia who filed suits, may not be denied permission to attend the particular schools against which the suits were brought.

The court's decision is not binding on any one else or on any other State and is not even binding in the case of the States who were sued if their legal representatives have even minimum understanding of the Constitution and their rights under it.

This applies in all cases except that of the District of Columbia which, being a Protectorate of a Socialist Federal government, is sunk any way.

All that is necessary for continued maintenance of racial safeguards in public schools is fundamental understanding of guaranteed American rights, the backbone to defend them and the nerve to challenge attempted invasion of them by any man or set of men.

Any other course means the gradual abject surrender to ever-increasing encroachment of Federal authority which, if not halted once and for all time to come, portends a continual and dangerous shifting in our social customs, institutions and way of life every time these judicial jackasses bray.

AMERICANS ARE BECOMING INFORMED THROUGH PRIVATE GROUP MEETINGS

It seems totally impossible for the average American to grasp information concerning the overall conspiracy against this country and the international plot hatched up against us many years ago.

This is not altogether to their discredit for there are so many facets to this integrated program of infiltration, subversion and treason that it is difficult even for those who devote every waking hour to a study of the frightening situation to comprehend it, in all its ramifications.

Greatest contributing factors to the awakening of the people are the meetings of serious and alarmed Americans held almost nightly in homes, clubs, offices and other private gathering places throughout the country. These groups are usually addressed by persons known to be of unimpeachable integrity, of unchallenged background and loyalty to their country and as responsible authorities in their particular field and who are not hedged in by the rules, regulations and red tape in Washington which suppress much valuable information from the American public. In many cases, these men are retired Army officers and intelligence men, former government officials, ex-newspaper correspondents and others with first-hand knowledge of their subject.

All this wholesome activity and sudden awakening of thousands of formerly apathetic citizens is undoubtedly having its effect on our representatives in Washington who view this mounting awareness on the part of their constituents with respectful alarm.

But the countless millions of unthinking voters in our population who rely on a slanted press, pink columnists and controlled radio and television for their information, make it imperative that these meetings be increased a thousand-fold.

If outside speakers are not always available, there are informed persons within a group who can lead the discussions and government reports are available to all who insist on having them, with the exception of many which have been suppressed as "top secret".

There are thousands of "study groups" throughout the country and many speakers addressing them but in too many cases, they are studying the wrong things and being addressed by the wrong speakers. They are merely following a plan of thought control set out for them, but these home groups who do their own planning and get their own speakers are the hope of the country for an ultimately informed and patriotic citizenship.

Communists Have Cells And Agents In American Colleges

(Editorial from the Morning World, Monroe, Louisiana.)

Numerous local citizens and some who live elsewhere, have written this newspaper about the strange attitudes of their youngsters who return home from college with curious statements about domestic and foreign problems.

One local businessman reports that his son came home "reeling in the party line." On several occasions, this newspaper has reported the strange attitude of several major American colleges when the idea of firing Marxists is brought up. Several weeks ago, an American General, Kirke Lawton was fired from the Army due to pressures caused mainly by his revelation that six major American universities and colleges were centers of subversive and Marxist intrigue.

In short, they were and still are presumably teaching American college students that their nation is "all wrong," that the collectivists are "all right."

Those he is supposed to have listed were Michigan, Columbia, Minnesota, University of Chicago, Harvard and City College of New York. He would have fared well had he left out the latter mentioned. When the name "City College of New York" is mentioned, people shudder and shake, but do nothing. He failed to include Union Theological Seminary which the House Committee on Un-American activities also mentioned.

Now it will be well to remember that these are merely the centers. They have roots which penetrate deep inside of the National Education Association, the national office of the Parent-Teacher Association and other similar groups.

Far more important than these "roots" are the connections with

scattered (but adroit) university professors all over the nation.

The International Marxist Conspiracy, as any dictatorship, fully realizes the necessity for the training of minds when those minds are pliable. It has been said that the first two years in college are the best. Thus, the Communists and their fellow traveling "liberals" hope to constantly increase their sway over the American college student as time goes on. They have cells in many, many colleges and have agents in many others. However, they leave the Marxian instruction completely to the "liberals" and the Socialists within the schools.

The reports of the Jenner Committee now will fill a whole bookshelf but little attention is given them. The report of how the Reece Committee (attempting to investigate foundations) was forced to close down due to pressures has never been fully brought out. All the while, of course, the Marxists continue to gain ground. Through their own revolutionary schools, through the Socialist schools and now, throughout the American college and university system, they are making headway.

Many of the college newspapers which are supposed to be training grounds for the newspapers of the nation, read like junior editions of the "Daily Worker" or the "People's Daily World." Marxist operators have freedom of movement wherever they go in the United States. Giving each other awards and building each other's names, they penetrate deeply into the life of nearly every American college and university.

Worse, they penetrate deeply in the religious seminaries which turn out the new young ministers of certain religious denominations throughout the United States. The old and sicken-

Pattern Envisioned By Soviets Is Shaping Up Former A & M Teacher Pleads Fifth Amendment

The violence, strife and conflict which Soviet agents envisioned years ago when they started the agitation for abolishment of segregation in Southern schools has begun to materialize in some sections.

In Milford, Delaware, there was a strike by white students and more than two thirds of them remained away from school after Negroes were admitted. The head of an organization called National Association for Advancement of White People predicted that opponents of segregation would oppose the entrance of Negroes in white schools "even if it means bloodshed".

Fifteen State policemen were on hand in Milford to disperse crowds of angry persons who milled about the streets and at a night mass meeting of 750 people, the situation was aggravated by a report of a fourteen year old white girl to the effect that, on the first day of school, a Negro boy had asked her to attend a picture show with him.

In Fairmont, Virginia, threats against the Principal and teachers forced an elementary school to close to avoid trouble.irate women picketed the school and later toured the county in a motor caravan displaying signs against the "integration" of the races in schools. Elsewhere in Virginia, students solved the problem by giving the principal notice that they would take action if he didn't.

In several Ohio cities "integration" in the public schools was forestalled by a hasty re-zoning of the school districts which served to keep the races separated as heretofore.

ing howl of "Academic Freedom" is shrieked by every liberal and fuzzy-minded every time someone denounces a Communist or fellow traveler in the nation's college system.

