

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To plead for a return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. II

Fort Worth, Texas, February, 1951

No. 2

PROVISIONS IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES ON LIMITATION OF POWER REPEALED BY EXECUTIVE ORDER

Vice President Barkley Pulls His Best Joke

Vice President Alben W. Barkley who is noted throughout the country for his ability to tell funny stories and effectively put over a good joke lived up to his reputation on his recent trip to Mineral Wells, Texas, where he addressed a gathering to honor nine Texas women selected as outstanding among their sex.

With a straight face and a great show of sincerity which indicated that he believed what he was saying, the vice president came up with this corker: "Giving women the right to vote thirty years ago brought an air of refinement and culture to politics."

No matter how hilarious any gag may be which the genial vice president springs in future, he can never top that.

Elastic Is Pretty Shoddy These Days

Mr. George Dixon in his column in the Washington Times-Herald, reports that recently during a particularly exciting debate in the Lower House of Congress, a lady newspaper reporter jumped suddenly to her feet and her pants dropped to the floor.

This was of course a humiliating experience for the lady reporter but, aside from that, it should prove valuable to her in that it will give her a better understanding in future of the plight of American taxpayers who have often lost their pants as a result of debates on the floor of Congress.

In writing about the passage of tax bills hereafter, she should be able to put more sympathetic feeling into her discussions.

Television Programs Not Only Smell. They Stink

A press dispatch informs us that through the invention of a New Yorker which automatically releases predetermined scents and odors appropriate to a particular picture, television listeners in the near future will be able to "smell" the programs.

We must be away ahead of the inventor because we have been able to "smell" television programs for years and especially those originating in New York where a State FEPC law is in effect and which sets up color, rather than talent, as a criterion for those allowed to appear on these programs.

Some one should bring the inventor up to date and inform him that the majority of television programs not only smell but that they smell to high heaven.

In fact, they stink.

It's A Toss-Up As To Which One Is Worse

With the announcement of the new price-wage controls, a staff of "trained experts" from Washington began swarming into Texas like the seven-year locusts.

From Regional Headquarters at Dallas, they will direct the business of the Economic Stabilization Agency in Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana and Arkansas.

The acting executive head of the Regional Office is a man named Rayburn and we don't know whether it is a coincidence or a relative.

Sometimes we wonder if actual war is any worse than what the people have to put up with while preparing for it or fighting it.

Government agents spying and prying all over the place, inspecting every transaction of the citizen and poking their long noses into the individual's private business is, in our humble opinion, of about the same relative nuisance value as a mild epidemic of the Bubonic Plague.

Let's "Tax Until It Hurts" Mr. Truman

When the president gave the taxpayers the bum's rush recently and made the crack about the people having to be "taxed until it hurts," he was talking about the other fellow, of course, and not himself.

With his tax-free allotment of fifty thousand dollars taken from the taxpayers and given to him by Congress as his personal spending money, he felt reasonably safe.

Recently some member of Congress made the wise observation that this "tax until it hurts" program should begin with Truman and that it should be applied to his income the same as to any other citizen of this Republic.

We have forgotten who suggested it but truer words were never spoken through false teeth.

The most sensitive nerve in the human anatomy is the one leading to the pocketbook and politicians who spend the majority of their time in figuring out ways to put the hook into the other fellow are usually the ones who squeal like a stuck pig when the screws are put on them.

Later, a bill was introduced to tax the president's neat little extra income and we hope that it passes and that, after the president has vetoed it, it passes over his veto.

USURPATION OF CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY BEGUN BY ROOSEVELT REACHES PEAK UNDER THE PRESENT ADMINISTRATION

Harry S. Truman who frequently suffers with the delusion that he is a Roman Emperor rather than an elected president of a Constitutional Republic, has announced that while he is perfectly willing for Congress to discuss the matter, he alone will make the decision about whether or not American troops will be sent to Europe and in what numbers.

No ruler is bigger than the written constitution of the country over which he presides and when usurpation of power by an arrogant leader is tolerated, it marks the first step toward the crumbling and decay of a sovereign state.

In our case, limitation of power was the most serious concern of the founders of the Republic and they put this safeguard into the Constitution of the United States in order that no political bantam rooster might ever be able to mount the public rostrum and boast of his power over the purse and the people.

Accordingly, they set up the legislative, judicial and executive branches of government with the powers of each specifically defined, with no overlapping jurisdictions, and in no case was it intended that one branch would arrogate to itself the authority and power bestowed by the Constitution on another.

Because of wholesale violations of these provisions of the Constitution in recent years, Congress has been compelled to surrender its power not only to the Executive, but to the Judicial department of government which frequently stepped in to pinch-hit for Congress by passing social legislation, through judicial decisions, when Congress had failed, or refused, to pass such measures.

