

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 5

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, NOVEMBER-DECEMBER, 1954

No. 11-12

If the Senate Is Determined to 'Censure' They'll Find Some Likely Subjects Right Under Their Nose

U. S. Plane Shot Down as Bohlen Drinks Toast to Reds It Shouldn't Happen Even to New York

As we go to press, another American plane has been shot down by the Russians. At the time of the incident, Bohlen the American Ambassador to Moscow was toasting Molotov and the Chinese Communists in vodka at a banquet, although he had walked out on the Chinese at a former shindig in Moscow.

At the same time also, the American State Department was completing plans for sharing our atomic secrets with other countries, including Russia.

We understand that the State Department will protest to Russia in something like these words: "Now you stop that, you old meanie", but we will continue diplomatic relations with the Bolsheviks.

What Happens When a Broad Gets a Free Trip Abroad?

Santa Stassen, the Minnesota Marvel, who works while you sleep thinking up ways to spend American taxpayers' hard-earned money, has come up with a brand new idea.

He is sending eight women from the United States to Europe to "study other women" and their problems and report on them on their return here, although it is hard to think of anything duller or more pointless.

Why couldn't he give the old gals a break and let them study a few men while they are at it, since it wouldn't cost any more and no sane person is going to read what they write anyway?

He should have consulted us about who to send on the trip and we would have suggested you-know-who, since she is familiar with every cow-trail and back alley in the world and is highly experienced in making such surveys by poking into Indian garbage pails, sniffing into Moslem cooking pots and plumping mattresses on Hindu beds, all in the interest of Human Rights. She is a natural for the job and Handout Harold was very thoughtless in overlooking her.

The women selected for the jaunt will get this wind-fall without cost to themselves as all expenses of the trip are on the house.

Averill Harriman who has perhaps the most perverted conception of the functions of government of any living man, seems to have won the governorship of New York by an eyelash.

One of his first official statements after it appeared that he had achieved a slim victory was: "I shall restore government with a heart."

Well that's not what is wrong with this country, Bud. What we need is "government with a brain" but there's not much you can do about that.

Who Dat? Dat's Who

It now develops that when our great brains in the Senate ratified the United Nations Charter, they didn't read the fine print.

This fine document gave foreign politicians the power to tax us when they run short of funds. At Geneva recently the World Health Organization of the United Nations known as WHO billed Congress for \$350,000 which we had not authorized.

When the lawmakers balked at paying it, WHO pulled the United Nations Charter on them and "we seen they had us." We will pay.

We hope nobody attempts to sell our august statesmen the Brooklyn bridge.

A Prophecy That Just About Came True

In the Southern Conservative in October, we carried this prediction: "There is more than an even chance that some of the censure-minded senators may be in no position to censure anybody when they get back to Washington a few days after the November election."

We can think of several who fit nicely into this category.

As members of the United States Senate absurdly deliberate on whether or not they should "censure" one of their colleagues for exposing Communist spies in the army and the government, there lurks almost within the shadow of the Capitol a group of sinister figures openly committed to the objective of influencing the official actions of these same senators. Composed largely of known affiliates of Communist Fronts who were instrumental in rigging the investigation of Joe McCarthy, this active and malignant clique of fellow travellers maintains almost constant contact with the senile senator from Vermont who serves as their stooge in the current proceedings. In view of this, we submit that millions of Americans would rest easier on their pillows at night and enjoy a greater feeling of security if, instead of directing its wrath against one of its most widely respected members, the Senate would train its guns on the National Committee for an Effective Congress.

If the Senate of the United States should run short of subjects for investigation any time in the near future, we suggest for their consideration a new cancerous, mushroom growth which has sprung up in New York with a branch in Washington, for the announced purpose of controlling Congress, obstructing normal legislative processes and subverting the elected representatives of the people, under the guise of making them "effective."

We have reference to the individuals who have associated themselves into a super-smear organization called the National Committee for an Effective Congress.

From its activities to date, including a telegram on July 23, 1954 to all members of the Senate asking for support of measures which would stifle all Congressional investigations into Communism, the chief objective of the new group seems to be to take the heat off Soviet agents in order that they may operate without hindrance in this country.

On record as unalterably opposed to probes into Communist activities by either House of Congress while, at the same time, sparking the frame-up against McCarthy, these self-appointed supervisors of congressional conduct would appear to be over-ripe for an investigation of their own vicious actions.

A casual glance at their membership list will reveal that those comprising this NCEC represent every shade of political belief to be found in the United States—that is, if we limit the shade to Red with variations ranging all the way from pale pink to deep crimson.

Running true to form of similar groups of its type, this NCEC has selected a slue of putty-brained "liberals" to front for it whose phony prestige as outstanding Americans guarantees front-page mention in the pink press any day in the week.

But the payoff is the working staff of the outfit—those who man the desks and typewriters in its Washington hotel office suite and who formulate the strategy, dictate press releases and keep intact the pipeline connection which runs directly to the office of Fathead Flanders.

To get a fair picture of the average rank and file member, it would be well to go back fourteen years and consider a New Deal government economist. This gent bought a forged document which he persuaded a dupe in Congress to introduce on the floor of the House in a desperate effort to pry Martin Dies loose from the backs of big-time Communist spies who were then plying their trade in some noted establishments along Pennsylvania Avenue.

The fall guy in the operation was a Michigan Congressman who blew up and screamed to high heaven when the document he had introduced turned out to be a forgery. The government economist was

(Continued on Page Two)

Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It

Who Authorized State Department to Publish and Distribute Handbook Extolling World Communism?

We have in our possession a 434-page document which is published by the Department of State in Washington. It is called: "Soviet World Outlook—A Handbook of Communist Statements."

According to a note on the fly-leaf, this was first published in January, 1950, but it was revised, brought up to date and reissued on April 30, 1954. The memo says: "This volume has been prepared for the Division of Research for USSR and Eastern Europe, Office of Intelligence Research, Department of State, for the Coordinator of Psychological Intelligence, U. S. Information Agency."

From the Preface we quote this excerpt: "This compilation of quotations is intended to present a representative picture of Communist doctrine, particularly as formulated by leading Communists of the Soviet Union. This collection is drawn from a broad group of sources covering much of the Marxist-Leninist literature of the past half century. These sources include both the "classical" works of Lenin and Stalin, the speeches of Malenkov and the writings of less prominent Soviet Leaders, as well as newspaper articles and editorials."

From page 1 to page 434, the book is entirely devoted to presentation of Communist ideology by Communist leaders in the glowing terms which Communists know so well how to employ to attract converts to their cause. There is not one line or even one word from the first to the last page which has been added to refute these doctrines and nothing whatever to indicate that they are put out by the State Department for any other purpose than to give Communist pronouncements wide circulation and publicity. There is no attempt to make comparisons between the Soviet slave system and the American Constitutional government—just the complete and comprehensive statements of Soviet ideology and its plans for ruling the world. Period.

We have no doubt that State Department officials have some glib excuse for spending American taxpayers money on a book promoting the cause of Communism but no matter what the Department may claim, on the face of it, it shows that a book has been published presenting the Communist viewpoint and that the publisher is the American State Department.

It would stretch our imagination to the breaking point to try to visualize the Kremlin publishing the American Declaration of Independence, the Constitution or the Bill of Rights without comment and distributing it among the Russian people.

