

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 5

Fort Worth, Texas, February, 1954

No. 2

Experts in United Nations Invade the Maternity Ward

President Has No Authority to Interfere In Passage of Constitutional Amendments

If we needed additional proof of the distance we have travelled away from orderly processes of American government and violated the intent of its founders, we had it as the original Bricker amendment was considered by the Senate.

While this body was absorbed in its deliberations of a subject coming strictly within its province and which lay outside of Executive jurisdiction, couriers were scurrying in and out of the White House and the State Department and ricocheting back to Capitol Hill, armed with suggestions and demands from the president.

All this extra-curricular activity was inspired by the mistaken belief of the president that he is authorized to interfere, consult and advise on the passage of an

amendment to the Constitution of the United States which is definitely not the case.

Those who wrote the Constitution took care of this, or thought they did, when they withheld from the president any responsibility in the matter and allowed him no vote, no voice and no veto in connection with the submission and ratification of an amendment to that document.

All that is required of the President in such matters is a dignified and aloof silence and hands-off policy, leaving the legislative body to perform its legitimate functions without interference by the Executive, in accordance with provisions in the Constitution which specify three separate and distinct branches of government, with overlapping authority granted to none.

Warning Voice From Beyond the Grave

John Stuart Mill, English philosopher and economist, could have no more effectively pled for the passage of the original Bricker amendment if he had been standing on the floor of the United States Senate recently, than he offered in his Essay on Representative Government published in 1860.

In that Essay written almost a century ago, he used these words which are so tragically appropriate in this country now:

"A few people may prefer a free government but if, from indulgence or carelessness, or cowardice, or want of public spirit, they are unequal to the exertions necessary for preserving it; if they will not fight for it when it is directly attacked, if they can be deluded by the artifices used to cheat them out of it; if, for momentary discouragement, or temporary panic, or fit of enthusiasm for an individual, they can be induced to lay their liberties at the feet of even a great man, or trust him with the powers which enable him to subvert their institutions; in all these cases they are more or less unfit for liberty; and even though it may be for their good to have had it even for a short time, they are unlikely long to enjoy it".

When the Master Minds of the Universe solemnly assemble under the benign auspices of a world organization and concentrate the mighty force of their combined intellects on the solution of international problems, anything can happen. There is no matter too personal and intimate for them to tackle, no proposal too ridiculous for them to offer and no heights of absurdity which they do not scale. But we contend that the International Labor Organization of United Nations gets the velvet-lined brass knucks for including childbirth as one of the forms of "labor" coming within their jurisdiction.

The stock argument is heard on all sides that the United Nations is the only medium for discussing and settling pressing world problems and that it would be national suicide for the United States to withdraw from it.

The International Labor Organization, known alphabetically as ILO, and which is one of the most aggressive sub-divisions of United Nations, held a meeting sometime ago to take up consideration of a matter which forcefully illustrates what they consider "pressing world problems" requiring international debate.

At this meeting, the intellectuals in attendance pulled up their chairs, hitched up their pants, sat down at the Council table and unleashed their powerful minds for the attack on the subject before them.

This particular "pressing world problem", which had caused international authorities from many lands to assemble in solemn conclave, was the matter of women having babies and what World Government should do about it.

Of course we can't escape the indisputable fact that "labor" is heavily involved in this process of nature but most of us didn't know before that this particular type was subject to Union rules, hours and rates of pay.

Anyway, the bright boys in ILO proposed a "convention" to be adopted by the United Nations under which women would be financially remunerated for bringing forth their young—something they have been doing free throughout the ages without realizing that they could get paid for it.

At this point, we feel that it is only fair to warn that vast hordes of women who were born too soon to get in on the act will probably descend on the United Nations in droves with the demand that this provision be made retroactive.

Just think, for instance, how much dough Mrs. Dionne might have hauled down if motherhood had been operating on a cash basis in 1934 when her five little bundles from heaven came rolling off the assembly line.

This "convention" as finally approved takes in only employed women but, since the ultimate intent of United Nations is to take the whole world into protective custody, the program will probably be expanded to include all feminine humanity when World Government squares off and gets going in a big way.

Under the provisions of this "convention" a woman would be given

(Continued on Page Two)

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

As Usual He Didn't Mince Any Words

Senator Joseph R. McCarthy added to his stature which already towers far above all of his detractors and many of his colleagues when he was in San Francisco recently.

His statement was in connection with complaints from Fair Deal Democrats that the Republicans were "pouring it on" in their charges of Communist coddling on the part of the Truman administration.

The president sided with the Fair Deal cry-babies and indicated that he would try to put a stop to criticism of Communist partisans who sold us out during both the Roosevelt and Truman administrations.

Asked for comment by San Francisco reporters, the Wisconsin senator replied with characteristic directness:

"I shall continue to give the people the facts".

Earlier he had correctly tagged the two previous national administrations as "twenty years of treason" and his reaction as tersely expressed at San Francisco indicated that he was not about to back up.

No Place For Snappy Dressers In This Proposed Peace Plan

An Associated Press dispatch from Allahabad, India, tells of a novel proposal for peace originating in that city. The proposal comes from the so-called Holy Men of India known as Naga Sadhus.

It seems that a deep-seated belief prevailing among the Holy Men is that wars and all other ailments of the world are caused by the refusal of ordinary people to discard all earthly desires and possessions, including their clothes.

So these Holy Men suggest that the remedy for war lies in five of the world's top leaders getting together on top of the Himalaya Mountains and working out a peace plan. They list these "top leaders" as Premier Nehru of India, Prime Minister Winston Churchill of England, Georgi Malenkov of Russia, Mao Tze-tung of China and President Dwight D. Eisenhower of the United States.

The catch to the suggestion of the Holy Men is that all the participants in the conference must appear at the tip of the Himalayas in their birth-day clothes.

Without meaning any disrespect to the Holy Men, we make bold to suggest that if the world's leaders can't achieve peace while fully clothed and living in steam-heated buildings, we can see nothing to be gained by having them do a strip tease on top of the Himalayas.

Having proved by this bizarre suggestion that they stand high on the list of international screwballs, the Holy Men should get themselves appointed as delegates to one of the United Nation's auxiliary units where such wacky proposals are all in the day's work.

Arkansas Senator Opposes Expose of Communists

The Senate of the United States made an enviable record in its vote on the matter of giving to Senator Joseph R. McCarthy the funds to continue his effective fight against Communists in government.

The amount requested by the McCarthy Committee was \$214,000 and that was exactly what it got.

The vote 85 to 1, with Senator J. W. Fulbright of Arkansas voting against it.

Just why the Arkansas senator is opposed to the exposure of Communists who are striving for the overthrow of the government is anybody's guess, but we imagine many of his constituents will demand an answer.

Fulbright, a Rhodes scholar, doesn't come up for re-election until 1956 and maybe he thinks the matter will be forgotten by then—and it probably will.

A Prophet Is Not Without Honor Except at Home

Wayne Morse who is reputed to be able to empty the Senate Chamber quicker than a fire drill by simply rising to his feet, was in Texas recently.

At his talk to the Dallas Chapter of Americans for Democratic Action, he is reported to have commanded more respect than is accorded him by his colleagues on the floor of the Senate, as none of the colored brethren, who largely composed his audience, walked out on him.

Oldtimers in Texas insist that at an early session of the State Legislature a bill was introduced, and seriously considered, which was designed to prevent jackasses from being allowed to run at large over the State. After lengthy debate, the measure is reported to have been defeated.