Young Americans in Texas were amazed to hear an instructor in Government at the University of Texas tell his class that "George Washington was personally obnoxious to his fellow men, was avoided as much as possible, and was physically handicapped because of a long existing disease." Others at LSU hear frequently of the "terrible congressional committees which are destroying freedom."

All over the nation, the Marxists have their college and university organizations set up and are running full tilt. In the before mentioned case of Texas, the young individual who reported the incident, along with several of his classmates, asked that his name not be mentioned due to the fact that "we want to pass this course."

The News-Star mentioned a flagrant case last year at LSU when the subject of McCarthy was brought into an English class. The professor or instructor gave a bad, but barely passing grade to the student after he had ordered her to take the other side of the issue in a theme, not the McCarthy side.

This editor has not been long out of college as years would indicate and it is easily remembered the manner in which some of the classes at a Florida university were formerly conducted.

The very best way to handle these left wingers, these fellow travelers and these hare-brained one-worlders is to fight them every step of the way. If they give you low marks on what would seem to be a good paper or report, take the whole case to the dean. If the instructor makes an obvious lie concerning what you (and

Dr. Lester Beberfall, high school teacher at Spring City, Pennsylvania and who taught Spanish and German at Texas A&M College at Bryan sometime ago, was identified recently in sworn testimony before the House Un-American Activities Committee as having been a member of the Communist Party.

When asked concerning his party membership by counsel for the Committee, Beberfall declined, under the fifth amendment, to answer.

Beberfall was in the Infantry Branch of the Army where he served more than three years and reported to the Committee that he had "an unofficial attachment" with the Counterintelligence Corps.

When Committee counsel asked Beberfall if he was a member of the Communist Party while serving in these Army posts, he again refused to answer and pled the fifth amendment.

In Washington, D. C., it was a different story. Bewildered and helpless white students found themselves mixed in with Negroes, who were often in the majority, and in some cases they looked up into the faces of Negro teachers.

Located in the very seat of a government where "regimentation" long has been the goal, the students of both races accepted the new arrangement with the resignation and stoicism of trapped animals.

the book) has said about history, economics or political science, stand up in class and challenge the statement, every day, three or four times a day if necessary.

Don't for a minute think that you have passed a class because you have good grades. Many times, your grade is completely determined by the professor involved. There are many ways to flunk a student and all of them are not legitimate. Far better to flunk a leftist professor's government course and let the students think the situation over, than to pass knowing that you had let his lies and slanders about American founders go by unchallenged.

A West Monroe student at Baylor wrote last week that the debate subject all over the nation this year was as follows: "Resolved, that the United States government should extend diplomatic recognition to the Communist government of China." He reports that he needs information on the subject so that he can effectively challenge that stand.

Rest assured that he will receive such information.

However, you may rest uneasy knowing that in every American university and college, the drums are being beaten in support of such recognition even though it would amount to a sellout of every decent principle ever held by the United States.

So it goes.

The "incidents" come so thick and fast as not to be countable. As this paper has said in the past, the best training for future students entering college is a firm foundation in Americanism and Christianity before they leave home. Constant advice by parents when they are at school (on political subjects) helps.

It's your future citizens, better look into the situation carefully.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

TURN ABOUT IS FAIR PLAY

We are so envious that we are practically green

We have read of the movement in New York in which friends and admirers of Eleanor Roosevelt are raising a huge fund to be given her on her birthday in October and which is to be used in financing the work she is trying to do for the United Nations.

It is understood that guests at the birthday celebration at the Roosevelt Hotel will pay one hundred dollars each for the Blue Plate dinner to be given the honoree.

Unfortunately, we are not having a birthday soon, but we do think that our vast army of friends and admirers should get together and give us a twenty-five cent Tin Plate dinner at the Salvation Army Flop House and raise a vast fund for us to be used in financing the work we are trying to do against the United Nations.

The complete destruction of the Communist Party in the United States is the aim of the Eisenhower administration, according to an announcement by Attorney General Herbert Brownell. The Daily Worker is the official publication of the Communist Party in this country and Mr. Brownell's statement leads us to hope that one of the first steps will be to eliminate this treasonable sheet which plugs for the overthrow of the American government.

If we are actually convinced we can't go it alone we could have no better allies in Europe than West Germany and Spain. We know where they stand on Communism and that they won't double-cross us. One country that will stand hitched is more valuable than ten who will flop over to the enemy at the drop of a hat.

Why McCarthy Wasn't Recommended For "Censure" On A Certain Score

In view of so much hullabaloo about the "secret" FBI document in Senator McCarthy's possession, many may have wondered why Watkins' committee did not propose that the senator be "censured" on that score. Here is the reason: they were afraid to. Period.

In the first place, all security information had been deleted from the two and one fourth page document in possession of the Wisconsin senator and over which left-wingers have screamed and demanded the name of the person who gave it to him. The document was an accurate resume of a fifteen-page document from the FBI, containing the names of those considered security risks, but the senator never saw the original document and the one in his possession had no security information in it at all. If Watkins had asked for censure on this item, all this information would have been brought out and a lot of people made ridiculous.

Another reason they were afraid to "censure" McCarthy on this is because Attorney General Brownell who had refused to let the document be made public or read aloud, had set a precedent himself for releasing FBI material. In his Chicago speech, he had named a dead spy, Harry Dexter White, and later made public an FBI Report to verify his charges against this dead spy. He did this when he appeared before a Committee investigating his charges and offered portions of a report never before disclosed. Brownell didn't make available the names of any informants and

The Genocide Treaty Is Not In Effect in United States

There have been so many misleading and confusing statements concerning the Genocide Convention and so many insistent claims that it was already in effect in this country, that we appealed directly to a responsible member of the United States Senate to clear it up for us and define the present status of this covenant which is such a vital part of the Communist conspiracy against the free world.

The senator's communication in reply is explicit and, we sincerely hope it gives a correct appraisal of the matter:

"In reply to your letter of September 20, the Genocide Convention has not been made effective in the United States by executive agreement.