It would require reams of paper to reproduce the contents of this book, but these half dozen or more excerpts are typical:

Truth lies in Karl Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin—and nowhere else. (P. 185)

"Religion is the opium of the people. Religion is a kind of spiritual gin (vodka) in which the slaves of capital drown their human shape and their claims to any decent human life". (P. 181)

"When we establish the dictatorship of the proletariat in our country in its full measure, and achieve the maximum unity of its forces within its vanguard and its leading party, we may expect the World Revolution". (P.113)

"World Dictatorship can be established only when the victory of Socialism has been achieved in certain countries or groups of countries . . . and when these federations of Republics have grown finally into a World Union of Socialist Republics uniting the whole of mankind . . ." (P. 117)

"As soon as we are strong enough to defeat Capitalism as a whole, we shall immediately take it by the scruff of the neck." (P. 10)

"We Communists must use one country against another . . . because we are doing so as a Socialist State which is carrying on Communist Propaganda . . ." (P. 367)

"Let us hope that in forming our confederate Republic, we shall be creating a reliable bulwark against international Capitalism and . . . another decisive step toward the amalgamation . . . of the whole world into a single World Soviet Republic" (P. 378)

"Why is it that Socialism can, should and certainly will defeat the Capitalist economic system? Because it can create higher models of labor, higher productivity of labor than the Capitalist economic system . . ." (P. 367)

We realize that there are many in this country who will not be averse to the State Department using taxpayers' funds to promote Communist ideology but those who object to having their money diverted to this purpose should write this agency, ask them how many copies of the volume were published, what it cost, how widely it was distributed and to whom.

They probably won't get an answer but it will serve to warn the very odd persons directing that division of the government that they know about the existence of this very remarkable publication.

"Such practices as combinations to raise prices, fix prices or curtail production are all illegal under our anti-trust laws—that is for all but labor unions. Which simply means that labor unions have special privileges under the laws of the land, and the rest of the people are second-class citizens"—Thurman Sensing, Southern States Industrial Council.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

When, and if, Owen Lattimore is ever brought to trial he will not need an attorney to defend him. Luther Youngdahl who is supposed to be the judge of his guilt, seems to be performing that function very effectively.

From a Los Angeles lady: "Thank you above mere words for a super intelligent paper with its million-dollars-worth of education for Americans."

Cut Off Their Money and Watch Them Fall in Line

Recently the Disciples of Christ Assembly met in Miami, Florida, and their chief topic of discussion was the subject of integrating Negroes into white schools in the South which all members attending heartily advocated.

However some of the brethren in attendance pointed out that if they persist in their determination to abolish segregation, they may lose contributions and gifts which are depended on to support their institutions of learning.

In other words, they believe in desegregation but not to the extent that they are willing to give up good folding money which opponents of integration are now contributing.

One good brother at the meeting said frankly that while he favored integration, it would not be practical at the school of which he was president because it would mean the withdrawal of strong financial support.

The question which he raised is the key to the whole situation. If those who favor continued segregation will refuse to give a dime to schools privately endowed which abolish segregation, the matter will be quickly settled.

The nerve leading to the pocket book is the most sensitive one in the whole human anatomy and, as we have pointed out many times, subversive ministers and other religious leaders, can be brought in line right quick by cutting off their donations.

Their Communist - inspired "convictions" are not strong enough to withstand any such test as the withdrawal of funds by which they operate.

Some Patriots Fall Into Communist Trap

The Communist policy of "divide and conquer" is getting a big boost from many so-called patriots throughout the country.

Several organizations, publications and individuals whose announced objective is to fight Communism are devoting so much time to denunciation of others similarly engaged that we wonder how they ever find time for anti-Communist activities.

Incredible as it seems, communications have come over our desk from self-styled champions of Americanism denouncing the Bricker amendment and its author Senator John W. Bricker, Honorable Frank Holman, the Minute Women and other individuals whose integrity and devotion to American institutions and principles are beyond question.

The danger to the American way of life is too imminent and time too short for those who love this country to fritter away their time in carping criticism against those who should have their heartiest good wishes and cooperation.

Otherwise their efforts are of no value and more rapid progress can be made without them.

The Nuremberg trials will always remain a black mark against civilization and against the countries which participated in them. These mock trials by a Kangaroo Court punished only a few of the criminals and let others equally guilty go free to plan and participate in other wars.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

If The Senators—

(Continued From Page One)

blasted into oblivion by the incident and very little was heard of him until he turned up, fourteen years and many Communist fronts later, as a member in good standing of the NCEC.

In the meantime he had been cited as belonging to 23 organizations committed to the overthrow of the American government which naturally didn't bar him from membership on a Committee seeking to strike down another American patriot engaged in ferreting out Communist spies.

Fourteen years is a long time for an American who has been favored with high government position to spend in trying to stop investigation of subversive activities against it and indicates a single-minded determination to achieve this purpose. It is assumed that in joining up with NCEC, he saw in it an instrument for attaining this end.

Scores of others listed as members have been cited as belonging to various Communist Fronts but, so far as we can figure, the former government economist has them all topped when it comes to sheer numbers of subversive affiliations.

Compelled by the Corrupt Practices Act to reveal the source of the money donated to them for political purposes, NCEC listed many \$1000 contributions from persons noted throughout the country as leaning so far to the left that they have to walk in a circle to reach a given point.

In the interest of national security and the future of the American Republic, we hope that the next investigating job is done on members of this group who are out to prevent the Congress of the United States from interfering with the business of Communist spies as they go about their serious undertaking of trying to undermine the American government.

Such an investigation would probably not be expensive and the research connected with it would be very limited since the records and background of so many of them can be found right there in Washington in the files of the FBI and the Congressional Committees investigating subversion.

So, if the Senate is seriously concerned in "censuring" those guilty of conduct unbecoming Americans, they have the opportunity of a lifetime to pot-shot a whole raft of them all clustered in one cell if they will just crack down on the National Committee for an Effective Congress.

Louisiana Newspaper Reveals Details of an Amazing Plot to 'Brainwash' American Business Executives

The Monroe, Louisiana, Morning World whose forthright discussions of political issues in recent years have attracted nation-wide attention carried an editorial on Thursday, November 4th, titled "What is Arden House" as follows:

Last week, a most unusual report was received from New York (Brooklyn) concerning a "unique" institution entitled "Arden House." One day later, the Morning World received substantially the same information from Delaware, Washington and California.

In times past, this newspaper has reported editorially about the curious philosophy which is presently held by so many so-called "Captains of Industry" in the United States, some of these people having "shaded to the left" political philosophies. Could Arden House be one of the reasons for this new and certainly amazing (for any industrialist) philosophy.

Read the following report: Arden House is a 50-room French Renaissance style mansion, located at Harriman, New York. It was donated to Columbia University in 1951 by W. ("Willing") Averill Harriman. Dwight D. Eisenhower, who was at the time, president of the university, described Arden House as "the most important step taken in my position at Columbia" (New York Times).

With its luxurious interior furnishings and its elaborate gardens, and surrounding park, Arden House is designed to impress even the wealthiest of visitors. The flag of the "United Nations" hangs over the main entrance. A plaque is embedded in one side of the building's entrance which acknowledges the donation by Harriman in memory of his father and mother and to be used for "freedom of thought and expression."

Here is how the "house" is operated: A man from an important business or industrial organization is carefully selected to attend a seminar "of the higher type," conducted at Arden House. It is intended that his firm be flattered by the careful screening process used in the selectivity and the "exclusiveness" of the entire deal. The firm is informed that the "course" will take six weeks.

Those selected to attend "must not be bothered with small business worries and must remain virtually 'incommunicado'" while there. There is a six months' careful "examination" period of a subtle indoctrination so that when the student enters, he is fired with all the enthusiasm of a college freshman.