Modern day Texans frequently have occasion for regret that the bill did not pass.

He Admires Originality In Villages but Not Men

The Right Honorable Alfred Robens, labor member of the British Parliament, while on a trip to this country recently, had the nerve to comment to reporters that his only objection to the United States was that all cities and towns here looked alike.

"Every village I have seen looks just like the last one" he complained.

This too from a Socialist Party member whose objective is to level off the human race and compel everybody by law to act and think alike!

Obviously he is in favor of unifying the human race but objects to any similarity between cities and towns.

A middle-of-the-road philosophy of government means less than nothing at all. It is merely a cloak for weakness, indecision and lack of courage in squarely facing up to the issues confronting the Republic and solving them in the American way. It is an expedient effort to appease both sides. Even an out-spoken "Liberal" is more deserving of respect than one who has no convictions on anything.

Fair Dealers Strain at Gnat After Swallowing a Whole Herd of Camels

In our opinion the juicy morsels of scandal turned up in the latest Roosevelt divorce case, are overshadowed by the amazing attitude of the National Democratic Committee concerning this particular angle of a perpetual matrimonial tussle.

The Committee sent Mrs. India Edwards and former California governor, Cuthbert Olsen, to whisper in Jimmy's pink ear and warn him that the party would be "injured" if he made the race for Congress from California's 26th district, in view of the racy details outlined in his wife's suit against him.

For a party whose top-ranking members have been involved in practically every crime in the calendar including bribery and plain theft, on up to conspiracy and treason, to fear "injury" by a normal little thing like a Roosevelt divorce scandal, would seem to be straining at a gnat after

swallowing a whole herd of camels.

Also, we think that James Roosevelt's contention that he signed a letter admitting misconduct in order to save his father humiliation, was a little far-fetched, too.

His old man had already weathered a half dozen divorces without any apparent jolt to his complacency and, in our opinion, it is not likely that an additional domestic brawl would have even jarred his cigarette holder from its customary jaunty tilt.

As between disturbing his father's unruffled composure or smearing the names of twelve women, our unsolicited opinion is that he made a very ungallant choice.

As to his wife's remarkable charges concerning his wholesale infidelity, we believe the figures are grossly exaggerated and that her evaluation of his prowess as a Casanova is based on highly inflationary estimates.

EXPERTS

(Continued from Page One)

time off from her job with full pay, together with a big cash bonus, in order to have her baby, the presumption being that she would be required to observe strict Union rules and an eight-hour per day schedule, with overtime if she didn't knock off promptly at five.

After she had fulfilled what used to be considered her natural function but which now turns out to be a commercial transaction, and had enjoyed a three month's paid vacation, the mother would bring her baby back to the job where a nice, cozy government nursery would be waiting for it.

(Just where her husband, if any, comes in and what he would be doing all this time was not indicated.)

Having satisfactorily settled this phase of a "pressing world problem" the intellectuals went on from there and took up the allied subject of feeding the baby and, since world experts are nothing if not efficient, they even specified the periods in which the mother would be allowed to leave the job to nurse her baby.

The unanimous conclusion was that the baby's milk should be provided by the government and everybody was happy and felt that they had completed a good job well done until one of the experts suddenly remembered that not all babies are fed on cow's milk.

This called for another huddle after which, following deep, serious and profound deliberation, it was concluded that if the government bought milk for bottle-fed babies, it would constitute rank discrimination against the mother who produces her infant's nourishment by the simple expedient of flipping a wrist and yanking a zipper.

Since "discrimination" is a cardinal sin in the eyes of all experts, this important angle of a "pressing world problem" was resolved by the simple decision that the latter type of mother should be paid so much per gallon for the real McCoy, although it was not made clear whether or not the United Nations would provide meters or pressure gauges for measuring liquid in exact amounts when dispensed through nature's original pipe lines.

Presumably left for future discussion was the world-shaking question of what the United Nations would do in the event a mother, during one of these government-financed trips to attend her baby, should find that an "emergency" existed which required her to render it an additional service not provided for in ILO general regulations.

For a hundred and three-score years, the United States produced the statesmanship which enabled us to settle our own problems, formulate our own policies and provide for our own security without assistance or advice from alien egg heads.

It is the sincere conviction of unnumbered millions of good patriots in this country that we could still do it and that the job could be more successfully performed if we heeded mounting American sentiment and took the United States out of the United Nations and the United Nations out of the United States.

A surprising number of us are also firmly convinced that American women could even continue to have babies under the old-fashioned formula and that they could always manage, in a pinch, to struggle through the rigors of a blessed event without benefit of government supervision or the presence of world bureaucrats in the delivery room.

The Still Small Voice of Conscience Will Whisper to Them of Their Guilt

"The dilapidated train pulled slowly away and twenty-one young Americans who had turned their backs on their own land to cast their lot with the Communists went North to hide forever beyond the Bamboo Curtain."

This is from a press account of an American Tragedy which occurred when twenty-one young soldiers departed the land of their birth recently and accepted Communism as their chosen way of life, but it had its beginning more than twenty years ago when most of these boys were still in the cradle.

Such an instance of mass treason could have happened in no other era than one which saw national political leadership and private morals sink to the lowest ebb in history.

These boys had the misfortune to grow up in an age which witnessed widespread disloyalty in top government circles and one in which American traitors enjoyed White House protection; where teachers in educational institutions held up Communism as a form of government superior to our own; where many ministers

cleverly substituted the theories of Karl Marx for the gospel of Jesus Christ in their sermons from the pulpit; and where subversive speakers fanned out all over the nation, at the expense of large Foundations, to infiltrate impressionable young minds with subversive doctrines.

Yes, these boys have "gone North" perhaps never to return and have left broken hearts in twenty-one American homes.

But for every agonized heart-ache of a deserted American mother, there should be a thousand pangs of guilty conscience on the part of those still enjoying the glorious blessings of freedom who helped to sell these lads into eternal slavery, poverty and despair.

Even though misguided, these boys had the courage of their convictions and were willing to seek refuge in the land of their dreams while the cowardly traitors who pointed out the way to them prefer to remain here in prosperity and affluence and continue to instill their subversive theories into other young and immature minds.

Oklahoma and Texas Editors Reprint Letter to Malenkov

We are very grateful to Mr. Richard Lloyd Jones editor of the Tulsa, Oklahoma, Tribune, who recently paid us a very gracious tribute.

On February sixth, in an action which he assured us was without precedent on the part of his paper, he surrendered his usual two column signed editorial space to our lead editorial which appeared in the January Edition of the Southern Conservative titled "Open Letter to Malenkov".

Under the heading "Let Mrs. Darden Speak", the Tribune editor makes this flattering reference to our work: "From the Flatiron Building in Fort Worth, Texas, comes a vital opinion paper edited by Mrs. Ida M. Darden. Its subscription price is five dollars per year. It is worth every penny of it. We give it this plug because nothing like it comes to our editorial table."

"It makes the pretentious eastern seaboard newspaper and magazine editors look like the inconsequential little chaps that they are."

"Today we vacate our editorial chair to invite Mrs. Darden to sit down. She addresses an open letter to Georgi Malenkov of the Kremlin".

There followed the major part of the above mentioned editorial of ours and we feel that this generous act of the Tribune editor will win many friends for our paper among those who feel as we do about the State of the Union, among the people of Oklahoma.

