

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To plead for a return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 4

Fort Worth, Texas, May, 1953

No. 5

AFTER A TWENTY-YEAR VACATION IT'S TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES SENATE TO GET BACK ON THE JOB

THE CURTAIN IS LOWERED ON ONE OF THE MOST SHAMEFUL ACTS IN HUMAN HISTORY

The Tidelands legislation restoring this property to its owners was perhaps different from any measure ever passed in the history of organized government.

It was more in the nature of a high court trial in which the guilty were convicted of grand larceny but could not be sent to the pen.

Of the three chief culprits, one is dead, another is an ex-president of the United States and the third is on the Supreme Court.

The whole transaction was compounded of many traits, emotions and impulses of human nature, all of them bad.

A Secretary of the Interior looked with lustful and envious eyes on valuable property legally belonging to a Sovereign State, and went into action.

A President of the United States, with no respect for property rights and less regard for political morality, eagerly joined in the conspiracy and a renegade Texan willing to betray his native State in return for a high political appointment completed the evil triumvirate.

As a result of this three-man conspiracy, property which has belonged to the State of Texas since the early days of the Republic was confiscated through collusion of a minority of a politically-minded Supreme Court.

Now it has been returned to legal ownership and all responsible Americans hope that no such instance of criminal confiscation of property will ever again be attempted by those in high offices of authority in this Republic.

The fight for the recovery of this property has been carried on for years by Price Daniel, now Senator, Governor Allan Shivers and other Texans who don't bluff easy and whose ancestors waged a similar battle with cattle rustlers, horse thieves and bandits.

Members of Congress and other officials from the additional States involved, of course, rendered valuable service in passing this legislation and members of the Senate from several inland States deserve the highest praise for their successful efforts in behalf of final passage of the measure in that body.

While they had no direct interest in the legislation, except as a matter of

Some Figures Which Will Put The Record Straight

Concerning the decision of the National Administration that taxes cannot be reduced at this time because of the decreased revenue it would bring about, and in order to keep the record straight, we offer this information on the subject.

From 1927 until 1932, we had what was known as the Mellon Tax Plan when the Treasury Department limited individual income levies to a maximum of 25%.

Under this plan, total revenue from individual incomes paid into the Federal Treasury during this period amounted to \$5,297,891,340.90.

From 1933 to 1938, after the Mellon Plan was abandoned and the maximum tax rate was 79%, total revenue paid into the Federal Treasury during this period amounted to \$4,351,664,316.91.

In other words, under a 25% maximum rate on individual incomes, the Treasury received \$946,227,023.99 more than was received when the maximum rate was 79%.

While these figures are taken from copyrighted material which we prepared and circulated in 1939, the original figures are on file in the Treasury Department and are available to any one who is concerned enough to examine them.

The Mellon Plan of a 25% maximum income tax was based on the theory, which proved correct, that a lower income tax produces more revenue than a confiscatory one because of the stimulus to business and the additional activity which is generated through the easing of unjust tax burdens on the nation's business and industrial leaders.

The figures speak for themselves and answer all argument.

principle, they probably figured that "he who steals an egg will steal an ox" and they realized that if this illegal seizure of State property was allowed to stand, their own land and resources were in peril.

And so the curtain is rung down on an act of infamy which is without parallel in the history of civilized man.

There's a document on file in the Library of Congress called the Constitution which, until it is formally revoked, constitutes the official text-book on American government. The declining state of the union demands that this musty volume be removed from the archives, dusted off and assimilated by those who swore to carry out its provisions without apparently knowing what they were.

The position of lawmaker is one of the very few on earth where the applicant for the job is not required to pass an intelligence test, furnish character references or put up bond.

Failure to set up qualifications of aspirants to this office and to provide for removal in cases of evasions or violations of their Constitutional responsibilities, may have been the one error of the founders of the American Republic.

At any rate, they labored long and earnestly to put in writing a fool-proof instrument outlining clearly the official duties and moral obligations of those chosen to represent the American electorate in the Congress of the United States.

In language so plain and unmistakable that it could not be misunderstood by inmates of a home for feeble-minded, it was decreed therein that "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate" the treaty-making power of the Federal government—and thereby, the shaping of our foreign policy—was to rest in the hands of the President.

Nowhere in the whole Constitution, from beginning to end, is there any provision for the delegation or transfer of this power to a Secretary of State, a college professor, a roving diplomat or any other extrinsic agent.

And yet, only a short time ago, members of the United States Senate were slapped in the face by John Foster Dulles with a statement of foreign policy with which they not only were not familiar, but one which apparently also caught the President off-base.

With the pompous air of a toad which has just swallowed a bottle-fly, Dulles informed them that the policy of the State Department had been for a long time, and would continue to be, to refuse to protest the shipping of supplies by allied nations to Communist Countries whose armies are killing American soldiers.

This means, when reduced to plain English, that we are on the side of the enemy to the extent that we are aiding and abetting their efforts to get material with which to destroy us.

It means, too, that we are operating under a foreign policy which was not shaped by the President of the United States "by and with the advice and consent of the Senate" but which, instead, was fashioned of the combined stupidity and treachery of a small coterie of Liberals in, or associated with, the State Department whose record of achievement in foreign relations shows a definite balance on the credit side of the Soviet ledger.

A Liberal is a Socialist in disguise and a Socialist is a Communist with false whiskers.

Since the two previous national administrations were crawling with these vermin, it was inevitable that our former foreign policy should have been largely shaped by Soviet influence, with the collusion and connivance of fellow travellers in our State Department, but that the same policies should be continued by our new leadership is astounding, unbelievable and indefensible.

When a majority of Americans voted for a change last November, they meant a change, with special emphasis on the agency whose black record of repeated betrayals of the interests of this country inspired the nationwide resentment which was registered at the polls.

It was their belief that in future all matters having to do with foreign

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It--The Southern Conservative Says It

FIFTEEN MILLIONS, NOT FIFTEEN THOUSAND, OR FIFTEEN HUNDRED BUT FIFTEEN MILLIONS

The Ford Foundation, of which Dr. Robert M. Hutchins, left-wing college professor, is directing head has announced that Fifteen Million Dollars has been donated to what is known as a Fund For The Republic, whose alleged objective is to defend free speech.

This sounds fine because every American who is worthy of the name is for free speech.

Unfortunately, any one who is familiar with the ideologies of Dr. Hutchins and others who control the Ford Foundation know that so long as they direct the expenditure of these millions, not one penny will be spent in defense of free speech in the full and best meaning of that term.

Instead, it will be utilized in the interest of those whose interpretation of free speech means their right to join and defend organizations set up to speak and work against the American form of government.

When this new gift of fifteen millions is put to work in the interest of those it is designed to aid, the task of various Committees of Congress which are seeking out Communists in education, government and other spheres of activity, will be made immeasurably more difficult than it is now.

The Fifth Amendment to the Constitution is already being used as a shield behind which scoundrels and traitors are taking refuge and when they realize that they have fifteen million dollars back of them to bolster their defiance, they will really go to town.

It is tragic to dwell on the whole-some results which might have been achieved if Ford had contributed fifteen million dollars toward research designed to smoke out Communists who are undermining the American way of life, and to realize that instead it will be devoted to opposite objectives.

The taxpayers of the United States are taxed to the limit of their ability to pay, and often beyond, and the money so raised presumably is used in carrying on the functions of the American government in accordance with the principles as laid down by its founders.

Ford Foundation money does not go toward this end because it is exempt for Federal tax purposes and is largely spent in promoting activities of persons and groups who are totally unsympathetic to these principles.

This results in a vicious circle in which American taxpayers are taxed to the breaking point to support a government which, in turn, grants tax exemption to Foundations who support movements designed to destroy that government.

To patriotic Americans who strive to aid in the perpetuation of the United States as a Constitutional Republic and who have to sweat blood to raise a dollar toward this end, it is discouraging to the point of heart-break to see fifteen millions casually tossed off to those whose concern is in a national Socialist State.

The Tariff Question Is A Simple, Uncomplicated One

The subject of tariff on imported products has been a political football ever since the beginning of the Republic and has been involved in so many intricate discussions that it is generally regarded as a complicated subject understood only by experts.