Moreover, he is greatly impressed with the importance of having been chosen to "have his brains picked dry" of ideas for "the betterment of the nation's business." He is also highly impressed with the idea that his firm will be receptive to the policies he will suggest for future business transactions and in the lobbying his firm will do in support of trade and foreign policies and in their direction.

During the first week, the "students" are cajoled into "expressing their ideas" about business and industry. Then, the brainwashing begins. No questions are reportedly permitted because the "course" is so "thorough" that all premeditated questions will be answered in the course of the lecturing.

One "lecturer," an expert on the "real" conditions inside Russia, gives an "exact" report on future market conditions expected within the coming five years "provided" that the United States "will wake up and do business" with Red China and Russia. Another lecturer moralizes on the inevitability of Red China's admission to the "U.N." and the necessity for U. S. cooperation to that end. Each lecturer impresses his students with his "expert authority."

In the plush surroundings, and with the always subtle appeal of being "let into the inner circle" and the "real know," the students are dismissed after six weeks with the reminder that "they must now be important voices in industry and in the determination of U. S. policy in the years to come." It is also suggested that they be called back for "refresher courses" from time to time. It is requested that their ideas be sent from time to time to the President and the Congress.

Thus, it seems that one of the most powerful lobbies in the history of the United States is being formed. Moreover, it is already functioning through the brainwashing of many top-flight executives. A seminar was recently completed which included 48 key executives and businessmen from all over this nation and Latin America.

It is interesting to note that wives and "other outside interests" are not permitted" while "other suitable entertainment is provided."

As an example of the power of this new lobby, it may be remembered that in July the Congress killed an appropriation for 18 million dollars in "U. N. technical aid." A four-day conference of Arden House "graduates" was called which was entitled "the American assembly." It formed resolutions on "nationalism" warning the U. S. to keep hands off Indo-China and South Africa, on "nuclear weapons" (advising less talk about these things) and on the "United Nations" declaring it "essential to our way of life" and insisting on continuance of "U. N. Technical aid."

Resolutions from the "Assembly" went to the President and to the Congress.

The next day, the House voted ten million in "U. N. Technical aid." The Morning World has received a most reliable report to the

Communists Ordered the Adoption Of Our Income Tax Amendment

Up until 1913, the Constitution of the United States provided that Congress could not levy direct taxes on the people, such as the income tax.

Meantime, Lenin had issued this ultimatum on the subject: "... The Social Democrats demand the abolition of indirect taxation and the introduction of a graduated tax on incomes and inheritances".

Lenin made this demand in 1903 and within ten short years, the United States had abandoned its Constitutional provision against the levying of direct taxes and had adopted the Sixteenth Amendment providing for an income tax which is Socialistic and designed to redistribute the wealth.

This program of World Socialism or Communism or whatever you want to call it, is not new by any means, as this major accomplishment by Lenin proves.

At the time the 16th Amendment was adopted, there was no general knowledge in this country of plans for World Communism and the Leninists found it comparatively easy to bend American lawmakers to their will.

It's harder now, of course, as millions of Americans have been alerted to this plan for World Communism, but practically every policy put in effect in this country for the past twenty-two years has been dictated in Moscow. This is an irrefutable fact which can be confirmed by comparing our major economic and social legislation with the official pronouncements of the Communist Party.

"We have curved and ducked and compromised for the last time. From now on, we are going straight down the road and those who get in our way are going to be mowed down"—Thurgood Marshall, Negro attorney for the NAACP in an interview in the Communist Peoples World, May 21st 1954.

Persons who make us sickest at the stomach are those who claim to be patriots fighting Communism but who nullify all their good work by asserting that they are against segregation. If they haven't sense enough to see that social mixing of the races is the most vital part of the Communist program, they should just step aside and let the Communists take over. To fight one section of the program and fall for another is as pointless as a cat chasing its tail. Who do they think started all the agitation against segregation, any way?

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

From a woman subscriber in Philadelphia: "When I think of giving up the fight, your paper comes along and braces me up, but I do think we are lost even though I don't say so in public."

effect that the State Department operates a "house" on the same order somewhere in Virginia. Moreover, there has just been presented to New York University the former Gould estate at "Ardsley-On-Hudson," New York. It will bear watching.

Thus, another evidence that the Marxists in this nation are not all "downtrodden" is brought to light. This newspaper has long advised that they are in possession of "big money" in the United States.

The question is, where is the money coming from?

This newspaper has an idea and has reported it to some extent in the past. The Morning World is sending a special copy of this editorial to the congressional leaders and to numerous key executives in the nation as a warning against such a new Marxian lobby as now exists at "Arden House."

As Americans, you must never, never, close your eyes to this conspiracy which will resort to any means to attain its end—your destruction as free Americans.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Like any other good American we have very strong feelings against any one in this glorious country of ours whose total and undeviating allegiance is not to the United States. But we think that sentencing young boys who were brainwashed by Communists in prison camps to long terms in the penitentiary is just a wee bit inconsistent. After all, the Communists would have to do some if they did a better job of brainwashing young Americans than is done in our Colleges, Universities and Churches and in many cases in the Army, too.

A well known columnist who writes interestingly of most things but turns completely pink when any political or ideological subject is discussed says in his column: "Cuss me if I am not ashamed of the South these days . . . After reading about segregation demonstrations, I somehow can't believe I was ever a Southerner . . ." You weren't, Brother. You just happened to be born down there and we are sure that this feeling of shame about the South is mutual.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Goody, Goody. Maybe We Will Get a Paid Vacation

We are getting an itch to travel to far places.

We have been reading the "Mutual Defense Act of 1954" hurriedly passed just before Congress adjourned and we are intrigued no end by the provision titled "Facilities and Encouragement of Travel." (We never needed much encouragement anyway, and now that facilities are provided, it has just set us off.)

It seems that along with the billions the president is empowered to spend, \$800,000 is to be used in bringing people from the Ryukyu Island Archipelago to the United States and he also desires "travel by people of the United States to and within countries receiving assistance under this Act." Since we are giving "assistance" to practically every country on the globe, the opportunities for travel would seem to be unlimited.

Not being a Philadelphia lawyer, we can't figure out just what our statesmen were driving at but apparently we are going to engage in an international game of musical chairs. We'll grab their seats and they'll grab ours.

However, we don't particularly care about going to the Ryukyu Island Archipelago to swap places with some head hunter who might want to move over here. Just to be honest, we didn't even know there was such a place on the map.

If it's just the same with our lawmakers, we'll take our part of the \$800,000 and spend it on a trip to Hawaii and if things shape up here like it seems they are going to, we might even decide to stay over there permanently and take our chances in that island paradise often referred to as the Hog Heaven of Harry Bridges.

Americans who have not wired or written their two senators to vote against the "censure" of McCarthy for exposing Communists in government, are shirking their responsibility as citizens.

There's a Long List of Names on The American Roster of Treason

Returning from a three month's tour of Europe recently, Joseph P. Kennedy, our former Ambassador to Great Britain, told reporters that our give-away program over there was a total flop.

He pointed out that instead of winning friends for us, our senseless program of tossing away billions of American taxpayers' hard-earned money has won us only the contempt of Europeans.

It is simply a case of cause and effect and anyone with the brains of a chipmunk knew all along what the result would be. Human nature is human nature and when you lavish gifts and money either on an individual or a nation, the payment will be a stab in the back, nine times out of ten.

We can rest assured that even if the coffers of European governments were groaning with surplus money, they would never on earth adopt a blind and stupid policy of sloughing it off to any other nation. So why shouldn't they hold us in contempt for this short-sighted and idiotic procedure.

It is estimated that we have poured approximately \$30,000,000,000.00 down the European rat-hole since the end of World War II but of course there is no way of knowing the exact amount as American taxpayers get no accounting of the money confiscated from them and given to foreign beggars.

