

# THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 7

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, OCTOBER, 1956

No. 10

## American People Are Paying the Penalty For Surrendering Their Inherent Rights

### Now It Is "Cows for Communists" Instead of "Bundles for Britain"

The National Council of Churches, a rich and powerful political-religious group with headquarters in New York is now off on another interesting global project with Russia and other Iron Curtain countries as the beneficiaries.

This project which might be termed "Operation Heifer" is sending a herd of American cattle to the Soviet Union as a gesture of Christian good will from American farmers to Communist Commissars, according to the October 1st edition of Newsweekly, official Council bulletin.

For the information of those who may not be familiar with the term, a "heifer" is a young cow who has not yet made her debut into sophisticated bovine society.

Newsweekly explains that this project is being carried out on a "mutual" basis and, reading further, we find that by "mutual" they mean that Americans will furnish the cattle and the Soviets will pay the freight charges. (Gad, that's mighty white of 'em. Just think how few countries, in view of the current low cost of beef, would be willing to accept a consignment of several carloads of sirloin on the hoof under such unfair terms.)

The tender sentiment underlying this and similar "heifer projects" is expressed in Newsweekly wherein it is explained that the usual policy when sending cattle to foreign countries (apparently they give away cattle like some organizations donate second-hand clothing) is to place this livestock where it will be distributed on "the basis of need". We are convinced that the shipment to Russia will be handled on this basis in view of the Comintern's record for fairness, impartiality and justice in such matters. The Council made only one stipulation concerning the distribution of the heifers and that was that it be understood that those receiving them would agree to give each heifer's first-born calf to some one else.

It seems that, before being sent to Russia or other Iron Curtain countries, these cattle are first mooched from American farmers who are as generous in providing Cows for Communists as they were in supplying Bundles for Britain, and it is explained that the herd now being shipped to the Soviets was donated by groups and individuals in eight States.

One American farmer is quoted in Newsweekly as having sent this touching communication to the Council, along with his heifer: "We spoke to the Holstein heifer about sending her to Russia and she did not resist in the least. It is OK with us to send her behind the Iron Curtain in the name of Christ."

In the meantime, we are wondering if only Communists are eligible to such gifts or can a mere American horn in on the deal and receive a share of this bountiful supply of free beefsteak?

If so, we are thinking seriously of writing to the Council and asking them to send us a nice Holstein heifer, collect.

We'll even promise that, in the event there is a first-born calf before we have Bossy butchered, we will send it along to some one else, provided the Council does not insist on our sending it to a Russian Communist and will compromise by letting us ship it to the headquarters of the ADA.

### Saner People Than This Have Been Locked Up for Life

Out in Wilmington, California, according to the Wilmington Press-Journal of August 25th, a mental health expert is starting a class in mambo and bongo rhythms, as a preventive of mental illness.

"Emphasis will be placed on mambo, bongo and the African-Cuban beats", says the presiding genius of this proposed jungle ceremony, Charles Countee, instruc-

tor at Willowbrook High School.

All the equipment needed for this class-room work are a few four or five-inch long wooden sticks, Countee happily assured would-be students.

On with the dance! And if anybody gets jabbed in the eye with a sharp stick, let him be consoled in the thought that this is a small price to pay for the privilege of retaining his mental health.

**It is the inexorable law of nature that individuals must pay in full measure for their sins, errors of judgment and wilful transgression of the restraints which society has prescribed for the social behavior of man. By the same token, it is the inflexible rule of an orderly governing system that the people of a nation must suffer penalty when they evade their responsibility as citizens, grow remiss in their duty as sovereigns and fail to exercise watchful stewardship over those elected to represent them. For almost a quarter century, the people of the United States have stepped aside and permitted national leaders to overturn age-old precedents and honored traditions; repudiate sacred covenants between the government and its people; and to violate the organic law on which such government rests. The debt-burdened, tax-ridden and unstable State of the Union in our time stems from our apathetic indifference concerning the preservation of our country and its institutions while showing anxious concern in setting up a New World Order; our renunciation of National Patriotism in favor of Global Allegiance; and our willingness to give up our safe, solid and secure status as sovereign citizens of a Constitutional Republic in return for Squatter's Rights in an International Utopian Paradise.**

Even as a stream can rise no higher than its source, so can no nation become, or remain, stronger than the wisdom, patriotism and understanding of the people within its frontiers.

The lack of knowledge and conception on the part of the people of this generation concerning the aims, intents and limitations of the American form of government is due to the constant and unbroken stream of Communist propaganda which has flowed relentlessly through all channels of communication into the schools, churches and all other groups in which Americans assemble together.

This has gone on for more than twenty years with unabated intensity and this mis-information to the people about the proper functions of government is responsible for Federal mal-administration, for the usurpation of authority by one branch of the government from another and for the mass violations of the Constitution and of organic law by those temporarily in power.

Roosevelt was the first American President to deliberately pervert the purposes of our governing system and to ignore the limitations of the Federal set-up and it was he who reversed the theretofore strong and unyielding precept that the people are the masters and elected officials the servants in a Constitutional Republic such as ours.

He set himself up as a sort of divine ruler who could do no wrong and arrogated to himself the right to change our form of government without benefit of Constitutional amendment; to make treaties and executive agreements committing us to financial and military assistance to alien countries without the consent of the Senate; and to give secret promise of our entry into war in advance of a Declaration by Congress and this usurpation of authority by the executive has been continued and enlarged during the intervening years.

In fact, the popular conception today, after years of subversive indoctrination of the public mind, is that the Federal government is all-powerful with unlimited authority over the States and the citizens therein, when the exact opposite is the truth. The overwhelming majority of the activities now being carried on by the central government in Washington are un-Constitutional, illegal and unwarranted under the terms and provisions of the illustrious document which was framed in the beginning as the basic law of the Republic. It is the indifference, apathy and unconcern of the citizens which has permitted this usurpation of power on a scale that is without precedent in history.

What the American people must learn anew is that the United States is a Constitutional Republic administered by the executive, judicial and legislative branches, each of which is responsible to its

(Continued on Page 2)

**Millions of Americans Think It-The Southern Conservative Says It**

## Pinkos No Longer Have Monopoly In the Book Publishing Industry

Beginning along about 1932 when the New Deal Socialists came to power, book publishers in this country cooperated almost solidly with the administration in an attempt to stamp out all opposition to the un-American policies which were being put into effect at that time.

Authors who subscribed to the American point of view came up against a stone wall in the matter of getting their material published, while Reds who followed the strict Party Line, not only got their works published but were assured of favorable treatment by the reviewers and critics. Book of the Month clubs, composed of such characters as Communist fronters, Dorothy Canfield Fisher, saw to it that only subversive books were chosen for this distinction. Libraries, supported by tax money, fell in line and, for years, it was almost impossible to find volumes in these places written by sound Americans with no Communist front affiliations.

While that unwholesome situation still prevails to some extent, the pink publishers monopoly has been heavily damaged by the emergence to the front of top-flight publishing companies who have reversed the Red trend and who will put nothing in print for the reading public unless it is authored by sound Americans of unchallenged loyalty to the United States.

Chief among these are Devin-Adair of New York; the Caxton Printers, Ltd. of Caldwell, Idaho; the Long House of New York; the Meador Company of Boston; Regnery of Chicago; Sequoia University Press of Los Angeles and many more all of whom are turning out thousands of volumes which compare favorably in appearance and craftsmanship with the output of the biggest publishing houses in the country.

As a result scores of books on Americanism are now available which it is believed will serve to offset the long and effective Communist propaganda campaign which has been imposed on the American reading public by the subversive offerings of the majority of the nation's book publishers.

It would require the entire space in this edition of the Southern Conservative to give the names and authors of such books but, for purposes of illustration, a very few of them are given:

Among the hundreds of best-sellers put out by the Caxton Company of a political or economic nature are Anthem by Ayn Rand; The Yalta Betrayal by Felix Wittmer; The People's

### New and Revised Edition of Roosevelt Myth Is Published

A new and revised edition of the Roosevelt Myth by John T. Flynn has been put out by the Devin-Adair Company in New York.

While it is hard to conceive of any improvement on the original book picturing the hypocrisy, duplicity and deceit of the man who was responsible for inaugurating most of the iniquitous policies now permanently imposed on the nation, it is claimed that the new version is well worth another reading.

More information is given on Harry Dexter White's role as one of the strong powers behind the Roosevelt throne and other events not completely clear when the book was first published are treated in the new edition.

Pottage by Garet Garrett; Education or Indoctrination by Mary L. Allen; Gumption Island by Felix Morley; The Return of Adam Smith by George S. Montgomery Jr. and scores of others.