Likewise, we are indebted to Mr. M. I. Hall, editor of the Lubbock, Texas, Sunday Sun who ran the editorial in its entirety in their edition of January 17th with this explanation for devoting an entire page to our three-thousand word letter to Malenkov:

"The facts published in this letter

Yet They Say There's Nothing in a Name

When an effort was made to fire United States Employees in the United Nations sometime ago because they refused to tell an investigating committee of Congress whether or not they were Communists, it was discovered that this country had no authority in the matter.

Something called the United Nations Administrative Tribunal reversed the order to fire them and, instead, reinstated some of them and awarded large sums of money to eleven others.

From a report of the Internal Subcommittee of the Committee on Judiciary of the United States Senate, we have just learned the names of the governing officials in this United Nations Administrative Tribunal, which took so much authority on itself.

The president of it is named Madame Bastid.

The vice president of it is a Mr. Crook.

Birds of a Feather: Eric Johnston, president of the Motion Picture Association of America, gave a party recently at which Dave Beck of the Teamsters Union was the honoree. Earl Warren, the president's amazing selection as Supreme Court Chief Justice, headed the guest list.

are painfully embarrassing to us as a nation. It is human nature to hate to admit that our trust has been misplaced to so great an extent. But we need to face the facts and appreciate the opportunity to present to our readers so forceful a protest of our betrayal".

Those Were the Days When Democrats Were Democrats

Florida Senator Protests Disgusting Order by Navy

Senator Spessard Holland of Florida has demanded an explanation of the Navy Department for the firing of thirty white civilian barbers at the Jacksonville Naval Air Station because they refused to shave Negro sailors.

"It is difficult to understand why the Navy is forcing such an explosive issue that flies in the face of age-old customs and conventions in the Jacksonville area, particularly where equal facilities and service, if not better, have been provided at the same places" Senator Holland said.

From Senator Holland's report of the affair, it appears that the barber shops had Negro operators to serve Negro customers but this was unacceptable to Navy officials who ordered white men to personally shave Negroes.

If Federal officials insist on continuing to force indignities on white Southern Americans, they are liable to bring about, not the Moscow-inspired integration program politicians so glibly mutter about, but the return of an institution in the South which has become little more than a memory.

And that would be very bad.

People Who Live In Glass Houses

We believe that the ultimate in inconsistency was reached recently when members of an election board from Kansas City, of all places, came down to Dallas and—believe it or not—criticized the manner in which Dallas County officials handle their ballot boxes.

It seems that in Dallas, the voting boxes are stored in warehouses when not in use and the Jackson County, Missouri, gents claimed that this causes these sacred containers of the citizens ballot to become rusty which, they assert, is wanton destruction of property.

Of course up in Kansas City they have superior methods for protecting the ballot boxes which keep them shiny and bright.

The first step in this process as practiced in the immaculate precincts of Pendergast's former empire, is to remove the contents of the boxes and burn them, the better to keep the boxes brightly polished, as well as to prevent some snooping investigator from having a look.

As in many similar cases of saboteurs, Harry Dexter White was born with one name and died with another. His real name was not White at all but Weit and his father and mother were Lithuanians named Jacob and Sara Weit.

In 1887 when Congress passed a bill for relief of certain drouth-stricken counties in Texas, and made an appropriation for this purpose, President Grover Cleveland, the last real Democrat to serve as Chief Executive of the United States, vetoed it.

In returning the measure without his approval, President Cleveland made what was one of the last sound statements ever to be uttered by a president claiming adherence to that political faith, from that time until this good hour:

"I can find no warrant for such an appropriation in the Constitution, and I do not believe that the power and duty of the general government ought to be extended to the relief of individual suffering which is in no manner properly related to the public service or benefit. A prevalent tendency to disregard the limited mission of this power and duty should, I think, be steadfastly resisted, to the end that the lesson should be constantly enforced that though the people support the government, the government should not support the people.

"The friendliness and charity of our countrymen can always be relied upon to relieve their fellow-citizens in misfortune. This has been repeatedly and quite lately demonstrated. Federal aid in such cases encourages the expectation of paternal care on the part of the government and weakens the sturdiness of our national character, while it prevents the indulgence among our people of that kindly sentiment and conduct which strengthens the bonds of a common brotherhood."

(We are indebted to the Foundation for Economic Education for releasing this statement by President Cleveland, made in the days before the Socialists took over the Democratic Party and before the Democratic Party absorbed the Communist Party.)

"Your letter to Malenkov appearing on the editorial page of the Tulsa Tribune expresses my sentiments fully" writes an Oklahoma lady who adds: "Why do not more editors similarly express themselves for the information of the general public?" Well, there are different kinds of editors. There are many who do express their views courageously and who have been defending Americanism since Roosevelt first started us on the road to National Socialism. There are other editors who are part of the conspiracy against the American form of government and there are editors who think right and would like to express their opinion but are afraid of offending certain advertisers.

When reporters sought an interview from Eleanor concerning the spicy divorce case of her oldest son, she replied haughtily that she never discussed family matters publicly. That is the correct attitude to take of course but we hasten to add that the world would be far better off if she had never discussed anything else publicly.

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

EXCITING INFORMATION RELEASED FROM GENEVA

United Nations World Health authorities in conference in Geneva, Switzerland, have increased the sum total of the world's knowledge and added to the gaiety of nations by revealing information heretofore undisclosed.

Experts from nine countries met there recently to discuss the subject of drunkenness and out of this collective wisdom came the startling information that a tall or fat person is less apt to get pickled than a short or thin one, on the same number of drinks.

We don't know exactly what this is supposed to prove in the brave search for universal peace which UN is making but we feel sure that it is valuable data which should be kept in mind by all those seeking international understanding and harmony.

The Associated Press dispatch telling of this conference revealed that cats were used in the experiment which enabled the experts to arrive at this important conclusion.

We always knew there were fat cats and thin cats but we didn't realize that tall cats and short cats were available for laboratory experiments.

In their studies, the experts are reported to have placed alcohol in the milk served the felines and the cats are claimed to have demonstrated their likeness to the human race by lapping it up, and meowing for more.

Although there is little we would put past him, it is difficult to believe that Harry Truman, with a straight face, would have the gall to say even at a meeting of ADA, that his administration had exposed and removed Communists from government. It is enough to make the gills of red herrings quiver with mirth.

Women Speakers in Real Democrats and Republicans Should The Public Forum Re-Capture Their Respective Parties

It used to be that we could either take women speakers or leave them alone but mostly we ran for cover like a startled jack-rabbit at the first sound of a female voice raised in public assembly.

Of course Eleanor Roosevelt gave the sex a black eye in this field when she barged into the public arena some twenty years ago with her rasping voice, her cock-eyed theories and her bold and unwarranted assumption that she had something to say.

But in recent years a heartening number of sound-thinking women who know what they are talking about have appeared on the public scene with platform poise and forensic appeal in such proportion as to cause male orators to brush up on their technique, their facts and their knowledge of fundamental principles of government in order to combat this challenging competition.

We are utterly spellbound, for instance, when Vivien Kellems holds forth on the rostrum, rips the current revenue law into shreds and holds it up to her audience as the great national political racket which it is.

And Belgium-born Susanne Stevenson can charm the birds right out of the trees when she points up the precious heritage of the people here and pleads with them for the restoration of vanishing Americanism.

There are scores of other women similarly informed and equally eloquent in their public discussions of political and economic issues but it has been our misfortune that we have personally heard very few of them speak.

Our latest love among women speakers is Mary Cain of Summit, Mississippi, and we could write on the subject for hours without doing justice to this attractive little human dynamo from down in the Deep South.

