

# THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 6

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, MARCH, 1955

No. 3

## "Federal Aid" Means Bribery of Taxpayers With Their Money

### Too Bad Pay Raise Could Not Be Based on Merit

The wisdom of raising salaries of members of Congress and Federal judges is a debatable subject.

If this increase could have been granted on the basis of merit, which it couldn't of course, there would have been justification, in many cases, for a boost in pay.

Both the judicial and Congressional positions are responsible and honorable posts which should be filled by persons of the highest character and best brains the country has to offer, and full and adequate compensation is due them. There are those in both Houses of Congress and on the Bench who are undoubtedly entitled to better compensation.

On the other hand, we could name plenty in both groups who would be overpaid if they worked for nothing, and whose immediate resignation would be of untold benefit to the general welfare of the country.

From the overall picture as presented in the current State of the Union, there is no concrete evidence that anybody has been underpaid.

### Rules Committee Insists On Fair Practice For Traitors

The House Rules Committee of Congress following long-time attempts by "liberals" to choke off investigation of Communist spies, has taken a long step in that direction.

The committee has voted to outlaw one-man hearings and to bar radio, television and photographs from any committee session, and has designated their decision in the matter as a new "code of fair practices". The Daily Worker is said to have endorsed their action wholeheartedly.

Martin Dies, when asked by the Chairman of an investigating committee if a rule to prevent one-man hearings would be the end of all investigations into Communist activities emphatically replied that it would. So they adopted this rule.

The only thing they have to do now when an important witness is scheduled to appear, is to fail to show up and leave the chairman high and dry. In that case, he is compelled to release the witness and there you are.

So, as far as actual, bonafide investigations of alien spies are concerned, "you just can't hardly hold them no more."

### Foreign Aid Has Hit the Bottom

Don't look now, but Harold Stassen has started giving away tissue paper.

We knew it was only a question of time until he got around to this because there wasn't much of anything else left to give away.

Still and all, it was something of a shock to read in a press release that FOA (which is short for Fools, Oafs and Asses) had allocated \$900,000.00 for the purchase of special chemically-treated tissue paper which is to be sent to Israel to be used in wrapping fruit produced in that country.

In our opinion, there are better uses to which this commodity could be put.

One of them would be to give it to American producers to be used in wrapping domestic fruit so long as the Washington government goes in for this sort of thing and considers it a proper function to supply tissue paper to the people.

Another would be to parcel it out to American taxpayers to be used in wiping away their tears on April fifteenth after they get through kicking in the money to pay for such idiotic and indefensible stunts as this.

### Our Diplomats Should Be Brought Down to Earth

If there is anything that contributes more to the increasing state of confusion in the world than an international conference, it is another international conference.

One no sooner ends in Asia than another bobs up in Europe and the American Secretary of State, as a perennial participant in them, has marked up a traveling log that makes Eleanor Roosevelt look almost like a homebody.

It wouldn't be so bad if now and then we won one of these international talkathons but, up to now, it looks like we just can't win for losing.

It may be very brash for a mere layman to suggest it but we think our interests would be better served if we could just get Dulles down out of the air and have him grounded for the next few years. The money applied to his traveling expenses could be used in reducing the national debt.

No matter how violently they disagree at these conferences one decision is unanimous—Uncle Sam must foot the bill.

The Federal government has no funds except those it takes by force from the citizens of the several States. Educators who prate about "Federal Aid" for public schools are either consciously dishonest and want to strengthen the stranglehold of a centralized government over the people or they are congenital morons unable to see the immorality in a system which exacts billions in tribute from the States and dribbles part of it back as the price for their surrender to Federal control. The only legitimate service Congress can render to the schools of the nation is to reduce extortionate tax levies so that the States may collect their own taxes from their own taxpayers and finance their own schools.

Of all the crimes committed by Washington politicians against the American Constitution in the name of "the public welfare" their attempt to take over the school systems of the country is one of the blackest.

Hitler and Stalin used the school rooms of Germany and Russia as indoctrination centers for implanting their respective ideologies in the plastic minds of the youth of those countries, but red-blooded Americans want no part of government-endowed educational systems whose curricula is prepared by One-Worlders on the pay roll of the Rockefeller Foundation.

All Americans who believe in a separation of powers and jurisdictional authority as between the States and the Federal government, are unalterably opposed to the administration's proposal to subsidize local public schools by granting Federal money for the construction of buildings.

No intelligent and informed person doubts that once the camel gets his nose under the tent, full scale domination over the nation's schools by the Federal government will follow. Americans have had vast and unhappy experience with Washington "emergencies" during recent years and there has never been a temporary one yet that didn't stick around until it got permanent.

The American people may be compelled to swallow this unpalatable and nauseating dose of Socialized Education if members of the Congress repudiate their oath to uphold the Constitution and pass it.

But there are none who do not resent to the fullest being classed as imbeciles by the administration's solemn assurance that "Federal Aid does not mean Federal control".

This is the equivalent of telling a person who is being murdered that killing him does not necessarily mean that he is dying, and is about as logical.

It belongs in the category of the angler's promise to the catfish as he prepared to clean it: "Hold still now. I'm not going to hurt you. I'm just going to cut your guts out".

In the case of the Federal Aid bill, as in similar Socialistic proposals, it is generally agreed that the President did not originate the idea. It was lifted from the overall program of one-world propaganda experts whose basic plans rest on centralized control and whose spokesmen thickly dot the president's entourage.

There is to begin with, of course, no such thing as "Federal Aid" since the Federal treasury has no money except that which is taken by force of law from the people of the various States. Since this money morally belongs to the taxpayers, it is impossible to defend a policy which "aids" them with their own funds.

If the rumor about a Federal deficit is untrue and if the Federal treasury is bursting with a surplus of cash and Washington wants to give some of it back to the States, there is a more sensible, direct and honorable way to do it—just quit taking it away from them in the first place.

Here, for instance, is an example of how "Federal Aid" operates. In 1953, the Federal government, under confiscatory tax levies, took \$2,272,857,627.00 from the people of Texas who, like all other Americans under the wording of the Sixteenth amendment to the Constitution,

(Continued On Page Two)

Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It

## Killing Off American Free Enterprise Is Objective of Reciprocal Trade Act

The Reciprocal Trade Agreement which was first entered into in 1934, and whose three-year extension is now requested by the White House, is part of an international conspiracy to kill off American Free Enterprise, reduce the American standard of living for workers, make this country dependent on foreign nations for its war materials, and divide the wealth of the United States with impoverished aliens.

This monstrosity was one of the first anti-American proposals originated by Russian agents who infested government agencies almost from the beginning of Roosevelt's first administration. It was presented to Congress as an "emergency" measure and was accepted as sound doctrine by that body even though it constituted a planned assault on American Constitutional government by transferring the power of Congress to "lay and collect duties, imposts and excises" to the President of the United States. The President, in turn, transferred this power to the State Department and the State Department handed it on down the line to the various breeds of liberals, internationalists and one-worlders heavily entrenched in that agency. It still operates that way.

Like most of Roosevelt's Socialistic proposals, the Reciprocal Trade Agreement depended heavily on the "emergency" angle to put it over, together with the phony argument that it would increase world trade and get us out of the depression. Twenty-one years is a long time for an "emergency" to hang on and yet its extension for another three years is now demanded.

This Act which any one with even a faint smattering of knowledge concerning economics recognizes as holding life and death power over the nation's economy, has already been approved by the House and is now before the Senate which has been requested by the White House to hurry it through.

In almost identical words which Roosevelt employed to urge its passage in 1934, Eisenhower asked its extension in 1955 and accompanied his plea with a claim that Roosevelt, even though he had the gall of a government mule, would not have dared make.

Just as he told the Congress with a straight face recently that Federal Aid to education did not mean Federal control of the schools or that his Health Re-Insurance Plan did not mean Socialized Medicine, Mr. Eisenhower gave Congress solemn assurance that "no American industry will be placed in jeopardy" by the extension of this act.

We are convinced that the President was sincere and believed what he was saying but, once more, he neglected to give himself the benefit of facts, and accepted suggestions of his internationalist advisers on another of the many things about which they know so little.

Available to him were approximately fifteen thousand pages of documented proof of not only the danger to American industry but the threat to our national security through this stupid policy but the persuasive arguments of one-worlders and internationalists on his staff outweighed the

printed evidence and the President stood firm.

This documented evidence was in the form of an official report of the Subcommittee on Materials of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the United States Senate, of which the Honorable George Malone of Nevada was Chairman.

The extensive data and information in this report was compiled after months and months of hearings by the Malone Committee and this material was later condensed into one volume of less than four hundred pages—Senate Report No. 1627—and the Presidential staff of advisers owed it to the President to digest it and give him the benefit of its findings.

Obviously this wasn't done and another chance to clean up a very vital part of "the mess" was passed over.

It would be humanly impossible to compress the plan, the objectives and the results of this Reciprocal Trade Agreement Act and its operations as the Malone Committee developed them, into this paper even if all eight pages were used.