"It is true that the Genocide Convention was signed in 1948 for the United States by our delegates to the United Nations. It is also true that the treaty has been ratified by enough countries to bring it into force. However, the treaty is in force only in those countries which have ratified it in accordance with their respective constitutional processes. Signature by the United States is merely the first step in our ratification process. The Genocide Convention will thus not become effective in the United States unless and until the Senate gives its advice and consent".

To which we add: "God grant that that day may never come".

neither has he ever told who promoted Peress, yet the clamor for McCarthy to disclose the name of the person who gave him this document with all security information removed, has never died down. All this, too, would have come out if McCarthy had been "censured" on this score.

Here is another point that would have been brought up. Since FBI files and information had been placed in the hands of loyalty board members who had Communist-front records, it would have been a bit difficult to sustain the claim that a member of the United States should be denied these files, and especially when dead-ly secret information from departments in Washington has been strewn around to such far-flung places as Moscow.

There need be no further speculation as to why Watkins did not propose "censure" on this point. If he had, all those attacking McCarthy would have been shown up for the demagogues, charlatans and hypocrites which they are.

We Pay Tribute to A Grand Young American

We bow low to Emma Stark a lovely young girl in Houston who, when instructed by her teacher to write an essay titled "I speak for Democracy", refused point-blank to do so.

She patiently and courteously explained to the teacher that as ours is a Constitutional Republic and not a Democracy as many misguided people believe, it would be impossible for her, in all honesty, to write any such essay.

She agreed to write an essay titled "I Speak for a Constitutional Republic" which she did and this discussion of the subject was brilliantly written and would do justice to many recognized authorities on American Constitutional government.

Her teachers, however, felt it did not conform to the rules and refused to accept the essay. Later it was reproduced by a patriotic group and received a national award.

This is a case of which we are inordinately proud as we have known Emma since she was a tot of four and have observed her growth from a chubby little girl into a young woman of great physical beauty coupled with an intellect of razor-edge keenness.

It all goes to prove that modern youth will not only survive but will come through with flying colors when grounded in sound American principles at home by patriotic, Christian parents.

"Let's be Democrats first, last and always" shouted Junior Roosevelt at the New York State Convention. Yes, let's. And let's don't give a hang what becomes of our country so long as we keep in effect the label which demands loyalty to party before loyalty to country.

The Failure and Flop Of Fathead Flanders

There's a little fathead named Flanders
To the Commies he constantly panders,
Three times in a row, he tried to "get Joe"
Did this little fathead named Flanders.

There's a little fathead named Flanders
Round the Senate he sadly meanders.
"My spirits are low, I just can't 'get Joe'"
Wails this little fathead named Flanders.

Irene Western of South Pasadena, California, head of the "We go for Joe" movement says: "One of our objectives is to fly Old Glory every day, physically, mentally and spiritually and to encourage others to do so."

Once Benedict Arnold Was Our Only Traitor

No nation has ever long survived unless its citizens were held together by a strong spirit of nationalistic pride in their country, a determination to protect its institutions at all costs, and undivided loyalty to its Flag.

That thought is behind the chilling fear which many Americans feel for the future security of the Republic and the maintenance of the American form of government.

Up until the early 1930's, the American people were one in their allegiance and while they might differ violently, on political and economic issues, when the cards were down they presented a solid front against any threat of danger posed by an alien enemy to the safety and well-being of their country.

In their study of American history, students learned of only one traitor whose betrayal of his country placed him in the sole niche formerly reserved in the nation's Hall of Infamy.

But more than twenty years of official collaboration with Soviet Russia, toleration and protection of enemy spies and the infiltration of Communists into American government agencies, schools, churches, labor unions and every other segment of American society, has produced a vast horde of modern traitors in comparison with whom Benedict Arnold was a dedicated American patriot.

It is a human tragedy when any nation is compelled to fight a war. It would be doubly terrifying for us to have to face the enemy now. A Communist sympathizer or Soviet collaborator might be our fellow worker, our Sunday School teacher or our next door neighbor.

All good Americans should pray that we will be spared the agony of armed conflict always, but especially until unity and a universal spirit of Americanism has been restored and until we have experienced an old-fashioned revival of patriotism, have re-consecrated ourselves to the American system of government and sworn anew our allegiance to the American Flag.

Executive Orders on Secrecy Now Rank With Fifth Amendment in Suppressing Evidence of Subversion

The time is fast approaching when, in the interest of our national security, some measure must be taken to prevent the Executive Department of the Federal government from withholding and suppressing information and records which members of Congressional committees must have in investigating subversion and treason.

When a General in the Army, for instance, has vital information which he would willingly give to the proper committee, an executive order is slapped down and the information is classified as "secret". This is not done in the interest of the national security as claimed but to prevent the exposure of stupidity and subversion on the part of those in executive positions.

This is a dangerous practice and the executive orders on secrecy and classification of data are becoming as much a medium for the suppression of vital information as the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution.

We believe the average American is more concerned in the internal security of this Republic than in suppressing evidence of executive blunders or deliberate subversion.

The Committee on Un-American Activities had trouble with the Executive Department years ago along this same line and their efforts to expose espionage rings was greatly hampered, and often halted altogether by executive orders issued under the pretense that national security was being protected.

In an interim report on "Hearings Regarding Communist Espionage in the United States Government," is-

sued August 28, 1948, by the Committee on Un-American Activities, this strong protest was made against the covering up of vital and necessary information which they requested:

"The committee's investigation of espionage among Government workers has been hampered at every turn by the refusal of the executive branch of the Government, to cooperate in any way with the investigation due to the President's loyalty freeze order. Not only have the executive agencies refused to turn over to the committee the loyalty files of the suspected members of the spy ring but they have even gone so far as to refuse to turn over the employment records of these individuals.

"The committee can see no excuse whatsoever for such arbitrary action since it is obvious that turning over employment records would in no wise involve disclosing sources of information or confidential data. Had the executive agencies of the Government co-operated with the committee in its investigation, there is no question but what the public would now have full information concerning all the ramifications of the espionage rings."