Boiled down, it is simply a matter of considering the interests of the producers of this country or favoring those in foreign countries

Those who are concerned in preserving American industries, favor a tax on competitive products entering American markets, on the ground that free importation of products from countries where labor is cheap, will reduce the American standards of living.

Those who put the interests of this country last on the program and who are concerned in the welfare of every other nation than their own, believe in letting any and all products come into this country duty-free.

It is not even a partisan question any more. Originally Republicans favored a high tariff while Democrats advocated free trade, but in the Underwood Tariff Law of the twenties, it was the vote of the Southern Democrats which placed a duty on agricultural products of the South which had formerly been duty-free, with Republicans voting against it.

After Roosevelt came to power, with his sights trained on global welfare, regardless of the interests of this country, there were no tariff laws passed. Instead there was "reciprocal agreement" between various countries and the United States which is still in effect, with some slight changes.

A bill is now before Congress to levy duties once again on foreign imports, especially oil, and the same old arguments will start all over again, but when the final vote is taken, there will be a definite cleavage.

Those in favor of their own country and its welfare first will vote for a duty on imports which compete with American products; internationalists and one-worlders will vote for free trade.

Party affiliation will not figure in the vote.

That's Really What The Man Said

A group of disgruntled Fair Dealers met near Austin recently and the gathering was very appropriately held in a building on a project which the Federal Government authorized in its wildest spending days.

There was a general reminiscing about the days when "We never had it so good" but the statement that floored all who read it later in the press was by a Mr. Fagan Dickson who denounced all the traitors to the party who were for "this modern doctrine of States Rights".

So help us, that is what he was reported in the press to have said.

SOUTHERN BAPTISTS WANT NOTHING TO DO WITH NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES

Here's How A Big University Deals With Its Communists

The wife of the Washington Post editor, Mrs. Agnes Meyer, asserted recently that it was "shameful" for a Congressional Committee to investigate the infiltration of Communism into our universities.

In no uncertain terms she denounced such probes and insisted that university officials themselves, were perfectly capable of cleaning subversives out of their institutions.

Here's a fair sample of how these officials "clean out" Communists and fellow travellers from their ranks.

In 1934 in an address to the Association of Foreign Correspondents, Corliss Lamont said: "We will use violence, if necessary, to reach the Socialist goal. The capitalist class will not allow Democratic procedure".

Lamont was then, AND STILL IS, a teacher and lecturer at Columbia University.

It has been nineteen years since he made this threat that our government would be overthrown by revolution, and in the interim, he has made and written thousands of even more subversive and inflammatory assertions, and, instead of being fired from Columbia, he has had increasing honors and promotions extended him by that school.

He makes no secret of his Communist affiliation. In fact, he admits it openly and without shame.

In addition, Communist cells on that campus have been exposed by former Communists who belonged to them.

This is only one instance of what happens when the responsibility of cleaning Communists out of their ranks is left to the officials of our educational institutions.

Since we have, on occasion, written frankly of the National Council of Churches and its devotion to World Socialism, we were encouraged to see some side-swipes made at this organization by the Southern Baptist Convention recently in session at Houston.

Without calling names, but leaving no doubt as to his meaning, Dr. J. D. Grey, retiring president of the Southern Baptist group which numbers 7,634,493 members in its organization told the convention that Baptists would do their own job without "affiliation with interdenominational or non-denominational groups."

Dr. Grey hinted that pressure was being exerted on Southern Baptists to become members of such groups and stated that there were rumors of such affiliation in the near future. He dismissed such rumors with the remark that "those who know the least always do the most talking".

The Southern Baptists have refused from the first to have anything to do with the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches and have maintained their historic aloofness from non-Baptist activities.

Generally speaking, Southern Baptists have refrained from participating in any of the Socialist activities in which, unfortunately many church groups are now engaged.

In scattered areas, even Southern Baptists have been taken in by "Social Action" programs which are disguised racial propaganda, but these instances have been rare.

Attractive Sticker Is Designed By Clever Woman

Our congratulations go to Marcia Matthews of McAllen, Texas, for designing the cleverest sticker we have seen to be placed on letters and other parcels to be mailed.

In beautiful colors of red, white and blue it has a picture of the American Flag and these words: "Fight Communism."

For two dollars, one gets a large batch of these stickers, and, at the same time, helps her one-woman crusade in behalf of Americanism.

Somehow, we have a sort of fellow-feeling for women who are ready to stick their necks out and invite attack because of their defense of principles in which they believe.

AFTER A TWENTY-YEAR VACATION

(Continued From Page 1)

relations would be formulated and conducted by able, red-blooded American patriots whose chief concern was the safety, security and well-being of the United States and not by career-happy diplomats whose sole interest was in perpetuating the policies of the perfumed perverts in the Truman administration.

There are enough patriotic, clear-thinking statesmen in the United States Senate, both Republican and Democrats, to develop and hold leadership in a situation where the rudderless ship of state seems to be drifting in an ocean of chaos, confusion and doubt.

Many times in the tragic days of the New Deal and the Fair Deal, they formed a temporary coalition putting principles above party and exerting authority which saved this country from Executive folly but there has never been a time when combined action by these forces was more desperately needed than now.

They should meet with the President and demand that, in pursuance of a Constitutional directive, he formulate and submit a definite and clear-cut general foreign policy for the consideration and approval of the Senate in order that all Americans, including those who are doing the fighting and dying, may know, once and for all, where their country stands in regard to its enemies.

American fighting forces are compelled to operate under a foreign policy in dealing with Chinese Communists which is vastly different from that announced by the State Department.

This policy is very direct, forceful and effective although too often fatal to the brave men who must enforce it.

Under this policy, they must meet the enemy head-on and either kill him or get killed.

Washington leaders cannot, in honor, proclaim a weaker and less courageous one.

TRADE WITH COMMUNIST CHINA IS MORE IMPORTANT TO ENGLAND THAN THE LIVES OF AMERICAN SOLDIERS

We'll buy the courageous statement of Senator William F. Knowland of California to the effect that if England persists in her policy of appeasement of Communist China, the United States will go it alone.

We will even go farther and say that "going it alone" has been the policy of this country since our great leaders of the long ago pointed out the danger of entangling alliances with foreign powers, up until Roosevelt and Truman.

How American leaders can tolerate England's frank assertion that trade with Communist China is more important to her than the lives of the men who have died in Korea is something that is beyond our understanding.

This tolerance can only be accounted for by the obvious fact that our national thinking has descended to a very low level under the administrations of two presidents whose chief concern was for the welfare of alien peoples and who had very little regard for the stability, safety and security of their own government.

Senator Knowland's pronouncement indicated that we have at least a few leaders who have inherited a spark of the courage and patriotism which motivated such great Americans as Washington, Monroe and others who realized, early in the game, that we must put our trust in the American people, and in the American people alone.

In the final analysis, this view will be proved to be sound, but, if, in the meantime, we have lost our freedom, our sovereignty and our republic, the lesson will have been learned too late.

Contempt For The Constitution Has Steadily Increased

How far we have travelled away from the fundamentals on which the nation was founded is brought back to us almost every day in activities of all branches of our once great government.

The most glaring examples are in the manner in which we have aped foreign ideologies in the framing of legislation, in executive pronouncements and in judiciary rulings.

George Washington thought so highly of the Constitution and the American form of government that he felt it would serve as a model for other countries of the world to follow.

Little did he dream that later and lesser leaders would take an opposite view and would be constantly trying to re-shape it in an old world mold.

In his Farewell Address, Washington expressed the hope:

"That the free Constitution, which is the work of your hands, may be sacredly maintained; that its administration in every department may be stamped with wisdom and virtue; that, in fine, the happiness of the people of these States, under the auspices of liberty, may be made complete, by so careful a preservation and so prudent a use of this blessing, as will acquire to them the glory of recommending it to the applause, the affection and adoption of every nation, which is yet a stranger to it".

Crusader For "One World" Gets His Head Examined

Garry Davis an American who repudiated his native land soon after World War II and set up a one-man crusade for World Government, has made the headlines again.

Proclaiming himself a "citizen of the world" in 1946, he took up residence in Europe and proceeded to air his crack-pot views wherever he went.

Now England has given him the heave-ho and told him to get for home.