Briefly, it sponsored a sort of community pool of all nations into which the strategic and critical materials necessary in war and in peace were to be dumped and distributed on the Marxian basis of "from each according to his means and to each according to his needs" and was the result of proposals made by the World Materials Conference which had previously held many meetings and "planned it that way."

At first there was a "Buy American" clause in it which demanded that all materials produced in this country must be purchased for the "pool" before going into the markets of other countries but one day the slacks salesman from Missouri decided that this provision should be discarded on the theory that the United States should conserve its materials and that materials for the "pool" were to be imported from foreign States. This had the effect of closing many American mines and other sources of supply faster than a strike by John L. Lewis' coal diggers and this surrender of American markets to foreign manipulators is claimed to have so immobilized the domestic sources of supply of critical and strategic materials as to make us absolutely helpless in the event of war.

As one of many illustrations of its operation against American industry, Senator Malone tells how cute little Harry Dexter White was able to whisper in Morgenthau's pink ear and convince him that this country was in short supply on seven or eight critical materials and that we should send \$10,000,000 to Russia to purchase them.

Senator Malone, who is one of the thinking members of the Senate, pretty well summed it all up in a few words: "It was the Hisses and the White who proposed the two approaches for the destruction of this nation."

"The first was the political approach, beginning with the recognition of Russia in 1933, without any safeguards whatever. The other was the economic approach by means of the 1934 Trade Agreement Act."

**The CIO and AFL go ahead with plans for a vast merger of the two organizations with no fear whatever of prosecution under the anti-trust law which exempts them from its provisions. Although they are operating in "restraint of trade" every time they call a strike, they are above the law and can't be punished for their criminal acts, thanks to scheming politicians in Washington who will go to any lengths to snare large bloc votes.**

**We get the liar Matusow and the Chinese island of Matsu mixed up and we will be glad when both are disposed of.**

India, the "neutral" country, has just signed an agreement with Chou En-Lai under which Nehru's government will receive seventeen million dollars worth of silk and cotton goods and, in return, will send seventeen million dollars worth of rice to Peiping to feed the Chinese Red army. There's nothing like having a good "neutral" country on your side when you're getting ready to fight.

When Dean Acheson was visiting Harry Truman in Missouri recently he is reported in the press to have held a news conference but what he said was not news: "The foreign policies of the Truman and Eisenhower administrations are basically the same".

## Suppression of Report Opposing U. S. Aid to Education Is Outrage

(Houston Chronicle)

### Personnel of Supreme Court Is Getting No Better Fast

Protests from all over the country have flowed into Washington against the appointment of an internationalist on the Supreme Court but the chances are good for the Senate, which acts largely as a rubber stamp in such matters, to confirm John Marshall Harlan.

If there is any group of political appointees in this country whose private and official conduct should be above reproach, it is the nine men on the highest Court in the nation.

Up until Roosevelt started packing the Court with social reformers, including foreigners who had no sympathy with Constitutional government, every precaution was taken to select for this great judicial body only staunch Americans whose private or public record could not be questioned and whose knowledge of and devotion to the American Constitution guaranteed an interpretation of its provisions without bias or favor to any group or political clique.

Since that time there has been no appointment made which reflected credit either on the White House or the Court and the bottom of the barrel has been scraped in securing political puppets who are willing to swap a judicial ruling for a plushy life-time job.

About all the consolation to be had from this appointment is the fact that Harlan couldn't be worse than others already sitting on the Court.

**Generalissimo Chiang Kai-Shek of Formosa and Syngman Rhee of South Korea continue to assure us that they can regain their respective countries if permitted to do so and given the material and equipment. They further insist that they can do it with their own men and that the loss of American lives will not be necessary. But this program does not fit in with the blueprint which world rulers have mapped out for eventual one-world government. So these two strong Nationalists who want to recapture their homelands do not get the green light.**

Whoever is responsible for keeping secret the report of the education subcommittee of the President's Commission on Intergovernmental Relations has done a disservice to the nation. The report should be made public immediately, since Congress now has before it President Eisenhower's proposal for the federal government to spend \$1,100,000,000 on grants to education and school construction.

It is pretty obvious why the report has been suppressed. One of the subcommittee's conclusions was, "We have been unable to find a state which cannot afford to make more money available to its schools or which is economically unable to support an adequate school system." The report indicated that the federal government is in worse financial condition to make the grants than are the states.

That is the stand The Chronicle took when the President's plan was first announced. There is not a state, and probably no school district, in the nation in as bad a financial mess as the federal government.

The subcommittee stated its opposition in principle to the system of federal grants in aid and recommended that the government get out of the school lunch program, withdraw most of its contributions to the vocational education program and steer clear of any aid to community libraries.

Because some outstanding personalities were on the subcommittee, it probably was feared the report would have an adverse effect in Congress on the President's proposal. It probably would have remained secret if an enterprising reporter had not managed to get a copy and write a story about it.

It is especially interesting that the U. S. commissioner of education, Dr. Samuel Brownell, was a member of the 15-member subcommittee which made the study. One wonders if he concurred in the majority view, since it is the department he works under which worked up the Eisenhower proposal for a billion-dollar give-away.

It is heartening to see a group like the subcommittee show a realization of the fact that federal aid is only getting us deeper into financial chaos and that the only money the federal government has to give away is that which we, the taxpayers, provide.

## "Federal Aid" —

(Continued From Page One)

are as helpless as rats in a trap and who would have to cough up one hundred per cent of their earnings if Washington politicians decided to take it away from them.

These same taxpayers of Texas got back exactly \$227,899,606.00 in "Federal Aid" during that year. To Uncle Sam, as the middleman, they paid a commission of \$2,044,958,021.00 for handling and disposing of these funds.

We submit that hopelessly incurable inmates of a lunatic asylum could more intelligently transact the fiscal affairs of the American people.

The Federal government can render one service to the nation's schools and only one and that is for the Congress to quit giving money away to foreign governments which rightfully belongs to the American people, reduce taxes to a decent level and let the States collect their own taxes from their own taxpayers and finance their own schools.

Tax reduction as a slogan has been screamed from the hustings every time a candidate runs for president, but nothing ever happens and reckless spending goes merrily on.

Reducing taxes is the last thing that our national leaders intend to do and any proposal by members of one party to lower disgraceful tax rates, brings a charge from the other that such proposal is "cheap politics". Of course it's cheap politics and what isn't, if it happens in Washington? And what more forceful exhibition of "cheap politics" could be indulged in than for Federal politicians to grab for more power by plugging for legislation that puts the public schools within their clutches and gives them control over the mind of American youth?

It would make for a higher class of future citizenship if we just closed the schools and let the nation's children grow up without formal learning in preference to having the public schools subsidized by the Federal government and their instruction supervised by internationalists whose conception of "education" is to direct young Americans' thinking along lines which spell disloyalty to their country, disrespect for their Flag and contempt for their form of government.

## Award of Medal to Negro With Communist Leanings Protested

Sometime ago the Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Association presented an award for "distinguished service" to the Negro, Ralph Bunche, following which Mr. Archibald B. Roosevelt, member of the responsible branch of that family, hit the ceiling.

In a letter to Oscar S. Strauss, president of the Memorial which presented this award, this Mr. Roosevelt, who is the son of former President Theodore Roosevelt, didn't mince words.

"To say that I was horrified to read in a news item in the New York Herald-Tribune that the Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Association was presenting to Dr. Ralph Bunche the Theodore Roosevelt medal for distinguished service for the year 1951, as an award for his work in international affairs, is putting it very mildly indeed," Mr. Roosevelt wrote.

"It would seem to me that if the Board of Trustees of the Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Association considers these medals evidence of distinguished service, a very careful checkup of the recipient's record should be made.

"Of course I realize that to the average man in the street who reads the Times and the Herald-Tribune only, and hears such commentators on the radio as Edward R. Murrow, Barry Gray or Elmer Davis, it would seem that Dr. Bunche is a great and good man who has been persecuted without reason. But when such honor is being bestowed on him as the Theodore Roosevelt medal, I would think that the Trustees should not be satisfied with simply newspaper statements on the qualities of any man, particularly about such a controversial figure as Dr. Bunche. Any committee bestowing such an honor should have made it a point to find out that both in Congress and other places there is a serious question as to whether Dr. Bunche should be a trusted servant of the Government of the United States."

Mr. Roosevelt's letter to Strauss covers three single-spaced pages and the memo which he enclosed revealing the subversive record of the Negro who has been appointed to responsible positions in government and otherwise honored, in-

cludes forty-nine pages of single-spaced material.

Prefacing his report on Bunche's subversive record, Mr. Roosevelt wrote: "This report intends to prove, without a shadow of doubt, that Dr. Ralph Bunche for a number of years had expressed himself in writings, speeches and organizational activity in a manner which paralleled the Communist line in its major aspects. Further evidence is hereby presented to prove his expressions were of such a nature that they could only have been arrived at as a result of his going through a thorough indoctrination in Communist methods and techniques. It will also be shown that his affiliations and activities were such as to fill the requirements necessary for a top-level operative of the Kremlin apparatus."