We do not know what the remedy is, but there must be one. If Congress is not empowered to act in the matter and it requires a Constitutional amendment, we should go that route. The Executive order is becoming as dangerous as the Fifth Amendment in shielding conspirators against the Republic.

Why Not Toss Coin and Save Trip to Ballot Box?

In the campaign for governor of New York, the voters of that State will have to choose between the lesser of two evils.

Averill Harriman's name will appear on the Democratic ticket although he is no Democrat. Senator Irving Ives is running on the Republican ticket although he is no Republican. Both are Socialists and the party label pinned to each of them constitutes a huge joke of which the New York electorate is the victim.

It must be discouraging to the English-speaking contingent of Empire State voters to contemplate the type of candidate which their machine bosses invariably trot out for their inspection and approval.

Perhaps they console themselves with the thought that it could have been worse. Franklin, Jr., might have been nominated for governor.

David Leff, an American civilian employe of the United Nations, refused to appear before a New York grand jury sometime ago and then went to Paris. In Geneva, a three-member international tribunal ruled that he did not have to appear before a New York grand jury and testify and ordered that \$300 be paid by UNESCO toward his legal expenses in contesting the order to appear.

If you do not think about the future, you cannot have one—John Galsworthy.

The Voice of Joe Stalin Comes Back From the Grave

"As long as Capitalism remains, we cannot live in peace. In the end one or the other will triumph—a funeral requiem will be sung over the Soviet Republic or over World Capitalism. We have to use any ruse, dodges, tricks, cunning, unlawful method, concealing and veiling of the truth. The basic rule is to exploit conflicting interests."

These words are taken from a volume written by the late Joe Stalin called "Foundations of Leninism".

Is there any American stupid enough to think that Stalin did not mean what he said or that Lenin before him did not mean what he said, or that plans have not been in the making throughout the years in between to kill off "Capitalism"?

And does any person mentally responsible enough to go across the street unaccompanied, believe that Stalin was not referring to the United States or doubt that his program has gone a long way toward fulfillment either with conscious cooperation or unintentional submission on the part of many persons right in our midst?

Regarding Stalin's order to his followers to "exploit conflicting interests", surely some of us at least have the intelligence and perception to couple this injunction with

We Owe Nationalist China All the Help We Can Furnish in Payment for Having Betrayed Them

It is reported in the press that unlimited supplies and aid is being furnished by this country to Nationalist China and, regardless of how much we may oppose the giving away of taxpayers money as a national policy, we certainly owe much to the Chinese Nationalists.

We were the ones who betrayed them and turned their country over to the bestial Communists and it is our responsibility to protect them now from our own folly. We only hope it is not too little and too late.

In this connection, we call attention to the Report of the Subcommittee of the Judiciary that investigated the Institute of Pacific Relations which aided in the China betrayal.

On page 4763-4775, Part 13, of that report General Claire Chennault tells the sorry story of our performance in connection with the China tragedy.

General Chennault who was acting as an unofficial adviser to Generalissimo Chiang Kai-Shek, in sworn testimony to the committee, told how, when Chiang was winning the war against the Communists, our State Department suddenly cut off all supplies to the Nationalists in a blockade which not only stopped aid to them but prevented them from receiving the supplies they had bought and paid for.

Never Do Today What Can Be Put Off Until Tomorrow

Visitors from all over the world were treated to the electrifying spectacle of collective statesmanship operating on an international basis at the opening session of the United Nations in mid-September.

Approaching the most controversial question ever to come before that Assembly with all the ferocity of an entomologist who attacks his prey with a butterfly net, the big brains of that body swooped down on the problem of Red China's admission and dealt with it courageously, forthrightly and in true one-world tradition.

Coming out of his corner with blood in his eye and smoke streaming from his nostrils, the Honorable Henry Cabot Lodge wrested the initiative from his opponents and, in one fell stroke, solved the embarrassing dilemma as strong men have settled similar problems since the beginning of time.

He made a motion that discussion of the matter be postponed for this session thereby leaving the final decision for some future date. The motion was carried.

the persecution of Senator McCarthy; the Supreme Court ruling on segregation; the decision to pour millions of dollars into hostile countries under the "ruse" of economic aid; the firing of General MacArthur to prevent the winning of a war against Communists; the defeat of the Bricker amendment; the shipping of supplies to Communist China and a thousand other shocking and unbelievable steps toward helping Stalin's minions to achieve his program.

How blind can we get and still find our way around without a seeing eye dog?

While the forces of Chiang could not even buy from us on the open market, we were furnishing the Communists with transportation to remove them back behind the lines so they would be in no danger from attack by Chiang's men. When the fighting got too hot and the Chinese Nationalists had the Communists on the run an official of the United States called a "truce" until our ships could take the Communists to safety.

And who was the great American official who maneuvered the whole thing? It was none other than that outstanding American patriot praised by presidents and pointed up as one of the greatest of military generals—George C. Marshall.

Perhaps our use of the word "maneuvered" is misleading as he was only following orders and we are sure he didn't know then, and hasn't found out yet, who gave the orders. He probably had to stop every now and then and re-read his instructions as his memory has been known to fail him, notably on December 7, 1941, when he could not remember where he was as the Japs were shelling Pearl Harbor.

It was later developed that he was horse-back riding in Rock Creek Park in Washington and contact was re-established with him only after the horse got tired and disgusted and decided to bring him home.

Watkins turned out to be more vicious, biased and malicious than McCarthy's most outspoken enemies, even though he had been touted as a member of the Senate who would conduct his hearings with judicial fairness. Judicial fairness, our eye! So rank was his performance that even McCarthy's worst enemies fear they have gone too far in the Communist-inspired plot to "get McCarthy" and are concerned that even the general public is now catching on.

The Associated Press, in its usual manner of handling material on McCarthy, left an impression of righteous behavior on the part of a certain senator who stated that the Wisconsin senator should not be censured but removed as chairman of the investigating committee he heads. There was no suggestion of the alleged connection of this senator with the forces under attack by McCarthy. And it is largely on this news-gathering agency that the American people must depend for "information".