Devin-Adair has published a long list of patriotic books by top-flight Americans including The Roosevelt Myth and While You Slept by John T. Flynn; America's Retreat from Victory by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy; Billions, Blunders and Baloney by Eugene Castle; The United Nations by B. Orval Watts; The Final Secret of Pearl Harbor by Robert A. Theobald and many others of similar excellence.

The Long House is responsible for the publication of The Turning of the Tides by the late Congressman from Michigan Paul Shafer and John Howland Snow; and the Vision and the Constant Star by A. H. Hobbs, Ph.D. of the University of Pennsylvania.

Among the splendid books put out by Meador of Boston are Citadels of Chaos by Cornelius C. Veith; Progressive Education is REDucation by Kitty Jones and Robert Oliver; Propaganda in American Schools by Verne P. Kaub and similar volumes.

Regnery of Chicago has given us such brilliant books as "The Life of John Burch by Robert H. W. Welch, Jr.; The U. N. Record by Chesly Manly; the Truman Scandals by Jules Abels, and many others.

Sequoia University Press put out Operation Nightmare by Pat Barham and Frank Cunningham; False Freedom by Edward L. Delaney and a score of others.

We wish it were possible to name the multitude of other books which have served to alert the American people to the dangers confronting the Republic but the list is far too long. To name just a few: And Men Wept by Catherine Palfrey Baldwin; Collectivism on the Campus by E. Merrell Root; God and Man at Yale by William F. Buckley Jr.; Toil, Taxes and Trouble by Vivien Kellems; The Income Tax Root of all Evil by Frank Chodorov; School of Darkness by Bella Dodd; You and Segregation by Herman E. Talmadge; Ecclesiastical Octopus by Ernest Gordon; Never Victorious, Never Defeated by Taylor Caldwell; The Enemy at His Back by Elizabeth Churchill Brown; Man to Man by Bernard N. Ward; Economic Threats to America by Dr. Charles W. Hanko; The Untold Story of Douglas MacArthur by Frazier Hunt.

We hope that gradually such valuable works on Americanism will replace the Communist-slanted volumes which have disgraced the nation's private and public bookshelves for a quarter of a century.

*The investigation of a House Committee probing into the subject of integrated schools in Washington has developed that there is such an exodus of white people away from Washington in order to keep their children out of integrated schools that Hon. James C. Davis of Georgia, chairman of the committee, remarked: "If white families continue to leave Washington at the present rate, other whites are going to have to be imported so that the schools can continue integration."*

*All Texans who believe in the right of Trial by Jury should vote against Amendment No. 7 in the November election.*

## The Personal Rights of the Citizen Now Being Violated

The right to contribute to charity or not to do so is a very personal and precious one and one which should not be surrendered under duress, no matter what the pressure.

Fort Worth newspapers report that a young woman was fired by a local utility company because she refused to donate to the Community chest drive. Her reason for not contributing, and a very valid one, was inspired by the fact that part of the funds contributed for charitable purposes in Fort Worth are diverted to a racial propaganda organization called the Urban League which, by no stretch of the imagination can be called charitable.

The National Urban League is devoted principally to inspiring racial agitation in various States and bringing about the social mixing of the races. Every local branch of the Urban

*Mr. Fulton Lewis, Jr., universally respected news commentator missed the boat when commenting on the reason Texas is not going to support Eisenhower in November. He listed many causes for this falling off in support of the President but, unaccountably, missed the real one. It is because of the appointment of Earl Warren and the resulting segregation decision.*

League is part and parcel of the national unit and subscribes to the same objectives no matter how many claims may be made to the contrary. The National Urban League includes just enough charitable activities in its program to make it appear respectable. In its own published literature it says its purpose and objectives are "not limited" and it reproduces pictures to show its results in forcing Negroes into white neighborhoods.

It has the right to do this but it does not have the right to claim that its purposes are "charitable".

## American People (Continued from Page 1)

master, the people, and that it is not a Monarchy ruled by an Emperor or medieval King sitting on a throne in Washington dispensing largess to his lowly subjects in this country and doling out alms to supplicating beggars all over the world.

The founders of the Republic made crystal clear their intention of vesting final authority in the State and its citizens when they added the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution, after specifying in detail the limited power which the Federal government might exercise.

The underlying theory of our form of government, and which our forefathers put into organic law so that it might forever be understood, is that in this country every voter is a sovereign and every citizen a king. The conception of the divine right of rulers was put to death when the final ultimatum was delivered to King George by way of Yorktown in 1781 and a fledgling nation launched on its memorable course.

Because the several States and the people have abdicated their authority, have refused to exercise their inherited legacy of responsible stewardship and have allowed supreme and final power over the purse to slip from their hands into those of Federal officials, there is chaos, discontent and fear concerning the future of the Republic and almost open rebellion throughout the land.

This resentment against tyrannical leadership in Washington has taken the form of widespread and active organizations of patriots which have sprung up from the Atlantic to the Pacific and from the Great Lakes to the Gulf. The unified demand of these groups of protesting Americans is that the government must be returned to the people and the right of self-government to the States; that taxation must be levied for revenue only and not for the replenishment of depleted treasuries in alien lands; that the Federal government must withdraw from competition with private business; that Constitutional powers of the three branches of the Federal government must be exercised by such branches and not delegated to others; that building houses, teaching school and administering medicine to the sick is not the function of the Federal government and must be stopped; that the sole duty of the Supreme Court is to interpret the Constitution and the law and not to enact legislation; that Communism must be torn out root and branch from our educational institutions, our churches and agencies of government; that our national sovereignty must be retained at all costs and not surrendered to any collection of foreign States; that the Constitution of the United States must not be subordinated through treaty or executive agreements to the interests of any alien power and that unbridled license on the part of Union Labor must be curbed and such unions subjected to the provisions of the anti-trust law when labor racketeers encroach on the rights and freedoms of the American people.

These, in brief, are the demands of patriotic organizations and groups in every State in the Union and which are coming to have definite meaning as additional thousands join their ranks. They are not organized into a single unit and have little experienced political leadership to direct their forces but there were no politicians at Concord when the shot was fired that was heard around the world. In the hearts of these gallant men and women who are just as surely resisting tyranny, there is a dedication of spirit and unswerving determination to wrest their country from those who would despoil it and this swelling movement could snowball into a deluge by 1960.

In the meantime—regardless of which of the two major candidates is elected—there is little promise and less hope to those who believe in Constitutional government. If Mr. Stevenson is chosen, the Federal government will be administered by the CIO and if Mr. Eisenhower is selected, Internationalists and World Socialists will continue in control.

The next four years will be a "long, hard winter" for true blue Americans who are not fooled by phony prosperity based on reckless spending of taxpayers' money and who realize all too well that when the bubble bursts, the threat to the national economy will be staggering.

But if, in that four-year period of waiting, the Sovereign States and their citizens regain their perspective and solemnly swear to reclaim the rights and prerogatives which they so abjectly surrendered, their long and agonizing sojourn in the Valley of Gethsemane may not have been in vain.

# Americans Must Be Very Careful Democratic Party in Texas Is In Purchasing Children's Books Neither Flesh, Fish nor Fowl

It seems incredible that the nationwide propaganda campaign, which has been in effect for almost a quarter century to brainwash the American people and make them subservient to the proposal of a one-world government, should be so all-inclusive as to take in little tots not long out of the cradle, but that is the way it is.

Hitler and Stalin of course openly boasted that, if given a child for his first twelve years, they would guarantee to turn out a Nazi or a Communist, as the case might be.

UNESCO, it will be remembered, urges in its literature that teachers do everything within their power to off-set the influence of the home on the child, to cleanse the mind of youngsters of all such fallacies as nationalism and patriotism for their country and to fit them for a future in which they are to be "world citizens".

Not many people, however, realize that much of this propaganda is finding its way into nursery rhymes put out by unsuspecting, (we hope), publishers who do not familiarize themselves with the material in the children's books which they offer to the public.

In this connection, it is interesting to note that Random House has published a series of children's books "suitable" for youngsters from grade 4 to grade 9 in the public schools. These are not text books but rather books about historical characters suggested as supplementary reading for children in this age group.

In promoting the sale of these books, Random House lists some 50 authors of these volumes. We have not had time to go into the record of each but of the 50 authors named, at least nine of them are reported by

official investigating agencies as having Communist front affiliations.

We are not claiming that any Communist propaganda has found its way into these books intended for little boys and girls in the primary grades. We are only calling attention to the fact that at least nine of the authors of such books have had their loyalty to this country challenged by citations as members of Communist fronts. We have not read the children's books in question and it is quite possible, of course, that the ideologies of the authors have not been projected into these volumes. It is possible, but is it probable?