The report which Mr. Roosevelt attached to his communication to Strauss contained innumerable documented charges of Bunche's support of Communist movements and his adherence to Soviet doctrine including his demand for the elimination of the Capitalistic system, his support of class war, his adherence to the Communist program in regard to Negroes, his denunciation of the loyalty oath, the publication of his articles in Communist publications, together with praise from such publications, his part in the betrayal of China, his defense of Hiss, membership in various Communist front organizations and his activities in various parts of the world in behalf of Soviet policy.

"Actually, the evidence of Communist writings and organizational activity by Ralph Bunche is greater overall than the combined evidence that government agencies had when they first began to get interested in Red activities of Alger Hiss, Remington, Harry Dexter White and the Rosenbergs," Mr. Roosevelt pointed out and added: "Bunche's Communist utterances and connections are there as a public record and it is a great puzzle as to why our government officials have not taken appropriate action. Instead, it seems there is an effort to hush up the entire matter."

## Correcting Some Wrong Ideas About Army Secretary Stevens

### NEA Defends Filthy Books In Public School Library

In the October edition of Southern Conservative we ran a half page editorial on the frightening conditions in the public schools of Marin County, California.

We told of the unbelievably lewd, filthy and subversive books which had been found in the libraries of the schools for reference by the students in that area.

Since that time the full story has been told through many publications throughout the country and Americans all over the nation have been alerted in the hope that similar conditions in other schools may be eliminated.

Although the books were filled with such obscenity that any one reading them over the air would be subject to a jail sentence and a ten thousand dollar fine, the books were retained by school officials who offered the explanation "educators are best qualified to judge the suitability of the books."

The National Education Association claims the authority to supervise the American public school systems and when local dissension occurs in any American city in regard to school administration, the NEA sends its "Educational Gestapo" into the area to "investigate."

Did the NEA send representatives into Marin County to investigate an unspeakable condition which shocked the nation? Don't be silly.

In the NEA Defense Bulletin of January 29, 1955, this statement appears:

"A courageous stand by the Board of Trustees of the Tamalpais High School District, Mill Valley, California, halted an effort to have certain books removed from the library shelves on the allegation that they were subversive or obscene. The Board after an investigation into the background of those making the attack and after study of the books themselves, released a statement which cleared the books of charges and ordered that they be retained on the shelves."

In view of the NEA's endorsement of the slimy, lascivious and filthy books, we challenge them to back up their endorsement by printing in their literature for distribution excerpts from the books to which Marin County parents objected. If there is nothing wrong with the books, NEA should have no objections to publishing the offensive paragraphs and let their readers have full information in the matter.

It is strange how closely parallel perversion and subversion run and how those who are committed to the Communist ideology will instinctively defend immoral and lewd practices whenever and wherever disclosed.

Just as the NEA Bulletin made its appearance, we received a communication from one of our subscribers in Mill City, California, which wound up with this paragraph:

"It has reached a point here in Marin County where we can either sell our homes and get out or sit helplessly while subversives run everything their own way. . . . They control the churches, the papers, the Parent Teacher organizations and every school organization . . ."

During the Army-McCarthy hearings, as well as before and since, it has often been stated that the Secretary of the Army, Robert T. Stevens, was a plain business man who was thoroughly unfamiliar with politics and easily lost in the labyrinthian maze of official red tape.

This was offered by his apologists as an excuse for the mess he has made of his job and of the blundering performance he turned in at the hearings.

However, according to the 1954 Britannica Book of the Year which has been called to our attention by Pauline Minahan of Fort Worth, Stevens is anything but a political babe in the woods and his evasive action at the hearings was probably the result of his former experience in agencies of the New Deal whose policy was always directed toward the suppression of facts.

In 1921 he got a bachelor's degree from Yale University, later becoming president of J. P. Stevens & Co. established by earlier members of his family. As a second lieutenant during World War II, he was director of purchases for the Quartermaster corps.

However, in the early days of the New Deal, Stevens was a staff member of the National Recovery Administration, a New Deal agency which was so offensive to American concepts that the Supreme Court threw it out as un-Constitutional.

In 1940, he served with the National Defense Advisory Commission and in 1941 with the Office of Production management.

He was appointed Secretary of the Army in 1952 but was far from the political novice he was represented as being when confirmed by the Senate following his nomination.

### State Lawmaker Makes A Fantastic Proposal

Increasing attempts to take away the Police power of the States and strengthen authority of the Federal government was emphasized in Texas recently when a member of the State legislature introduced a bill to do away with, of all things, the Texas Rangers.

This colorful and historic body whose fearless behavior in emergencies has earned them the respect of Texans which Canadians feel for the Royal Northwest Mounted, was designated by this odd character proposing such legislation as "a relic of the horse and buggy days."

This absurd bill will probably be buried in a Committee pigeon hole but the fact that a measure proposes to whittle away further at the inherent rights of a sovereign State indicates how far-reaching organized propaganda toward this end has become.

Of course we may be doing the author an injustice. He may be totally unfamiliar with current trends in the direction pointed up by his proposed bill and his action may have been inspired merely by some personal grudge toward an individual member of the Ranger Force.

Any way, it is about the most ridiculous measure ever offered before a legislative body which has had some honeys tossed into the hopper.

Also, when anybody objects to an institution, policy or principle on the ground that it is a "relic of horse and buggy days" that person will bear watching.

This phrase was originated by Franklin D. Roosevelt when he first went on a rampage against Constitutional government and has never been reiterated by anybody except extreme leftists who think that American history started in 1932.

## Immoral Practices And Violations Of Law Recommended To Americans

Any one who thinks that church groups and especially the professional church organizations are travelling a long way toward immorality in their recommendations—is right about it.

For instance the meeting of the World Council of Churches in Evanston, Illinois, last August made suggestions concerning social behavior that should cause decent people, white or colored, to hang their heads in shame, and these recommendations are even yet being reproduced in church publications.

One of the reports of the World Council which did not, understandably enough, receive much publicity except in church papers in this country declared that "the Christian Church cannot approve any law against racial or ethnic intermarriage because Christian marriage involves primarily a union between two individuals before God, which goes beyond the jurisdiction of the state or of culture."

Of course down South weak members of the clergy who have been plugging for abolishment of segregation have been as busy as a hog in a turnip patch explaining to their mem-

bers that "integration" does not mean social mixing of the white and colored races and are always careful to say that if it did, they certainly would oppose it.

Those of us who have insisted that it did mean just that have been classified as alarmists always looking for a bugaboo under the bed but now the top-bracket church councils come out and demand not only social mixing but intermarriage.

The All Church Press of Evanston, Illinois, sometime ago published excerpts from the World Council declarations in that city last August which said: "It is the duty of a Christian to break the law when he feels that he has reached the point where honor and glory of God demand him to obey God rather than man. The church cannot approve any law against racial or ethnic intermarriage" and it added that there is no Biblical basis to support any such law. This lewd material urging church members not only to violate moral principles but to break civil law appeared also in the Texas Methodist News and the Texas Christian Advocate.

## The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF  
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH  
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor  
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building  
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

### THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

## Mental Therapists Weep Over Woes of Traitors

Psychiatrists and mental therapists attending a meeting of the American Orthopsychiatric Association in Chicago recently painted a very heart-rending picture of great distress and suffering on the part of a certain group of Americans.

They said that those who had been accused as "security risks" sometimes become psychotic and that the charges against them cause "nightmarish terror" and set off a chain of shattering events causing them to become "withdrawn, hostile and panicky".

That sure is sad and just the thought of it made us want to sit right down on the curb and cry and we would have, too, except that we remembered that these psychiatrists and mental therapists at their annual conventions have been opposing investigations into Communism right from the start, and want to discontinue them.

So we just held back our tears and decided that the only thing we will cry about along that line is the irreparable damage these security risks have done to our country by stealing confidential secrets and handing them over to enemy agents.

If they have now become "psychotic" and have "nightmarish terror" it is nothing more than they deserve.

*From a member of the United States Senate: "I have just read with interest your article under the heading 'Promote the General Welfare Is Fatal Clause in Constitution' in the February edition of the Southern Conservative . . . I congratulate the Southern Conservative on its continuing fight for Constitutional government and hope for the day when Southern Conservatives and Northern Conservatives can build a bridge against the chasm of meaningless political affiliation . . ."*

*From a Member of Parliament, House of Commons, Ottawa, Canada: "Would you have available another copy of the February edition of the Southern Conservative. If so, I could use it to advantage. May I congratulate you on the important material you have in your paper?"*

## How Long Would "Brotherhood" Hold Out When Put to Practical Test?

During "Brotherhood" week beginning Sunday, February 20, the propaganda represented by this efficiently promoted movement was carried into the public schools with the announcement that "Brotherhood is designed to reach all men, but must depend on children to succeed."

They are right about that for there is no more fertile field for initiating off-brand ideologies than in childish minds as Hitler and Stalin could have testified.