If we ever find time to get around to wondering why Russia is constantly gobbling up more territory and enlisting more people under her banner, while we steadily lose friends, perhaps we may summon forth enough common sense to appreciate that the answer lies in the fact that Moscow not only directs Kremlin policy but shapes ours as well.

Their leaders said ages ago that the United States would spend itself out of existence and they promptly set out to see that we did.

The list of "liberals", one-worlders and internationalists in Washington who have helped to make this prophecy come true, constitutes the American Roster of Treason which once contained only the name of Benedict Arnold.

They Used to Call Them Fools and Jesters Now They Call Them Presidential Advisers

A custom of medieval kings was to surround themselves with jokers to divert their minds from the cares of state.

King Henry V paid his fool a princely sum for his services and King Richard II knighted his royal jester, but the sole responsibility of these functionaries was to amuse and entertain.

Never, under any circumstances, were they granted power to govern or shape policies of state and if they had tried to assume this authority, their heads would have been promptly lopped off.

In this country we have set up a modified form of this ancient custom but in our case they are called Presidential advisers and none of the restrictions placed upon the jokesters of ancient kings are imposed on these modern funny men who are given power to govern, authority to frame foreign and domestic policy and unlimited license to play pranks on the American people.

Roosevelt had his Harry Hopkins, Truman his Harry Vaughn and Eisenhower his Sherman Adams.

The difference is that the king's of antiquity selected their own clowns while a president of the United States has his court jesters forced on him by powerful minority pressure groups, representing the invisible forces who now govern us.

A Courageous Colorado Captain Puts Watkins Committee on Spot

For expressing the contempt which most responsible persons feel for the Watkins Committee, we don't believe a letter written to that Committee by retired Captain Eugene R. Guild of Glenwood Springs, Colorado, can be improved upon.

Captain Guild lost his only son in the "Police Action" in Korea and, subsequently, all respect for the conscienceless hypocrites in Washington who aid and abet, by their official actions, the barbaric enemies who slaughtered American boys in that farcical performance.

During the sessions of that committee when its members were aiding Communism by framing McCarthy, Captain Guild wrote Watkins, the chairman: "If, as you say, every member of the Senate has bias on McCarthy, and you do not except yourself, it cannot fairly judge him. You, therefore, should resign from the tribunal."

To Johnson of Colorado he wrote: "You are supposed to be a friend of the American GI, remember? And now you are on a packed court to crucify the only man in the Senate with the courage to stand up against Eisenhower and advocate the rescue of hundreds of Red-held GIs."

To Carlson of Kansas: "How can you, a close supporter of McCarthy's bitter enemy, Eisenhower, judge McCarthy fairly? Hadn't you better resign from the tribunal?"

To Stennis, Ervine and Case: "We don't know your record on McCarthy. One thing we do know is that none of you has had the guts to defy the president's edict of silence, as McCarthy has, and advocate the rescue of the men who lost their liberty fighting for yours. So what business have you trying to judge a better man than you are?"

To all six members of the Committee: "If the Senate cannot judge McCarthy impartially, why try to judge him at all? The voters of his State have already judged him; are you trying to disfranchise them? The GI risks his life for his country; Joe McCarthy is risking his job for his country. What are you risking for your country?"

We Still Don't Know Who Promoted Peress

While on a visit to Texas army posts, Secretary Robert Stevens was invited to address a civic club in this state, November 11.

In our opinion, this group made an unfortunate choice of a speaker. Regardless of his personal standing, as a public official Stevens has shown weakness, indecision and a spirit of appeasement in dealing with Communist infiltration which is lamentable in view of the danger posed to the nation from this source.

Some of those attending the luncheon where Stevens spoke were hopeful that he would give some authentic information concerning the promotion and honorable discharge of Fifth Amendment Peress but he contributed nothing to the solution of this deep mystery.

From a member of the United States Senate: "I have been reading each edition of your publication with interest. I get great satisfaction from reading the Southern Conservative."

From a New Mexico citizen: "Your latest edition was a corker. This paper is for persons of intellectual stature and may be discounted on that account by nitwits who are so numerous in many avenues of life. I thank God for it and hope it is going to all our Congressmen."

From Plain Vanilla Into a Knight

Although Queen Elizabeth II exerts little power in her make believe Kingdom and merely goes through the motions of exercising authority over her subjects, she is a first-class magician.

When Anthony Eden knelt at her feet recently, he was just a plain, middle-of-the-road Socialist disguised as a Conservative but when he arose he was a "Knight of the Garter" entitled to be addressed as "Sir."

The pretty Queen accomplished this legerdemain by merely poking him in the ribs or something, with a sharp sword, muttering in her beard, and ordering him to get up off the floor.

It is really amazing how adult human beings can kid themselves.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Major General Kirke B. Lawton served faithfully in the Army of the United States for thirty-five years and was passed over in the matter of a promotion and retired, while Captain Peress, a Fifth Amendment Communist was promoted to major before being honorably discharged. Boiled down to cold analysis, this means that those who serve the interest of Russia in the American Army get a better break than those who are loyal to the United States. Somebody high up is responsible for this and many millions of Americans are curious about it as evidenced by the appearance of stickers popping up everywhere inquiring "Who promoted Peress?"

Events Leading Up to 'Censure' Movement Boiled Down to Cold Facts

(Because it contains valuable background information not heretofore made available to the American people, we are reproducing a communication recently addressed to the members of the United States Senate by Helen Thomas of Houston—Editor.)

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE:

I realize that you are charged with the responsibility of resolving all matters falling within senatorial jurisdiction.

In the American tradition, however, any citizen is conceded the right to petition you for redress of wrongs and certainly the people of this nation and the Republic itself have been grossly wronged by the manner in which the Watkins Select Committee has performed in the investigation of Senator Joseph R. McCarthy.

Perhaps in the press of state matters and the constant demand upon your valuable time, you may not have been able to read the fine print of the six hundred and twenty-seven pages of Hearings by the Watkins Committee or the three hundred and twenty-nine pages of exhibits. I have.

It does not require a legal mind to understand that Senator McCarthy—whose chief offense is that he vigorously opposes infiltration of Communism into American Institutions—is to be judged by his colleagues on evidence that would not stand up in the trial of a case involving a chicken thief.

The Watkins Committee recommended that Senator McCarthy be censured on two counts:

1. "He was contemptuous, contumacious and denunciatory without reason or justification toward the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections, its members, and toward the Senate and was obstructive to legislative processes."

2. "The conduct of Senator McCarthy toward General Zwicker was reprehensible and for that he should be censured".

To understand the background of the senatorial conspiracy against Senator McCarthy which finally culminated in recommendations to censure him, it must be remembered that the original attack by a member of that body was launched in 1951 by Senator William Benton who, at the first election thereafter, was overwhelmingly defeated by the voters of his own State of Connecticut. The attack by Benton followed McCarthy's exposure of Reds in the State Department.

Benton's antagonism toward a man concerned in the security and preservation of the United States may be better understood by taking into consideration Benton's own attitude toward his country as he expressed it to members of the National Commission for UNESCO at its first meeting in September of 1946: "We are at the beginning of a long process of breaking down the walls of national sovereignty . . ." (United States—United Nations Information Series 14, page 25.)