All we know is that if and when we have occasion to purchase books for childish minds to absorb, we are going to be exceedingly careful about the author of such book and we advise others to do likewise.

The nine authors to which we have reference are Pearl Buck, John Gunther, Frances Winwar, Quentin Reynolds, Dorothy Canfield Fisher, May McNeer, Samuel Hopkins Adams, Vincent Sheean and Harry Hansen.

There is another author named in the Random House list on whom there is no record of Communist affiliation whatever and, so far as we know, she may be one of the most patriotic Americans in this country. But, somehow, this person as an author of books for American children to read, gave us quite a turn.

Her name is Anne Terry White and if the name means nothing to the reader, we remind them that she was the wife of Harry Dexter White, whose part in the betrayal of his country is familiar to most.

It is possible, of course, for his wife to have held opposite ideological views and to have lived with him throughout the years without absorbing any of his treasonable qualities. We just wonder, that is all.

In Texas, during an election year, two State Democratic conventions are held. One of them is held in the Spring and the other in September following the August run-off primary.

## Where Standards of Common Decency Are Non-Existent

When a wrong against a human being is committed, either deliberately or mistakenly, it is the natural impulse of honorable persons to correct it.

Even in the case of a person who has been sentenced to the penitentiary for a crime he did not commit, when the true facts are brought to light and that person is proved innocent, steps are immediately taken to release the prisoner and right the wrong insofar as it is humanly possible to do so.

In politics, that rule does not hold good.

If it did, Senator Joseph R. McCarthy would have been "un-censured" long ago by the political vultures in the Senate who hovered over him for years waiting for a chance to strike.

Every charge he made about Communist spies in the government, the Defense plants and especially at Fort Monmouth, has been proved true by sworn testimony of former Communists who associated with such enemy agents in the New Jersey installation, and in other branches of the Army and in government agencies.

But not a mother's son of those who voted to "censure" him for fighting Communists and Communism, either Democrat or Republican, has had the manhood to come forward and publicly apologize for their treachery against a colleague who was and is, their superior by every test which measures the stature of a man and a public servant.

And while we are on the subject, does anybody actually know who promoted Peress? Anybody, that is, except those who were guilty of this act of perfidy and treason?

## The Next Move Is Up To The Treasury Department

According to Fulton Lewis, Jr., in a recent broadcast, five investigators in the Department of Internal Revenue have recommended, after a thorough study, that the tax-exempt privileges of the Ford Fund for the Republic school be rescinded.

That organization, as every informed person already knew, is a political propaganda outfit and is now so designated by the agents from the Revenue Service who have closely inquired into its activities.

The question now is what, if anything, will be done about it by that branch of the government.

It is a matter of common knowledge that scores of similar organizations are enjoying the same tax-exempt status and it is also well known to the general public that organizations and publications devoted exclusively to the principles of Americanism find it difficult, if not impossible, to secure permission from the Treasury Department to operate as tax-exempt units.

If Treasury Department officials know anything at all, they must have already realized that the Ford Fund for the Republic is devoted almost exclusively to the defense of Communist causes and now that they have had this information officially provided to them by competent investigators, it will be interesting to see what steps are taken in the matter.

The Spring Convention is a sort of free-for-all where Party policies are laid down and general matters disposed of by the delegates in attendance.

The Fall Convention in September, on the other hand, has been known as the "Governor's Convention" as long as the oldest citizens of the State can remember when the newly-elected Chief Executive takes over and dominates the proceedings.

In keeping with the new order, however, in which all traditions are being overturned, precedents ignored and governing instruments including the Constitution either by-passed or smashed the Fall Democratic Convention in Texas was conducted on strange new lines which left the majority of Texans confused, uncertain and frustrated.

Neither the governor-elect nor the more than eighteen hundred duly elected delegates to the convention had very much to do with running the show. Criticism was widespread concerning the "Federal" aspect of what was supposed to be purely a State affair and a "Governor's convention." Instead, members of Texas' delegation in Washington descended on the gathering like a swarm of grasshoppers and, in defiance of all precedent, ethics and good taste, took the place over. The joint was jumping with Congressmen who seemed to crawl out of the woodwork and swing from the rafters.

A Congressman made the keynote speech at this strictly "Governor's Convention", three or four Congressmen addressed it during the day and while delegates sat and twiddled their thumbs for some ten hours and Governor-elect Price Daniel brooded in a dark room, Lyndon Johnson and Sam Rayburn flitted here and there holding whispered conferences, secret caucuses and bossing the job generally.

It was midnight before worn-out, jittery and slap-happy delegates were given an opportunity to pass on resolutions in whose shaping they had had no part and by that time they were so tired and sleepy that they had largely lost interest in the proceedings.

The result was a hodge-podge declaration of principles and a State Executive Committee composed of persons of such differing political views that the incoming administration in Austin cannot be classed as either flesh, fish or fowl.

There are those on the Committee, for instance, who are staunch, life-long conservatives along with left-wingers whose political beliefs are identical with those of the CIO, ADA and NAACP, insuring that so far as harmony is concerned, the members of the new governing body of the party in Texas, will probably be at each other's throats like a couple of strange bulldogs.

All of which constitutes a strong argument in favor of the proposal of Senator Carl Mundt of South Dakota for a re-alignment of the two major parties with the Conservatives in one and the Pinkos in the other.

*At the Constitutional Convention of We, The People, held at Chicago in September a mammoth copy of the Constitution was displayed and, on vote of more than five hundred good Americans present, this was sent to Earl Warren, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Casting pearls before swine, so to speak!*

## Electronic Scientist Tell of Horrible Future Potentiality

On October 3rd there appeared in the Daily press of the nation an Associated Press story under a Chicago date-line which was probably unnoticed by the average reader. It was over-looked by us on account of its obscure position on the 49th page of a large Texas daily paper until called to our attention.

And yet this press release expressed a potential scientific horror which is beyond the power of normal minds to conceive.

The article was based on an address by a top flight "industrial scientist" or "mental engineer", Dr. Curtiss R. Schafer of the Norden-Ketay Corporation of Milford, Connecticut.

Speaking to the National Electronics Conference in Chicago, this mental sorcerer said that the time may come when humans will be equipped from infancy with enslaving, built-in electronic controls through a system he called "bio-control" which would insure that such subjects "would never be permitted to think as individuals" throughout life.

Pursuing the ghastly subject further, he explained how these monsters of science would proceed: "A few months after birth a surgeon would equip each child with a socket mounted under the scalp and electrodes reaching selected areas of brain tissue

... A year or two later, a miniature radio receiver and antenna would be plugged into the socket. . . From that time on the child's sensory perceptions and muscular activity could be either modified or completely controlled by bio-electric signals radiated from state-controlled transmitters."

He said a great deal of research is going on along this line with animals as the victims and calmly suggested that "this enslavement could be imposed upon the vanished as a condition of peace, or through the threat of hydrogen bombing".

Evidence of world-wide propaganda for human thought-control by political psychiatrists under the guise of "mental health" has been called to the attention of the American people by many alarmed individuals and agencies in recent years, but this is perhaps the first time one of those whose profession is tinkering with the human brain has been so outspoken concerning their ultimate objectives.

The characters who are engaging in these diabolic experiments cannot be laughed off as fools. They may be fools but they are dangerous, bestial and inhuman fools and any individual or Foundation who finance such fiendish research are criminals and arch-enemies of civilized society.

## The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF  
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH  
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor  
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building  
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress, members of State Legislatures, Governors, and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

### THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

## No Wonder Soviets Regard Us as Fools and Suckers

The administration in Washington has extended an invitation to Soviet gangsters and murderers in Moscow to visit the United States, at American taxpayers' expense, and observe the proceedings incident to a national election. In return we are to give a delegation to Moscow to see how Communist elections are held.

In recent years, we have witnessed the most incomprehensible actions by both Democrat and Republican administrations that it is possible for the human mind to dream up but this latest performance is, we believe, without parallel for sheer, aimless stupidity and needless waste of money since the beginning of organized government in the United States.

Are we to believe that we will actually get any results from such an exchange except to have the spying Bolsheviks confirm their steadfast belief that we are fools and suckers and will fall for anything?

After we have given them this expense-free trip to the United States and send a similar delegation to Moscow, will they be equally generous and give our representatives a free ride to Russia at the expense of the Soviet treasury? Not on your life! They are mobsters and cutthroats but they are not going to rob their own tills.

After this cozy little exchange between the two countries, any one with the intelligence of a jay bird knows that we will go on conducting our elections as always and that the Russians will still go through the motions of holding "free elections" but will continue to shoot any poor Soviet slave who dares to cast an opposition ballot or fails to vote the ticket straight.