The "Brotherhood" movement, as all informed people know, is a very clever part of the all-inclusive plan which is seeking to eliminate Christian civilization and the leveling down of the white race through mixture of all cults, colors and creeds into one common strain and the pressing of all divergent and opposing religious beliefs into one identical mold which will eventually destroy them all.

Many good men of the cloth have become identified with this movement in all innocence of its sinister implications, not realizing that they are being used as window-dressing much in the manner of shills in front of a carnival.

Homey pictures of clerical representatives of diametrically opposed religious doctrines are shown all buddying up together in proof that religious prejudice is dead and that a great spirit of tolerance and brotherly love has come to take its place.

All right, let's see how this new "Brotherhood" scheme would work as a matter of practical and realistic politics.

Let us suppose, for example, that one of the major political parties should take the Constitution at its word and assume that complete religious freedom prevails in this country. Let's say that one of those two major parties at its convention next year nominates a man who is fully qualified to be president in every way, whose integrity and patriotism is unchallenged but who happens to be a Catholic.

Under those circumstances, how long does anyone think that the "Brotherhood" racket would hold together and "prejudice" remain buried?

The welkins would ring with denunciations, charges and counter-charges as Protestant preachers warned all who would listen that the Pope was preparing to pull up stakes in Rome and move into the White House. "Tolerance" would fly out the window and "Prejudice" would be back on the throne again and especially within the circles of those pious clergymen now shouting the loudest for "Universal Brotherhood" and for the elimination of prejudice and intolerance in human relations.

As a non-Catholic we would vote for any man we thought qualified for president and would not even ask what his religion was, although we never take part in any "Brotherhood" movements, but how many of those who do would show the same tolerance and lack of "prejudice" when the chips were down and they had to put their preaching into practice?

## A Patriot's Dream of An Ideal "State of The Union Message"

Mr. H. W. Totten, manufacturer of Sherman, Texas, has written an imaginary "State of the Union Message" which he would like to see delivered to the Congress of the United States:

Fellow Americans, I am going to speak to you on a very grave subject. A situation exists which must be remedied at once, if we are to survive as a free Republic.

I am President in name only, agents of my invisible masters are all about me within the United Nations, the State Department, the Pentagon and even the White House. I now wish to denounce these evil doers and show them up for what they really are. They constitute a sinister force alien to our free enterprise system. They control the press, the radio and TV. Their plan is to so weaken us by wars, depressions and internal strife that we can easily be led into bondage.

I wish to dispel most of the present hysteria regarding an atomic bomb attack against this country. All our metropolitan areas are so alive with the enemy and their property holdings so valuable they would not dare risk such a loss.

With prompt action as Commander-In-Chief of our Armed Forces and with the help of all good Americans, there is yet time for us to save this nation. Senator Joseph McCarthy, our symbol of Americanism, and J. Edgar Hoover can name every traitor within our midst. After all these perpetrators of treason have been apprehended, each will be dealt with as justice dictates.

We must then return to the Constitution and the Bill of Rights as a basis for dealing with one another and our neighbors. Only then will we regain our rightful place among the nations of the world, as well as our own self respect. By remaining continually alert in the future, we can leave to our children a free country they will be proud to inherit.

We hope our readers will be tolerant of any mistakes in this edition. The wonderful young woman, Ruth P. Davis, who graciously reads proof for us each month is ill in a Fort Worth hospital and the job fell to us.

While we can spot a typographical error immediately if we are reading some other newspaper or publication, we are completely unable to catch them in our own copy.

We hope Mrs. Davis will soon be well, not only because we need her but she is a grand patriot and the world needs more like her.

## Is This Maybe Another Mission to Moscow?

A great deal of amazement has been expressed that William O. Douglas, a Justice of the Supreme Court, is planning a trip to Russia as recently announced in the press.

Why his visit to the Soviets should occasion any surprise is not quite clear, since his unethical behavior has been pretty well publicized in the past and the American people should be prepared for anything he might do.

In a speech on the floor of the Senate on May 27, 1954, the Honorable James O. Eastland told his colleagues how Douglas so far abandoned judicial dignity and impartiality that he journeyed out to Portland, Oregon, in 1948 to make the principal address to—of all things—the CIO National Convention. The CIO, the Senator pointed out, is always up to its neck in politics and vitally interested in the segregation issue on which Douglas was later to rule.

Senator Eastland quoted from the New York Times, December 12, 1952, page 37 which told of the presentation by the CIO of a \$1000 award for "meritorious public service" to Douglas. This award was from the Sidney Hillman Foundation and was presented by Jacob S. Potofsky of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers Union.

On November 16, 1953 the CIO News said on page eight that the CIO was helping to finance the fight for segregation and had contributed \$2,500 to the NAACP for this purpose.

It is not necessary to refer to Douglas' vote on the segregation issue later when the Supreme Court assumed jurisdiction in this matter. It is written large on a black page of American contemporary history.

There we have the picture, Douglas makes a partisan speech to a CIO convention, the CIO later bestows the \$1000 Sidney Hillman award on him; still later the CIO announces it has contributed \$2,500 to the fight against segregation and finally Douglas votes on the issue.

So what more logical sequence of events than for Douglas to now make a trip to the Soviet Union?

After all, while the Supreme Court issued what they consider is a final ruling on the matter, the original decision on it was handed down in Moscow.

## Beauty Is Very Seldom Accompanied by Brains

It is becoming a custom for members of Congress to compliment the appearance of women whom they consider long on looks and short on brains.

Senator George Malone of Nevada who charged on the floor of the Senate recently that there is and has been for twenty-two years a scarcity of horse sense in Washington, said of our Ambassador to Italy in the same address:

"Little Mrs. Luce, one of the best looking ambassadors we have, when appearing before one of our committees, which had under consideration the so-called Reciprocal Trade Law and its extension, testified in favor of free trade, which is what the so-called reciprocal trade really is."

Mrs. Luce's statement to the committee to which Senator Malone referred was: "Mr. Chairman, the Italians will not understand it if you do not extend this act, and thus permit them to sell in the United States the goods produced in Italy at plants established there with funds coming from the United States taxpayers."

Such an asinine assertion as that didn't leave Senator Malone anything to compliment the lady about except her looks.

What do we care whether the Italians understand an action taken by the American Congress, or not? What Luce should be worried about, but isn't, is that the American people do not understand the extension of an act which, as Senator Malone says, destroys American producers.

*From an El Paso business man: "I got lots of laughs out of the February edition of the Southern Conservative and to be frank with you, it keeps me going from month to month and I regret that you do not publish it weekly."*

## Information On Southern Conservative Is Always Available For The Asking

Sometime ago we received a communication from a Washington bureau requesting information, on behalf of unnamed members of Congress, concerning the Southern Conservative and expressing the hope that we would help them to furnish this data to the lawmakers.

To provide this information to any others who may have curiosity along this line and to save them the trouble of going through a third person to get it, we have decided to publish our reply:

I must apologize for my long delay in replying to your letter of sometime ago in which you requested me to supply information concerning "background on my organization, history of my group, and list of directors or board of trustees" for the information of certain members of Congress who had directed you to make this inquiry.

I have been very busy preparing copy for my next edition and have no help which is my reason for not replying sooner and I hope you do not think I have been discourteous in the matter.

Since the only activity engaged in by the Southern Conservative is the publication of a monthly paper by that name which has been going to all members of Congress for over five years, I am deeply flattered that they are so impressed with its contents that they should decide it requires a "group, an organization, a list of directors and a board of trustees" to get it out.

I hate to disillusion them but the facts in the case demand that I must let them down with a jar. I have no organization, no group, no directors and no board of trustees. And I am sorry to say in answer to a question they apparently had in mind but did not voice, I have no organized financial backing either. Only editors of publications dedicated to the eventual overthrow of the American form of govern-

ment are provided with unlimited funds to operate, while one like myself who believes wholeheartedly in the American Constitution must practically go out and stand on the street with a tin cup.

I think the curiosity of these Congressmen about the Southern Conservative, therefore, is perfectly natural since most of the publications, movements and propaganda groups with which they are familiar are well supplied with all these supporting mediums in which I am lacking and they probably can't understand how one individual would just haul off and single-handedly make a pitch for fundamental principles of government for which the Southern Conservative stands. It is hard for them to understand an American whose devotion and concern is exclusively for this Republic, who wants its institutions preserved, is unalterably opposed to policies which protect the interests of other nations at the expense of our own, and who is willing to go to bat for this philosophy.

It all boils down to this: I am the Southern Conservative and the Southern Conservative is I, although I have justification for the conviction that it voices the sentiments of millions. A file of letters accumulated throughout the years is the proof on which I base this assumption.

In future, I would respectfully suggest, any inquiring Congressman may address me direct, and not impose on your valuable time. They will be surprised how willingly I will tell them all there is to know.

In the meantime, if they have contact with any patriotic Americans with money to contribute to a good cause and will furnish me with their names, I will hit them up for a donation for make no mistake about it, I will take money from anybody, any time, anywhere—provided there are no strings attached to it.