In addition to being lovely to look at, this feminine Patrick Henry has a deep and profound understanding of the Constitution and the American system of government as formulated by its founders, possessed by few persons of either sex.

Those who have not had the privilege of listening to her own original version of the current State of the Union, have missed one of life's rarest treats.

A Victim of Too Much Progressive Education

A Lubbock, Texas, school teacher recently asked pupils in her class to give her the correct definition of "allegory."

The hand of one of her bright students went up and, when given the nod, he proceeded to give his definition:

"An allegory is similar to a crock- adory and both are found in the Florida Everglades."

George Parr May Not Throw Any More State Elections

It looks like the beginning of the end of the reign of George Parr down in notorious Duval County of South Texas where he has long ruled over a corrupt political machine rivaling that of the Pendergast gang in Missouri.

Governor Allan Shivers and the State's attorney general John Ben Shepperd, have indicated that they are not fooling in their announced determination to remove this cancerous growth from that area of the State.

Extensive investigations in that section by both State and Federal authorities are reported to be causing the South Texas political leader the first apprehension he has ever felt concerning the downfall of his empire.

Throughout the years, half-hearted attempts have been made in Austin to break Parr's stranglehold on the voters in this district, but the pressure was overwhelming and nothing ever happened.

But the present state officials of Texas are not easily intimidated and there is a general feeling throughout the State that the Duval dictator has stuffed his last ballot box and voted his last dead man.

The Silver Lining To the Dark Cloud

The failure of the original Bricker amendment to receive the approval of the Senate and the note of appeasement inserted in substitute measures by high authorities is, of course, a source of profound regret to all Americans who put the security of their own country first.

In the moment of defeat, however, most will be heartened by the vast and unprecedented number of Americans who personally concerned themselves in the matter and undertook to persuade members of the Senate, through petition and otherwise, to abandon political expediency in favor of national security.

This spontaneous outburst of public concern in a legislative act is encouraging evidence that there are still millions of men and women in this country who refuse to concede that Americanism is a Lost Cause or that the enemy is winning in the battle for human minds.

To those Americans whose shadow is beginning to fall toward the East and whose span of life is inevitably nearing the end, the surrender of principle by national leaders holds little in the way of threat of personal danger, because their responsibilities will be laid down at the impending curtain call of time, and sentinel duty will fall to younger hands.

But the universal demonstration in support of the original Bricker

The Southern Conservative said editorially in January, 1950, that both major political parties in this country were "liberal" which, when translated into plain English, means they are both Socialistic.

This fact must be obvious to the most obtuse American now and it must be conceded that Constitutional government is no nearer to accomplishment than it was under the previous national administration.

The vote which put the Eisenhower administration in power was conservative but the results are turning out to be "liberal" to put it mildly.

So it would seem to be time now for efforts to be launched which will insure that in 1956, American voters will have two good sound-thinking Americans to choose from for president instead of being forced to accept the lesser of two evils.

Such a suggestion assumes that majority sentiment in this country is conservative and that neither political party has a monopoly on patriotic men and women more concerned in the preservation of their own country than in impoverishing it to the advantage of foreign nations.

If this is true, the long-range view would be for conservative leaders in both parties to begin now to lay plans for 1956 and put forth a strong effort to regain control of their respective parties and restore them to their original faith and principles.

In Texas, for instance, conservative Democrats are trying valiantly to recapture the Democratic Party stolen from them early in 1930 by Socialists and Communists who have dominated it since and there is evidence that they will succeed. Other States in the South are making similar efforts.

If Conservative Republicans in each State would employ the same strategy and begin, at the local level, to wrest their party from left-wingers who dictate its policies, the results could be happy for long-suffering American voters who want a change in fact as well as in theory.

Socialism is just as unpalatable when served by Republicans as it is when dished up by Democrats.

Organization of a Third Party is not practicable and the solution would seem to be to throw the "liberals" out of both major parties and let them affiliate with the Socialist Party where they belong.

From a subscriber in Birmingham, Alabama: "My wife and I almost come to blows over the Southern Conservative each month. Both of us think we should read it first but we usually compromise by dividing it into two sections. What slays me is the way you discuss deep and profound subjects in eloquent and high-powered language and then, to put over a point, you suddenly revert to slang expressions. Keep up the good work."

amendment participated in by citizens of all age groups, offers the bright augury that the fight for fundamental principles will go on and that a vast army of devoted American patriots will take over to replace those who fall out of line when life's candle is snuffed out.

'They Folded Their Tents Like the Arabs and Silently Stole Away'

The "Commission for the Defense of Democracy Through Education" is the somewhat imposing name of a sort of academic Gestapo set up by the National Education Association to police the actions of local school personnel.

Their interference in local school affairs has proceeded without interruption until recently when it appears they sent their academic goon squad into the wrong city at the wrong time.

The Houston School Board some time ago failed to renew the contract of a school official for reasons with which we are not familiar but which the school board members apparently regarded as sufficient for their decision.

This should have settled the matter once and for all but the National Education Association had other ideas. Three of its crack investigators, as well as a six-member NEA selected "committee" replete with legal counsel, were sent into Houston. This invasion by the NEA followed a formal invitation extended them by a small clique of Houston teachers who demanded that the matter be re-opened and thoroughly aired.

It appears that these NEA representatives' idea of "airing" the subject consisted of tightly closed secret meetings with only themselves and a few disgruntled Houston school teachers in attendance.

But it also appears that these "Defenders of Democracy Through Education" had reckoned without some five hundred Houston school teachers and sixty school administrators who don't exactly care to have outsiders come in and hold Star Chamber sessions to decide matters involving their schools and who didn't hesitate to descend on these secret conclaves and say so.

To make a long story short, the invaders are reported to have rather suddenly departed from Houston and of having appeared somewhat dejected and frustrated in contrast to their cocky and self-assured manner when they first appeared on the scene.

Spokesmen for NEA had protested loud and long that the "investigation" would be fair and unbiased and that all sides would be heard.

The Houston Chronicle defines neatly the NEA type of "fairness", in an editorial in which it says in part:

"The first witness heard when the committee convened, was Ralph O'Leary, a Post reporter who wrote a long series of articles accusing the Minute Women of being one of the key groups in causing unrest here, in and out of schools. The American Legion also was mentioned in the O'Leary articles . . . Although the probers heard O'Leary at length, in secret session, it did not invite the Minute Women or the American Legion to give their sides of the story. When it became apparent the invitation would not be forthcoming, the Minute Women asked to be heard and were told by the committee chairman, Dr. J. W. Letson of Bessemer, Alabama, that there was not time.

"The probers heard only one side. "The probers did not invite teachers opposed to the NEA investigation to testify. However, about five hundred anti-probe teachers showed up and insisted on expressing their opinion.

"The probers did not invite school principals to testify. But about sixty of them appeared before the committee and voiced strong opposition to an outside group coming here to meddle in purely local affairs.

"The probers heard those favoring the investigation only in secret session. It heard those opposing the investigation only in open sessions where the public could hear every word that was said. The opponents demanded open sessions.

"How can the public properly evaluate charges made by people who insist on operating in secret? That procedure is reminiscent of the Ku Klux Klan, where people were charged without an opportunity to answer or to know who their accusers were. Certainly that is not the American way."

The Chronicle also pointed out that when the School Board president, James M. Delmar, proposed that a free and unpressured secret ballot be taken by Houston school teachers to decide whether the invitation to NEA for an investigation represented the views of a majority of Houston teachers, the NEA probers recessed and left town.