His "world citizenship" didn't do him any good in getting a job over there for they are not soft like we are. They save the jobs for their own people while we take the aliens to our hearts and give them the works.

Before booting him out, English authorities sent Davis to the hospital for psychiatric observation.

This was a very wise precaution and we would go further and say that anybody who is for "World Government" and who is willing to repudiate their own land for a world state should have their head examined.

Movement To Repeal Sixteenth Amendment Is Near To Goal

The Western Tax Council of Chicago is the originator and principal proponent of the movement to repeal the Sixteenth, or Income Tax, amendment to the Constitution and substitute one which limits the amount of taxes which the Federal government may levy to a maximum of twenty-five per cent.

The Council advises that twenty-nine States have now petitioned the Congress to submit such an amendment to the States for ratification, leaving only three States to take similar action when Congress will be compelled to act in the matter.

One of the two ways by which the Constitution may be amended is for thirty-two States to demand such amendment and it then becomes mandatory on Congress to submit the amendment back for ratification by thirty six States, after which the amendment becomes part of the Constitution.

The Western Tax Council has worked hard and conscientiously for this amendment to limit taxes since 1939 and with the goal so near at hand, it would be a tragedy for the splendid work of the Council not to be completed while many legislatures are currently in session.

Name Of England's Government Changed But Not Its Policies

A recent election in England showed that the Laborites gained back several seats they had lost in a former election.

We are not surprised that the situation there is confusing and that the electorate see-saws back and forth between Conservatives and Socialists, in registering their preference.

When Winston Churchill and his Conservative Party came back into power the people of England had a right to expect a return to orderly government, the denationalization of all industry, and a repudiation of Socialized medicine.

Instead, they found they had merely gotten a change in names of their leaders and administration, but still had the same type of government as before.

Perhaps the voters decided that if they are to continue to have a Socialist government, they had as well vote for Socialists in the first place and be done with it.

There is no doubt that they have a point there.

WHAT A BOOK THE SENATE REPORT ON INSTITUTE OF PACIFIC RELATIONS WOULD MAKE!!

There are sixteen volumes to the Report of the Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate on the Institute of Pacific Relations and there must be at least one million separate stories in the mass of testimony if one had the time to work them up.

Much of the testimony hinged on secret papers and records of the Institute which had been hidden in a barn in New England on the farm of Edward C. Carter, executive secretary of the Institute. Carter was also, for a time, a consultant to the United Nations.

Even a casual glance at these sixteen volumes explains why the papers were hidden away in a barn. The wonder is that they were not burned as most of the officials of the Institute seemed to have had a mania for writing letters and putting their intimate thoughts into words which must have hacked them when reproduced in the records of Congress.

Carter, himself, was a prodigious letter writer and his missives cover literally hundreds of pages of the report.

Testimony from officials of the Institute showed that from 1925 through 1950, the Institute received \$2,536,000, fifty per cent of which came from the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations but much of the money must have been spent in travelling expenses from the way the various officials of the Institute flitted around over the world.

From the Kokusai Hotel at Seishin, Chosen, Korea on July 17th, 1937 Carter wrote a letter to "Dear Bill", presumably W. L. Holland, an executive officer of both the International Institute of Pacific Relations and the American Institute of Pacific Relations in which he said:

"Owen had a wonderful time with Mao. Owen is in fine form." Anybody may have two guesses as to who "Owen" is and also who "Mao" is.

We are sure that it is merely a coincidence that Owen Lattimore was editor of "Pacific Affairs" official organ of the Institute and that Mao is the first name of the leader of the Chinese Communist forces who are now shooting down American soldiers in Korea.

Any way, Carter goes on with his chatty letter: "I missed my rendezvous with Wittvogel at Peitako because I left Peiping on an emergency train that went out on a few minutes notice and I had no chance to wire him. He gets more monumental all the time. If you go to Manchuria see Ludden, the American vice consul at Mukden. (He is eager for contacts). Tell him I sent you. Get him to introduce you to his Chief, Langden. If you go to Hsinking call on all the people in Foreign Affairs, Ohashi, Tsutsui, Matsamura and Pakh. Ask questions of as many of them as you can, separately. My time in Mukden and Hsinking was brief but terribly interesting and valuable but I can't tell you about it here."

A "PS" to this interesting letter says: "Please make a copy of my letter to Tomo and send it to me at Moscow and send a copy by air mail to Kate Mitchell, Hotel

Crillon, Paris, but ask her not to circulate it. It is only for you and Kate".

Holland, himself, was no slouch as a letter writer and often punned playfully with those to whom his communications were addressed. In December, 1933, he wrote a letter to Miss Lucy Knox in Moscow. Miss Knox had been a stenographer for the Institute in Honolulu earlier but by 1933 was living in Moscow where she worked for the Moscow Daily News of which the Russian propagandist, Michael Borodin, was editor.

"My dear Lucy", wrote Holland. "Doreen and I were greatly cheered to get your charming exposition of latter-day Marxism. I took the liberty of showing it to a number of people in the New York office to their great enjoyment. I am awfully glad you are learning . . . about the economic foundations of history. Unfortunately, you are in the wrong country for studying social revolutions and I should advise you to hurry back to New York as soon as you can in order to witness the last vestiges of capitalism in this country before it is quietly buried under a pile of codes written at Washington by a bevy of young professors from Columbia . . . We are immensely interested at the office in your pleasant remarks about Borodin, so much so that I am asked by Owen Lattimore who, as you perhaps know, has been made editor of the new Pacific Affairs, on a quarterly basis, to inquire whether you could discuss with Borodin the possibility of his writing an article for us of five or six thousand words on some aspect of his work in the Chinese Revolution, or on the present-day opinion in the Soviet Union on the development of Communism in China . . . If Borodin, himself, does not wish to write, would it be possible for you to interview him and let us have the article in that form? I do not need to tell you that we really do not mind what the subject is about so long as it has to do with the Pacific in some way. We really are crazy to get something from Borodin. The fee for an article in the new regime is \$50 but we should probably be prepared to pay \$100 for a suitable article directly from Borodin".

Borodin was later sent to China where he was largely instrumental in organizing the Chinese Communist Party there.

In another letter to Miss Knox, Holland addressed her as "My Dear Comrade Lucy". When asked by members of the Committee of the Senate why he addressed her thus, Holland replied, according to the record: "I was not aware that I had done so, but I know one of the letters I wrote her was in a flippant vein in which I kidded her and said she was in the wrong country if she wanted to study revolutions because she ought to be back here — this was in the early days of the New Deal — and see a real social revolution going on".

These are only a few lines out of millions in the record of sixteen thick volumes and give a faint idea of the persons who were handling the managerial end of American foreign policy in Asia under Roosevelt and Truman, an agency financed largely by tax-exempt American Foundations.

Add contradictory and confusing note: Following the announcement of Interior Secretary McKay that the policy of the Eisenhower administration would be to discontinue government competition with private business, administration leaders in the Senate proceeded to try to make a liar

of him. They restored the program for building 35,000 public housing units which the House had cut out and also knocked out a House provision which would have restricted slum clearance operations. If that isn't competing with private builders, we would like to know what it is.

The Southern Conservative

A monthly publication of
editorial opinion with
national circulation.

Ida M. Darden, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year
(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress,
members of State Legislatures, Governors,
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind
but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

The Tenth Amendment To The Constitution of The United States:

The powers not delegated to the
United States by the Constitution, nor
prohibited by it to the States are re-
served to the States respectively, or to
the people.

A Man Named Sherman Gets The Last Laugh

In Atlanta, Georgia, which has achieved the dubious distinction in recent years of being the "Liberal" center of the South, a Negro Communist Fronter has just been elected as a member of the white school board.

The Negro's name is Ralph E. Clement and it is liberally sprinkled on the pages of Washington Committees to investigate organizations set up to destroy the American form of Government.

Some of the subversive groups to which Clement has belonged are American Committee for Protection of Foreign Born, designated by the Un-American Activities Committee as being "one of the oldest auxiliaries of the Communist Party in the United States."