So far as we know the press services here said nothing about it but Reuter's in Benghazi, Libya, told of Uncle Sam's latest gesture of generosity to that country. Under an agreement with Washington this country will fork over \$5,000,000 this year and \$2,000,000 each year for twenty years. In addition we will give economic aid annually for the development of economic plans in Libya, as well as giving the Libyans twenty-four thousand tons of wheat this year and providing their army with equipment "when necessary". In return we get the use of air bases when, and if, we get around to fighting in Libya. Note to foreign countries: If you don't see what you want, just ask for it.

Have The Large Tax-Exempt Foundations Become More Powerful Than The Legislative Branch of The United States Government

For sometime we have been trying to get at the truth behind the discontinuance of the committee set up by the Congress of the United States to investigate large Foundations and their alleged subsidies to subversive movements and individuals. On August 20th, Congressman B. Carroll Reece made a speech on the floor of the House of Representatives which so clearly answers the question that we are reproducing it in full. Since Mr. Reece was chairman of the committee investigating the Foundations, his conclusions carry the weight of authority which any discussion by us would, of course, not have.—Editor.)

Mr Speaker, I had hoped to avoid the necessity of making these remarks concerning the work of the Special Committee To Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations, but recent events which while not materially affecting the work of this committee, have been damaging to the entire principle of congressional investigations have left me no choice.

In my years of service in the Congress, I have never observed a better organized smear campaign against a congressional committee nor such wanton distortion of the facts by the public press. The editorials and articles appearing concurrently in the Daily Worker, the New York Times and Herald Tribune attacking the committee and its work would appear to be more than a coincidence.

Let me go back to the beginning of the work of this committee. As a member of the Cox committee, the predecessor of this committee, I had observed three bodies of evidence which seemed to me of great importance: The first pointed to Communist or Communist sympathizer infiltration into the foundations; the second pointed to a much broader condition, namely, foundation support of Fabian socialism in America; and the third pointed to the financial aspect of the foundations.

There are presently some 7,000 foundations with assets in excess of \$10 billion and with an annual income in excess of \$300 million.

Less than a dozen of these foundations are widely known to the public.

There is much to indicate that the thousands of foundations unknown to the public are set up generally to avoid payment of taxes.

The number of foundations is rapidly increasing each year. They now have tremendous resources, which largely are taken out of our taxable income.

The tax-exempt foundations in America—big and little—are becoming a major concern.

Fabian socialism is not communism; it is a technique of nonviolent revolution by the consent of a duped, propagandized population.

It is the technique that brought socialism to Great Britain.

In the United States Fabian socialism has taken the name "New Deal" and "Fair Deal."

Of this there can be no doubt.

Norman Thomas, the long-time head of the Socialist Party in the United States, has publicly admitted that the New Deal almost put the Socialist Party out of business by taking all of the principal planks from its platform.

Parenthetically, I want to say a brief word to those Americans who approve of the New Deal-Fair Deal-Fabian revolution, and therefore might call this investigation a tempest in a teapot.

It is their privilege to do so, but it is my privilege to oppose this overt subversion of traditional American ideals.

I have fought it for 20 years during its steady progress, and as long as I continue to serve the public I will continue to fight it.

Although the Cox committee was not looking for Fabian socialism, the evidence presented before it disclosed to me what might be an important clue to the location of the nerve center of subversion in America—the left-wing intellectuals, whose prestige and influence seemed to be the product of the tax-exempt foundation grants.

I therefore suggested to the Congress that the investigation be extended.

In doing so, I realized that if my suspicions were true all of the enormous power and prestige of the foundations, and to some extent that of the corporations from which they sprang, would be pitted against the committee.

If I were wrong, the investigation would cause no more excitement than did the Cox committee investigation.

I also realized that if my surmise concerning Fabian socialism was correct, every left-wing group that is participating in or benefiting from the intellectual revolution would join in the attack on the committee.

I also realized that the left-wing press and the pseudoconservative press would be under terrific pressure from these intellectuals and organizations to discredit the committee and distort the facts concerning its work.

What I did not realize was that this influence would reach even into the conservative press.

And from what has happened in the last month, it is obvious that the large foundations are trying to make certain that never again will a mere committee of the Congress have the temerity to

look into their social and political science activities and into their financial power.

Let me review the method adopted by the committee.

After discussing with the staff the best and fairest method of approaching this inquiry, we decided to inform the foundations in advance of the main lines of investigation.

To me, this offered the foundations a tremendous advantage, knowing in advance the area of the inquiry.

But this step was violently attacked as prejudgment of the case, in spite of the fact that when the outline was presented by Mr. Dodd, the committee's director of research, he stated, and I quote:

"As this report will hereafter contain many statements which appear to be conclusive, I emphasize here that each of them must be understood to have resulted from studies which were essentially exploratory; in no sense should they be considered proved."

As the hearings got under way, it became very obvious that the ranking minority member of the committee had no intention of permitting orderly hearings and was determined to discredit and harass the investigation.

Throughout the hearings Mr. Hays, (Wayne L. Hays of Ohio), assumed an attitude of aggressive suspicion and insulting distrust of the majority members of the committee and of the committee staff.

He could not have made it clearer that he intended to frustrate to the limit of his abilities any orderly procedure.

It is interesting to note that Mr. Hays, the minority member in question, is representative of the political group that has benefited most from the intellectual revolution that has taken place in America, and it seems probable to me that his rude, unreasoning, and ruthless attitude during the foundation hearings is one of defending an important source of New Deal strength in America.

I do not know whether one of Mr. Hays' objectives was to force the discontinuance of the public hearings, but at least he was successful in making that decision necessary.

I would like to take a moment to describe to you the tactics of Mr. Hays that eventually forced this action.

In his role of a skillful provocateur, he interrupted witnesses beyond all reason, attempting to frighten witnesses and to disorganize both their initial presentations and orderly interrogation by others.

During one of the 3-hour sessions, Mr. Hays interrupted one witness 246 times.

During the public hearings he indulged in intemperate attacks upon the staff and upon the ma-

jority members of the committee.

He accused the chairman of lying and being a coward and accused Mr. Goodwin of duplicity and cowardice.

As an example of the Marxian technique of attacking a messenger when the message cannot be attacked, he cast aspersions upon the character and record of a distinguished Catholic nun, the daughter of Senator McCarran, whose scholarly work on Fabian socialism in Great Britain had been placed in evidence.