In 1951 Benton introduced Senate Resolution No. 187 which "authorized" a committee to investigate Senator McCarthy from the time of his election to the Senate in 1946 and "to determine whether or not it should initiate action with a view toward expulsion from the United States Senate of the said Senator Joseph R. McCarthy". (page 59, part 2, Watkins Hearings)

Benton's Resolution contained no allegations nor charges against McCarthy, it was not approved by the Senate but was referred to the committee on Rules and Administration which in turn referred it to the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections of which Senator Guy Gillette was chairman. The subcommittee consisted of five members. (page 292 Watkins Hearings, part 1)

The subcommittee on Privileges and Elections, toward which McCarthy is charged with being "contemptuous, contumacious and denunciatory without reason or justification" operated in an unbelievable manner. It conducted a judicial proceeding with unsworn testimony. It included in the testimony matters unsupported by evidence. It put in hearsay reports. The committee's investigative staff exceeded the scope of the resolution under which they were purporting to act. Later the body acted without authority and with total disregard of Senate rules of procedure. (page 54 to 58, Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

Senator Welker, one of the subcommittee members, refused to serve after protesting that it was being used for political purposes. Senator Gillette, the chairman later resigned as did Senator Monroney. With the resignation of these three subcommittee members—Welker, Gillette and Monroney—the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections as it was approved by the Senate ceased to exist and there was therefore no official subcommittee on Privileges and Elections of which Senator McCarthy could be "contemptuous etc." (pages 51, 293, 294 Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

Daniel Buckley, assistant counsel to the subcommittee released a statement to the press, December 27, 1951, asserting that he discovered right off that "I was expected to substantiate Benton's charges and to discredit McCarthy at the expense of truth". Buckley further stated that his investigation "completely demolished Benton's charges and thoroughly proved Senator McCarthy's account of the facts to be truthful." (page 106, Watkins Hearings, part 2.)

After three of the original five members of the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections resigned, Senator Carl Hayden, chairman of the full committee on Rules, without any attempt to get official confirmation or approval of his action, proceeded to appoint himself a member of the subcommittee. (page 292, Watkins Committee Hearings, part 1.)

Since it required the full committee's approval to appoint Senator

Hayden a member of the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections, and this was not done, the subcommittee now consisting only of Hendrickson, Hayden and Hennings, with Hennings acting as chairman, automatically became a sort of senatorial Kangaroo Court.

Recently when Hayden appeared before the Watkins committee and was asked to explain under what rule he was operating when he appointed himself a member of the subcommittee without approval, he replied: "Well, just the plain rule of common sense". (page 365 Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

(Following the Hearings, chairman Arthur V. Watkins held a one-man hearing with the Senate Parliamentarian who decided that such irregular proceedings were legal but when examined by McCarthy's counsel, he side-stepped and back-tracked. (page 540 A to 540 G, Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

One of the charges on which the Watkins Committee based its claim that McCarthy was "contemptuous" of the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections was that the Wisconsin Senator repeatedly refused to appear before the subcommittee when requested to do so. This charge was completely disproved by the record and evidence presented by Senator McCarthy and his counsel but which never found its way into press releases.

The fact is that the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections went out of existence on the 20th of November, 1952, with the resignation of Senator Monroney, the last of the three original subcommittee members mentioned above. On the 21 day of November, 1952, the unauthorized subcommittee operating without Senatorial sanction and consisting of Hayden, Hendrickson and Hennings, sent a telegram to Senator McCarthy requesting him to appear before them between November 22nd and November 25, 1952. McCarthy was on a deer hunt in the Wisconsin North woods, did not know about the telegram and did not receive it until he returned to Washington on November 28, 1952. (pages 290 to 299 Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

This telegram was the first request that Senator McCarthy had ever received to appear before the subcommittee on Privileges and Elections, all previous communications having informed him that he was being afforded an opportunity to appear if he so desired. McCarthy did not desire to appear since the members of the subcommittee were beyond their jurisdiction. He repeatedly assured them however that he would appear if ordered or subpoenaed. He was never subpoenaed and he was only "requested" to appear when it was certain that the telegram containing the "request" could not be delivered to him. (pages 290-299 Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

As to the charges concerning McCarthy's alleged disrespectful remarks regarding Senator Hendrickson, the full facts will reveal that these quips were mild when the offense of which Hendrickson was guilty, is taken into account. The unauthorized Hendrickson-Hayden-Hennings subcommittee had gone ahead and written a report of its findings even though there were no witnesses to substantiate the hearsay evidence. The only sworn testimony in the entire 52 page report consisted of four pages by Benton himself. When McCarthy asked Hendrickson if he would sign this false report, Hendrickson admitted he had not read it, did not agree insofar as it differed from proven facts, but would sign it anyway. Later when a reporter asked McCarthy what he thought of Hendrickson for signing it, the Wisconsin senator is alleged to have replied with what many Americans consider a gross understatement: "He is a living miracle in that he is without question the only man in the world who has lived so long with neither brains nor guts". (Page 306 Watkins Hearings, part 1.)

The statement attributed to McCarthy concerning Hendrickson was made outside the Senate Chamber.

As to the alleged statement to General Ralph Zwicker attributed to McCarthy by the press: "You are not fit to wear that uniform, General". I have before me a copy of the Hearings when Zwicker appeared before The Permanent subcommittee on Government Operations, February 18, 1954. What McCarthy actually said was: ". . . Any man who has been given the honor of being promoted to General and who says, 'I will protect another General who protected Communists' is not fit to wear that uniform, General." (Page 153, Communist Infiltration in the Army, U. S. Government Printing Office, 1954.)

This remark which was general and not personal could have been prompted by the evasive manner in which Zwicker replied to questions propounded by Senator McCarthy in an effort to get at the truth about the promotion and honorable discharge of a Fifth Amendment Communist. Major Irving Peress had claimed the fifth Amendment privilege at an earlier hearing when Senator McCarthy had asked him if he was a member of a Communist cell at Camp Kilmer and if he attempted to recruit soldiers into the Communist Party at Camp Kilmer, also how much of his salary did he then contribute to the Communist Party. (Page 115, Communist Infiltration in the Army, U. S. Printing Office, 1954.)

Senator McCarthy tried time and again to get Zwicker to reply to a question so simple that a five-year-old child could understand it, but Zwicker equivocated in a manner so maddening that it would have tried the patience of Job. The question was: "Do you think, General, that anyone who is responsible for giving an honorable discharge to a man who has been named under oath as a member of the Communist conspiracy should, himself, be removed from the military?"

After interminable hemming and hawing, Zwicker finally said: "I do not think he should be removed from the military." (Pages 152

(Continued on Page 6)

'McCarthy Will Emerge Greater Than Those Who Vote Against Him'

E. M. Biggers of Houston, member of the Hoover Commission on Reorganization of Government, sees in the persecution of Senator McCarthy a boomerang which will react against those who are out to "get" him.

In a recent letter to members of the Senate, Mr. Biggers said:

For twenty-two years American taxpayers have witnessed all sorts of willful and foolish waste of our tax money.

But none to equal the spending of millions in an effort to erase one lone Senator who has the courage to bring into the open some of the things that have been guarded in the closets—yes, even guarded by the White House.

And now the spectacle of a very costly Special Session to hear TWO charges against that Senator—charges that would be silly coming from little school boys.

The same powers that tried to "get" Lindbergh, Hoover, Dies, Taft, Bricker, Manion, et al, seem happy to waste more millions trying to "get" Joe McCarthy.

There are those among you who will be brave enough to vote your honest convictions. To you, I say, God bless you.

There are those among you who will not be governed by fairness and by reason. To you, I say, go ahead and "censure" him.

Joe McCarthy will emerge a greater American and a greater patriot than any Senator who votes against him, for time has a way of writing History that will not allow great men to be forgotten, while it blots out the small ones.