Even if they should rack their brains until Doomsday, presidential advisers will never come up with anything that tops this little gimmick for ineptitude, naivete and plain downright stupidity.

## A Washington Negress Charges That the Law "Done Her Wrong"

Violation of States Rights, property rights and other rights and freedoms guaranteed under the Constitution is so commonplace that it is no longer news, but it has remained for a Negro woman in Washington to come up with a charge that another kind of "right" has been violated in her case and while this particular one is not guaranteed in the Constitution, she is prepared to go to Court to defend it.

On September 14, the Washington, D. C. Daily News carried a picture of this colored woman, Minnie Mae Payne, age 21, together with a three-quarter-column article by a feature writer giving the details and basis of a lawsuit which she has threatened to bring in the Washington courts if her appeal to the District Commissioners is turned down.

Minnie Mae's bill of complaint was outlined to the District Commissioners by her attorney, one Carroll F. Tyler, Jr. who, with dead-pan solemnity, charged that his client's "Right of Consortium" had been abridged when a District Court in Washington recently sentenced her husband to a term of from 21 months to six years in the local jail for housebreaking.

Boiled down to plain English, Minnie Mae's petition to the District Commissioners frankly pleads for the right to occasionally spend the night in the "jail house" with her husband while he is doing time.

The attorney's arguments will probably prove to be unique in legal history but, after all, he was dealing with a somewhat extraordinary situation, and he was no doubt doing the best he could in view of the delicate assignment he had been given. After pointing out to the Commissioners that he had assurance from the husband that this cozy arrangement would be agreeable to him, Tyler reminded them that the potentially long term assessed against her husband had left his client "quite disturbed" and hinted at some dire possibilities which could result in such cases.

He urged on the Commissioners that society at large would benefit by the practice of allowing a felon to receive visits from his wife in order that such felon's domestic stability would be maintained during his incarceration thereby enabling him to lead a more normal life and making it easier for him to later return as a useful citizen of his community. The attorney added darkly, as a clinching argument, that in cases of this kind when the wife of a lawbreaker is left behind for a long period of time, one of the Ten Commandments is placed in jeopardy.

The Commission promised to give the matter further consideration but one of the Commissioners observed dubiously that among civilized countries of the world, only Mexico allowed its citizens to take their wives, or their girl friends, along when they were sent to prison or an Army camp.

Meantime, if the plaintiff will just hold everything until after the election, we have no doubt that, whoever is elected, her problem will be solved. Since both candidates for President have been out-promising each other in the matter of increasing personal and intimate services which the Federal government will continue to render to the citizen, we feel sure that the situation will be taken care of by some benevolent bureau in the name of "social justice."

*The efforts of the half-baked, brainwashed pinks of the press in undertaking to discredit and poke fun at any gathering of American patriots was forcefully demonstrated by a little journalistic pipsqueak named Edwin Lahey in the case of the National States Rights Conference at Memphis on September 14. Lahey represents the Chicago Daily News in Washington and can slant an article until it is unrecognizable. He spoke of those favoring States Rights as "homeless waifs" and referred to the Memphis meeting as a "strange gathering." Such meetings are strange only, bud, to Communists, fellow travellers and the Red fringe of the press.*

*The All-American non-profit publishing venture of America's Future, Inc., located at 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York, is putting out a Book Digest in which are listed only books by good loyal Americans. Expensive books are reprinted in cheaper editions. Their current offering is John T. Flynn's While You Slept and Mary L. Allen's Education and Indoctrination, both of which may be had for one dollar.*

## President Sees Nothing Socialistic In Reuther

The decision of CIO-AFL leaders to support Stevenson for President ends all-out attempts of the Republicans to get the nod from Walter Reuther.

The President even stretched the truth to the breaking point in describing Reuther in an apparent effort to win his favor.

This incident is described by Robert J. Donovan, new Custodian of Confidential and Secret Government Documents, in his book *The Inside Story* on page 33.

Donovan tells of a visit to Eisenhower by Reuther on February 6th following the President's inauguration in January. After Reuther left, the President grew eloquent in his praise of the Moscow-trained labor leader's "moderation and sincerity."

The President even remarked that "he had detected none of the Socialistic views he had heard attributed to Reuther." Ye Gods!

Nothing but an earnest desire for the labor vote could, in our opinion, have persuaded any one to take such liberty with the truth.

## October 23 to Be Honored As "United States Day"

It is a sad commentary on the patriotism of the American people that a "United States Day" must be observed each year to remind them of the glorious blessings and freedoms which they enjoy as citizens of a free Republic.

Leaders of the movement which is sponsored by the United States Day Committee, Inc., of Tulsa, Oklahoma, and of which W. L. Foster of that city is Chairman, are urging full observance this year and all communities in the nation are asked to take part in the celebration, on October 23rd.

October 24 is to be celebrated by one-worlders and internationalists whose second loyalty is to the country of their birth and their first to an alien organization, as United Nations Day. We hope that all cities called on to give recognition to this day refuse, point blank, to do so no matter how many high officials may ask that it be celebrated.

There is no occasion whatever for Americans to rejoice over or commemorate their own folly in becoming a part of this world conspiracy against the United States.

As for us, as we have said many times every day in the year is United States Day and it is inconceivable that every American does not feel the same way.

## All Candidates Promise To Give Until It Hurts — the Taxpayers

Since the presidential campaign has become a contest in which each side is trying to out-do the other in promising to give away things that don't belong to them, we think that guards should be placed around the Capitol Building in Washington in greater numbers just in case one or the other tosses that in for good measure, while giving public money and property away.

Three cars in every garage, three televisions in every home and a four-day work week for all Americans has been promised by Richard Nixon if the New Deal Republicans win. (We'd hate to charge Mr. Nixon with stealing somebody else's stuff, but the National Hoboes Convention in session at Britt, Iowa, recently was the first to ask for the four-day work-week.)

If for nothing else than to make a piker out of Nixon, Adlai Stevenson shot the works in Denver and promised, if elected, to redistribute "the abundance in this land of plenty" and to give every American a guaranteed wage throughout their working life and plenty of pension or social security money to enable them, in retirement, to maintain a standard of living to which they had never been accustomed.

"Until we achieve this objective, life's evening will be dark and anticlimax to the whole experience of living," Stevenson added, waxing poetical.

At Peoria, Eisenhower failed to go into detail about the nature of the Federal largess which Fair Deal Republicans, if re-elected, would dish out but cryptically promised his listeners their share of "good times."

Old Coonskin Kefauver has not yet disclosed what he has in his Federal grab-bag in the way of gifts and goodies but we wouldn't be surprised if — to borrow a phrase from Andrew Tully — he decides to top them all by offering to throw in a gold-lined swimming pool with Marilyn Monroe attached.

## If This Wont Awaken Americans We Wonder What It Will Take

Since its inception, NATO has been pictured to the American people as merely a military alliance of the United States with certain European nations for mutual defense. Information has been developed by a member of the United States Senate which proves conclusively that it is more properly to be designated as the implementing arm of the United Nations for bringing about World Government.

Why the revelation concerning NATO on July 27 by the Honorable William E. Jenner of Indiana received no publicity in the daily press is amazing in view of the startling disclosures made by the senator which are of the most serious concern to the American people.

In the first place, the idea that NATO is merely a military set-up is as much an illusion as the early propaganda which pictured the United Nations as an instrument for maintaining peace throughout the world, while later developments have proved it to be no such thing and that, instead, it is a totalitarian conception of one-worlders to regulate the social, economic and political welfare of the nations comprising it and to eventually incorporate its member nations into a one-world super-state.

While inside information on NATO, like all other data to which the American people are entitled, is hard to come by, Senator Jenner has been able to present an accurate picture of the developments which make that organization as formidable a threat to our national safety and security as that offered by the United Nations.

It should be remembered that our connection with NATO is in the form of a treaty which was signed in Washington on April 4, 1949 when Truman was president, and that treaties are held as superseding the American Constitution.

NATO civil activities apply to all of the United States, including Alaska, Western Europe, French North Africa and the Territory of Turkey in Asia, Senator Jenner pointed out. He adds that Secretary of State Dulles has stressed that NATO planning is not based on short term emergency matters but is adapted to the "long haul".

According to the International Cooperation Administration and State Department publications, offered by Senator Jenner, NATO has widespread and sweeping authority in time of war. Included in such authority is control of shipping which means control of highways, railroads and ports. It is also at work on plans for control of "civilian requirements" on a "strict austerity basis". It has set up a Food and Agriculture Planning Committee; a Coal and Steel Planning Committee, and Industrial Raw Materials Planning Committee. And believe it or not they are drafting "necessary governmental decrees" and are "preparing the measures in peacetime for operating them in wartime". They are also preparing rationing documents and price controls.