The action of the Judiciary, however, in usurping power belonging exclusively to Congress deals only with the destruction of the Constitution and of our form of government, and the breaking down of our American institutions.

But the usurpation of power by the Executive in which the president purposes to declare war and send troops around over the world according to his own sweet will, involves human lives and it is to this phase of the violation of Constitutional provisions that the American people should first address themselves.

Handicapping any attempts at retrieving lost power now, however, is the shameful memory of how far another American president was allowed to go in the usurpation of power from a subservient and spineless Congress.

During the decade beginning in 1933 we witnessed the debasing spectacle of a majority of the members of both Houses of our national lawmaking body trying to out-crawl each other in knuckling and kowtowing to Roosevelt's demands for "must" socialistic legislation instead of displaying the courageous manhood Americans are entitled to expect of their representatives, and throwing it back in his teeth.

It was during that time that the precedent was set for a president exercising power specifically delegated to Congress and transgressions along this line since then have been so steady and gradual that a president today actually dares to rear up on his hind feet and defy Congress to do anything about it.

If we are able to read, the Constitution confers the authority to "declare war," "raise and support armies," "provide and maintain a navy" and "make rules for the government and regulation of the land and naval forces" solely on Congress and the president as "Commander in Chief" is empowered only to carry out the mandates of Congress.

Pending the time that the voters themselves get another whack at him, we think it high time that Congress rise up in its might and reclaim its surrendered powers, whittle the president down to his proper size and put him back in short pants.

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

A Type Of Organization We Really Need

We think it highly desirable that Protestants, Catholics and Jews pursue a policy of "amity, understanding and cooperation" in dealing with each other, and that they maintain friendly relations if possible at all times.

But when it comes to setting up an organization and working at it with highly paid propagandists, special days or weeks to commemorate "Brotherhood," and such claptrap as that—we think it is the bunk.

We know worlds of Protestants, Catholics and Jews who are highly desirable citizens. We also know plenty of each type who are first class heels and we can't see the point in emphasizing these three classes of people and making an issue of it.

Why in heaven's name can't we be content to let people associate with whomever they want to, regardless of their religious beliefs and select our friends the same way and quit this eternal hammering away in behalf of any one class or group.

With organizations springing up all over the place like mushrooms, we think the ideal one would be one set up for the purpose of encouraging everybody to do what they want to, attend to their own business and let everybody else do the same.

Although we are definitely not a "joiner" if such an organization should be set up, we would be strongly tempted to tie in with them.

State Department May Lose "Voice Of America"

Senator Joe McCarthy of Wisconsin thinks that the "Voice of America" should be taken out of the State Department and has started a drive to that effect.

His announcement on the subject followed his recent appointment as a member of the Senate subcommittee in control of State Department funds.

The fighting marine declared that the "Voice" had been doing a foul job of propaganda for America in foreign lands and that its performance under State Department control had been altogether unsatisfactory.

We agree with the Senator and think that another reason it should be removed from that department of government is because the voices in that branch are reputed to be mostly tenors.

Once Again It's Proved There's Nothing In A Name

A good friend of the Southern Conservative, Miss Sallie T. Parish of Macon, Georgia sends us a clipping from a local paper quoting former governor Colgate Darden of Virginia as advocating that the South's graduate and professional schools be thrown open voluntarily to Negroes and suggesting that Negroes be given places on state and country school boards and governing bodies.

Miss Parrish wants to know if the former governor is related to us either by blood or marriage.

The answer is No.

Look Who's Talking

Philip Murray, president of CIO, stated at the national convention of that body that "the last election hit a new low in the history of American Politics" and that "never had candidates resorted to so much demagoguery."

How Committees Can Put Appointees On the Spot

Investigations by Senate committees to develop any Communistic affiliation of those appointed to office by the president are nothing more than a waste of time if such appointee is asked the point blank question as to whether or not he, or she, belongs to, or has ever been a member of, the Communist party.

The answer will always be "no", and technically, it will be the truth.

Very few high-bracket Radicals are card-carrying, dues-paying members. But if the Committee really wants to shake them down as to their affinity for Communistic ideologies, they should put these, or similar, questions to them and compel them to answer "Yes" or "No":

Do you favor government control of business and industry?

Do you believe in a strong centralized government with unlimited authority as opposed to the rights of the States?

Do you advocate Socialized Medicine?

Are you in favor of Federal Aid to schools?

Do you consider it sound American policy for the Federal government to engage in the real estate business and construct homes for private individuals or otherwise compete with private industry?

Do you favor the enactment of FEPC legislation which would force employers to hire Negroes regardless of their personal wishes in the matter?

Do you favor the elimination of the practice of segregation in the South where it has successfully operated for eighty-six years?

Do you think that labor unions should receive special favors from the Federal government and that they should be exempt from penalties for violation of the anti-trust law which other business concerns must observe?