From a new subscriber in Spring Valley, New York: "A friend sent me the Southern Conservative and I am delighted to see that some one has the courage to print such a paper. It looks as though more women have what it takes to save the country than men."

From a well known author of best sellers: "I send my prayers to you and my very best wishes for your growing success. You sure have a paper that hits hard, and every Southerner should subscribe to it."

Ho Hum! Tweedle Dee And Tweedle Dum

Inconsistencies of politicians should occasion no surprise and amusement but, somehow, the action of American Ambassador Bohlen and five or six other diplomats from various countries who walked out on a party in Moscow because Chinese Communists were present, has us in stitches.

It seems that Molotov and some of the other bigwigs in Moscow were throwing a shindig about two weeks ago for various foreign dignitaries accredited by their government to the Capital of the Soviets.

Just as they were bending an elbow for a toast in vodka, according to press releases, Bohlen, representing the United States and other Ambassadors from the "Democracies" noticed that several Chinese Communists were present and participating. Promptly, the diplomats put down their drinks and walked out in protest. At the same time they apologized to Molotov profusely and assured him they meant no disrespect to him.

What wowed us was this fine distinction between bandits. What, in Heaven's name, is the difference between a Chinese Communist and a Russian Communist?

No self-respecting person, regardless of nationality, would be caught dead at a social event given by either.

From a Texas high school student: "Some unknown friend sent me a copy of the Southern Conservative through the mail. I now rate the American Mercury and the Southern Conservative as two of the best publications to be found in the United States."

Army General Approves Book Promoting Communism

Bonafide investigations into Communism are a thing of the past of course but some of the disclosures during recent years are interesting.

There was the case of General Richard Partridge, for instance, who testified before the McCarthy Committee that he didn't know anything about Communism, or recognize Communist books although he was in command of G-2 Army Intelligence, in the Far East.

From 1951 to 1953 intelligence officers in the Far East were provided with a book called "Psychological and Cultural Traits of Soviet Siberia."

A Major Donald E. Allen of the University of Missouri, who was commissioned by the Army to study the subject and write a book on it, was the author. Whether no background study of Allen was made or whether it was impossible to get a university professor who wasn't pink, is not disclosed.

At any rate all the book did was describe the ideal life in that Soviet Paradise and practically all his source material was from Communists or their sympathizers in this country, including Lenin, Stalin, Ernest Simons, Board of Directors member of American Russian Institute, Communist Front, Bernard Pares, identified as an American Communist, Corliss Lamont and others.

When the book was first published a good American in the Army, a Major Samuel C. Wilson, read it and called it to the attention of the proper authorities, pointing out that it contained almost solid Communist material, with no explanation that it was Communist propaganda and with no comments to refute it.

A committee of three was appointed to "review" it but when they had cut through Army red tape and "reviewed" it, it had already been sent out to our intelligence officers in the Far East.

Senator McCarthy, one of our few public servants with the brains, patriotism and nerve to buck stuffed shirts in the Army who play footsie with the Communists, hauled Partridge before his committee and questioned him at length.

He found that this general knew

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Brother, You Ain't Seen Nothin' Yet

Responsible Americans are often dumbfounded at the antics of Wayne Morse of Oregon, but just wait until they get a load of the new senator that sovereign State is sending to Washington in January.

In comparison, we believe the "wild jackass of the Senate" will probably be made to appear as a model of conservative American statesmanship.

In spite of much evidence to the contrary, we still insist that there are thousands upon thousands of good Christian American citizens in the beautiful State of Oregon.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

From a woman subscriber in Los Angeles: "I simply love your sense of humor. There are times these days when it's hard to hang on to it."

From a Washington newspaper man: "Congratulations on the October edition. It is just about the best you have yet turned out and you have produced some wonders."

little more about Communists propaganda than a 10-year-old child and although he was in command, knew nothing about the book in question, cared less, and expressed the opinion that the distribution of the book was perfectly all right. He blandly remarked, in finishing his testimony, that he would not favor withdrawing a book from circulation just because the author was a Communist.

So, although there will be no more interfering with Communism in the Army or anywhere else, probably, Americans will find plenty of reading matter along this line from the reports in hundreds and hundreds of similar cases developed by committees of Congress when red-blooded American patriots headed them.

Delaware Attorney General Displays Racial Prejudice

Perhaps no more forceful example of the low state of political morals of the present day has been presented than that exhibited by the Attorney General of Delaware, one H. Albert Young.

Recently this legal representative of that State brought action in the Court of Chancery against an association known as the National Association for the Advancement of White People alleging that it had "violated its charter" by opposing integration of Negroes into white schools in Delaware.

We know nothing whatever about the National Association for the Advancement of White People but we do know something of the activities of the Communist-dominated National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

Also we know that this organization has been active in Delaware for many years, as well as all over the United States, and that it has been more responsible for racial discontent in this country than any other factor, with the possible exception of Eleanor Roosevelt.

And throughout his term of office, this H. Albert Young has never raised his voice against its activities and its efforts to carry out Moscow instructions for stirring up racial hatred and animosities in this country.

Obviously, this attorney general feels no sense of responsibility toward white people and is concerned solely in the ambitions of Negroes to worm their way into white schools which is against all decent instincts of members of both races.

Clearly he is suffering from "racial prejudice" and the white race is the victim of his biased thinking.

Events Leading to a Censure—

(Continued from Page 5)

and 153 Communist Infiltration in the Army, Government Printing Office, 1954.)

William J. Harding, a New York business man, testified under oath before the Watkins Committee that he was seated directly in front of Zwicker in the audience while Peress was being questioned by McCarthy. At one point the Senator directed a statement to Zwicker, then Harding testified that Zwicker directed this remark to Senator McCarthy: "You S.O.B." (Pages 178-179, Watkins Hearings, Part 1.)

How many of you would relish having this epithet applied to you when you were trying to get at the truth about who promoted a Communist and gave him an honorable discharge from the American Army?

Senator Jenner, the late Senator Pat McCarran and Congressman Velde, all of whom are thoroughly familiar with Communist tactics and have long dealt with those engaged in the Communist conspiracy against this Republic condemned the Watkins recommendations as a national disgrace. (Chicago Tribune, AP News Services.)

J. Edgar Hoover in an interview with the San Diego Evening Tribune, August 23, 1953, said: "I never knew Senator McCarthy until he came to the Senate. I've come to know him personally. I view him as a friend and I believe he so views me. Certainly he is controversial. He is honest and he is earnest. Whenever you attack subversives of any kind you are going to be the victim of the most extremely vicious criticism that can be made."

The Communist Daily Worker on September 29, 1954, hailed the Watkins Report as "good news for America."

As members of the highest governing body in the land, you must either cast your vote on the side of the Daily Worker or take your stand with Jenner, McCarran, Velde and J. Edgar Hoover.

On your decision may depend the future security of the Republic you are pledged to defend.

Army Officials Help ROTC Students to Sidestep Oath

The Huntington Park Daily of September 23rd, reported that the University of California had found a loophole by which students in Reserve Officers Training Corps could get out of signing a loyalty oath.

Army and Air Force officials in Washington advised the University it can enroll "unofficially" in ROTC those students who refuse to sign the loyalty oath.

The Chancellor of the university, Clark Kerr, said the non-signers would not wear ROTC uniform and insignia but will receive credit for the military course and satisfy the military training requirement for graduation.

By the time they get to be officers in the Army, they will no doubt rank along with the noted Major Peress.