In fact, in time of war this country would have no say-so and nothing to do for itself except furnish the men and the money to conduct the war. NATO, with headquarters across the ocean, would take over and tell the American people what to do like a track foreman bossing section hands or a penitentiary guard giving orders to a chain-gang.

John Foster Dulles, in this connection, is quoted in Department of State Bulletins, April 30, 1956 as saying: "The North Atlantic Treaty already serves as an indispensable and vital instrument of the Atlantic Community. But the time has, I believe, come to consider whether its organization does not need to be further developed if it is adequately to serve the needs of this and coming generations. If that be the desire of the NATO member nations, the United States will join eagerly in exploring the possibilities which now beckon us forward." When newspapermen sought to question the Secretary of State following his commitment of this country "and coming generations" to NATO he stated flatly that the "president has the power to conduct foreign relations through whatever persons and means he chooses."

On June 4, 1956, when asked by newspaper men if NATO, as it now stands, has jurisdiction over political questions, Dulles answered: "Well, the NATO Council which exists is not only in the form of occasional meetings by the foreign ministers but, also in the form of a permanent council and does now discuss, from time to time, political matters".

Senator Jenner pointed out that there is nothing in the organizational structure of NATO which requires it to oppose Communism or restrict the influence of the Soviet Union or its fifth columns and that NATO employes and members are "international civil servants".

Senator Jenner explained that Dulles has stated that at Geneva in November, 1955, the three Western powers offered the Soviet Union a treaty of assurance which means an over-all security treaty against "armed aggression". He added that this could mean that the NATO powers (of which we are one) would be committed to assist the Soviet Union in the event any of their satellite countries rose against them.

The American people have been told from the first that the NATO alliance was a defense measure to "combat Communism" and now we find that we are not only not going to combat Communism through NATO but that our treaty committing us to NATO also commits us to defend the Communists of Soviet Russia. The Soviets are not members yet but according to Dulles they were practically invited in and in May of this year, Winston Churchill, England's Grand Old Rascal, proposed a "grand alliance" between the Western powers and the Soviet Union and he added that Russia and the European Eastern nations "should not be excluded" from the North Atlantic Treaty.

Since NATO, under the far-flung powers granted it by the treaty would have supervision over the movement of troops from this country, it does not strain the imagination to visualize American troops defending Communist Russia with their lives against any uprising of their slave satellites, if Russia accepts the invitation to get into NATO.

Concerning financial arrangements, and the agreements concerning them, it goes without saying that the United States will be the goat. Senator Jenner stressed that even if Congress should deny appropriations to NATO, the executive branch can allocate funds

## "I'm in the Jail House Now" Could Be Theme Song of Eleanor's Protege

Some years ago, Eleanor Roosevelt gave a special citation to a Fort Worth Negress, Charlie Mae Allen, for her success in getting an unprecedented number of members of her race to the ballot box.

### Remember This Happened in Boston — not in the South

The good people of Boston, Massachusetts, recently found themselves in need of a teacher for retarded children and so they advertised for some one to fill that position.

They were sent a teacher who had specialized in this field by some educational agency in Portland, Oregon.

When the new teacher showed up, he turned out to be a Negro.

From the heavy criticism which the people of Boston have levelled at the South in racial matters, one would naturally think that this Negro would be just the man for the teaching position in Boston, and that there would be no hesitancy in putting him to work with little white children.

But it didn't turn out that way.

Boston school authorities paid Warren G. McClure, the Negro, one thousand dollars for his trouble and his traveling expenses and sent him back to Portland, Oregon. Period.

*The Presidential campaign which we were assured by both sides was going to be kept on a "high level" with no name-calling or personalities has developed into a cat and dog fight. Each candidate stays clear of issues and uses all his lung power to prove that he will be able to give away more taxpayers' money than his opponent, and all the while they call each other every kind of scoundrel in the book. Since we think they are both right, we refuse to take sides.*

*At the Conference on States Rights at Memphis recently and at the We, The People constitutional convention in Chicago later, President Eisenhower was petitioned to appoint the Honorable Clarence Manion, one of the greatest Constitutional lawyers in the United States to the vacancy on the Supreme Court. He didn't do it, of course.*

or tap the "Presidential funds" and channel the money to them any way.

He pointed out that Congress has no official access to any agreements about finances because such reports are marked "classified" in the Executive office.

NATO admits that its activities have now broadened out to include educational, historical, political, constitutional, legal, social, cultural linguistic, economic, and strategic problems and that the NATO Fellowship has been set up to encourage study in each of these fields. In the name of all that's holy, we ask what these subjects have to do with a Defense organization set up to fight Communism?

The truth is that NATO is pinching for the United Nations, the rotten and corrupt spy-infested monstrosity whose ineptitude has been proved beyond doubt and the idea now is to accomplish one-world government through NATO whose rottenness and corruption is not yet so obvious to the American people.

Although greatly elated at the time over what she considered the highest accolade which could be bestowed on mere mortal, she probably regards it as a doubtful distinction now since her efforts to live up to the honor may land her behind bars.

In the recent election in Texas, Charlie Mae apparently saw the crown which Mrs. Roosevelt had placed on her head perilously tipping when the expected number of Negroes failed to show up at the ballot box over which she was presiding in a colored section of Fort Worth.

It may have been a natural wish to retrieve her slipping reputation or a laudable desire not to let Mrs. Roosevelt down which caused her to take her next step.

At any rate, she seems to have made a quick decision based on the theory that if she didn't get by with it, she would at least go down swinging.

She is alleged to have taken a poll tax list showing all voters in her precinct and put one of her unsuspecting assistants to copying it, a task that required all night but she was able to turn in a tally sheet next day to the proper authorities indicating that practically all voters in the precinct had fully discharged their obligation as citizens and had registered their preference at the ballot box.

There were only two things wrong. One was that the list was alphabetical and voters, even by a miracle, simply don't turn up to vote in that order. The other was that practically all votes were for Ralph Yarbrough the CIO and the NAACP candidate for governor, and such one-sided voting is usually questionable.

When the Grand Jury got inquisitive about the matter, the good colored people who were shown as having voted but who had not done so, refused to cooperate with the ingenious little plan. They told the truth.

Charlie Mae's trial is to come up soon and she faces a fine, a prison sentence or both. And if she does go to jail, we think, in fair play, Mrs. Roosevelt should do everything possible to make her term in the clink as pleasant as possible.

*We are sick and tired of hearing politicians excuse their advocacy of the foreign-aid, give-away programs by asserting that this is necessary since the world is getting smaller because of modern transportation. The world has not shrunk. It is the same size it always was. The thing that has shrunk until it is practically non-existent is the brain of those who are elected as national leaders in Congress and in other departments of government.*

*The Socialistic program of Federal Aid for education is gradually being sneaked into our national policies. Eisenhower signed a bill, according to David Lawrence, extending for two years the program of Federal Aid for schools on military installations. Under this program some 3,000 school districts receive Federal Aid. Also \$148,000,000 is to be spent on school construction and operation. Might this be called a sort of educational Trojan Horse?*

## Offensive Practice Which Should Be Stopped Cold

An article in American Mercury for October called "Pseudo Psychos" by John Barton is extremely interesting.

Mr. Barton tells of the ridiculous and unbelievable questionnaires which many business firms submit to applicants for jobs and predicts that it will become universal since there is a definite propaganda campaign being carried on to induce all employers to make these impudent inquiries. It is called a "Psychological Aptitude Test" and, as the author explains, sweeps the individual's "last, vestigial remnants of his right to privacy", away.

It is in line with the growing custom of the Federal government to probe the human soul to its depths in questionnaires submitted to applicants for a position as fifth assistant ditch digger on a Federal project.

It is bad enough for the Federal government to seek to pick the human brain like a buzzard devouring the carcass of a dead horse, but if the custom is universally adopted by business and industry, every American citizen will have to "take his hair down and tell all" before he can enjoy his God-given right to work.

It is the innate and natural impulse of self-respecting and privacy-loving Americans to resent being pinned down like an impaled butterfly and subjected to microscopic examination of matters which are their business alone.

Some benevolent Foundation with millions, like the Fund for the Republic for instance, could render no greater service to the nation and to posterity than by supplying this article from American Mercury to the heads of every industry in this country in order that this offensive prying may be stopped dead in its tracks.

Of course if the Foundation is already contributing to the campaign for extending these questionnaires for general use, then our suggestion is out of order.