"Houston will never be willing to accept dictation from the outside in purely local affairs," the Chronicle concluded.

One of the hits of the Chicago Bar Association's annual Christmas Party was a scene in which an attorney, impersonating Madame Pandit of India, president of the United Nations general assembly, offered a "pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United Nations, and to the chaos for which it stands, sixty nations, incompatible, with jealousy and suspicion for all."

From a Fort Worth business executive: "This must be one hell of a fine country to withstand the assaults of those maimed physically and mentally, the homosexuals, the wasters, incompetents and radicals."

We Had Better Look Ahead to Our Future Status in the United Nations

Those Americans who are earnestly trying to evaluate the United Nations and to weigh its benefits against its potential danger to American institutions should keep two important facts in mind.

First the Charter of the United Nations provides that amendments to that document shall be made in 1955 and this is to be done in July of next year.

Sometime ago the World Government Conference met in London and drew up a list of proposed amendments which have been endorsed by World Conference groups in this country.

These proposed amendments, aside from destroying freedom of speech, freedom of the press, freedom of religion and right of trial by jury, provide that no member nation who once becomes a member of the United Nations shall ever be permitted to withdraw.

These amendments can be adopted by a majority vote which means that thirty one countries can adopt them even if the United States should cast its vote against them.

Once that happens, we're sunk as nothing is said in these proposed amendments about any nation having the veto and that right will probably be abrogated.

Up until July, 1955, we have the privilege of withdrawing from the United Nations and if the American people intend to do anything to keep this country out of a permanent World Government with rules of procedure similar to those of the Kremlin, they had better act before then.

The second thing to consider is that of our status in the Security Council when Communist China is admitted to the United Nations, even if the proposed amendments are not adopted.

Any one who feels that the admission of Communist China to the UN is improbable is whistling in the dark. We refer to Mr. John Foster Dulles' position in the matter as outlined in his latest book titled "War or Peace", on page 190 where he says:

"If the Communist government of China in fact proves its ability to govern China without serious domestic resistance, then it, too, should be admitted to the United Nations . . . Communist governments today dominate more than thirty per cent of the population of the world. We may not like that fact; indeed, we do not like it at all. But if we want to have a world organization, then it should be representative of the world as it is."

As our Secretary of State, Mr. Dulles will have much to say as to whether or not Communist China becomes a member Nation. Since he has openly expressed the above opinion, and since the leopard cannot change its spots nor the Ethiope his skin his convictions on the subject will probably break through when the time comes.

The membership of the Security Council, which is the heart of United Nations, is composed of Russia, the

They Must Have Used Our Filing System

Staff members of the Department of Justice told the House Appropriations Committee that they had been working on the files in that office for a year and asserted that they had a long way to go before bringing order out of chaos.

According to this testimony, they found twenty thousand important documents and reports covering a period of twenty years operation, hidden away in the most unlikely places, including one letter that was written fifteen years ago which was still waiting to be posted. This letter, according to one Washington newsmen was found behind a steam radiator.

Included among the documents which had gotten swept into the corners or shoved under the rug were those relating to the Harry Dexter White spy case and FBI reports on other subversive figures and turned over to the Department but apparently never read.

Well, any one who has kept up with the activities of those who headed that Department since 1933 will easily understand that, with their extracurricular doings, they just simply didn't have time to mess around keeping track of twenty thousand little old routine reports and information about spies in government.

Somehow we got the impression that one of the changes the American people were promised was the removal of Federal housing from competition with private builders. We must have been dreaming, however, for we now read of a "new and revitalized" Federal housing program which is to construct 140,000 housing units. Next time, we must listen carefully to candidates in campaigns because we are always imagining they said one thing and then they turn right around and do the opposite.

United States, Nationalist China, France and England.

The United States is the only major power that opposes the admission of Communist China, Russia, France and England all being strong for it, so naturally they will finally be admitted.

That means Communist China will replace Nationalist China on the Security Council and then where are we?

No time need be wasted in explaining Russia. England is wavering back and forth between political ideologies and her leaders would sell their own mother in exchange for trade, money and power, while France, like a weak and willing blonde, will fall the first time she's pushed.

So there will be the United States in the Security Council with two strong Communist countries and two weak and wobbly nations who have yet to prove their loyalty to us in a showdown.

No good American can lose anything by considering these phases of the subject before deciding that the United Nations is our only salvation.

Gangsters Not the Only Ones Who Refuse to Squeal on Each Other

We Wouldn't Give Them All The Hay They Could Eat

The Communist Daily Worker and Time Magazine made bitter attacks sometime ago on Facts Forum of Dallas, a national radio and television discussion panel which seeks to present both sides of important public issues.

In these attacks, which were almost identical in substance, Facts Forum was accused of bias in the presentation of its programs and its sponsor was charged with undertaking to influence conservative thinking in this country to the detriment of liberal opinion.

These allegations are, of course, in direct conflict with the truth.

Any one who is familiar with Facts Forum programs cannot escape being impressed with the courtesy, consideration and impartiality invariably displayed toward all participants in the discussions without regard to their political views.

Mr. Dan Smoot, able, intelligent and highly informed moderator of the program ignored the Daily Worker's tirade but delivered over the air a clear-cut, dignified and masterly reply to Time which, according to majority reaction among listeners, trimmed that publication down to size.

If we were going to make a complaint against Facts Forum, which we are not, we would protest vigorously against the appearance of any left-wingers on the program at all.

Maybe they have a viewpoint but, if so, it has been hammered into American minds for more than two decades and they are away out ahead in the race to get their propaganda over to the public.

The Federal government has provided, at taxpayers' expense, a "Forum" through which their propaganda has been disseminated for twenty years, while sound, conservative American opinion has been without a vehicle for expression and hasn't had a look-in.

If we were the sponsor of Facts Forum, we would not use one penny of our money in providing a sounding board for adherents of the pink school of political thought that has given Socialism a strangle-hold on the Federal government which apparently can't be broken.

We would present an "American Forum" in which only fundamental principles of the Republic would be given expression, as a public service to long-suffering Americans who have been fed to the gills with propaganda from the left, and the nearest any "Liberal" would get to the program would be when he heard it on radio or viewed it on television.

In the underworld, gangsters have their own code of ethics which prevents them from informing on other criminals and, almost without exception, they strictly adhere to this rule.

Educators who are suspected of being part of the Communist conspiracy to overthrow the American government have a similar code against squealing on their buddies.

In the parade of pink professors, both male and female, before investigating committees in Washington, there have been very few who have confessed their own part in the conspiracy against this country and practically none who were willing to name their co-conspirators.

Professor Wendell H. Furry of Harvard University, is typical of those who refuse to cooperate.

Although confessing that he had been a member of the Communist Party until March, 1951, when he resigned, he refused to name others among his associates.

"I do not think my duty to my country requires me to become a political informer" the Furry creature replied in answer to questions concerning five other Communists who worked with him in radar laboratories at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Texas School Board Submits to Labor Union Blackmail Tactics

A typical instance of how labor unions are able to blackmail American citizens and frighten them into compliance with their rules was afforded in Dallas recently.

A new physical education plant was to be constructed there and the project was open to bids, the only reason for this procedure being to get the job done with the least cost to the taxpayers who furnish the money.

A non-union firm submitted a bid which was nine hundred dollars lower than the next one, but school board officials refused to give the job to the low bidder on the ground that it might offend the union general contractor and cause "work stoppage" on the project.