Another is the National Conference on American Policy in China and Far East, cited by the California Committee on Un-American Activities as subversive; Committee of Welcome for "Red Dean" of Canterbury; Progressive Citizens of America cited as a "new and broader Communist front for the entire United States" by the Un-American Activities Committee of California; Southern Conference for Human Welfare cited as "typical of the Communist-controlled organizations" in the U.S.; National Federation for Constitutional Liberties claimed by the Attorney General of the United States as being "part of what Lenin called the solar system of organizations" and many others of equally sinister purposes.

Private information is that responsible persons of Atlanta are burned up over this indignity, but if the majority there want to return to the status of carpet-bagger days, that is their privilege.

It would seem however that in undertaking such a radical experiment, they would have selected some Negro without a Communist Front record and gradually worked up to a real Party member.

From a student in a Theological Seminary at Dallas: "I read every word of the Southern Conservative and am with you as near one hundred per cent as it is humanly possible to be. I admire your boldness, yet sweetness, in the expression of your ideas."

From an 81-year old lady in Los Angeles who is still young enough to do patriotic work for her country: "Enclosed is check for my best-loved newspaper. I'm still battling the Reds in our schools here but the Commies are not discouraged. They have committees working all the time and will keep on trying to re-instate UNESCO."

American Taxpayers Are Taken For A \$23,350,000,000 Ride

In the settlement of the lend-lease account between Great Britain and the United States, American taxpayers were done out of the tidy little sum of \$23,350,000,000 according to Lloyd Whitney of Chicago who, as every good American should, checks up on government transactions ever so often.

"The 'settlement' of this account, as revealed in a United States Treasury Report of the matter, means that a millstone of debt has been hung around the necks of generations of unborn American children", Mr. Whitney writes.

"According to this Report, there was owing to the United States from Great Britain the sum of \$29,000,000,000 while the United States owed Great Britain \$5,000,000,000," Mr. Whitney continued.

"After American and British diplomats had gotten together and 'compromised' the matter, the United States, as usual, was left holding the sack.

"After deducting the \$5,000,000,000 which the United States owed Great Britain from the \$29,000,000,000 which Great Britain owed us, there should have been a balance due us from Great Britain of \$24,000,000,000, but it didn't turn out that way.

"According to the Treasury Report, it was decided that Great Britain owed us \$650,000,000 to be paid in fifty annual installments at 2% interest, with the provision that in any year Great Britain's national income was below a certain average, interest was to be forgiven and marked off.

"Somewhere along the line somebody got gypped out of \$23,350,000,000 and it wasn't Great Britain."

From a young American business man in San Jose, Costa Rica: "Living here in Central America, I find myself on the outside looking in, as it were, to the affairs of my country. It is an inspiring experience to read your fine publication when I have the opportunity. I commend you for exposing the innumerable Communist Front organizations and crooked unions. You are doing a magnificent job and your paper is like a breath of clean air in this chaotic world of today."

The Civil Service law has been generally regarded as a sound measure but the sinister implications of it are just now coming out when it is proving to be a medium for continuing a political administration in power, regardless of who is elected. The law should be repealed or at least amended.

Somehow, in all the discussions attending truce negotiations, one gets the impression that the main consideration is the security and welfare of Communist prisoners of war.

Regardless of propaganda to the contrary, the United States is more tolerant of minority groups than any other country on earth. As evidence of this, a minority group controls the mercantile business, the motion picture industry, the garment industry, the jewelry business, radio, television, international banking and many other activities and during the past twenty years has acquired a large measure of control over government. What other country permits a minority group to have such control or shows more "tolerance"?

Any one familiar with propaganda will instantly recognize the flood now being directed at the American people in support of National Socialism and World Government by journalists, lecturers, politicians, educators, ministers and others who shape public thinking.

DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES OF THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

The following Declaration of Principles, published many times heretofore in the Southern Conservative, will continue to be the policies of the paper.

Those of us who are responsible for initiating the Southern Conservative plead guilty to the charge of being hopelessly old-fashioned as we love our own country with a blind and passionate devotion, above all others on earth.

We find ourselves without the slightest desire to change our form of government to conform to foreign ideologies or to attempt to re-shape it in the Soviet mold.

We are convinced that those who founded this Republic framed the greatest instrument for the government of men ever devised by human minds.

We asserted in our first edition, and now re-affirm, that the Southern Conservative is not interested in the political fortunes of any man or of any political party and is concerned solely in principles of government.

In our discussions of State and National issues, we shall show no more partiality to the Tycoons of Industry than we shall to the Czars of Labor.

In fact, we seem to remember that some of the biggest business men in the nation teamed up with labor union racketeers in playing ball with the New Deal and the Fair Deal when those economic atrocities were riding the crest.

The courage, integrity and patriotism which must be commanded if the Republic is to survive will not be found exclusively among representatives of big business or leaders of labor unions.

It must be drawn from that vast group of average Americans who ask nothing of government except that it secure them in their inalienable right to work, to save and to keep a reasonable share of the fruits of their toil.

We are against the domination of government either by big business or big labor and we maintain that favoritism to either group on the part of a State or National administration is un-American, immoral and a flagrant abuse of power.

We acknowledge our indebtedness to the courageous and patriotic Coalition Bloc of sound-thinking Democrats and Republicans in both Houses of Congress which has prevented Communistic influences from complete domination of our Federal government.

We are opposed to the reckless squandering of the taxpayers' money by incompetent, irresponsible and improvident public officials and we contend that taxes should be levied for purposes of revenue only and not to punish the rich, reward the poor or redistribute the wealth.

We are firmly committed to the principle of States Rights and we hold that the people of any part of the United States are entitled to maintain their own social customs and traditions without interference from politicians seeking votes to perpetuate themselves in power.

We are unequivocally in favor of Free Enterprise as opposed to government ownership of business and insist on the responsibility of every American to seek to improve his social and economic condition through initiative, energy and thrift rather than leaning on the arm of government for support.

We concede to every man the right to work and the opportunity of profitable employment regardless of whether he does, or does not, belong to a labor union.

On the other hand, we claim for the employer the irrevocable right to hire and fire his employees without instructions from any government agency, or intimidation by Federal Police.

We feel that at this crucial time in history, it is imperative that newspapers and other groups seeking to influence public opinion should exert every effort within their power to help preserve our republican form of government, our Free Enterprise system and American way of life. We shall exert all our energies to that end.

These are the sentiments of the SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE and although ours may be only one small voice crying in the wilderness, we will fight for fundamental American principles, as we understand them, without apology to any man or set of men.

BELIEVE IT OR NOT, GIRL SCOUTS ARE ADVISED TO READ BOOKS OF LANGSTON HUGHES AND DOROTHY CANFIELD FISHER

American "Liberals" are rushing in to print to protest against efforts being made by Congressional Committees to investigate Communism in this country and to determine how successfully subversion and anti-Americanism is being channelled into American schools and institutions of higher learning.

For the information of Americans who may be influenced by these bleeding hearts, we call attention to the fact that not only the schools, colleges and universities are being subjected to infiltration by Communist influences, but that unrelated groups of youngsters are also subject to this deadly menace.

Those who have any doubt on the subject may read the "Girl Scout Leader," official girl scout publication for February 1953 and they will get an eye-ful of evidence which should shock them into immediate action.

On page 24 of this publication, there is a column titled "Just What You Want" in which reference is made to a book by Langston Hughes, Negro Communist, whose title is "The First Book of Negroes" which the children are advised to read in these words:

"A children's book written by a distinguished man of letters whose style will charm adults as well. Both Negro and white readers are likely to find here facts that are unfamiliar and interesting."

Hughes is the same Negro whose blasphemous so-called poem titled "Good Bye Christ" heaping vile denunciations on the Divine creator was so revolting that even some of the staunchest fellow travellers didn't have the stomach to take it. Recently he appeared before a Committee of Congress and admitted that his writings were unfit to send to soldiers overseas.

And yet, they are recommended to little white American girls as a "source for facts that are unfamiliar and interesting".

On the same page under the subtitle "Human Rights" those who train Girl Scouts are given this advice:

"Girl Scout leaders will find help in interpreting the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the purpose and work of the United Nations in Dorothy Canfield Fisher's new book 'A Fair World For Us All'."

Dorothy Canfield Fisher is listed officially in records of Congress as belonging to so many Communist Fronts that it would not be possible to enumerate them here.