## The Turning of The Tides Is Out With 1956 Edition

It is learned that "The Turning of the Tides", a book which has had a strong impact on the American public in connection with education in American public schools, has been brought out by Long House in New York in a 1956 edition.

Joint authors of the book are the late Paul W. Shafer, member of Congress from Michigan and John Holland Snow of New York and Connecticut who spent years in developing the facts set forth in this brilliant narrative of the American educational system and the subversive activities of certain segments of the teaching profession within it, all of which are thoroughly and meticulously documented.

The Turning of the Tides has been accepted as perhaps the standard book in its field since the publication of its first edition several years ago and it is believed that the new edition will command equally wide attention.

Long House, P.O. Box 1103, New York 17, N.Y., is dividing the book into two types as in the case of the first edition; a Library Edition costs \$3.00 and the paper bound edition is \$2.00.

*The American Psychological Association, part of the world mental health propaganda on thought control, decided not to meet in Florida next year because of segregation. We are sure the people of Florida are heart-broken.*

## Women Have More Rights Now Than They Know How to Use

In Washington recently some modern and aggressive followers of Susan B. Anthony expressed great delight over the action of the two major political parties in including a plank in their respective platforms guaranteeing "equal rights" for women.

We didn't know until these good women broke out into print on the subject that women didn't already have all the "rights" they needed or could intelligently use. Personally, we have never felt put upon or discriminated against because of our sex.

In our poor opinion, women would do well to assert such rights as they already possess before demanding others.

For instance, they have the natural and God-given right to protest and rebel, instead of meekly complying, when Paris fashion dictators tell them what to show and what to hide; who order them to heave out a certain part of their anatomy like a pouter pigeon one season and flatten it out like a pancake the next and who cause their waistlines to hop from one place to another like Mexican jumping beans. They have the inherent right to refuse to make monkeys of themselves by aping ridiculous fads and whims when psychotic French designers call the shots.

We are thinking especially of the atrocious headgear which is currently popular with that segment of feminine society which insists on keeping abreast of fashion, come hell or high water.

Being one of those hopelessly out-numbered and out-moded women with a profusion of yard-long hair, buying a hat is a major operation for us at best and our natural reaction is to either go bareheaded or settle for something that is slightly larger than a postage stamp and perch it on the back of our head. In other words, we are a "beanie" or a "tam" woman at heart.

Therefore, when it recently became necessary for us to make a concession to the proprieties and sally forth into a millinery salon and make a purchase, we did so with great misgivings, apprehension and trepidation, remembering other similar occasions when we had come off second best.

The owner of the shop who is always annoyed at our refusal to conform and to roll with the fashion punches, spied us immediately and called out gaily that she had just the very thing for us and would bring it out at once.

"Oh, No, you don't" we shrieked a moment later as this charming swindler bore down on us with something that resembled an inverted dishpan with the tail feathers of a rooster sticking out on the side. In a desperate attempt to escape from her clutches without physical violence, we made a dash for the door. However, one of her assistants came to her rescue and after wrestling them both all over the emporium, they finally managed, between them, to subdue us and pinion us down in a chair before the mirror with a monstrosity atop our dome which converted us into a dead-ringer for Stella Dallas.

After a half hour's wrangling, compromise and appeasement which would put John Foster Dulles' discussions at Geneva to shame, we finally emerged with a sort of cross between the latest Paris creation and something salvaged from a rummage sale.

Yes sir! When women prove their independence by throwing off the shackles of slavery imposed on them by foreign masters in the fashion marts of Europe, we will join them in their clamor for "equal rights" but not before.

## We Knew There Must Be A "Catch" to It

When all the praise of the President's new appointment to the Supreme Court, William J. Brennan, Jr., was ringing out through the press and over the air, we wondered what the "catch" was and how long it would be before the truth came out.

Just as we go to press, Ray Tucker in his Washington column, let go with it. Brennan is, he lets it be known, a "liberal" with respect to "civil rights" and during the time that Senator McCarthy was trying to dislodge Communist skunks from Government, defense and Army posts, Brennan, while not mentioning McCarthy by name, inferentially denounced such investigations as the Senator was carrying on as "witch hunts". Brennan has also been endorsed by the CIO.

That's all any one needs to know. The "court packing" of our highest tribunal, begun by Roosevelt, goes merrily on, and the nominee to this post must now take the same oath of allegiance to minority groups which Roosevelt exacted of those whom he named to the Court.

## Political Views or Religious Faith Immaterial in Appointment to Court

Many editors and commentators are commending the President's selection of Joseph Brennan of New Jersey to succeed Sherman Minton on the Supreme Court and pronouncing it a wise move "because Brennan is a Catholic and the Court has had no member of that faith in seven years."

There could hardly be any less valid reason for appointing a man to this office, or any other, than out of deference to his religious affiliation.

It is because the present members of the Court were selected out of consideration for their political views that this once great tribunal has sunk to its current low level. Neither politics nor religious conviction of the nominee should figure in such appointment.

There is only one yardstick by which a nominee to that high bench should be measured and that is his knowledge of the Constitution and the law, his understanding of the

## Don't Look Now but Here's A Sound-Thinking Prof.

When a man bites a dog it's news and when a University professor writes a book defending the American Constitution, the Free Enterprise system and the American way of life, it's unbelievable.

Dr. A. H. Hobbs of the Department of Sociology of the University of Pennsylvania, has done just that and because of the sheer novelty of the idea, as well as for the excellence of the book, his volume titled *The Vision and the Constant Star*, should be a best seller.

In a pamphlet called *Behind the Pink Academic Fog*, issued earlier this year, Dr. Hobbs explained why his collectivist contemporaries are like they are. A priceless excerpt from that pamphlet: "A particular type of person, with a special set of preferences and prejudices, is most likely to take root and flourish in the academic hothouse. Here exists a captive audience composed of inexperienced youths who, free from adult responsibilities and subsidized by their parents, are receptive to ideas which justify irresponsibility and which make governmental subsidies a virtue. With such an audience, professors easily acquire a reputation for independence and courage by attacking traditions of independence and by ridiculing responsibility".

Further on, Dr. Hobbs cuts through to the heart of the subject: "Academic liberalism is a vision with a double focus. It is a vision of a future in which personal behavior will be divorced from traditional moral codes and from outmoded loyalties; in which collective life will be free from the shackles of economic need. The vision promises to emancipate the personality of the individual and to guarantee the economic security of the group. Collectivism, internationalism, pacifism, racial and sexual equality, moral relativism and a planned society shine in the focus of the vision, while competitive enterprise, patriotism, religion and traditional moral values are dimmed virtually to extinction in faded contrast to its rosy glow".

*The Vision and the Constant Star* is to be had from The Long House, Inc., P. O. Box 1103, New York 17, New York and the price is \$3.50 and from what we have seen of it, we would say that it would be cheap at double the price.

functions of the Court as an interpretative, and not a legislative, body and his personal integrity and impartiality in rising above all extraneous considerations in discharging his obligations as a Supreme Court Justice. To appoint him for any other reason is a mockery of justice for which the Court is intended to stand.

What we mean is that it would be all right if there were no Catholics on the Court so long as each Justice thereon was of the stature to lend dignity, honor and prestige to the office.

On the other hand, it would be equally all right if all of them were Catholics, so long as they met these qualifications.

The political beliefs and the religious affiliation of those appointed to this exalted office should be considered as much a personal matter as the brand of tooth paste they use and those making the appointment have no moral right to inquire into either.

## Representative of World Federation of Mental Health Swoops Down on Our Town

From time to time, we have written of the World Federation of Mental Health which, by the sound of it, would appear to be a fine and benevolent organization dedicated solely to curing or alleviating the ills of the human mind.

It is no such thing. On the contrary, it is composed mostly of political psychiatrists who are in connivance with the United Nations, through its World Health Organization, and other internationalist forces, to bring about a one-world government and to this end it seeks to brainwash the people of the United States and other countries to encourage uniform acceptance of this global super-state.

In our July-August edition we told of its stepped-up activity and it was, therefore, no very great surprise when recently our home town was invaded by one of its representatives, a Dr. John R. Rees of England. As in all cases, he came under the most respectable sponsorship and held forth in one of the leading churches of the city, with a fairly large number of gullible and unsuspecting citizens in attendance who were, of course inspired by the highest motives and who haven't the faintest idea of what the real movement is all about.