## Houston Students Will Get Both Sides of the U.N. Story or None

Eleanor Roosevelt has been on the prowl again and, as usual, stirred up trouble and strife.

She came to Texas and addressed the United Nations Council of Houston composed of local left-wingers and dupes who plug for one-world government.

Since Eleanor refuses to participate in any undertaking which does not include Negroes, it was quite difficult to secure a building in which to hold the proceedings which included a luncheon.

No white hotel, of course, was available to a mixed group and Houston colored hotels are too respectable to permit such behavior in their establishments. So they settled for the YWCA where anything goes so long as the cause of international Socialism is served.

Following Eleanor's departure, the UN Council of Houston speeded up their attempts to get Houston school officials to sponsor an essay contest on the United Nations, a proposal which they had rejected last year. Materials for these contests are prepared by the American Association for the United Nations and the contests are one of the mediums through which one-worlders hope to indoctrinate American school children with internationalism.

Spokesmen for the U.N. group gave assurance that the "kits" containing the material for the contests presented only "factual and

unbiased information about the structure of the U.N." although they failed to produce any of these "kits" for inspection.

The Houston school superintendent appointed a committee to study the matter and decide whether or not the contest should be approved.

A staunch advocate of the U.N. on the committee undertook to railroad the proposition through and get quick approval of the idea. An alert and patriotic high school teacher, however, stopped the proposition dead in its tracks by informing the committee that she was not about to approve the contest unless she could see the material to be used in the contest and see what she was supposed to pass on. She pointed out that she had seen one of these "kits" in 1952 when a similar attempt was made to get the Houston schools to sponsor it and that it contained, at that time, material that was slanted, distorted and downright subversive. Her firm position in the matter prevented any decision from being reached.

Meantime, a nationally-known patriotic organization began to lay plans for sponsoring a contest in the Houston schools which would give the other side of the picture, in the interest of fairness and in order that the students might have both sides of this highly controversial subject.

This was, of course, the last

## A Screwball Wants to Be King Of a Big Bunch of Screwballs

### This Is Merely A Preview Of Worse That Is to Come

Some one has sent us a cover page torn from a back edition of a magazine and has asked us to comment on a picture thereon.

This picture shows a film female who emigrated from a Southern tenant farm several years ago and is now dripping glamor from Hollywood to Africa and back.

Shown with her is a male Negro performer from the film capital who is dangling a glass of whiskey in one hand and has the other arm draped around the shoulders of this glamorous refugee from a cotton patch.

Well, there is no comment we could make which would adequately take care of the subject and, at the same time, be permitted to go through the mails.

Anyway, isn't this sordid spectacle of human depravity a typical example of the objective which bleeding hearts and social reformers are striving for as they parrot the Moscow demand for the abolishment of segregation in this country?

Isn't it the ultimate aim of the National Education Association, the National Council of Churches, Americans for Democratic Action, the CIO, the advisers to the President, the Supreme Court and all the other extreme left-wing forces who have joined in pushing Soviet propaganda for breaking down racial safeguards in this country and bringing about social mixing of the white and black races.

Isn't this revolting exhibition just the advance billing of the social degeneracy which will engulf the nation when a new generation grows up with teachers, preachers and politicians having crammed their young heads with such nauseating pap as "integration", "racial tolerance" and "Christian Brotherhood"?

As to the picture, we have vastly more respect for the black man holding the glass of whiskey and hugging his companion than we have for the shameless and trashy white female who is a willing participant in the disgraceful performance.

*"This movement to integrate the schools of the South is loaded with more racial dynamite than appears on the surface and the Negro will be the one who is blown away."*—Davis Lee, Negro publisher of the Telegram, Newark, New Jersey.

thing the promoters of the U.N. contest wanted and as soon as it was disclosed that Houston school children might get the truth and factual information, the U.N. group withdrew their proposal that the contest be held. Instead, youngsters may go to the YWCA in Houston, pay fifty cents, get a "kit" and enter the contest. However, this same contest was held by the YWCA in 1952 after the school authorities had turned it down, and only one lone girl showed up to participate.

But this slight set-back won't faze Eleanor and she will break out again in some other place. She is a trouble-shooter in its worst sense.

She shoots into a town and trouble starts.

The San Francisco News on February 17, carried an article about a Bishop who wants to be a King.

The Bishop is Rev. H. A. Tomlinson of New York "General Overseer of the Church of God" and who further asserts that he is "King of the Righteous of the World".

He says he wants to be "King of the United Nations."

Accompanying the article in the News was a full length picture of the Bishop wearing a "royal robe hand-sewn by Chinese members of his church". On his head is a crown of gold leaf and he is draped with a purple sash and other habilaments of Royalty.

He gives as his qualifications to serve as "King of the United Nations" the fact that he can speak seventeen languages and "could learn any language on earth in three weeks".

He promises that he would bring the wisdom of Solomon to the job and would pray for guidance in handling problems as they arose in the United Nations.

From all this, it is clear that the man is just plain nuts and not in possession of all his marbles so, offhand, it would seem that he is just made to order for the job he seeks.

However, his offer to "pray for guidance" completely disqualifies him for the job and he would be well advised not to put in his application.

Prayers are taboo at the anti-Christian United Nations and all doors are barred to the Man of Galilee.

Although bars and cocktail lounges appear at practically every turn in the corridors and are openly and heavily patronized there is only one small cubby-hole where a delegate who is so inclined may sneak in and indulge in prayer on a sort of bootleg basis.

The Bishop had better look elsewhere in his search for a throne.

## We Usurp the Prerogatives Of a Theological Seminary

We wish to make a correction of an error that appeared in the February edition of the paper in which we conferred a Doctor of Divinity degree on a mere layman of the Episcopal Church.

We are in receipt of a good-natured reminder from Mr. W. H. Kellogg of Huntsville, Texas, explaining that he made the address which we published but insisting that the heavy volume of mail which has come to him as a result of the editorial addressing him as "Reverend" is a wee bit of overstatement since he is not a minister.

We hereby apologize for making a preacher out of him without benefit of a course in a theological seminary but the fact that he is only a plain, innocent, dues-paying layman in the church does not in the least detract from the excellent and forceful denunciation he made of the National Council of Churches as presented in the February edition.

Mr. Kellogg offered this consoling thought in connection with our stupid error: "Any way, it shows that your paper really gets around."

*"The drive for co-existence and to immobilize Chiang Kai-Shek and his forces are all part of one dastardly master plan—to give the Communists sufficient time to build up enough atomic and hydrogen bombs and enough trained Asiatic armies so that this nation can be overwhelmed and reduced to slavery."*—William Loeb, editor Manchester Union Leader, Manchester, New Hampshire.

## Senators Adopt Odd Method In Handling Juvenile Delinquency

Ordinarily the Southern Conservative does not concern itself with activities of state lawmaking bodies except as they relate to national issues but keeps its sights trained on the Congress and our entire time is devoted to observing political skulduggery on national and world levels.

However, action by Texas legislators recently in connection with a bill designed to keep teen-agers out of taverns and tighten up restrictions against operators of such places who sell liquor to minors, amazed us because of the policy laid down by the solons in the matter.

Briefly, this policy makes it clear that teen-agers who buy beer in Texas and commit crimes as a result are to be prosecuted but that blame in no way attaches to the tavern-keeper who illegally sold them the drinks.

As the law now stands a tavern-keeper may be punished who "knowingly" sells intoxicants to youngsters but so widespread has become juvenile delinquency that law enforcement officials urged the amendment of the law to eliminate the word "knowingly" thus putting the burden of proof on the dispensers of liquor who too often claimed they didn't "knowingly" commit this offense.

The proposed amendment to the bill was killed right quick by the Criminal Jurisprudence Committee of the Senate, with seven members voting against it and only two in favor.

We could not, by any stretch of the imagination be regarded as a prohibitionist or a reformer in any sense, but the rejection of this common sense proposal to halt the practice of liquor-drinking by children seems to us the ultimate in irresponsible legislating.

It is our considered opinion that majority sentiment in Texas favors safeguarding youthful morals as against preserving a tavern-keeper's source of profits.

We can only conclude that this fantastic decision by the Committee was either due to their total unconcern over mounting juvenile delinquency or that their mental machinery was immobilized by the hypnotic influence of a couple of lobbyists whom we remember as being endowed with special persuasive qualities and possessed of great personal charm.

They are also said to be very generous in the distribution of free samples of the product they represent.

## Rights of Subversives Are Given First Consideration

It is very clear that the new rules shaping up in Washington in regard to conducting investigations of Communist infiltration into American institutions are going to provide more security but, unfortunately, not for the Republic or its loyal citizens.

Practically everybody is contributing suggestions for protecting the rights of the suspected subversives while the security of the United States which should be of major concern in the matter threatens to become lost in the shuffle.

All practical and effective investigative procedures were discontinued when Joe McCarthy was halted by Communist stooges and this powerful element means to keep all questions directed to Communist agents in future on the basis of a guessing game at a church social.