Promise and Performance Don't Seem to Square Up

In connection with the recent defeat suffered by Republicans at the polls, it is interesting to re-read their platform for 1952 and note these planks: "We believe that the States should carry the chief responsibility for maintenance of civil rights . . ." "Republicans will overhaul the loyalty program making sure that no Reds infiltrate the government . . ." "Republicans condemn Federal compulsory health insurance as costly, wasteful and bureaucratic . . ." "Republicans will limit foreign aid" . . . "Secret Commitments made at Yalta by the late Franklin D. Roosevelt will be repudiated" . . . "Republicans will measure our foreign commitments so that they can be borne without endangering the economic health and the finances of the United States" . . .

Reading it back now, that looks like a pretty good platform to us. Wonder when they decided to ditch it and who made them do it?

Not since the First Century Christians were thrown to the lions in the Coliseum at Rome has such a spectacle of persecution for propaganda purposes been staged as the "censure" trial of Senator Joseph R. McCarthy and the two spectacles are practically identical in purpose, says Verne J. Kaub of Madison, Wisconsin.

Second Lieutenant Charles C. Anderson of St. Louis was arrested at Camp Gordon, Georgia, recently charged with having ordered subordinates to hang a trainee by the feet from a limb of a tree. The Communists are reported to have done things like this to our boys who were prisoners of war in North Korea but it seems rather premature for this young master to start their torture while still in training camps in the United States.

There should be a law to prevent people from changing their names for sinister reasons. It may be all right for people in Hollywood to change theirs but in records of Committees of Congress investigating subversion, Fifth Amendment Communists seem to have a whole string of names, one for each different activity.

SAILORS IN SKIRTS TO GET THE BOOT

Admirals in the Navy have announced that a program is being instituted in that branch of the services which is designed to take sailors in petticoats out of the Navy and leave the business of manning ships to men.

This will be encouraging news to those who have never held with the idea of women joining up with our fighting forces.

This ungalant practice was not initiated in this country but was imported from Russia. Harry Hopkins, one of Roosevelt's top Confidence men deserves the credit, or the blame, for the custom.

On his first visit to his beloved Stalin he saw Russian peasant women in Army uniform digging ditches, cooking, washing, and rendering other services to Soviet fighting men and he could hardly wait to get home and introduce the custom here.

The Ladies Found What They Wanted to Find

The League of Women Voters has announced that eighty-nine per cent of the American people favor the United Nations and want the United States to remain in that subversive world organization.

To support this claim they took a "poll of sentiment" in four or five widely scattered cities and towns out of the thousands throughout the nation.

As an example, one of the cities was Portland, Oregon, and if there is any more radical stronghold in the nation, it would be hard to find it.

There are, of course, some good Conservatives there as everywhere else, but they are in a hopeless minority. Along about 1948, left-wingers moved in on Portland and took over.

The Urban League, the NAACP, the ADA, the League of Women Voters, the American Veterans Committee and other minority group organizations have completely "brain-washed" the majority of the citizens there and have held them captive ever since.

In other words, these left-wing ladies found what they wanted to find and knew where to look for it.

In the Negro Republic of Liberia, only Negroes can become citizens and only citizens can own land. Obviously the people of that country want to keep it for themselves and their descendants and for this they are to be commended for they have displayed a type of judgement not possessed by the leaders of all nations.

During "Brotherhood" Week, President Eisenhower is reported in the press as saying "Bigotry and intolerance, racial or religious hate and discrimination are spiritual acts of treason." Without arguing the point we add that there are so many physical acts of treason going on right now that there is not much time to consider the spiritual aspect of the matter.

General Zwicker was the Commander at Camp Kilmer, New Jersey, where Peress was promoted and discharged. Who promoted Peress?

Utah's Governor Proves He Can't Be Pressured

Governor J. Bracken Lee of Utah refused again this year as he has in the past to proclaim a United Nations Day for which all genuine, liberty-loving Americans owe him a debt of gratitude.

An Associated press article on October 20th tells of strong pressure put on Governor Lee by the White House in an effort to get him to change his mind but he is one governor who does not "pressure" easily and he remained adamant on the subject. He proclaimed October 23rd as United States Day, however, which should be, and is, the only day of that nature that real Americans should even celebrate.

In this same Associated press release it was intimated that there were six other States whose chief executives had failed or refused to proclaim United Nations Day although the names of these states were not given.

We wish we knew which States they are as we would like to write their governors a letter of appreciation for their patriotism.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

When General Kirke B. Lawton named seven universities which were turning out Reds, he was disclosing no secret but for giving out information already generally known, he was retired without promotion, while the Fifth Amendment Communist Peress was promoted before being honorably discharged. The seven institutions of higher learning referred to were: The University of Chicago, University of Michigan, Massachusetts Tech, Harvard, University of Minnesota, Columbia University, and College of the City of New York. Anybody with sense enough to come in out of the rain knows that not only are these seven schools infiltrating the minds of students with Communistic ideologies but that a majority of institutions of learning in the United States are doing the same thing.

We congratulate the television industry which, for once, offered a great production to the American people with practically no propaganda in it. It was the Seventy-Fifth Anniversary of Light honoring Thomas A. Edison. Mostly it was a record of seventy-five years of progress made by white Christian American individualists who were responsible for the wonderful growth and prosperity of the Republic up until the time the Socialists took over. The production would have been improved if reference to Einstein and Oppenheimer had been deleted.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Even little tots are subject to One-World propaganda it seems. Now they are asked to convert Halloween into a "trick or treat" gimmick for the benefit of children of foreign countries. Aren't American taxpayers forced to contribute to the upkeep of aliens in sufficient amount that babies need not be pressed into service as bill collectors? Let's keep Halloween clean and preserve it as the traditional institution of childish fun it was intended to be.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Don't Ask Our Opinion Unless You Want It Straight

An attorney on the West Coast writes: "More and more Americans are awakening here every hour that passes but, at the same time, thousands and thousands of aliens are being brought in every month to off-set this gain. Do you think there is any possible chance for this country to escape the plot to take us over?"

It all depends on what is meant by being "taken over." We do not think that this country will be bombed by the Russians because in so doing, they would destroy hundreds of thousands of their dedicated followers and they are too smart to kill off their own kind. Or, if they should attack us with bombs, New York, Washington, Oregon and California would not be among their targets, in our opinion, since the heaviest concentration of their representatives, both native-born and alien, is in those areas.

As to an ideological "take over" in which we adopt Communist political, economic and social policies, that is practically accomplished already, as any informed person can readily confirm simply by reading official records of what has already been done.

For instance we are in the United Nations and are not going to get out of it before August, 1955, and after that it will be too late as proposed amendments which will likely be adopted stipulate that no nation, once a member, can withdraw.

The United Nations Charter, written largely by Alger Hiss and other top-level Communists, was based almost word for word on Communist policy as laid down by Lenin, Stalin and other high-ranking party leaders. The UNESCO, ILO, Human Rights and Genocide Conventions are all copied practically verbatim from the rules of procedure laid down by the Kremlin.

Any American who doubts this may, if he can read, confirm it by comparing the United Nations Charter, and those of its auxiliaries, with Soviet Doctrine. And if they don't know where to find an authentic book on Communism, our State Department should be able to furnish it to him as that agency spends taxpayers' money in printing and circulating books which carry the message of Communism and no other. Ask, for instance for the Soviet World Outlook.

And while they are at it, Americans might also make a comparison of all the major legislation which has been passed, or proposed, by our own leaders for the past twenty-two years, such as farm, labor, public power, immigration, foreign policy, Housing, Socialized Medicine, education, and all the other "social" subjects that Congress feels it is its function to pass on, and see just how much basic difference there is and has been for years in Kremlin edicts and Congressional action.

Meantime, unless loyal Americans get together in a body and do something to save the country they love, there is not too much point in wondering whether or not we are going to be "taken over."