In the House Report No. 1954 of the 2nd session of the Eighty-First Congress of the United States, page 18, in the first column Langston Hughes is charged with belonging to from 71 to 80 Communist Front organizations and in the sixth column Dorothy Canfield Fisher is charged with belonging to from 11 to 20 such Communist groups. Between them, at most, they belong to 100 Communist organizations set up to help overthrow the American government and at the least, they belong jointly to 82.

If American parents want their children's views on citizenship influenced by black male Communist Fronters or white female subversives, that seems to be what they are getting but if they don't, they had better take a good long searching look into what is going on.

Get Out of The Hate House

(From an editorial by Richard Lloyd Jones in the Tulsa Tribune)

... Theoretically and poetically the U. N. was a great and beautiful dream. But when a traitor to our country is authorized to draft its operation, the dream becomes a nightmare.

By every exhibition of generosity we, the American people, have shown an eagerness to be a big brother to the other nations of the world. But other nations hate us for the very nobility we foster.

We have helped the French. We taxed ourselves to buy their loaves and butter their bread. And they hate us.

With the same generosity we helped the Italians and with the same return of ingratitude.

We helped the English. They have bungled their own imperial business as badly as stupidity could do it. We embraced them as our English cousins. We have opened our purses to help them find their way back to some sound, sane, economic sense. But they show no sense. And for all our generosity the English hate us. It is time we are honest about these international relations. They are undeniable arithmetic facts.

Turkey, Denmark, Finland, Greece and Japan are the only friends we have left. And if we stay in the United Nations much longer we won't have them.

And why can't we keep a few friends in spite of the United Nations? For the simple reason that the United Nations is nothing but a hot bed of treason and treachery. Every nation in it hates every other nation. They are all jealous of each other. The great big spirit of brotherly love never percolated into the consciousness of the pompous delegates that assemble in the United Nations.

Mr. Hiss saw to it that Russia would have the power to veto not only us, but all of them collectively.

It is understandable why the Congress of the United States, under the New Deal and Fair Deal administrations, failed to protect the American people. Their leaders were filled with alien ideas. But now that the people have registered their revolt there can be no excuse for the Eisenhower administration to fail us. Dulles and the Senate must act. We must withdraw our country from the United Nations organization and tell the U. N. delegates to pack their bags and scram. GET GONE.

We never should have brought these rats to our shores. They have abused our generosity at every turn. They have filled the United Nations building with spies. We gave the United Nations the monumental building it occupies for its offices. Trustingly, we placed them right in the heart of our biggest city. And they filled it with spies.

The United Nations is a hornet's nest. It is full of haters. They are collected there to sting us. How long must we be stupid? How long must we wait for our elected Representatives and Senators to show a soldier's courage and protect us?

Seven years ago the war in Europe ended. And we still have an army in Europe. Why? Because the nations over there don't know how to behave and we can't trust them. And we can't trust them here. The whole thing is a hideous bungle. And the only thing to do now is to get rid of the whole mess.

We sent Senator Wiley, of Wisconsin, and other foreign affairs committee experts over to look at the situation from the European side. Dulles and Stassen go to Europe to look the field over. The field is as obvious as the shoes on their feet. We have fooled with foreign fools long enough. It is time to quit fooling...

ISRAEL PUTNAM LEFT HIS PLOW TO SAVE HIS COUNTRY. THIS ONE LEFT HIS BANANA SPLIT

The propaganda pamphlet entitled "The Hate Campaign Against the United Nations" published by the Beacon Press of Boston and which attacks Americans who do not see eye to eye with them on World Government, was referred to in these columns last month.

The pamphlet was written by one Gordon D. Hall whose record we promised to look into and publish in this edition.

We find this is rather difficult because of the insignificance and obscurity of the individual in question and because of his relative unimportance even in the circles in which he moves.

There are smear organizations and smear organizations.

Some of them are so powerful that even Congress steers clear of them when investigating Communist Fronts in this country.

These top-bracket smear groups have unlimited millions at their command and are capable of wielding the far brush so effectively that careers of high public officials may be blacked out on their order.

Also, there are a whole slue of small-fry smearers whose relation to the big-time smearers may be best described as the difference between a pickpocket and an international confidence man.

Way down at the bottom of the rung in this latter group is something known as "Friends of Democracy", named, presumably, by some one who didn't know that this country is not a "Democracy" and has yet to learn that it is a Constitutional Republic.

Any way, this Hall person, it seems, was for a time associated with and learned his tactics from this organization whose mission is to track down and "expose" those defilers of "Democracy" who dare to defend the American form of government and uphold the Constitution of the United States.

In our case, Hall was a little late as we had already "exposed" ourselves.

His "exposure" of our opposition to the United Nations is sort of anticlimatic, not to say ridiculous, since the Southern Conservative carrying our frank editorial views on this international conspiracy has gone to every member of Congress, every member of the legislature in every State of the Union and other policy-making officials and molders of public opinion in education, religion and government as well as to our list of subscribers in every State and some foreign countries.

From what we can gather, Hall had a perfectly honorable and legitimate

As evidence of its close connection with UNESCO, the National Education Association's official publication, NEA Journal for October, 1952, says on page 418: "On the International front these (NEA) organizations work for peace. Their ideas mean much to the World Confederation of Organizations of the Teaching Profession and to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. The NEA particularly exercises strong influence in this field".

When Demosthenes was asked to assign three reasons for his success in life, he replied: "First, Action, Second Action and Third, Action". Perhaps his formula for success is the same today.

position some years ago as a twelve dollar a week soda jerker in a New York drug store.

While calmly and efficiently going about his business of mixing cherry sodas and concocting banana splits, he had a sudden and acute attack of social consciousness from which he has never completely recovered.

Just like that, he decided that our economic, political and social system was all wrong and that he was just the man to set it to rights.

His call to social service was temporarily interrupted by a prior call from Uncle Sam to go into the Army but he didn't let this slow him down. While stationed in the Aleutian Islands he prepared himself for his future consecrated career by snooping around at mess time and making sure that there was no "discrimination" in the food served the white and colored troops.

With this background to fit him for a career of social readjusting, it was inevitable that as soon as he was out of the Army, he should drift into the "Friends of Democracy" headquarters.

He was given a job there where his duties were a sort of cross between a top-flight messenger boy and an amateur sleuth.

He claims in published interviews that he would go to the organizations which were on the blacklist of "Friends of Democracy" and, under an assumed name, ask for information and literature. He could have had this data under his own name, but, according to those who knew him at the time, this anonymous and mysterious role seemed to satisfy a suppressed Sherlock Holmes complex. He fancied himself as doing "undercover work."

While with "Friends of Democracy" he had the benefit of the inspiring companionship, such figures as L. M. Birkhead and Rex Stout and boasted of the friendship of Max Lerner. (For lack of space we must resist the temptation to give the record of these gents.)

He left "Friends of Democracy" after a few years, explaining in an interview in a left-wing paper that the "dirty work" he had to do had affected his personality.

So he transferred his "dirty work" to a publication which he started called "Countertide" which struggled through five editions and then flopped and folded.

Since that time, he has been doing free-lance smearing on his own where he occasionally runs into crossfire, especially when he attacks such modest and shrinking violets and timid souls as Vivien Kellems, the Connecticut Fireball, Lucille Cardin Crain, nationally known authority on subversive textbooks, Susane Stevenson, head of the Minute Women of America and your humble editor.

John L. Lewis suggested to a Senate Committee recently that all labor legislation of the past twenty years should be repealed. We will go Lewis one better and say that practically every legislative proposal made by the New Deal and enacted during the past twenty years should be repealed and a new start made. This should include recognition of Russia.

Ex-Senator Henry Cabot Lodge announces that all American subversives will be out of the United Nations by October first. Good Americans who get fired are lucky if they are given two weeks notice when they are booted out of a job.

MIG PILOT OFFERED \$100,000 BRIBE WITH A HOT DATE THROWN IN FOR GOOD MEASURE

General Mark Clark's very odd gesture toward hastening victory in Korea by promising to give \$100,000 to any Communist pilot who would surrender in a MIG plane, has had its corollary in Hollywood.

A young woman there, according to a recent story in a large daily newspaper in which she is described as a "glamorous and affectionate blonde", offers to up the ante of General Clark and, as an added inducement, to give the pilot a date.