As further examples, Mr. Hays characterized an outstanding group of American scholars appearing as committee witnesses as "crackpots," "dredged up" by the committee.

As was written to the chairman of the committee by the eminent Prof. Kenneth Colgrove, subsequent to his appearance before the committee, Mr. Hays created, and I quote:

"A fear among competent persons who might otherwise question the omniscience of the directors of those foundations. Witnesses are thereby warned that no matter how objective their testimony, no matter how legitimate their questions, their character would be smeared and their testimony ridiculed."

In spite of the sniping by Mr. Hays Professor Colgrove was able, on a piece-meal basis, to get a very valuable body of evidence into the record concerning the deplorable lack of science contained in so many of the foundations' social science projects.

Actually, a great deal of so-called social science, as carried on with foundations funds, is little more than an elaborate argument that Government can take better care of the people than the people can take care of themselves.

Prof. A. H. Hobbs was criticized for his attack upon the Kinsev report, which initially was a foundation project.

Actually, anyone who had taken the time to examine this highly questionable statistical study cannot help but agree with Professor Hobbs, even if only for one reason, namely, that it makes a laughing stock of morality and reduces human love to the animal level.

In his letter to the chairman, Professor Colgrove also states:

"Obviously, no self-respecting scholar would care to testify before such a committee under such circumstances."

When the truth is known concerning the work of this committee, a large section of the American press which printed virtually none of the competent and pertinent testimony presented to the committee and has printed practically all of Mr. Hays' tidbits of character assassination and so-called witty barbs, will be faced with an embarrassing situation.

(Continued on Page 7)

Purchase of Farm Vote During Twenty-one Years Has Cost Taxpayers \$3,300,000,000 While Thousands of Others Escape the Net

Sam Rayburn, perennial politician who has fed at the public trough practically from the time he was weaned from a nursing bottle, says that when the Fair Dealers get back in power, ninety per cent parity will be restored, on farm products.

The Bonham statesman has become known as a financial wizard through his long experience in disposing of other people's money. Even as he was making his promise to restore the subsidy racket to its former stature, government statisticians were informing us that during the fiscal year from June 30, 1953 to June 30, 1954, \$419,000,000.00 of taxpayers money had gone down the drain by means of the Fair Deal farm subsidy law, and that they stand to lose a billion dollars more before that sad chapter is finished.

The total loss suffered by taxpay-

ing suckers of this country during the twenty-one years the New-Fair Deal price support program was in effect, was three billion and three hundred million dollars.

Some other gimmick called "flexible price supports" is now in effect to replace ninety per cent of parity supports whose objective is the same and that is to buy the vote of farmers in presidential and congressional elections.

This latter scheme may save the taxpayers a few million dollars per year and so the venerable Bonham lawgiver says he's agin it, by heck!

He does not hold with the idea of loosening the screws on the tax-paying boobs even a little bit for fear that when, and if, the Fair Dealers ever get another whack at them, they may squirm and protest when they are stuck for the larger amounts again.

Lieutenant Colonel Harry Fleming of the American Army was found guilty of collaborating with the Communists in Korea and sentenced to a dishonorable discharge from the services by an eleven-man court martial sitting at Fort Sheridan, Illinois.

This is as it should be for treason against one's country is one of the blackest crimes of which a human being could be convicted and this man was lucky to get off with such light punishment.

But there is one thing that worries us about the whole matter.

Why is it so much worse to collaborate with Communists when one is a prisoner of the Communists in Korea than it is to collaborate with them when one is perfectly free and running at large in Washington, New York, Philadelphia, or wherever?

Every day we have evidence presented to us that some Americans have not only collaborated with Communists, but have protected Communist agents and saboteurs, joined Communist fronts and aided in spreading Communist propaganda. And they did it of their own free will and accord too and not because some Communist was applying burning cigarettes to the bottom of their feet.

It is too bad that, while one Communist collaborator is being dishonorably discharged from the Army, a whole host of others can't be dishonorably discharged from high government posts, from teaching positions, from pastorates, from labor union leadership, from school boards, and all the other respectable jobs they hold, deprived of American citizenship and deported to Russia.

Meantime, it seems sort of futile to pick out one here and there for punishment and let the leaders of the pack run loose.

Have the Large Tax-Exempt Foundations Become More Powerful—

(Continued from Page 6)

It seems to me that a suitable subject for congressional investigation would be the source and nature of the pressure which is behind the terrific attack upon the committee by three large papers, in addition to the Daily Worker—the New York Times, the New York Herald Tribune, and the Washington Post and Times Herald.

The promptness and uniformity with which a large section of the press has attacked the committee's decision to discontinue the public hearings on the false ground that the foundations would thereby be deprived of a chance to defend themselves, indicates the thoroughness of the plans and press coverage behind Mr. Hays' effort to frustrate the hearings.

Regarding the cry of injustice resulting from the discontinuance of the public hearings, let me point out that it is only common sense to conclude that no action could have been more favorable to the foundations because they were thereby given an opportunity to speak in their own defense and completely avoid having to substantiate their claims through cross-examination.

Some of the foundation answers have not yet been received, but thus far there has been very little to refute the basic suspicion that caused me to suggest this investigation, namely, that the large foundations have supplied the bulk of the money that has been used to lay the intellectual base for and perpetuate the prestige of the New Deal.

In fact, one of the briefs filed by the Carnegie group states that one of their projects which had been criticized as being socialistic, namely, the report of the Commission on Social Studies by the American Historical Association, was not socialistic.

Here are the exact words used by Mr. Charles Dollard, president of the Carnegie Corp. of New York, in refuting that charge.

I quote:

"The worst that can be said is that the authors not only reported this trend but appeared to accept it cheerfully. What they were accepting was not socialism—it was the New Deal."

I believe that special attention should be given to the foundations' charge that the discontinuance of the hearings will deprive them of proper publicity for their filed statements.

A good example of the degree to which they will be penalized is found in a single issue of a single newspaper—the New York Times of July 25—published the day after the Ford Foundation released its statement attacking the committee.