Added up, it means that Dallas school board members officially approved gangster methods and completely disregarded the interests of the taxpayers of that county.

A good Texan, referring to this country's generosity to all the world, urges that we should not forget the story of the man who created a Frankenstein monster that finally destroyed him, the eagle who was killed by an arrow guided by a feather from his own wing, and the man who warmed up a cold rattlesnake only to have it sink its fangs in his body in a fatal bite. The Bible, he says, warns us against casting pearl before swine lest they turn on and rend us.

'Watch Out Trusting People'

An article which recently appeared in Collier's entitled "Speak Out Silent People" is apparently having its intended effect and is confusing and dividing right-thinking Americans who are genuinely concerned in public education, in the opinion of one of the leading citizens of Texas whose friendship for our public school system is beyond question.

"Howard Whitman, author of the article, points out what is wrong with Progressive Education and hammers away at the same faults which conservative individuals and groups have been shouting for years, but he gives credit to the wrong people," this Texan writes us and adds:

"Whitman undertakes to make a dirty word of 'extremist,' after his crowd has already done a job on 'nationalism.' According to Whitman it is just as harmful to be an extreme right-winger as it is to be an extreme left-winger. According to his philosophy, it is just as dangerous to be very, very good as it is to be very, very bad. So he would have us all take a middle-of-the road path, and we right-wingers must keep our mouths shut and let the left-wingers have the floor. This is the new line and the new look and you will see it brought more and more into play. Watch for it.

"Whitman knows full well that the extreme left-wingers, including Communists, can't be silenced and that they work around the clock but he hopes to shame us extreme right-wingers into a dignified silence. If he succeeds, heaven help the public schools.

"Whitman attempts to steer well-meaning people into the clutches of the National Citizens Commission for the Public Schools, an organization which interlocks with the National Education Association. The NCCPS is trying to ring in lay citizens as NEA has successfully done the teachers.

"The National Citizens Commission for the Public Schools, which is financed by the Carnegie Foundation and the General Education Fund, was organized upon the recommendation of NEA's Paul Mort and James B. Conant. Its directors include some of the most outspoken internationalists in America, such as Mrs. Eugene Meyer of the Washington Post family, Roy Larson of Time, Louis B. Seltzer of the Cleveland Press, Mrs. Barry Bingham of the Louisville Courier-Journal, John Cowles of the Minneapolis Tribune, Victor Reuther and the Urban League's Lester B. Granger.

"Whitman wants us all to join hands now with these internationalists and get our brains thoroughly washed of 'nationalism' and other 'extreme' ideas.

"Now who recommends Howard Whitman's articles? None other than UNESCO. In the UNESCO Story put out by the U. S. Commission for UNESCO, Washington, D. C., page 49, another article by Whitman titled 'Is Prejudice Poisoning Our Kids' is recommended. This, incidentally, appears in the section on Human Rights and the Genocide Convention.

"So let us watch out for a new look and a new line spouted by the same old crowd. The real purpose of

What This Country Needs Is More American Legions

The trouble with the national American Legion is that there is only one of it, although of course its branches and chapters throughout the country are all doing equally excellent work in behalf of Americanism.

If we had had one hundred national groups during the past twenty years combatting Communism with the fervor the Legion has shown, the battle against domestic Communists would have been won long ago.

As early as 1920 the Legion was insisting that school children be instructed in fundamental American principles and were warning against the infiltration of our educational institutions by Communist propaganda.

"We are still fighting the battle to get Communism out of our schools and Americanism into them" Arthur J. Connell, national commander said in a speech in Dallas recently.

Patriotic Americans Are Put On "Blacklist" in California

According to the February 5th edition of the Los Angeles Herald and Express strange maneuvers are going on there in regard to politics and civic affairs.

A secret blacklist naming many well known Los Angeles patriots who have championed Americanism in the public schools as opposed to UNESCO doctrines, is being circulated according to that paper.

This blacklist was sent out by the Los Angeles division of the "Citizens Commission for Public Schools" of which one Zane Meckler is chairman.

A letter accompanying the blacklist referred to those on such list as "threats to the freedom of education and to the democratic process" according to the Herald and Express.

It appears from the article that the basis used by the "Citizens Commission for Public Schools" in placing these courageous citizens on the blacklist was their opposition to the teaching of UNESCO in the Los Angeles public schools. In a fight to oust Communist-inspired UNESCO material from the school system there sometime ago, opponents of UNESCO were victorious.

In addition to attacking Los Angeles Citizens who seek to protect their school system from a Communist curricula, the Commission also included on its blacklist those who favor the re-election of State Senator Jack B. Tenney to the California lawmaking body.

Senator Tenney has been untiring in his fight against Communism and has made it tough on subversives who have thickly dot the West Coast for many years.

Senator Tenney's opponent is a woman reported to be sponsored by Earl Warren and who hopes to accomplish what Warren failed to do in California and that is to get FEPC legislation passed by the legislature of that State.

The articles will develop as the series by Whitman unfolds.

"Meantime, all good Americans should speak out more than ever for God, Country and our Public Schools."

America—Europe's Happy Hunting Ground

(This article by WILLIS BALLINGER, noted economist is reproduced by special permission of Human Events, Washington, D. C., in whose publications it first appeared.)

The signs are that in 1955 the internationalists will attempt to trap the United States into a world government by amending the United Nations Charter.

For half a century clever Europeans have schemed to lay hands on the vast wealth of America by placing our sovereignty under an international political body. For the great majority of Americans a surrender of that sovereignty is unthinkable; but, unfortunately, few of us are cognizant of the effectiveness of this plot. The fact is that the intriguers have built up an army of American dupes, a propaganda machine lubricated by untold millions of dollars. In Washington they have an Administration highly suspected of having been sold on the window dressing for the betrayal of American independence, a window dressing resplendent with the spiritual garb of peace, humanitarianism and the brotherhood of man; but behind this promise lies danger.

Will of Cecil Rhodes: The British diamond tycoon, Rhodes, dreamed of reducing the world—or as much of it as possible—to British control and the recovery of the United States for the British Crown. He left his vast fortune to provide scholarships at his Alma Mater, Oxford University, to train promising leaders to carry out his purpose. American Rhodes scholars are expected to absorb the idea that civilization must revolve around the British Crown and Empire. The "order of Rhodes" in America is small in number, but influential on public opinion—legislators, teachers, authors, editors, preachers, radio commentators. It functions generally as an elite corps of propagandists.

Andrew Carnegie: Like Rhodes, Carnegie wanted to use America for the benefit of England. He made a huge fortune in America, but at the end of his life he turned dutifully towards his beloved England, leaving behind him, however, a vast propaganda machine to deprive us of our independence. In 1893 Carnegie said publicly:

"Either England must recapture her giant child of the West or surely decline to a secondary power and then to insignificance. When we have reunion, England will be relieved of the greater portion of her national debt and of at least one half of its present heavy taxation. Every kind of property in the sceptered isle and every business interest will be doubled by reunion. Reunion will restore to England ownership in hundreds of millions of acres of fresh, fertile soil and boundless resources."

The Carnegie propaganda machine works through the Carnegie Foundation; the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; public "Carnegie" libraries where there are "International Mind Alcoves" for adults, and for the tots "Children's International Mind Alcoves"; international study clubs and subsidized lecturers; the promotion of books, articles and pamphlets that carry the right message.

The League of Nations: The American people repudiated the League. Nevertheless, when the true facts

about the origin and purposes of World War I were dug up by eminent historians, it was learned how we had let ourselves in for what was obviously a milking operation. No sooner had the League been organized than it launched a campaign to condition our citizens to the idea of joining an international body controlled by European powers.