It is understood that J. Edgar Hoover regards the demands that a witness should be confronted by his accuser as suicidal to his department which must necessarily keep the sources of its information secret but he is just a man who knows all there is to know about it and consequently is ineligible, by Washington ethics, to have any say-so in the matter.

It is a problem for experts, intellectuals and social scientists to solve and God help America when they get through with it.

From a nationally known woman patriot of Portland, Oregon: "You get more information out where it can do more good than any person I know."

## "Back Door" Approach To World Government Proposed

Because of the general disrepute in which the United Nations is coming to be held, the internationalists, one-worlders and leftists generally who want to do away with the United States as a Republic and make it a minor state in a World Government, seem to be concentrating on Atlantic Union as the next most effective medium for accomplishing this objective.

To this end, concurrent resolutions have been introduced in the Senate and House of the Congress proposing that the President invite delegates of NATO countries to meet in convention with United States delegates to agree on some form of Federation which Mrs. Frances B. Lucas of the national DAR calls a "back door" approach to world government.

NATO was organized as a military alliance for security purposes but, as in the case of the United Nations, they now propose to make it a political alliance with a common governing body for all the member states within it.

Chief ramrod of the proposal in the Senate is Estes Kefauver with such co-sponsors as would naturally be expected to tag along with any proposal for dissolving American sovereignty such as Flanders, Humphrey, Jackson, Lehman and a dozen others of similar caliber.

## The Public Is Fed Up With This Dirty Heel

Harvey Matusow who recently admitted that he was a congenial and incurable liar and then proceeded to prove it, could be disposed of fast if newspapers would quit giving him the publicity on which he thrives.

There is no longer any doubt in the minds of informed persons that he was a plant from the start and that the domestic Commies who precipitated the fight on Senator McCarthy were stage-managing this low-lived character's every move from the beginning.

If he could be quietly indicted, tried and put away without any further fanfare in the press, the public interest would be served but of course too many members of the pink press are sympathetic with his antics to give him the "quarantine treatment" they know so well how to mete out to good Americans.

## Pravda And Izvestia Banned But Daily Worker Remains

The Postoffice Department, backed up by the Department of Justice, has stopped delivery of the Soviet publications Pravda and Izvestia to most subscribers in the United States according to an Associated Press story recently.

Postal officials are destroying copies of these publications in an effort to choke off Communist propaganda, the A.P. article said but added that regulations adopted in the Postal Department would allow the publications to continue to go to "government agencies and educational and research agencies."

Our opinion wasn't asked in the matter but, offhand, it would seem to us that it would be better, as between the two, to let the subscribers continue to get them and keep them out of the hands of the educators and the bureaucrats.

Not many Americans can read Russian any way but practically everybody can read English so we wonder why the Daily Worker is not also suppressed since it is obviously a violation of the anti-Communist law against advocacy of the overthrow of the American government.

Maybe the Postal Department and the Department of Justice will get around to that next, we hope.

## New York Senator Pleads for Ratification of "Genocide"

### We Texans Can't Brag About This

Texas, always jealous of competition and who never likes to be outdone on any front, has developed a scandal in its State capital which promises to rival some of those which have raised the rafters in Washington.

While the amounts involved are small by national standards, and may total only a few million dollars, and no mink coats have yet shown up, we have had some witnesses who invoked the Fifth Amendment thereby proving that Washington hasn't got anything on us.

The scandal broke out in connection with the Veteran's Land Program authorized by a Constitutional amendment in which the State purchased farms for GI's allowing them to repay the money within a forty-year period.

While the program is Constitutional, it put the State in a paternal position and made real estate dealers out of politicians. As in all such cases, there was opportunity for graft and naturally the fast-money boys dived in.

Some of the regrettable by-products of the investigation will apparently be the shattered career of one State official and the loss of their job by some members of the legislature who, having voted for the measure, were Constitutionally barred from participating in its benefits but who did not let a little thing like that stand in the way.

## Alabama Senator Performs As a Tight Rope Walker

Lister Hill of Alabama said in an interview with newsmen that the segregation issue has no place in Federal Aid to schools legislation when Irving Ives of New York indicated he may propose an anti-segregation amendment to the administration measure which is seeking to subsidize American public school systems.

What a laugh!

Of course the segregation issue has no place in this legislation or in any other except in the case of a State Legislature which might decide to pass on the matter.

For that matter, neither has the proposed Federal-aid-to-schools bill any rightful place in legislation considered by our national lawmaking body. Both are issues completely outside Federal jurisdiction. The Tenth Amendment to the Constitution clearly stipulates this, or hadn't they heard?

If it were not for the tragic consequences to the nation, nothing could be funnier than for two characters who haven't even nodding acquaintance with the Constitution to argue about something being un-Constitutional in a measure which, itself, is completely un-Constitutional.

Senator Hill occupies a perilous position in assuming to represent Alabama which makes it imperative for him to engage in political acrobatics much of the time. He goes along with the Socialist program of his Party and gets away with it, but he dare not go so far as to embrace their Moscow-dictated plan of racial mixing in the schools and elsewhere. The voters of Alabama would kick him out so quick it would make his head swim, and he knows it.

There is nothing much more incongruous than for a politician to favor Socialization of the public schools which is proposed in the Federal-aid-to-education bill and at the same time be forced to oppose anti-segregation programs which go hand-in-hand with such Socialistic proposals.

That he has been able to perform in this capacity for so long is a tribute to his dexterity and adroit maneuvering and if he is ever defeated, he should be able to get a job with a circus as a tight-rope walker.

There are those who still insist that the United States has ratified the Genocide Treaty of the United Nations, although this is one of the indignities that has not yet been visited upon this country as we have tried to point out time and again.

Those who persist in sending out literature to the effect that this infamous treaty is in effect in the United States would do well to read the Congressional Record for February 11th, pages 1242-3. They will find that Herbert Lehman of New York made two speeches on the subject, calling for the Senate to take up the matter of ratification and debate it.

"We must press for the ratification of the genocide convention, in order to demonstrate to the world our conviction and determination that the destruction of national, racial or religious groups must be placed beyond the pale of international law and punished accordingly," this internationalist, one-world spokesman said, and added:

"... It is my hope that the Foreign Relations Committee... will move this year to report the convention to the Senate, so that it may be debated on the floor and acted upon..."

An editorial in the magenta-tinted New York Times which is his Bible, was introduced into the Record by Lehman. The editorial bemoans the fact that this treaty has "languished" in a subcommittee of the Foreign Relations Committee for four years and winds up with this plea: "After leading the fight for this treaty in Paris back in 1946, shall we now allow our leadership to go by default to the Soviet Union? ... Let's get on with the job. Let's get on with ratification."

Surely no one is naive enough to think that such outstanding international, one-world propagandists as Lehman and the Times would continue to plug for the ratification of this abhorrent thing if it were already in effect.

*Laugh of the Month:* A Texas newspaper runs a short column which flashes back twenty-five years and tells of events of that period. Recently it told of a stirring speech made by Franklin D. Roosevelt in behalf of States Rights in 1930.

The Negro magazine "Brown" for March, 1955, heartily recommends John Marshall Harlan, the appointee of the President for a place on the Supreme Court: "John M. Harlan II, the newest justice of the Supreme Court is being hailed as the most liberal judge ever to sit on the bench. Negro leaders are confident that Harlan will push the integration issue to a successful conclusion. Many feel Ike couldn't have done better even if he had appointed a Negro justice."

One thing that never fails to send us into a mild case of hysterics is Westbrook Pegler's reference to Eleanor Roosevelt as the girl of his dreams. Somebody, some day may make a funnier crack but so far, this heads the list in our book.

From a new subscriber in Clarksdale, Miss: "To say that my husband and I wholeheartedly agree with you on all counts would be the understatement of the year. So, in citing our viewpoints we would be robbing you of precious time and I would be running out of space. In short, may God keep you strong and in good health for many years to come."

## Texas Mother Asks Militant Church Press In Fight Against Communism

Catholics as a general rule have put up a strong fight all over the world against Communism but in some cases their ranks have been infiltrated. Also they have their Bishop Sheils just as Protestantism has its Bishop Oxnams.

Communism is no respecter of creeds, sects or denominations and the atheistic propaganda prepared especially in Moscow for consumption by American church dignitaries has been showered on those of all religious faiths alike.

It is for this reason that we are reproducing a letter written by a good Catholic woman in Texas and addressed to Bishop Thomas Gorman of Dallas.

At her request, we are withholding her name but we personally know her to be one of the most devout Christians, dedicated patriots and conscientious citizens to be found anywhere—Editor

### YOUR EXCELLENCY:

I am not from your diocese, but I understand that you are the Bishop who is in charge of the Catholic Press Division of the National Catholic Welfare Conference and the matter on which I am writing, though it concerns the Church generally, has special pertinence in the field of the Catholic press.

It is a matter of Communist infiltration into Catholic groups and its effect on the Catholic press. For almost ten years now I have quashed ever-growing doubts and distress about certain sure signs of propaganda of the Left, always telling myself that these were only indications of extreme viewpoints, that some people just didn't "understand," always making excuses.