In that one issue there were three completely favorable stories regarding the Ford Foundation, totaling approximately 4,000 words, that went into more than a million American homes.

One of the articles was a front-page feature carried over to the pages adjacent to the other two articles.

Nothing could have been planned more meticulously.

This was their own story and contained many derogatory statements which would have had to have been corroborated had the Ford Foundation been subjected to the normal procedure of cross-examination.

Every citizen who read the New York Times July 25—Sunday—was completely assured of the purity of the Ford Foundation.

It will be interesting to see how many words of these remarks are carried by the same paper.

In spite of the fact that through this superb public relations smear campaign in an effort to exonerate the tax-exempt foundations of all blame, even before the committee report has been prepared, I am determined that the committee work shall proceed normally, that an adequate public record shall be developed, and that a fair, objective report of funds will be rendered.

This is the task to which I have set myself and this is the task

which I propose to finish, regardless of the forces attempting to stifle and discredit, the investigatory powers of this legislative body.

If I have seemed to have been silent under this abuse for too long a time, it is because I am confident that in the end the truth will prevail.

In summing up, I would like to speak with more bluntness than is my usual custom. This committee has been subjected to various and strange pressures and harassments. It began to be reviled from many directions very early in its career.

A steady procession of condemnatory resolutions emanating from a puzzling assortment of organizations have followed its work. As I mentioned before, several of the major newspapers—notably the New York Times, the New York Herald Tribune, and the Washington Post and Times Herald—have joined with the Daily Worker in a steady, constant, almost daily campaign of savage attacks, both in editorials and what purported to be news reports.

These savage attacks have been of a nature so venomous and untruthful as to eliminate any explanation but one.

The attitude of the committee and of its staff and the occurrences at the hearings have been deliberately misrepresented to the public with such obviously intended malice that no explanation seems rational but that the power of some of the major foundations and their sycophants is truly great.

It has been said that the foundations are a power second only to that of the Federal Government itself. Perhaps this statement should be modified because it seems to have become an affront for a congressional committee to dare to subject foundations to criticism. Perhaps the Congress now should admit that the foundations have become more powerful, in some areas at least, than the legislative branch of the Govern-

St. Louis Group Refuses To Contribute to Chest

Members of the St. Louis Division of the Citizens Protective Association have pledged themselves to refuse to contribute to the St. Louis Community Chest this Fall, until, and unless, the Urban League is removed as one of the beneficiaries of the Chest.

The Association charges this Negro political pressure group, among other things, with hauling Negroes in bus and truck loads to the Marquette Swimming pool in an exclusive white area of St. Louis, resulting in only a handful of blacks now using the pool. The League was reported to have paid the Negroes to force the whites out of the pool.

General Roger W. Ramey, commander of the American Fifth Air Force in Japan warned recently that the United States lacks the air power to repel an all-out Communist attack in the East or at home. Of course we do. It was not in the "plan" that we should protect ourselves but that, instead, we would give away money which might have been used for this purpose, to Countries in Europe friendly to Russia.

Being of a curious turn of mind concerning seemingly unrelated events, we can't help but wonder if New York Police Commissioner Francis Adams who demoted a policeman for having his picture made with the world's heavyweight champion, would have taken the same action against an officer who had his picture taken with the opponent whom the champion defeated.

Bishop Bernard J. Sheil of Chicago who has never overlooked an opportunity to criticize Senator Joseph R. McCarthy's fight on Communism announces that he will himself now devote all his available time and resources "to fight for freedom against Communist tyranny". With all due respect to the cloth, we suggest that we will be far better off and this country more secure, if his type of Communist "fighter" will just lay off. We have too many of that kind "fighting" Communism now.

Group Would Deny Candidates Right Of Free Speech

We thought that there was some underlying principle in the American system which demanded the separation of Church and State, but we must be mistaken.

A New York group composed of persons calling themselves religious leaders, becoming emboldened through collusion by the Supreme Court with enemies of the white race, now propose to even tell candidates for office what they may and may not say in campaigns.

This self-appointed Gestapo which proposes to supervise the utterances of all aspirants for office includes the pink pastor Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill, Rev. Edwin V. O'Hara, Dr. Julius Mark, Dr. George N. Shuster, Irving M. Engel and others. They urge that similar committees be set up in each community to "police local campaigns" for possible appeals based on "religious or racial prejudice".

Their Declaration said in part: "We urge all candidates to take all necessary measures to prevent any expression of religious or racial bias by their adherents and to condemn publicly and emphatically every occurrence thereof. . . . We suggest that in every community a nonpartisan and nonsectarian committee undertake to keep a watchful eye on campaign activities and to call to the attention of political leaders any instances of appeals based on religious or racial prejudice".

In other words, a candidate for office in this once free country could say only what these advocates of mongrelization and proponents of miscegenation would allow them to say.

They did not announce what the proposed penalty would be in the event some courageous candidate decides to expose the overall plot against this country of which these simpletons are, perhaps unwittingly, a part and which would necessarily entail the exposure of the whole far-flung conspiracy against the white Christian race.

From a Fulton County public official, Atlanta, Georgia: "Reading your paper is like taking a 'refresher course' in Americanism".

At the start of National Newspaper Week recently, the president said that "editors, publishers and reporters who struggle to keep America informed can enjoy the satisfaction of knowing that their contribution to the cause of freedom is mighty". Yes it is mighty—mighty pink and slanted in about ninety per cent of cases.

As We Vow to Protect Formosa We Supply Communists With Means of Attacking Her

High officials in Washington are constantly denouncing "evangels of fear" and assuring the American people that there is no cause for alarm over the current national and international situation.

We have tried hard to believe them for nothing would be more comforting than to be able to discard all fear and accept the thesis that "God's in His heaven; all's right with the world", but first there's something that must be cleared up.

Recently, both the President and the Secretary of State publicly announced that we would defend Formosa and that if the Chinese Communists attacked that Island, they would have to "run over the 7th Fleet."

At the same time, the New York Times, unofficial spokesman for the administration, reported that Harold Stassen, director of the Foreign Operations Administration, had given an order that the "scope of trade which free nations could carry on with Soviet Russia" had been broadened and that any country could now sell additional products to the Communists without fear of losing American aid.