The League was the hope of distracted trade interests in Europe, but its designs were cleverly concealed behind a pother about loving the peoples of the globe—loving them so well that nationalistic boundaries, tariffs and immigration barriers in America would seem un-Christian and absurd. The catch words were world peace, friendship, tolerance and prosperity—just as they are today. The League made a special fuss over our children—ballot casters in the making.

This was the tragic period when the British really rolled up their sleeves for the job of recapturing their giant child of the West. They launched the Junior Red Cross, and our kids were put through courses in scientific sobbing—writing notes of consolation to foreign children. George Milsom, Director of this organization, said:

"It does seem fairly reasonable that children who during their years of mental development have learned to sympathize with their fellows will when they have reached manhood be determined to fight for the removal of every obstacle separating them from their fellows in far-off lands."

In line with this program, the British internationalized our Girl Scouts; the girls were given a world flag and were taught at meetings to take a half-burned brand from the campfire as a symbol of world sisterhood.

1945 to November 1952: In this period, international plotters with the solid backing of the New Deal ran wild in America—and all but did us in. Some highlights:

One—The United Nations takes the place of the old League, but operates under far more favorable circumstances. Its propaganda bills are now paid for largely by Americans and it is located inside the United States for the greater convenience of the propagandists. UN becomes an octopus for undermining American sovereignty. One powerful tentacle takes over the task of indoctrinating our school children with the idea of world citizenship. Another concentrates on wresting away from Congress its control of our tariff policies. Another works to fasten on our industries a world labor code that will scuttle the Taft-Hartley law and give our socialist labor bosses power to force a liquidation of capitalism in the United States.

Other equally powerful tentacles of the UN seek to serve up piecemeal a world political code which, our American Bar Association declares, will destroy our sacred Bill of Rights, give the President power to suspend our Constitutional guarantees, subject Americans to trial before an international criminal court, and convert the Federal Government into a thorough-going socialist State.

Two—two powerful private groups, financed chiefly by American dupes, spring into existence to promote the destruction of American sovereignty. The World Federalists—teeming with pro-Soviet sympathizers or worse—urge that UN be converted into a world government including Russia and her satellites. The Atlantic Union group, founded by a Rhodes scholar, proposes that the US, England, France, Holland, Belgium and Canada establish a common government for a starter.

The World Federalists work on our State legislatures. Carelessly, over 20 states pass a resolution endorsing world government—a resolution sponsored by a Rhodes scholar. Then the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the DAR and other patriotic societies wake up, and most of the State Legislatures which had endorsed world government reverse themselves. The Atlantic Union people focus their attention on Congress—and there, startling results are achieved. Four different schemes for world government, supported by a total of 38 Senators and some 150 Representatives, appear. A Congressional resolution backed by 22 Senators and over a hundred Representatives proposes to put Congress on record as favoring world federation as the "fundamental objective" of American foreign policy.

Three—World government protagonists infiltrate important American foundations. Conspicuous example—Robert Maynard Hutchins, President of the University of Chicago, and an all-out advocate of world government, becomes Associate Director of the Ford Foundation controlling hundreds of millions.

Four—In the fall of 1952, U. S. Senator Guy Gillette, U. S. Representative Leroy Johnson, and a former Justice of our highest tribunal go to Canada to confer with leaders of the Canadian Parliament on Atlantic Union. The Canadian Parliament had already indorsed Atlantic Union.

So matters stood when Ike took over. It is now clear that the internationalism which for more than fifty years has schemed to destroy our sovereignty and sap our wealth was not halted when the New Deal was turned out of office. It is closing in fast for complete victory and under the auspices of a Republican administration. These are the sad facts:

One: President Eisenhower believes in a world government.

Two: His brother Dr. Milton Eisenhower, whose influence with the President is well-known, is also a firm believer in a world government.

Three: Secretary of State Dulles is a staunch world government advocate. Two days after he was selected last fall to be Secretary of State, Dulles sent a congratulatory telegram to the Atlantic Union Congress meeting in Buffalo, praising the idea of Atlantic Union but suggesting that NATO be used as the basis for fashioning the contemplated international State.

Four: The Bricker amendment to the Constitution which would close the door on any treaty over-riding the Constitution, is strongly opposed by President Eisenhower. Though this amendment is actually a product of the American Bar Association, and

has been approved by a two to one vote by the Senate Committee which held hearings on it last session of Congress, the Administration blocked it from coming to a vote in the Senate. It offered a substitute known as the "Knowland amendment"—a substitute which our Bar Association voted down as inadequate.

Five: Before the Louisville Bar Association, Mr. Dulles admitted that through a mere treaty the Constitution could be scrapped—that power could be taken away from the States and given to Congress, power taken away from Congress and given to the Executive, or power taken away from the Federal and State Governments and given to an international body. Conceding that our national sovereignty could be destroyed through a treaty, Dulles at that time thought an amendment to the Constitution desirable. But before the Senate Committee holding hearings on the Bricker amendment Mr. Dulles opposed it—told the Senators it was not necessary as the administration had no intention of doing anything about the Genocide Pact and the Declaration of Human Rights—both denounced by our Bar Association as subverting our Bill of Rights and supplying a blueprint for world socialism. The next morning President Eisenhower cabled a congratulatory message to the Human Rights Convention in Geneva. Only a few weeks ago our representative in the UN—Mr. Lodge—voted for the Genocide Pact.

Six: 1955 is the year when the internationalists expect to reap their reward of fifty years of propagandizing Americans. This is the year when the UN Charter will be revised. Mr. Dulles has already stated that revision of the charter offers a "great opportunity." Since Mr. Dulles is an advocate of world government, his characterization of the present UN Charter as "pre-atomic" and his viewing of UN Charter revisions in 1955 as affording a "great opportunity" is a clear warning that he looks forward to a revision which will commit us to some form of world government.

Seven: Vice-President Nixon, who has actually indorsed the Atlantic Union scheme, has picked a Senate Committee of eight Senators to report on revision of the UN Charter. Of the eight, seven are thorough-going internationalists; four have come out publicly for world government. The other is Senator Knowland, who was willing to do a job for the Administration on the Bricker Amendment. It would be hard to find a more perfectly packed committee to carry out the wishes of President Eisenhower, Dr. Milton Eisenhower and Secretary Dulles. Not even one place was allotted to a representative of the Taft-Hoover school of Americanism which speaks for the great majority of Americans and which would never consent to a betrayal of our national sovereignty.

We have, then, in our midst, a giant Trojan Horse carefully fabricated by Cecil Rhodes, Andrew Carnegie, the League of Nations, the United Nations, the World Federalists, the Atlantic Union group, and their dupes. Will ours be the fate of Troy—taken from the inside?

Army Discovers New Way to Fluff Off Tax Payers' Cash

When we allowed professional reformers to take over and start working over the Army in an effort to make it "democratic" we didn't realize there would be no stopping them.

In addition to passing rules to make officers and enlisted men buddy up to each other on terms of equality, thus destroying discipline and morale, they are taking additional steps to louse things up.

Now it is announced in the press that the Army is conducting a poll at its installations throughout the world to find out how G.I.'s like their uniforms and what changes they would like made in the style, cut and fashion of their togs.

What are they going to do if one of their questionnaires goes to some sissy who comes back and insists that they sew lace on the bottom of his pants?