After ten years, however, and the mergence of this pattern with all the other aspects of World Communism, I must honestly admit what I see with my own eyes and what I have heard first-hand—that a very great part of this so-called "Liberalism" is being deliberately led, maneuvered by persons who know full well what they are doing, and its total effect is to "brain-wash" our Catholic Americans.

I have seen some of this indoctrination right in my own home in what were purely social gatherings and in what I believed were just discussions of honest differences.

The full force of it struck me the day one of my closest friends, and a devout Catholic, came to our home and got into a vehement argument about an issue of the day. This was a matter of Communist conquest and the case of the Institute of Pacific Relations and my friend was stoutly defending Owen Lattimore as a man who was being treated unjustly and judged guilty before even being tried (he still hasn't been tried, and one wonders if he ever will be). There was no compassion for the enslaved people of China, no sorrow at the plight of that nation, no thought for the persecutions going on there. Only sympathy for Lattimore. And all kinds of abuse heaped on our Congressional committees. And he waved copies of the New York Post and pointed out paragraph after paragraph in it.

If you know the New York Post, you know it is just a first cousin to the Daily Worker.

Here was my good Catholic friend, for all practical purposes, defending and promoting the Communist line and attacking anti-Communism, attacking the forces of law and order, attacking our own Government.

Nor was that all. When my husband quietly protested his arguments and got deeper into the discussion and brought up the matter of loyalty to one's country, we were even more shocked to have ridicule and scorn heaped on us for being "patriotic."

If you have not had personal ex-

perience in this sort of thing, you just can't realize how diligently some people are at work to confuse Catholics about Communism, and about many other things too. When the infiltration took place—I don't know. Possibly in the mid-Nineteen Thirties. But the second stage, the "brain-washing," has been going on since at least the late Nineteen Thirties and early Forties because I've been witnessing it all that time (only never opened my eyes, always excusing it on one ground or another).

One of the earliest Party lines disseminated among Catholics was (and still is) "Being anti-Communist is negative." Also, "Catholic papers which are anti-Communist are negative." They proposed lots and lots of good worthy causes for Catholics to occupy themselves with, anything and everything—except resisting Communism.

The repercussions of this in its later stages are ominous. This is how it works out with even devout Catholics, good people, people who consider themselves loyal to the Church and wouldn't dream of supporting Communism.

They will positively not even read a Catholic newspaper unless it is "Liberal." To them "liberal" newspapers are the only good ones. The word "conservative," the smearword, is tagged on to any militantly Catholic paper. And any Catholic newspaper which is anti-Communist is "negative." Today being anti-Communist is being Fascist, reactionary even Hitler-like. So far have they gone with this thing.

They have made great strides with this propaganda in the Catholic press. The very thing which should be a weapon of truth, one of the most powerful weapons of the Church today, in her defense against enemies that ravage her. They have used the Catholic press shamelessly and maliciously.

First of all, in the Catholic press all Catholics could have been alerted to the peril of Communism. If nothing else, the encyclical on "Atheistic Communism" tells us what we need to know and tells it clearly and effectively. It should have been given constant attention through these years; especially the parts that warn the faithful of the deceptions, and snares of the Communists, of their aims and their works.

But how many Catholics don't even give Communism a thought? How many have been lulled into apathy and indifference? Your own paper, Your Excellency, is not to be counted among those apathetic, lukewarm, indifferent ones. (I have seen numbers of copies of the Texas Catholic. In fact, I was reading this morning your talk to the parishes of their organizations that reminded me again of how the Leftists try to alienate the people from their parishes, their pastors.)

Some of these papers, though they will not put up a fight against Communism, will give space to writers who parrot the life-line about World Government, UN, Congressional committees being witch-hunts, and so on. Others simply suppress news of persecutions or timely addresses by the Hierarchy. Where the deliberate infiltration ends and the dupes begin is something for wiser heads than mine to learn.

Your Excellency, in view of the world-wide persecutions, in view of the Communist war on the Church, does it not seem logical that all Catholic papers at this stage of the game would be militantly anti-Communist? Would be fighting back? Would be clarifying the issues for a confused laity? Surely, it is next to impossible to get the truth in most of the secular press. But if our Catholic press remains silent or ineffective, is it not wrong?

After all, Your Excellency, homey spirituality is all well and good, but if we concern ourselves with this exclusively and ignore the on-coming satanic scourge we are going to lose everything — our newspapers, our homes, our churches. And more than

## New Book Tells Why Our Prestige Abroad Is Now at All-Time Low

*An inquiry that is made more frequently with each passing day is this pertinent one: Why are atomic bombs constantly being exploded in this country? These bombs cost millions to build and the general idea was that they would be dropped, if at all, on enemy territory and not in the United States. Scientists explain that their devastating effects may be felt for many years and many miles away. We, too, would like to know why these expensive and devastating weapons of destruction are not saved for protective purposes. After all, they are not fire crackers or Roman candles.*

*The Internal Revenue Department is planning to use "comic cartoons" in its tax collection program. Our idea of a man with a highly developed sense of humor is a man who can laugh at a cartoon when he's paying his income tax.—Southern Lumberman.*

*The same evil forces who have tried to stifle Nationalism in the hearts of Americans have also sought to kill personal ambition and pride on the part of the citizens and make them dependent on a centralized government for their security and support. It is all part of the world pattern for equalizing and leveling off human beings to make them eligible for world citizenship. The appalling thing is that any single individual in this country should be too stupid to understand what is being done to his country, and its people.*

temporal or physical losses, our very souls are in peril. Surely, the souls of our children. For Communism, the mystical body of satan, wants to absorb us completely and seeks to drag every soul into hell.

Lip service to anti-Communism is nothing. In fact, it is disgraceful in a Catholic paper. It is worse when it goes on to attack Congressional committees, and play the Party line.

Your Excellency, His Holiness Pope Pius XI gave specific instructions (battle instructions I call them) to the Catholic press regarding Communism. He said:

"It should, too, supply accurate and complete information on the activity of the enemy and the means of resistance which have been found most effective in various quarters. It should offer useful suggestions and warn against the insidious deceptions with which Communists endeavor, all too successfully, to attract even men of good faith." (Encyclical "On Atheistic Communism"—Paragraph 56.)

The Catholic people are terribly ignorant of Communism. Or they are confused about it. They have been led into many Communist objectives in many cases where their own press could have shown them the truth.

It is not right to sit back and be neutral about this. There is nothing neutral about the Communist conspiracy. It will stop at nothing short of complete world-wide domination. It is as the Holy Father pointed out, a struggle "between man and 'all that is called God.'" How can our press, so much of it, be so complacent about this?

All over the land Catholics are building new churches, new schools, instructing others. There is no end of Catholic activity all around us. But cannot some of this activity be put toward a resistance to Communism? Are we to occupy ourselves with everything else under the sun and say nothing while our fellow Catholics in land after land are crushed under the diabolical heel and while

Eugene W. Castle has written a book on our favorite subject which he calls "Billions, Blunders and Baloney."

It is the story of the uncounted billions of dollars taken from American taxpayers and tossed down the rat holes of European and other countries and of the bungling foreign policy generally which has lost us the friendship, confidence and respect of the world.

The far-flung operations of Harold Stassen's FOA are given a good going over and the useless expenditures of this agency are presented in horrifying clarity.

Illustrations in the book show castles in various European countries once belonging to Royalty but which are now occupied by representatives of the State Department and other Washington agencies who live in a state of luxury sadly at variance with an unbalanced budget at home.

The United States Information Service is credited by Castle with having reduced our influence and prestige abroad to a new low. "The more tens of millions we spend on U. S. propaganda abroad, the more hatred we seem to be developing against us," Castle charges, and adds: "In terms of possible danger to America, our inept propaganda abroad might one day have the impact of a Texas tornado at the height of its destructive fury."

The book was written after the author had gone to each country discussed in his book and spent long periods of time studying the situation there and the impact of our behavior on the natives of such countries.

Every American who helps support with his taxes the so-called Foreign Aid programs should read "Billions, Blunders and Baloney," by all means. It is put out by Devin-Adair Company of New York.

the enemy grows stronger and stronger in our own midst.

We need the Catholic press so badly. We need it to keep us united, organized, informed. Because the Leftist groups I spoke of earlier are no longer mainly concerned with indoctrinating—they are now on the offensive. They have their final objective in sight now. To purge. To silence all Catholics who do not conform to the Left. To brand as heretics all Catholics they label "reactionary" "Right-wing," etc. The "Liberal" school of thought and its Left-wing propaganda will seek from here on to dominate the Catholic press completely and suppress any other side.

And they are very daring. They stop at nothing. When I have asked some of them why they have not listened to and acted upon the recent statements of Cardinal Spellman I was told, "He is a reactionary." Even our Hierarchy!

Please understand, Your Excellency, my motives in writing. It is distressing to see what is happening. But it is also fearful. I am ready to face anything myself in the nature of the peril and the dangers approaching. But I fear terribly for my children.