It seems that the doctor "just happened" to bring along an expensive lithographed document of one page criticising the laws of Texas on its mental health statute, together with a copy of an amendment to the Constitution of Texas which will cure the part of the law to which this Englishman and his associates object. The Texas law on the subject calls for jury action in the case of a person committed to an institution on lunacy charges, and the political psychiatrists object to this orderly and long-established policy. In accordance with bills introduced in many other States, these tinkers with the mental machinery of the human race want legislation so framed that any political psychiatrist can yank a person up on trumped-up charges that such person is mentally ill and commit him to an institution themselves. Following the idea to its logical conclusion, it is not difficult to visualize political opponents of one-world government being liquidated in this manner. And if any one is inclined to laugh this idea off, they should study up on the countries behind the Iron Curtain and learn how effectively it worked there.

The amendment which Texans are asked to support at the November election is Amendment No. 7 and although it has a lot of tricky language, its main purpose is to do away with the jury process. We hope the great majority of the voters of Texas snow it under at the polls by voting against it.

Following the doctor's speech, leaflets were distributed advising citizens of Fort Worth of a local address where they may get copies of Public Affairs Pamphlets "and other literature concerning mental health and mental illness".

It will only take the briefest of research for any interested person to find out who puts out these "Public Affairs Pamphlets" and this other material about mental health. The Public Affairs Pamphlets are edited and issued by one Maxwell S. Stewart who needs no introduction to informed Americans who keep up with official government reports on subversion.

There are many, however, who have never heard of the notorious Maxwell S. Stewart, and while we think it

would be all right for them to get these "Public Affairs Pamphlets" and the other literature on mental health which is also under his auspices, we also think it will be helpful if they know, before they read this material, something of Maxwell Stewart's activities during the past twenty years or so in order that they have full facts and not be misled as they read the literature recommended to them by this stranger from England.

There are perhaps a hundred pages in the combined reports of various investigative committees of the Congress in which this gent's activities are set forth, including information about the period he spent as a teacher at a Moscow university.

His name appears more than fifty times in the records and reports of the investigation by the Committee on the Judiciary of the United States Senate which probed the Institute of Pacific Relations and its infamous part in the sell-out of China to the Communists.

In Union Calendar No. 926, House Report No. 2681, Special Committee to investigate tax exempt Foundations, it requires four pages to list the Communist fronts to which Maxwell Stewart has belonged — pages 375, 376, 378 and 379.

But some of the most direct testimony concerning Stewart is found on page 563 of Hearings before the Subcommittee to investigate the Administration of the Internal Security Act and Other Internal Security Laws, of the Committee of the Judiciary of the United States Senate, August 9-23, 1951, Part 2, Eighty-Second Congress.

The Chairman of the subcommittee making the investigation was the late Senator Pat McCarran of Nevada and the witness on the stand was Louis F. Budenz, former Communist party member whose voluminous testimony before various Congressional Committees has never been impeached. The following excerpt from the proceedings is enlightening concerning Maxwell Stewart:

Mr. Morris (Committee Counsel): Mr. Budenz, do you know Maxwell S. Stewart?

Mr. Budenz: Yes, sir.

Mr. Morris: Was Maxwell S. Stewart a Communist to your knowledge?

Mr. Budenz: Yes, sir.

Mr. Morris: Will you describe those circumstances to the committee, Mr. Budenz?

Mr. Budenz: It was in the early forties. The exact year I cannot remember as yet. The incident I remember very definitely. There was a confidential matter connected with the party that I had to consult Mr. Stewart about. I had an appointment at The Nation with him. I went to Mr. Browder (Earl) to consult with him, as the leader of the party, as to whether I could talk to Maxwell S. Stewart, and in what capacity. Mr. Browder said: "You may speak to him as a Communist". So, in the resultant conference, I disclosed to Mr. Stewart that I knew that he was under the same allegiance as I was.

Mr. Morris: Do you have any other reason to believe that Maxwell S. Stewart was a Communist?

Mr. Budenz: Many reasons.

Mr. Morris: Would you describe them, please, Mr. Budenz?

Mr. Budenz: Maxwell S. Stewart has been one of the most active members of the Communist fronts that exist in the United States. I cannot keep track of all these Communist fronts. In connection with them over and over again, Maxwell Stewart was

## Communist - Front Attorneys of Texas NAACP Charged With Hustling Clients

The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People whose despicable activities are not in keeping with its title may be barred forever from doing business in Texas.

If this happy result is achieved, it will be due to the efforts of out-going Attorney General of Texas, John Ben Shepperd who did not offer for reelection. If this alert and aggressive State official is able to rout this objectionable and Communist-dominated group, it will be in fitting finale to his brilliant official career.

Mr. Shepperd, after a quiet but effective investigation of NAACP activities, obtained a temporary restraining order to prevent the organization from further doing business in the State which he is now seeking to have made permanent.

In his request for the restraining order in a District Court at Tyler he presented evidence showing that this group was improperly operating under the laws of Texas and that it has "solicited, recruited and coerced students and parents to take steps that will continue to result in racial hatred and inflame communities."

The Attorney General's investigators also turned up evidence that the NAACP had made a contract to pay a Negro postman of Houston \$11,000 in return for his successful suit in the Supreme Court some years ago which enabled him to enter the white University of Texas. The money was paid to the Negro for his living expenses, and not for the court costs which was borne by NAACP, according to the evidence.

While the Attorney General's move against the NAACP is based solely on that organization's violation of Texas law and for its alleged illegal actions in the State, and no references to Communist front affiliations of its leaders were set forth in the proceedings, at least four of the NAACP figures who are prominent in the NAACP's campaign in Texas have been officially cited as members of such Communist fronts.

First is Thurgood Marshall, New York mulatto, who claims that Texas is the principal battleground for his outfit and that it is fighting for its life in this State, and who has spent much of his time here directing various lawsuits for the NAACP in its

*In a press interview at Dallas recently, Norman Thomas, many times a candidate for President of the United States on the Socialist ticket said that he is not running any more because both the Republicans and the Democrats have taken over the Socialist Party platform. We have often made this claim but we now have it right out of the horse's mouth, so to speak.*

*Free "vittles" was promised to all those who attended the rally for Vice President Nixon in a public park in Fort Worth, and needless to say, there was hardly standing room.*

discussed by the leaders of the party with me as either an initiator or sponsor or one who could be relied upon to see that others joined these Communist fronts. In that connection, I learned repeatedly over the years from the early forties until I left the party in 1945, that Maxwell Stewart continued to be one of the reliables of the party—a phrase which was used in this regard by Mr. Browder. (End of quotations from Mr. Budenz testimony).

efforts to integrate Negro children with white students in public schools and colleges and universities throughout the State.

Marshall has been shown as a member of the International Juridical Association which is described in Appendix IX, Special Committee on Un-American Activities of the House of Representatives as follows: "From its inception, The International Juridical Association has specialized in the defense of individual Communists or of the Communist Party itself."

U. Simpson Tate, Dallas Negro lawyer who is the leading NAACP counsel in the State, as we reported last month, is named on page 626, Vol. I of the Special Committee on Un-American Activities, 1938 as having been treasurer of the National Negro Congress which was cited as Communist by the Attorney General of the United States.

Another Dallas Negro attorney prominent in the battery of counsel for NAACP in Texas, is one A. Maceo Smith. He is listed in House Report No. 1115 as having been affiliated with the Civil Rights Congress. According to the Congressional Committee on Un-American Activities, this is the back-ground of the Civil Rights Congress: "Formed in April, 1946, as a merger of two other Communist front organizations — the International Labor Defense and the National Federation for Constitutional Liberties — dedicated not to the broader issues of civil liberties, but specifically to the defense of individual Communists and the Communist Party and controlled by individuals who are either members of the Communist Party or openly loyal to it."

The Austin lobbyist for the NAACP is Arthur Dewitty who was mentioned in connection with the Tyler trial and who represents the organization at the State capital. This man is listed as having been affiliated with the Civil Rights Congress cited by the Attorney General as "dedicated specifically to the defense of individual Communists and the Communist Party" as shown above.

The NAACP has on its defense staff in Texas George Clifton Edwards, a white man, and he, too, is listed as a member of the International Juridical Association, which, as stated above, was cited by the Congressional Committee on Un-American Activities, House Report No. 3123, 1950, as having "actively defended Communists, and consistently followed the Communist Party line".

These are the leading lights in the Texas Division of the NAACP who have been throwing their weight around over the State, breaking into headlines with threats against peaceable communities and offering their legal services for sale like a pushcart peddler hawking his wares.

The greatest service which could be rendered the law-abiding colored people of Texas, to say nothing of responsible white citizens would be in the winning by John Ben Shepperd of the suit to drive this trouble-making, mob-inspiring hate group out of the State.