Brother, if you ask me, we've practically "been took" already.

Highlights on the National Election

The surprise victory of Strom Thurmond of South Carolina in the Senate race was a boost to the lagging spirits of millions of voters who, for many years, have been the losers no matter who was elected.

The Roosevelt menace is still with us. Congress is rid of one of the pests but another bobbed up to take his place.

A Fair Deal Democrat beat a Fair Deal Republican in the race for the Senate in Kentucky and "Dear Alben" Barkley with his pretty young wife and his corny jokes will hold forth again at Washington cocktail parties.

A familiar political figure will preside as Speaker of the House as a result of recent balloting. Sam Rayburn of Texas will probably continue to wield the gavel intermittently in that body until he gets too weak to lift it.

Joe Meek of Chicago who was hauled to the White House by Sherman Adams and ordered to soft-pedal his friendship for Senator McCarthy in his race for the Senate will have plenty of time to ponder the wisdom of this advice. He was defeated by the admitted Socialist incumbent.

In any election, there are always things for which to be thankful. In the recent one, Americans are fortunate that Glen Taylor, the Idaho horseman, didn't ride into the Senate on his charging steed. Another close shave for the American Republic was the defeat of Sam Yorty of California although why a State which is sending a security and a marital risk to represent them in Washington would turn him down is one of the mysteries that make that State unpredictable in a national election. The defeat of John Carrol of Colorado is another narrow escape from disaster.

While the seat of the late Pat McCarran of Nevada can never be filled, the American electorate should be grateful that his protégé, Alan Bible, was elected to succeed him.

We favor a two-party system for the South and think it would make for cleaner politics but we are going to reserve judgment on the Dallas Republican who defeated Wallace Savage until we find out what the combination was that elected him. Savage was a States righter's dream and could have been depended on to vote right and there have long been rumors about who controls Dallas.

The results of the election project one fact with crystal clearness and that is that, as a presidential adviser and director of campaign strategy, Sherman Adams is a good wood chopper.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

Our Humble Opinion on The Battle of Ballots

In the recent national election in which both Houses of Congress appear to have changed hands, the Republicans were defeated—by the Republicans.

With strong support from the White House advisory staff, the Americans for Democratic Action, and other powerful minority groups, made almost a clean sweep at the polls and one-party government was advanced a long step in the United States. By this we have no reference to either one of the major political parties, neither one of which any longer exists in this country.

We make no pretense of being a political analyst capable of interpreting voter reaction but we do believe that some common sense deductions explain the changed attitude of the electorate in two short years which apparently decided that if we are going to have Fair Dealers in positions of leadership, it might as well be Democrats.

All responsible Americans, of course, recognize Fair Dealers as political scoundrels with little respect for the American Constitutional form of government and no concern whatever in preserving it. In 1952, a great majority of good Americans thought they had voted them out.

They saw in Eisenhower and a Republican Congress a God-given opportunity for a change and the restoration of the deathless principles under which the United States had reached a peak of greatness unsurpassed among governments of the earth.

Following that election, the people sat back and relaxed.

With a few minor exceptions, they didn't get any change. Fair Deal Republicans took up where Fair Deal Democrats left off and pushed us further toward National Socialism and One-World government.

The rest of the story is history as written at the polls on November 2nd. Apparently Americans feel safer when the destiny of the Republic rests with a conservative coalition in the Congress than they do when either of the major political parties are in control. Obviously they are forced to rely on such a coalition again.

Personally, our own hopes were not dashed because we didn't have any. Our lack of respect for the integrity of the leadership of either party was clearly expressed in the first edition of the Southern Conservative in January, 1950, when we said editorially:

"As to political affiliations, we acknowledge allegiance to neither of the two major parties. As presently constituted, both are so shot through with radicalism as to render them incapable of resolving the serious problems confronting the Republic. We acknowledge our indebtedness to the courageous and patriotic coalition bloc of sound-thinking Democrats and Republicans in both Houses of Congress which has prevented Communistic influences from complete domination of our Federal government."

We reiterate that position now and sincerely hope that this effective coalition bloc will function again to save the American Republic from total obliteration in a Communist World Government, an impending tragedy that only a miracle can avert.

Barn Door Is Closed After Horse Stolen

At long, long last, the administration has turned its attention to the very questionable personnel of the State Department and, although a couple of years late, made a step toward its improvement.

John P. Davies, a career diplomat, was fired, on the ground that "his continued employment would go against the interests of national security" although Dulles who fired him hastened to add that neither he nor the Special Security Board found Davies "dis-

loyal in the sense of having any Communist affinity."

This trick method of heave-ho is the same that was employed in the case of Oppenheimer booted out of the Atomic Energy Commission with the explanation that "he was a security risk but a loyal American."

As we interpret this double-talk, it means that no membership card was found in either case.

As was to be expected Senator Joseph R. McCarthy was way ahead of them in both instances and had long ago recommended that both be removed.

WHO PROMOTED PERESS?

TO OUR READERS

Each year, we issue ten editions of the Southern Conservative. The July and August editions are consolidated as are the November and December editions.

We do this because we have to spend the balance of the time trying to finance the paper. Only those activities which are all the way from mildly left-wing to downright subversive, are able to get all the money they need, tax free, and all others have to hustle for theirs.

Our next edition will be out early in January and in the meantime, we will appreciate any help from those who feel that the necessity for such activity as we engage in has been intensified many fold. The Southern Conservative.

One Worlorder Is Placed In a Congenial Agency

Before adjourning in August, the Senate confirmed one James Bradshaw Mintener as Assistant Secretary of Health, Education and Welfare.

Florence Fowler Lyons of California who is one of the nation's foremost experts on subversion, and especially as it relates to UNESCO, points out some relevant facts about Mintener's career which may, or may not, have been in the hands of the Senate members who voted to confirm him. (In recent years, it has been proved that the only persons who fail to measure up to that body's required standards are those who actively oppose Communism.)

"Mintener was one of the committee who persuaded the United States Chamber of Commerce to give UNESCO a 'clean bill of health' in regard to Communism and Communist personnel and influence months after the second report of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee told the nation 'there is a Communist Fifth Column operating in the United Nations Secretariat' and later named UNESCO specifically" says Miss Lyons.

She points out further that Mintener served as chairman of the United States Committee for the United Nations Day along with such one-worlders as Paul Hoffman, Eleanor Roosevelt, Chester Bowles, Dorothy Canfield Fisher and others with similar records in advocating the abolishment of the national sovereignty of the United States in favor of a one-world government.

Much as appointments like this are to be regretted, it is certain that the new assistant of HEW will find congenial associates in that Agency which plugs for Socialized Medicine, Socialized Education and all the rest of the subversive program which smart and clever world planners worked out for Americans of limited understanding and scant patriotism to promote.

Fearless Negro Refuses to Be Part of the 'Master Plan'

The overall Communist plot to force abandonment of segregation in the South got no sympathy from Rev. M. Nunn, a courageous and fearless Negro minister of Alexander City, Alabama.

When a strange white man accompanied by a Negro visited him at his home in the Alabama town recently and demanded that he "bomb segregation out of existence" by blowing up a new \$200,000 Negro high school there, Rev. Nunn responded by going straight to the police with the matter.

The men promised to pay him well, the Negro minister said, and advised him that this was part of a "master plan" to be carried out in four other unnamed Southern States.

We have pointed out for a long time that segregation was only a small, but very vital, part of the plan of Communists to take over this country.

When any bombing goes on hereafter in places where Negroes are concerned, it would be well for officials in the case to look for the real culprit instead of blaming it on innocent people.