Hundreds of items were listed which Russia could now purchase from "free nations" and it included

locomotives, crude petroleum, flat cars, tank cars, rails, diesel oil, tractors, and scores of other products including chemical equipment which Mr. Stassen blithely specified as "non-military".

Analysed from any and every possible angle, our policy is now to protect Formosa from the Communists while, at the same time, supplying the Communists with the material needed to attack Formosa.

So, in view of our lack of understanding of this directly conflicting and contradictory policy, we just break down and admit that we are not going to stop being afraid and that we are going to continue to quake and tremble in our boots until somebody in authority assures us that either the President and Mr. Dulles, or Harold Stassen, didn't mean what they said.

We simply cannot help trembling with fear at the idea of American boys dying while "protecting" Formosa from attack by Communists who were able to make the attack because the country whose uniforms these boys wear, provided them with the means.

Yes, in the face of an official policy like that, we are going to continue to be afraid and, if pushed too far, we are going to haul off and scream.

WE CAN'T BREAK RELATIONS WITH RUSSIA UNDER PRESENT CONDITIONS

Even the shooting down of Americans on a routine mission one hundred miles outside the Soviet Zone has failed to induce the president to agree to breaking off diplomatic relations with Russia.

Senator William Knowland of California again called on the president to take this step and Senator Jenner, the late Senator McCarran and other concerned Americans in Congress have advocated this policy for many months.

In justice to the president, perhaps we should be considerate and try to realize that he would no doubt break diplomatic relations with this odious enemy if permitted to do so. It is hard to believe that such provocative tactics as that barbarous country and its criminal representatives engage in are not as obnoxious to the president as to other Americans, and perhaps more so.

But we know now that any president of the United States is a captive of powerful forces in this country, acting in collaboration with a world invisible government, and that it will remain this way unless, and until, we elect a president who will make no

compromises with the enemy either at home or abroad, who will cooperate wholeheartedly in efforts to get rid of Communist spies and who will openly recognize, and break, this invisible government's strangle-hold on American units of government, including the White House.

This is an unpalatable and unbelievable situation but it constitutes an irrefutable fact of current history and the sooner we face and admit it, the sooner may we hope to do something about it.

Roosevelt and Truman were captives of this invisible government and willingly so, while Eisenhower seems to have been unaware of the powerful forces which would hedge him in, until after he was elected or else he would not have made the apparently sincere campaign promises he uttered on the stump assuring the electorate of a return to Constitutional government.

So the solution does not lie in party labels but can only be achieved when a candidate for president openly confirms the existence of this world pressure group and swears to break its power when elected.

International Confidence Man Pulls Fast One on Us

In 1948, a couple of international gangsters named Stalin and Tito had a falling out and started calling each other names.

Our diplomats saw in this brawl between thieves an indication that Tito had "reformed" and was denouncing Communism. This decision was no sooner reached than we started pouring millions of dollars in supplies and money into the Yugoslav bandit's coffers.

There was something rather pathetic in the affair for Stalin was proving too much for our dim-witted Fair Deal diplomats to cope with and Tito was seized upon as a possible ally against the monster in Moscow.

So we started buddying up to him and falling all over ourselves in providing him with money and material to build up his war machine.

Now Tito, having bled us for all the traffic will bear, is showing his true colors and proving that his break with Russia was phony and an act put on for the sole purpose of raiding the American Treasury.

According to an Associated Press dispatch from Belgrade, Tito scornfully rejected the suggestion that his country become a member of the Atlantic Defense Community, made by a special deputy Under Secretary of State sent to confer with him by the State Department.

"The Atlantic Alliance is increasingly assuming a political hue—a struggle against Communism", the Yugoslavian dictator charged and added that it was, therefore, unacceptable to his country. He emphasized any gesture of good will from Russia would be accepted in good faith by him.

Perhaps it is too much to hope that we will cut off any further aid to this Communist dictator or that we will eventually get smart and quit falling for such scurvy tricks pulled on us by international confidence men.

"How much is a Federal judge worth" inquires an editorial writer in a leading Texas paper? Well, that all depends. Some of them are worth any salary paid them and some of them, including Supreme Court judges, would be overpaid even if they worked for nothing.

One of the first acts of Senator John W. Bricker when Congress meets in January will be to re-introduce the Bricker amendment designed to protect this country from government by international treaty and executive agreements. Those senators who voted against this safety measure in the 83rd session are at home now and it is hoped that their constituents have put them right on the subject and that they will act accordingly when they come back—if they do.

A Great American And Intrepid Southerner Passes From Scene

After we had gone to press with this edition, the tragic news was released of the death of that great American and intrepid Southern patriot, Judge George W. Armstrong of Texas and Mississippi.

Because of ill health and advanced age his death was not unexpected but his passing has left a gap in the ranks of last-ditch defenders of the Constitution and the American way of life which cannot be filled.

As an individual, he was gentle, considerate and kind but as a citizen, his philosophy of government tolerated no compromise with principle, no appeasement of the enemies of his country and no concessions to the alien forces which, during his later years, have been in constant conspiracy against it.

During his long and useful life, many of the great men who once held posts of high authority in Washington were his close personal friends and the most poignant sorrow of his life was occasioned by the obvious decline of American statesmanship and the deterioration of top-level leadership in the nation's capital.

Because of his vast understanding of the structure of the American

governing system and his unwavering faith in the wisdom of its founders, he suffered perhaps more than most Americans as he witnessed the birth of a New Order in which humanity's derelicts were dredged up from the gutter and lifted to high estate and the seats of the mighty were filled by men of lilliputian mold.

He didn't wait for time to designate Franklin D. Roosevelt as the master destroyer of the ages and avowed antagonist of Constitutional government but beat history to the draw and reached this conclusion himself early in the New Deal when vocal opposition was regarded as not only unwise but dangerous. From the first he was a verbal dissenter and conscientious objector to Roosevelt's planned program for National Socialism.

Ours was a great Republic when the principles for which Judge Armstrong fought until his dying day were in the ascendancy and it will again rise to that same measure of greatness when, and if, Constitutional Government is restored and those principles again prevail.