*Being a long-time admirer of the Honorable Clarence Manion of Indiana, we have heard him speak many times but while in Chicago recently we heard him make an address on the fundamental purposes of the American governing system which, for clarity, brilliance and logic, has never been surpassed by any statesman since the birth of the American Republic, in our opinion.*

## The Type of Politician Who Has Sold This Country Out

Unless they have a strong stomach, intelligent Americans had best pass up Sam Rayburn's article in the October 6th Saturday Evening Post or else they will suffer acute nausea at his attempt to prove that New Deal Democrats are more adept at running the Federal government—into the red—than New Deal Republicans.

Although the signature is that of Sam Rayburn, the philosophy is that of Karl Marx and the Socialists' dream of Utopia, financed by the tax-paying public, is painted in roseate hues.

In the article Mr. Sam's heart bleeds profusely for the down-trodden and the under-privileged although he, himself, rides around in a block-long government limousine and lives off the fat of the land.

And even though his sympathy is wrapped all around the horny-handed sons of toil on the farm and in the factory, he probably has never done a day's hard work in his life except to throw the bull around the State and National law-making assemblies.

He has been sucking the public udder practically since the day he was weaned from his mother's breast and his conception of statecraft is, necessarily, that of one who has lived a long life as an exclusive consumer, thriving on the substance of those who work, produce and achieve.

Under this type of national leadership, whether Democrat or Republican, the administration of the Federal government on the Marxian basis of "taking from each according to his means and giving to each according to his needs" becomes a mockery of the aims, the hopes and the noble intent of those who founded the Republic.

Professional career politicians who know only one way to get money and that is to take it away from those who earn it, have just about done this country in.

## Good Citizenship In Action

When on June 28th, 1956, the two senators from Tennessee, Estes Kefauver and Albert Gore, along with the majority of the members of that body voted against limiting the importation of textiles from Japan, and competitive products from foreign countries, these two, at least, got quick reaction from back home.

The Cherokee Textile Mills' president, Mr. A. G. Heinsohn of Sevierville, Tennessee, put up notices in the plant advising all employees that this legislation against the interest of all workers, and especially theirs, passed the Senate by a majority of two votes and pointed out that if their two senators had voted to protect American textile workers, instead of Japanese, the vote to limit Japanese imports, would have been successful. Then he wrote Gore and Kefauver what he had done.

This action by this manufacturer is what is known as exercising the rights of citizenship and if duplicated in sufficient instances would cause weasel-brained politicians to stop and think before selling American workers down the river.

## We Live Over A Terrifying Experience but We Will Probably Never Be the Same Again

We recently survived a dreadful ordeal which we have heretofore been able to successfully side step by methods ranging all the way from flat refusal to suddenly ducking out a side door of some gathering where it has become obvious that every one present is going to be called on to stand up and make a verbal contribution to the subject under discussion.

In other words, as a speaker we are a good corn husker and if there is anything that will turn our knees to jelly in nothing flat, it is to be called on to say something in public. We can manage to mumble a polite "thank you" when the presiding official of a large convention or other public assembly is gracious enough to ask us to stand up and take a bow in tribute to our work as editor of the Southern Conservative. But even that brief excursion into the spotlight causes us to burrow down several inches deeper into our seat than we were before being singled out for attention.

All those who know us well are familiar with this deplorable frailty on our part and respect it; or, if they don't exactly respect it, they at least concede us the privilege of remaining dumb and inarticulate as we listen in rapt admiration while others get up and discuss a subject about which we may have equal information and knowledge.

Our alibi has always been that "a shoemaker should stick to his last" and we have contended that our contribution, if any, to the cause of good government must be through the written word, a field in which we suffer no timidity whatever. While we confess to a shrinking violet attitude with respect to the public forum, when fortified behind our trusty typewriter our lion-bold courage knows no bounds. After all, if an editorial comes out corny, we can always re-write it until it passes muster. But if a speaker suffers temporary paralysis of his thinking apparatus and goofs, he is sunk and so is his audience.

This defense mechanism has always worked for us heretofore, but we were recently the house guest of a lovely but strong-minded lady in another state who proved to be more than a match for us in determination and will power and who calmly, but firmly, went right ahead with her plans to invite a group of some fifty persons to her home on a certain night of our stay to hear us "speak" in spite of our vigorous protests and dire threats to take the next train home.

During the day preceding the "speech", we even heard her trying to contact one of her friends who happened to be a United States senator in order that he, too, might have the rare privilege of hearing our masterly discussion while we, in the meantime, quietly contemplated the easiest and least painful method of committing suicide. (The senator, thanks to the kindly intervention of the gods of fate, was in another part of the State and could not be reached.)

When the fateful night came and fifty pairs of eyes gazed at us in solemn anticipation of the "oratorical treat" that was in store for them, we had the guilty feeling of one who obtains money under false pretense, but the good Lord who, in dire emergencies, seems to come to the rescue of the helpless and the idiotic, mercifully provided the necessary stamina to our knees—if not to our brain—which prevented us from collapsing on the rug.

Having had supreme faith until the very last that we would, somehow, be able to wiggle out as we had on similar occasions in the past or that lightning would strike or something, we had given no thought to what we would say when, and if, worse came to the worst and had made no preparation whatever for the "speech". (If we had we would have forgotten if any way.)

So while our charming hostess was practically dragging us by the scruff of the neck up to the speaker's table, we suddenly decided to "clown" through it which we did and were able to make them laugh although we are sure that we contributed nothing toward making them think. Being polite and well-bred persons, our audience graciously applauded and complimented us but this was probably out of sympathy and was more a tribute to their good sportsmanship than to our feeble offering.

A tape-recording machine had even been provided for the occasion but it turned out to be our silent partner and refused to cooperate in any such farce by obligingly blowing a fuse or something at an opportune moment, thereby refusing to become a party to any further circulation of our inane remarks.

Posterity, therefore, will have to struggle along as best it can without benefit of a record of our first, last and only "public address".

*From an unsigned letter to us from Cincinnati: "It is harder for one to get their name in your paper than it is to get into Who's Who. Why do you want to be like that?" Our answer: If you will say something worth while concerning the subject of Constitutional government and send it to us with your name signed to it, and permission to print, you will see how easy it is to get your name in our paper.*

*At San Antonio a white female who had married a Negro was ordered out of town. And still they are integrating their schools there which is intended to lead up to that.*

*American Federation of Teachers met in Pittsburgh to prepare a program for a campaign forcing Congress to give Federal Aid to schools. No wonder our schools turn out Socialists by the score.*

## Wholesale Violation of Law Constitutes Anarchy

In Article VII, Section 7, of the Constitution of the State of Texas appears this provision which has never been repealed or amended and is, therefore, still in effect: "Separate schools shall be provided for the white and colored children and impartial provision shall be made for both".

This provision is implemented by statute covering the subject and that, also, has not been repealed and is still in effect.

In Article XVII of that same Constitution, provision is made for amending the Constitution, and that is the only way in which that document may be amended.

In view of this fact, it is highly alarming to law-abiding people of Texas that wholesale violation of the Constitution and the law is being permitted in the cases of five public senior colleges and fourteen tax-supported junior colleges, as well as over three hundred public schools where the heads of such educational institutions who are sympathetic to the Communist-inspired policy of integration are arrogantly ignoring the laws of Texas by putting this policy into effect.

Most of these schools have admitted only a few Negroes, it is true, but the admission of even one is a violation of the law and those responsible should be prosecuted. The worst offender is the University of Texas, it having made all Negroes eligible to attend that school, on orders from its pink, weak Board of Regents.

When the citizens of a State override the Constitution and the law, a state of anarchy exists and that is the case in Texas. There is a legal way to amend a Constitution but since the voters of Texas registered their opposition to integration in a recent statewide election, it is clear that no such amendment could be voted.

Mississippi, Georgia, Alabama and other Southern States are remaining completely segregated and have no intention whatever of doing otherwise. There is something very wrong with the picture in Texas when a few school authorities can take the law into their own hands and re-vamp their school systems to conform to the pattern laid down by Communist-dominated groups and organizations.

*From a member of the Congress of the United States: "After reading the Southern Conservative, I pass it along to some friend whom I know to have the same philosophy which I have. You are doing a splendid work in publishing this paper. I wish for you continued and increasing success."*

*From the Pastor of one of Grand Rapids' largest churches: "I want to congratulate you on your courage and boldness against the things and forces which are un-American, ungodly and un-Constitutional. I trust you will continue to expose error and stand for truth at all costs, and come what may. Don't get discouraged even though it is very easy to do when you see so many capitulating to the pressures of the day. God bless you."*

*It is reported from confidential sources in Washington that, privately, some members of the Supreme Court are sick unto death of the subject of integration and that the bitterness and violence between the races in the South which their action provoked, has caused them to regret that they ever heard of Earl Warren.*