The young lady whose name is given as Kathleen Hughes posed for a picture which was carried with the story in which she was dressed in a modish brassiere and a smile and handled herself in a way to effectively project appurtenances that usually mean a quick sale in the case of a dairy farmer seeking additions to his herd.

Unfortunately the edition of the paper carrying the picture of Miss Hughes, and her offer, probably will not find its way behind the Chinese Communist lines and the saffron-hued pilots will no doubt remain loyal to their country.

Maybe it's beside the point, but the incident set us to wondering what ever happened to a great and respected nation of the not far distant past; a nation that never went to war in the first place except by authority of Congress; a nation which regarded war as a grim and tragic business with human life as the forfeit and with no comic opera angles; a nation which would have court-martialed its military authorities who ordered their troops to shoot only at selected targets and to withhold fire from strategic enemy supply lines and who conducted involved, meaningless and unending negotiations and truces and who gave conciliating promises and offered bribes.

In other words, we wonder what became of a Peerless American Republic that once went to war only in defense of its own honor or the safety and security of its own people, whose one objective in battle was not to fumble but to win and whose national leaders understood, without being reminded, that, in war, "there is no substitute for victory".

We Are The Sucker Who Must Succor The World

The administration didn't ask our advice but we would have suggested that instead of cutting our own Air Force allotment by \$5,000,000,000, we cut out the money being sent to foreign countries.

True economy, it seems to us, does not lie in crippling our own security but in reducing the expenditures to other countries whose economic worries are not our responsibility.

Practically all our trouble stems from our abandonment of the Monroe Doctrine, and the taking over of the political, economic and social problems of the world.

Since the Roosevelt administration first adopted this policy, not only have American taxpayers been forced to give till it hurts to support the people of other countries but we have gradually changed from a peaceful nation to one whose economy is permanently geared to war.

All of which re-emphasized the wisdom of our early leaders who warned against entangling alliances with foreign countries, and points up the fallacy of the current philosophy which holds that we are the sucker who must succor the world.

Notorious Tramp Denounces Country Which Befriended Him

In London recently Charlie Chaplin, the millionaire tramp who made his fortune in America, declared that the reason he had given up residence here was because of the "unhealthy atmosphere" in this country in which "liberal individuals can be singled out and persecuted".

There is an unhealthy atmosphere here all right and it is persons like Chaplin who have created it.

Instead of seeking citizenship in the land which had given him his opportunity to make millions, he joined with others of his ilk in subversive organizations to undertake the destruction of the American form of government under which he had profited far beyond his desserts.

Like a lot of the other vermin in human form which crawls out of the steerage and starts attacking the country which gives them refuge, he divided his spare time about equally between subversive activities against the United States and violations of its moral laws.

Europe can have him and the United States is well rid of such trash.

We only wish it were possible to deport all the rest of his breed who are doing their dead level best to wreck American institutions.

TEXANS DO NOT NEED COMMUNIST FRONTERS TO ENTERTAIN THEM

As we have pointed out time and time again, the most effective way of choking off Communist activities in this country is to stop helping to finance them.

This also holds good in the case of individuals who have been identified with Communist fronts whose objective is the overthrow of the American form of government.

Patronizing such persons and contributing to their personal fortune by employing them at huge salaries is tacit endorsement of their underhand and subversive activities.

In this connection, many Texans have been amazed and disgusted that the management of the great State Fair of Texas at Dallas allowed its musical director to invite Jose Ferrer of Hollywood to sing in the Fair's Annual Musicals this summer.

According to Dallas newspapers, the invitation has been extended and acceptance is breathlessly awaited in the opinion of a Dallas columnist who says: "No contracts are signed but it looks like a deal".

The State Fair of Dallas belongs to all the people of Texas whose patronage throughout the years has built it into an institution of such magnitude that it has commanded the attention of all the world.

If we know Texans, the majority of them do not like Communist Fronters or fellow travellers and do not wish to be entertained by them so long as there are good patriotic Americans left to do the job.

The Committee on Un-American Activities of the United States Congress, specifically lists Ferrer as having participated in a long list of Communist-inspired activities

What A Prophet Lenin Turned Out To Be When He Said We Would Spend Ourselves Out of Existence

The Methodist Church, leader in the National Council of Churches movement, in promoting social mixing of blacks and whites, and similar activities is working now to "bring good drama back to the churches where it originated" according to an article in the Los Angeles Times. We did not know it originated in the churches but were not surprised to read further that the first play to be put on in the Los Angeles area will depict life in South Africa taken from a "sensitive South African tale" by Alan Paton of South Africa. A Broadway Negro actor will play the lead.

Red sympathizers persist in referring to those Americans in the Congress who are investigating the infiltration of Communism into American institutions as "witch hunters" but the investigators should not mind, and especially when they consider how many "witches" they have found and exposed. It is a case of polecats spraying hunters who are out to kill skunks.

When Owen Lattimore wrote his "Guilt By Slander" alibi some two years ago, fellow travelling book reviewers fell all over each other in plugging its sale. John T. Flynn has counter-attacked with "The Lattimore Story" but, to date, we have not seen his factual and documented volume even mentioned by the "impartial" evaluators of American literature.

One of the most desperate needs of this dark hour is for a complete Americanization of Washington thinking, if any.

It is high time that our alleged leaders were weaned away from global considerations and, for a change, compelled to devote a little concern and common sense to the solution of pressing problems in the country whose Constitution they swore to uphold.

A member of Congress has announced in the press that, since the Federal give-away program was started in 1948, Congress has tossed off \$32,000,000,000 to various countries scattered around the world.

After five years, Congress has finally gotten around to ordering an audit of this program to find out, if possible, where the money went and how it was spent.

If the head of a big industry in private enterprise should conduct its affairs in such an inexcusably haphazard manner, he would either be sent to an insane asylum or be indicted and convicted under our criminal statutes.

At the same time, a story was carried in the press to the effect that the Federal government is unable to stop the flow of narcotics into this country because we don't have the funds to hire enough agents to cover the Mexican border.

In addition, postal service to the American people has been greatly curtailed because of lack of funds for the Department.

Also, we are advised that there is no immediate relief for over-burdened and long-suffering taxpayers as "taxes can't be reduced until the budget is balanced."

One of the steps toward "balancing the budget" was the allotment of \$5,800,000,000 more to be strewed around on the other side of the ocean.

All these billions so carelessly contributed do not include uncounted billions which were given away under the lend-lease program disguised as a "loan" but which was never paid back and never will be.

There are millions of Americans, of course, whose skull would be cracked if their brain should be invaded by an original thought, and to them there is nothing out of line in such procedure on the part of Washington politicians.

Those Americans, however, who keep up with what is going on and who are familiar with every move that is made in the international super-plot against America, are to be forgiven if they consider those who endorse such official conduct as not only fools but criminals.

Unless, by a miracle, enough patriotic leadership is developed in Washington to put a stop to this senseless and suicidal course, we had as well throw in the sponge, hoist the Hammer and Sickle and come out openly under the banner of the forces whose cause we are promoting.

HOFFMAN GIVES ADVICE AS FREELY AS HE TOSSES AROUND OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY

Paul Hoffman, a professional distributor of other people's money, also gives free advice on occasion, most of it bad.

Speaking at some sort of function at Southern Methodist University at Dallas recently, he warned against the people of this country "becoming a nation frightened by the advance of Communism".

He also made the observation that "a frightened man is at best irresponsible, at worst dangerous."

What he should have done, of course, was to warn the staff and students at SMU to become alarmed at the constant encroachment of Communism into the schools and universities of this country and to be on their guard against this insidious foe to Americanism.

After having strewn millions of dollars of American taxpayers' money around over Europe as head of Truman's give-away program, Hoffman was associated with the Ford Foundation where he proved he had no fear of Communism from some of the grants and donations he authorized.

We urge that Mr. Hoffman's advice be completely disregarded and that all Americans become afraid and remain afraid of "the advance of Communism" until they have stopped it.

Also we issue a counter-warning and that is that "an apathetic citizen is at best irresponsible and at worst dangerous".

Here We Go Again With the Same Give-Away Program

We learn from a press dispatch that the State Department has planned for India, Pakistan, Afghanistan and Nepal to receive economic aid from this country in the amount of \$139,500,000 in the fiscal year starting July 1.