I have eight young children—the oldest is only ten. I tremble whenever I think of what might happen to them in the dark days threatening us. I have spoken here in my home to a missionary priest now expelled from China and he tells the same story as the others, "The older Christians will remain true. But the little ones . . ."

They are taken from their homes, from the church, and handed over to those devils—my prayers these days, and every day at Mass (and we all go daily), is that God will grant us any amount of physical suffering, but don't let these little ones lose their souls to the Anti-Christ!

It is a desperate, heartsick mother who writes to you. May the Holy Spirit enlighten you and all the other members of the Hierarchy and inspire in you the thoughts and words we need for guidance.

## Business Executive Talks Cold Turkey

Being of that comparatively small school of thought in this country which believes in speaking right out and not beating around the bush, we adore a letter written by a member of a local Republican Executive Committee in a certain State in reply to a letter from Leonard Hall soliciting funds for the National Republican Committee:

"Dear Mr. Hall:

Perhaps I should not answer your letter of February 5th, 1955, as I definitely am not a member of the Eisenhower team. I am a Republican.

"You ask for helpful suggestions in lieu of any contribution I might want to make. Permit me to say that so long as Mr. Eisenhower follows the recommendations of General Motors, Barnard Baruch, and Henry Ford, I think you should get your money from them—they have plenty.

"Unfortunately, like Mr. Roosevelt, in 1932, Mr. Eisenhower has completely ignored the platform on which he was elected. Some of us, who attended the National Convention and saw how certain delegations, notably that of Texas, were taken away from Mr. Taft, by what we considered very reprehensible means, had our apprehensions concerning Mr. Eisenhower, but I gave him my full support in '52. Of this, like Joe McCarthy, I am now sorry, as I persuaded people to vote for Mr. Eisenhower under a misconception that he would carry out his platform and return to the conservative principles for which the Republican Party has always stood. Instead of that, he has gone further to the left, and is more international, than any President we have ever had.

"I am not ashamed to call myself a Nationalist and I believe this country is growing more in that direction all the time. I am frank to say that if Mr. Eisenhower is re-nominated in '56 I shall not support him. If I had known the direction Mr. Eisenhower was going to take, I would have voted for Stevenson, because if he had been elected we would still have preserved the hard core of conservative opposition to New Fair Deal policies, which had been developed by reason of former Democratic Presidents. Instead, we were lulled to sleep,—thinking that Mr. Eisenhower would follow Republican principles, and we saw several of our fine Republican Senators, like Senator Ferguson, beguiled into the international camp, when he does not think that way at all.

P.S. By the way, who really did promote Peress?"

## Cherished Praise From An "Elder Statesman"

We have received communications from time to time from some outstanding members of lawmaking bodies in this and other countries in connection with the publication of which we are the editor but never one more appreciated than one we had recently from a ninety-year old man who served long years as a member of his State Legislature:

"When I reach the end of this letter, I would like to sign myself 'your friend' for I would rather have you for a friend than any woman I have ever heard of and that is saying something for I number some great women among my friends.

"You have a mind and the nerve of the greatest man my State ever sent to Washington and one of the greatest who ever sat in the United States Senate.

"I can't do without even one edition of the Southern Conservative. It is the best and strongest publication that comes of my office. How do you get all your information? It is always so right and so true.

"Recently, I passed into my ninety-fourth year but I am not too old to read and love the Southern Conservative."

## We Fear That 'World Planners' Have Bitten Off More Than They Can Chew

The people of Texas who, generally speaking, are strongly allergic to the idea of surrendering national sovereignty and accepting, in its stead, a policy of supervision by international agencies over their private affairs, were greatly heartened following the recent visit of a Mrs. Phillip Pillsbury to the State.

Mrs. Pillsbury, it turned out, is vice president of the "International Planned Parenthood Federation" and in this capacity it is logical to assume that she could conceivably exert wide influence on one segment of our vital statistics when the global state is fully perfected and gets under way.

However, when she spoke in Houston during a fund-raising drive for the cause, she allayed much of the fear which existed in the minds of Texans concerning their future status and individual rights under one-world government, and indicated that she is prepared to be very generous so far as her program is concerned.

For instance, she assured her audience that the International Planned Parenthood Federation is not going to tell any one how many babies they may have and that, if possible, the Federation's program will be kept on a "voluntary level."

Regardless of our individual views on World Government, we are forced to admit that this is mighty white of Mrs. Pillsbury and her Federation.

She went so far as to express the hope that even in those countries most plagued by over-population, "government restriction" may be avoided through mass education of the heads of families.

This program of education will be carried on in each community by a staff composed of "a doctor, a minister, a lawyer and a social service worker," Mrs. Pillsbury explained to her listeners.

Why the three latter functionaries should be included in the proceedings which seem to be largely medical, was not made clear by the lady but it is assumed that the reinforcements will be added out of deference to the feather-bedding policies of labor unions which demand a stand-by force in any undertaking, such as insisting on the employment of three extra horn-tooters when one piccolo player is hired.

At first blush a minister would seem to be somewhat miscast in a role of this kind in view of tradition which has heretofore limited his participation in such matters to a christening ceremony later on, but perhaps a liberal interpretation of the new "Social Gospel" concept of religion now authorizes him to get in on the act a few months earlier, thereby reducing future chores to the minimum and allowing him more time to devote to the pressing problems of a New World Order.

The social service worker's presence on the scene may be accounted for by the widely held belief that an old maid makes an excellent marriage counsellor and is top-ranking authority on the problems of maternity and childbirth and the lawyer, no doubt, either goes along for the ride or to represent the other three before the World Court in any damage suits which might result if some householder with an innate sense of decency decided to challenge this violation of his privacy and kicked the whole caboodle down the front steps.

While expressing confidence that this "educational program" will take care of the situation and keep everything under control, Mrs. Pillsbury darkly added this warning: "Some of the most critically over-populated regions may have to attempt government control."

While our personal concern in the subject is necessarily academic, we just can't help wondering—in the interest of our State's future population—just how "government control" of the flight of the stork is going to operate in territory which Mrs. Pillsbury's Federation may consider "over-populated" and decide to designate as a disaster area.

We are familiar, of course, with governmental attempts to limit the production of potatoes, wheat, corn, butter and other surplus commodities and have even witnessed the plowing under of crops and the slaughter of little pigs by economic experts striving to balance supply with demand but we confess to a mild state of confusion over the proposal to apply this policy to babies.

We have naturally become somewhat softened up toward "government control" of the farm, the factory, the mine, the bank, the office, the schoolroom, the hospital and such but, so far, the bedroom has been exempt from Federal supervision and we hope we will be forgiven for being slightly puzzled as to how a World Government will go about forcing compliance with its rule prohibiting over-production in the nursery.

Will there, perhaps, be a Planned Parenthood Police Patrol, known and feared all over the world as the dreaded PPPP, and invested with authority to crack down and arrest offenders on the spot and haul them off to the pokey?

## Texas Teachers Try To Run School Board's Business

In the suburban town of Irving, Texas, a big controversy developed over the simple firing of the superintendent of the schools by the School Board.

This should have been a merely routine matter of no concern outside that small city, but schools are not operated that way any more.

Over a hundred teachers adopted gangster methods of labor unions and walked out on their jobs because of the superintendent's dismissal, leaving the pupils high and dry.

It has become almost as hard to fire a school official as it is to get a Communist out of a Washington government agency, and this School Board has been subjected to abuse and condemnation by the same irresponsible elements that always come to the front in such cases, engender mass hysteria and break into print with all sorts of wild charges.

No information has been given out about the cause of the superintendent's removal but the usual claims of violation of "academic freedom" and similar absurd charges will probably be made.

The next thing, if precedent is followed, will be for representatives of the National Education Association to come barging into the picture in an effort to throw their weight around and tell a local Board how to run its schools.

If this happens, we hope the NEA meddlers get the business as they did when they attempted to take over a similar situation in Houston and were practically run out of town.

## Ruml Runs With the Hare And Trails With the Hounds

In a high-priced full page advertisement in Fortune Magazine, the Economic Development Association of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico pleads with American business firms to move their establishments to that island in order to benefit from low tax rates.

There is nothing remarkable about this except for one thing. The advertisement consists of a signed article by Beardsley Ruml giving figures showing the great advantages of the Puerto Rico taxing system over that of the United States.

Ye gods!

If memory serves, Ruml is the bird who originated the withholding tax adopted by the American Congress which adds to the misery of American business concerns by making them unpaid bookkeepers for the Federal government and which reduces American citizens to the status of slaves whose earnings are taken away from them before they ever get their hands on it.

And now this same Ruml sells his services to a government which is able to extend inducements to American industry by means of a taxing system which is fair and equitable because he wasn't there to louse it up.

If that isn't playing both ends against the middle, we give up.

He contributes his services toward making the tax levies of one government unbearable and then hires out to another and points out its advantages over the one whose policies he helped to shape.

Ruml is one of those ubiquitous internationalists who like to, and do, have a finger in every pie and whose name is to be found on the membership list of many one-world organizations whose activities are never subjected to the bright light of publicity and investigation.