What difference does it make, for heaven's sake, what style uniforms our soldiers are given to wear? They are only supposed to be used in times of war, and wars are not supposed to be called unless Congress decides to declare war against an attacking enemy.

Evidently, Army officials are proceeding on the theory that we are going into the fighting business on a permanent basis and are giving American boys a chance to decide what type of clothes they will wear from here to eternity.

An Hour Late and A Dollar Short

The President has appointed a Commission, with the Honorable Clarence Manion of Indiana, a distinguished Constitutional lawyer, as its head.

The purpose of this Commission is to decide what powers and duties shall be reserved to the States, and what powers exercised by the Federal government.

We have always been under the impression that this job had already been done very effectively back in the days when the Constitution was written.

We thought that the Tenth Amendment to the Bill of Rights was plain enough for any American to understand: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited to it by the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

Surely there is no occasion to spend time, money and effort in finding out what rights the States enjoy and what powers are delegated to the Federal government, when the information could be obtained in a few minutes by reading the Constitution of the United States.

Referring to the president's declaration that he is both a "liberal" and a "conservative", Mr. Dick West writes in the Dallas Morning News: "He wants to give more people more pensions, but cut down on spending; extend health care, but bring the budget nearer to balance; make security more social but free business of all shackles; extend electricity but do it with private enterprise".

The Universal Theme Song of Nature Is 'To Each His Own'

Those who make the stupid assertion that the United Nations is a perfect instrument for reconciling conflicting opinions of mankind have probably never heard the story of the first futile effort ever made to organize various unrelated and uncongenial types, classes and kinds into one harmonious group.

This undertaking was not engaged in by men but was instituted by beasts of the jungle while cooped up in Noah's Ark.

Since the chimpanzee was regarded as an intellectual among his associates, it is reported that he thought up the idea, formulated the Charter and rendered similar services which entitled him to be classed as the Alger Hiss of the animal kingdom.

The purpose of the undertaking was to prevent discrimination in the use of the Ark's facilities, insure an equal distribution of food and to keep the animals from fighting among themselves during the forty days and nights of the Flood.

The giraffe was made Secretary General since he could easily look over the heads of the delegates to see what was cooking and put down devilment before it got out of hand.

Since there were no voting machines and members of the Assembly could not write, it was decided that each animal would cast his ballot by raising his tail.

Everything was fine and matters proceeded on a harmonious basis until a controversial matter

came up for decision, with opinion almost equally divided.

As the balloting ended, all the animals lowered their tails except the billygoat who couldn't, since nature had adjusted his at a permanent upright angle.

At this stage of the proceedings the lady kangaroo, one of the losers, arose to a point of order and charged that the whole thing was crooked as the billygoat had voted on both sides of the question.

This infuriated the billygoat and his comrades from behind the Angora Curtain who immediately attacked his accuser and made a direct hit on her pouch with their pointed horns.

This resulted in a free-for-all fight, after which the bleeding participants crawled out on deck to lick their wounds and count their casualties.

Meantime, wiser and older animals who had stayed out of the fight and escaped injury called the Security Council together and dissolved the organization after deciding that there was no common ground on which animals with widely different natures, impulses and instincts could come together in a harmonious meeting of minds.

Thereafter, each animal went about his own business and a restful and comforting spirit of peace descended on the group which continued until the lady dove, who had been out casing the situation, flew in the window with an olive branch in her bill and announced that land was near, the trip was ended and the Ark was ready to dock.

Communist Forces Plan to Investigate Our Schools

The United Nations has set up a "Commission on Discrimination and Protection of Minorities" composed of representatives of eleven countries, including, of course, Soviet Russia.

Ordinarily it would not matter how many Commissions they set up, but this one proposes to undertake a worldwide investigation of discrimination in education, including a probe of the subject in this country.

This Commission, like all others in the United Nations, is Communist-inspired and Communist-controlled and its potentialities for danger to our institutions by allowing foreign intervention in our domestic affairs makes the blood of every good American boil with rage.

All decent Americans ask of atheistic aliens is that they keep their dirty hands off American schools, run their own to suit themselves and let us do the same.

If we had the courageous and patriotic national leadership we once had, these Americans would not have to plead in vain for this protection from invasion by Godless forces.

The CIO which used to have the run of the White House and without whose sanction very few official executive decisions were made, is not around any more. It has been succeeded by the NAACP.

Running With the Hare and Trailing With the Hounds

One of the most confusing statements of policy which has come from the White House was the president's recent assertion that he is both a "liberal" and a "conservative".

He is, he says, a "humanitarian liberal and an economic conservative". He added this illuminating observation: "When the government deals with human beings, it ought to be liberal; when it deals with economic affairs, it ought to be conservative".

Most of us learned for the first time from the president's pronouncement that the national economy and human beings are two separate entities and that two different sets of philosophies of government are necessary in dealing with them.

Since our "conservative" economy must provide the means and money whereby political leaders can afford to be "liberal" with human beings, it would seem that our economy and human beings are inextricably tied together and that a national leader who hopes to bring us out of chaos would be compelled to stick to one set of ideologies in order to resolve all problems in the general interest and welfare.

Of course we have always had politicians who tried to carry water on both shoulders but in the old days we used to call it straddling the fence.

Colored School Teacher Tells Of Communist Party Tactics

Dorothy Funn, a colored New York school teacher who recently appeared before the Committee on Un-American Activities in Washington, proved a refreshing contrast to other members of the teaching fraternity summoned by that body.

Although confessing that she had formerly been a member of the Communist Party, she gave evidence of sincere repentance for having been led astray and cooperated fully with the Committee in answering questions and providing information.

She provided the Committee with written instructions given American teachers by the Communist Party which pointed out such teachers' responsibility as a "good Communist teacher in the United States today." This document said in part:

"While teachers are part of the working class, their function differs vastly from that of the industrial worker. Communist teachers cannot afford to ignore this fact—that they come in contact with children of the masses, that they are responsible for training these children. They must realize the primary function of the schools is to educate these children and this will be true to a much greater extent in a Socialist society than now. Communist teachers are, therefore, faced with a tremendous social responsibility. They must consider not merely their own teacher problems, but the problems of the children. They must fight for the latter. They must mobilize the other teachers in this fight. They must take advantage of their positions, without exposing themselves, to give to their students to the best of their ability working-class education.

"To enable the teacher in the Party to do the latter, the Party must take careful steps to see that all teacher comrades are given thorough education in the teachings of Marxism-Leninism.

"Only when teachers have really mastered Marxism-Leninism, will they be able skillfully to inject it into their teachings at the least risk of exposure and at the same time to conduct the struggles around the schools in a truly Bolshevik manner.

"A people's movement around the school can thus transform the latter into popular forums for progressive social action—ultimately into forums for the revolution."

And we hope that any American who may have thought that warnings about Communists infiltrating our schools was the dream of "alarmists" will read the above over until he has thoroughly digested it.

Dorothy Funn said this statement was taken from a magazine called "The Communist" which was one of the Communist bibles and that it was written by one Richard Frank in an article entitled "The School and the People's Front."

The testimony of this outspoken teacher indicated that she was drawn into the Communist Party because of a mistaken belief that one of its objectives was the betterment of the colored race and that she withdrew from it when she discovered the falsity of this claim.

At the same time that she testified, ten other teachers also appeared before the Committee but each of them refused to tell whether or not they were Communists, or had ever, been and took refuge, of course, behind the Fifth amendment to the Constitution.

"Forums for the Revolution." So that is the fancy titles for our public schools, applied by the Soviets. Isn't that something?