These countries, of course, are among those who cordially despise the United States and are now busily engaged in playing one side against the other in the world conflict between Communism, as represented by Russia and her satellites, and Capitalism of the United States.

This money which State Department officials are "allotting" is part of the \$5,800,000,000 foreign aid appropriation which has been requested by the Administration.

It is unfortunate that there are not enough Americans with sufficient backbone and intestinal fortitude to protest this continued give-away program financed by taxpayers' money, and which functions in conformity with the common Communist belief that we will spend ourselves into economic collapse.

If American taxpayers would rise up in their might and assert their Constitutional rights and refuse to have their tax money diverted to the support of foreign nations—and if we had a Supreme Court in the higher meaning of that term—we believe the issue could be threshed out and won on the grounds of simple justice and self-preservation.

As it is, we will probably continue to blunder on from bad to worse and, in the end, fulfill Lenin's prediction which implied that, if given enough rope, we would hang ourselves without any interference by Russia.

California Senate Exposes Tricks of Red School Boards

The Eighth Report of the Senate Investigating Committee on Education of the California Legislature reveals an interesting method employed by School Boards and teachers sympathetic to Communism to prevent parents from registering protests with the Board.

The scheme is referred to as the "audience-packing" plan at open hearings of the Board in which it is impossible for members of the Board to hear petitions brought by dissatisfied taxpayers, because of other matters presented by the "packed" part of the audience, who are always able to get recognition first.

Another technique employed, and one which is used by Communists the world over, is that of booing, foot-stamping and catcalls which drown out the voices of taxpayers with a legitimate complaint.

Another effective scheme to prevent parents and other taxpayers from getting a hearing from the Board in open meeting is the old dodge in which the Chairman of the Board announces, after sensing an unfriendly or hostile attitude on the part of his audience, that only one subject will be considered by the Board at that meeting, such as new school buildings, repairs to buildings and similar excuses which will serve to bar complainants from offering criticism.

With perseverance and courage on the part of parents, these artificial barriers against them will eventually be broken down and the School Board members compelled to face the fact that they are the servants of the taxpayers who elected them and that these taxpayers do not intend to tolerate subversion in the schools.

TIDELANDS MATTER IS AN OLD STORY

Although we have heard most about the steal of the Tidelands by the Truman administration, efforts to grab this property were made as far back as 1938, when a bill was introduced in the Senate by Nye and the House by Hobbs proposing to take over all the oil producing property on coastal lands and make it a "naval reserve".

Following its defeat, it was again introduced in 1939 by the same persons and, again, was killed.

On both occasions, as in the current fight, the opposition to the grab of this property by the Federal government was led by Texans, with officials from California, Louisiana, Florida and other coastal States joining in.

The group protesting these two bills was led by Senator Robert A. Stuart of Fort Worth, acting as attorney for the Texas State Teachers Association whose brief on the subject was publicly praised by Congressman Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania, a member of the House Judiciary Committee which considered the legislation, as being one of the most comprehensive and complete of any he had ever been permitted to read.

On both occasions, the measure was killed in committee and was never allowed to reach the floor.

All of which proves that this theft was not committed on impulse but was rather a deliberate and well-planned crime.

Arkansas Politician Is an Hour Late and a Dollar Short

We believe that most Americans have had their fill of talk on Tidelands and that now that the property has been returned to its legal owners, they would like to forget the whole thing.

They have had to listen to, or read about, so many windbags in both Houses of Congress blow off steam in defense of this theft of state property by the Federal government that they have probably come to hate the name of Tidelands.

But it seems that we are to get no rest from the subject yet awhile for another county has been heard from.

This time it is a publicity-seeking politician from Arkansas, that State's attorney general named Tom Gentry, who insists on getting into the act, even though the show is over, the curtain is down and the lights are out.

This Gentry gent says he is going to take immediate steps to initiate a court fight on behalf of Arkansas, by gum, as soon as the bill becomes law.

Among the many things that Mr. Gentry apparently doesn't know is that the Supreme Court long ago ruled that in policy-making the Court has no jurisdiction as that is a function, solely, of the Congress, and the Tide-

We'll Bet It Is Not Fifteen Times As Red

Radio Moscow has announced that a new university will be opened in the Communist capital soon, according to a dispatch in a London paper, which will be the largest in the world.

In order to give an idea of its immensity, the radio announcement said that it would be "fifteen times bigger than Columbia University in New York City."

Maybe it will be larger than the New York institution, but the dissimilarity will probably end there.

lands measure is definitely a policy-making piece of legislation.

We are not saying, however, that the present Supreme Court would respect the rulings of the eminent jurists who have sat on that body in the past.

We have known of cases where they tossed previous decisions out the window when such decisions conflicted with the political and social views of the men who appointed them, so it could be possible that Gentry will get his oar in.

A Few Sidelights on the International Fool's Forum

The most subtle and diabolical propaganda for mongrelization of the white race which has yet come from subterranean and subversive channels of the United Nations has just made its appearance.

It was published in a national weekly magazine on May 18 which will print anything so long as it is not propaganda—slanted in favor of white native-born Christians in the United States, that is.

In this latest thrust against white civilization, the screwball scientists of UNESCO undertake to project fallacy as fact in a big pitch for racial intermarriage.

These queer birds designated as sociologists, anthropologists and genecists "from all over the world" have set themselves up as final authority on what they call "one of man's most confused and contentious concepts — the concepts of race".

Nobody, of course, is confused about race except a few international social perverts who have worked so hard at their assigned job of mongrelization that they have influenced a large and degenerate following to their program.

We are all of one common ancestor and color, these social defectives claim, and it is all a matter of environment, geography, education, isolation of groups, effects of the sun and similar factors which make races differ in color.

The purpose of their fantastic conclusions is revealed at the end of the article in which they announce happily: "As the economy of backward areas advances, environmental differences will diminish. And as races mingle more and more freely, most genetic differences will disappear".

This unspeakable and obvious propaganda serves one good purpose, at least. The Human Rights covenant is practically doomed any way. Such stuff as this hastens its demise.

Los Angeles School Board members have little appreciation of important world figures.

In fact, they don't recognize "greatness" when they see it right before their eyes.

We learn this from an article in the "United Nations World" by Norman Cousins whose heart bleeds for this insult to genius.

Obviously writing in a serious vein and not intending to be funny, Mr. Cousins tells of Paul Hoffman's appearance before that Board sometime ago to plead for a retention of the UNESCO programs in Los Angeles schools and adds this side-splitting crack: "If the members of the School Board were impressed with the presence of a great American, they showed little awareness of it as a group".

Shame on you, Los Angeles School Board members, for having greatness thrust upon you like that and mistaking it for cheap propaganda and pressure for World Socialism.

From the "United Nations Diary": For Mrs. Roosevelt, it was a personal tragedy not to be able to finish the work on the Human Rights covenant which, as Chairman of the Commission, she guided for so long. But, given the present mood of Congress and some sectors of American public opinion — and possibly it would have been a greater tragedy for her to have labored still more years, only to see the finished documents torn up on Capitol Hill.

The "Diary" is right. There probably never will be a "set of completed and ratified documents" and the lady was lucky to leave while the going was good.

New delegate to U.N.: "Tell me, Comrade Alienstein, have you made already great progress on this World Peace plan?"

Old delegate: "We are here only eight years yet, Comrade Nitwitski, and we should be wasting our time on such things when we got social problems to solve".

THE UNITED NATIONS IS A HOTBED OF HATRED, A HIDEOUT FOR SPIES AND HEADQUARTERS FOR ANTI-AMERICAN CONSPIRATORS

What happened to the "world peace" the "unity among nations", the "collective security", the "allied resistance to aggression" and all the other fancy packages all bound up into one bundle of brotherly love of which we were to be recipients once we had joined the United Nations?

It has been almost eight years now since Alger Hiss and his associates put into writing a United Nations Charter, formulated earlier in Moscow, and this country officially approved it.

Since that day of infamy in 1945 when members of the United States Senate blindly fell into the Kremlin trap and committed us to membership in this one-world plot, there has been no peace on earth, there has been war and rumors of war and American soldiers are scattered around all over the world "from the halls of Montezuma to the shores of Tripoli".

Three years of these eight have been devoted to the prosecution of the "Police Action" in Korea and the "collective security" and "allied resistance to aggression" has consisted of American soldiers doing the fighting and dying over there and the American taxpayers putting up the money to pay for it.

For having shouldered the major part of the financial burden and furnishing the men, material and equipment in the Korean farce, we have been repaid by our "allies" in the United Nations with vituperation, insults and abuse, including open denunciation by British officials of the people and the Constitution of the country which has given of its substance for years to keep the ungrateful bums from starving.

There is not a major power in the United Nations which does not hate this country and which would not double-cross us at the drop of a hammer and sickle.

We should demand that the United States Senate rescind their unfortunate and ill-advised action in voting us into the United Nations, get out of it as soon as possible, refuse to have its headquarters in this country, and give the land back to the Rockefellers who, no doubt, would put it to use in some other form of subsidized subversion.

By withdrawing from it and refusing to contribute any more funds, the whole project would immediately collapse of its own weight for no other country on earth, except the United States, would be sucker enough to underwrite this Communist conspiracy for world socialism.

In our opinion, Paul Gallico's story in the Saturday Evening Post of May 2, on the coronation of Elizabeth II, titled "England's Greatest Moment" is a literary classic of all time. He not only depicts the glory and the splendor of the crowning of an English Queen but he also points out its vanity and its absurdity in this year of 1953.

It was only an insignificant ruling which the Supreme Court made recently, as rulings go, but it was another step toward infringement on the rights of the states by Federal authorities. Down in Fort Bend County, Texas, an election plan has been in effect since 1889 which was satisfactory to all in that area except a few professional agitators and malcontents. So the Supreme Court naturally struck it down.

Go Socialist Young Man And Get Subsidized

An ambitious young man in a Southern State writes us that he would like to become a writer and informs us that when he has finished his college work, he hopes to engage in the same sort of activity we have chosen.

He says he wants to do all he can toward awakening Americans to the excellence of our form of government over that of any other country.

This was fine and we of course were in complete agreement with his sentiments and were preparing to write him an encouraging reply until we came to this naive paragraph:

"There must be big money in the kind of stuff you turn out".

We are all for young Americans becoming active in behalf of Americanism for heaven knows such work is needed but we must advise the young man that if he is expecting financial rewards, he had better begin right now to line up a nice fat job as a cotton picker, or something.

Anyone expecting to edit a publication or go into organizational activity with the hope of profit, had better tie in with one which promotes Socialism, World Government or some other type of Communist propaganda.

In that case, they will get subsidized by the big tax-exempt Foundations and won't have to give a thought to the vulgar subject of money as they go about happily trying to destroy the American way of life.

We certainly wouldn't trade our thankless job for one of that kind; in fact, we could not write any other way than as we do and if we were offered a fortune to labor on the other side, the best we could do would be to sit down to the typewriter and turn out a blank page.

But as for financial benefits from such activity as we have elected to indulge in, we can only describe it by pointing out that, in comparison with us, a church mouse is an Economic Royalist.

Writing of the various appointments of the president one Washington correspondent says that many liberal Democrats feel that "they never had it so good".

From an official of the American Bar Association: "The thirty copies of 'My Night' arrived. My friends who have already received copies are shrieking and my only apprehension is that some one may get an apoplectic stroke. Keep up the good work and lots of power to your extraordinary pen".

"There has never been a government which has willingly decreased its own revenues, or voluntarily lightened the tax burdens of its own citizens. If citizens wish to hold their government within reasonable bounds, they must assert themselves"—Thomas Jefferson.

Roosevelt said: "Stalin is just an old-fashioned Democrat who wanted to save his country. Truman said: "Old Joe is a good fellow".

SINCE THE "VOICE OF AMERICA" HAS MADE NO CONVERTS AMONG ALIENS WE HAD BETTER TRY IT OUT NOW ON SUBVERSIVES HERE

Political Judge Makes A Political Ruling

Occasionally we are reminded of the low estate of the Federal judiciary which is a result of two former presidents' cute little custom of acquiring their Federal judges through political horse trades.

A forceful example is a recent ruling by Judge Luther Youngdahl, a defeated Minnesota politician, in the Lattimore case.

A Federal grand jury had voted seven indictments against the Johns Hopkins University professor following his appearance before a Senate Committee where he gave sworn statements that turned out to be false.

Youngdahl performed not only as a judge but assumed the functions of a jury as well. He threw out four counts in the indictment and prejudged the case in the three others by saying he didn't think they would stand up.

They won't stand up unless tried in a court where the judge does not undertake to pay off political debts by means of judicial decisions.

It is understood that the unethical and unjudicial performance of Youngdahl will form the basis of an appeal to higher authorities.

Taxpayers Are Stuck \$807,000 Per Year To Transport Brass

A smart newspaper reporter on the Chicago Tribune has made an economy suggestion for adoption by the National Defense Department which makes sense but which probably will not be put into effect.

Lloyd Norman interviewed a taxicab driver in Washington who pointed out to him the expensive process by which Pentagon big shots, as well as the small fry, are transported by government automobiles driven by WACS at heavy expense to the taxpayers.

By the use of taxicabs, this expense could be materially reduced, the taxi driver pointed out.

Following up the tip, the reporter went to the Pentagon to get figures on the total cost of the WAC-driven government cars per year.

He didn't get the figures but he got a typical Pentagon reply: "The Army does not have the type of cost accounting which would reflect cost figures."

So Norman rolled up his sleeves and went to work and developed the information the hard way. He found that it costs the taxpayers approximately \$807,000 per year to roll brass hats around Washington, including the upkeep of the WAC chauffeurs.

Further figuring by the reporter showed that if public taxicabs were used, the total cost, including tips, would cost approximately \$200,000, a saving of \$600,000 per year to taxpayers.

Basing our opinion on past performances of Pentagon geniuses, we would guess that the proposal would not even be considered, much less adopted, if for no other reason than that it makes sense.

According to official reports of the Committee of the Judiciary of the United States Senate which investigated the Institute of Pacific Relations, Owen Lattimore, as editor of Pacific Affairs, sent articles submitted to him for publication, to Moscow for approval before publishing them.

Like every other improper activity in which the Federal government has engaged in recent years, the "Voice of America" program of the State Department has been shown by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy's investigation to have been honeycombed with waste, inefficiency and corruption.

Instead of actually being the "Voice of America", the program which has been transmitted to foreign countries by State Department incompetents, turns out to have been the "Mouthpiece of Propaganda", relaying abroad the same old Socialist hogwash with which Americans have been regaled for the better part of twenty years.

After some seven years, and uncounted millions of dollars, the net results of this fantastic venture are reflected in the hatred and disrespect which its recipients now feel and show toward the United States.

There was no precedent or authority, under our Constitutional set-up, for such a program in the first place and, in view of conditions here, it is the most inconsistent activity in which we, as a nation, could indulge.

Friendship cannot be purchased with the expenditure of money and we can only re-capture the universal respect and good will which this country once enjoyed, and later forfeited, by pursuing firm and unyielding policies which command it.

Even if it were an honest, authentic and well-planned program, what would it profit us to win converts to "Americanism" abroad when there are over a quarter of a million subversives here who do not know what the word means and when highly-placed leaders in government, education, religion, radio, television, the press, tax-exempt Foundations, labor unions, and other groups are striving constantly to swap it off for "Socialism."

If the millions of dollars which have been spent in purveying the propaganda of white and black subversives to foreign audiences had been used in educating young Americans in fundamental principles of our Constitutional Republic, we would not be the trembling, quaking, shaking victims of world Communist plotters that we are today.

If there is to continue to be a "Voice of America" then, in the name of common sense and in the interest of self-preservation, we should make it in fact an "American Voice" and let it be heard here at home where it has been stilled for twenty years.

We thoroughly agree with Vance Packard who, writing in American Magazine on the Duke and Duchess of Windsor, said in connection with the failure of England to give the Duke a job: "For a country that so obviously needs salesmen at the present time, it seems indefensible for Britain to keep such an able one out of work".

Michael Angelo made the canvas glow with life, Phidias made marble speak and throughout the ages civilization has felt the elevating influence of the painter and the sculptor. Their success was due to the fact that they had ability and what is true in art, is also true in government.