Do you think that the Supreme Court should usurp the powers of Congress and, by its decisions, enact social legislation?

Their replies to these and similar questions will determine whether or not they are qualified to hold a responsible position in the American government and the Committee can skip the question about their outright membership in the Communist Party.

England Marches On Toward National Decay

England, for whose support and maintenance American taxpayers are being impoverished and their substance taxed away, has taken another long step in the dreary march toward the cemetery of dead nations.

The Parliament of that once great Empire whose proud boast was that "the sun never sets on British possessions" has forged another link in its chain of human slavery and driven another nail in the coffin of private enterprise by voting to nationalize the steel industry.

The Labor government of that country which differs from that of Russia only in name, has been working toward this end during all the time of its existence and finally, by a majority of seven votes, has accomplished its objective.

And the cocky and overbearing British people who had such a high regard for the eternal fitness of things that they dethroned their King for marrying a divorced American woman, now are compelled to swallow their pride and hold their nose as they witness the rapid transformation of a great Kingdom into a Slave State through the betrayal of their infamous leaders.

Human Oracle Comes Up With Another Answer

With her amazing propensity for diving headlong into any subject under God's great canopy and coming up with the answer, Eleanor Roosevelt in her column recently made a plea for better care and more sympathetic understanding on the part of authorities and the general public for sex criminals.

Her tirade was inspired by an article in a national magazine in which this most despicable type of human pervert was presented as deserving of special consideration and pictured as a victim of circumstances over which he had no control.

We admit that we are old-fashioned and that we don't hold with those females who are out to reform the world and readjust the social thinking of the country, which probably accounts for the fact that all our sympathy is for the victims of these degraded members of the human race, with none whatever left over for the perpetrators of this heinous crime.

Our "care and understanding" of them would be limited to strenuous efforts to have them land in the Hot Seat just as soon after the commission of their dastardly act as a fair trial could be arranged.

Our proposals for dealing with these human vultures would not coincide with the views of the Sociologists comprising the membership of "international commissions for human rights" but they would at least be a more effective method of protecting society against humanity's most revolting type of criminal.

New Enforcement Chief Uses Right Word in Wrong Place

A Washington lawyer who a few days ago was appointed Enforcement Chief for the Economic Stabilization Agency, following the resignation of his predecessor who left because "politics had entered the ECA," came out of the corner shooting—off his head.

Claiming that a violator of the government's price and wage orders is worse than a thief, the new Enforcement Chief said:

"A thief only deprives some one of his property, but a violator of the price-wage orders helps to wreck our country's economy."

Being new in the job, the attorney apparently has not had time to look around and acquaint himself with the fact that the country's economy has already practically been wrecked, a process which has been going on for eighteen years.

The wrecking crew which is demolishing it, however, is not composed of citizens violating government rules and regulations but of those in charge of government agencies who have squandered the nation's substance, and bled its taxpayers white by the criminal expenditure of funds on Socialistic schemes and projects throughout the world.

His use of the word "thief" is timely, but he applied it to the wrong group.

No one should violate government rules or wage-price orders, of course, not because it would "help to wreck our country's economy" but because it is our responsibility to stand by our leaders to help rescue them from their own criminal blunders in the hope that some day we will get rid of them and elect those who will help restore the nation's economy.

The American people ought to remember that Harry S. Truman is one of the presidents of this country who has been more than usually blessed with the "common touch" . . . Denver Post. He has the "common touch" all right. He was able to "touch" the American taxpayers for a fifty thousand dollar, tax-free bonus.

Congress Told of Communist Fifth Column In Defense Plants

The House committee on un-American Activities has been informed that the American Communist Party is now a military fifth column with many of their agents employed in defense plants, poised to sabotage war production.

The Committee has transmitted this information, obtained from reliable witnesses, to the Congress as a whole.

The Truman administration has taken no steps to bar these subversive elements from defense industries, although there is a law on our statute books prohibiting the employment of Communists in defense plants.

This, apparently, is one of the laws which "Red Herring Harry" does not personally approve of, so he will probably show his contempt of it by doing nothing whatever toward setting up machinery for its enforcement.

The Fine Italian Hand Of Moscow Appears Again

Here is another cute little Fair Deal innovation which conforms to strict Party Line policy as prescribed by Communist leaders:

Local draft boards have been ordered to omit all reference to the race of a registrant and it will be impossible to tell from the records hereafter whether an inductee is a white man, Negro, or a Southwestern Patagonian.

A deputy state director of Selective Service in Austin brushes it off with this nifty: "It is merely an administrative detail and a clerical operation." O yeah?

The deputy state director's name is Morris Schwartz.

For Once We Disagree With Westbrook Pegler

Ordinarily, we agree one hundred per cent with anything that Westbrook Pegler writes since he is one of the few courageous columnists who presents the facts regardless of where the chips fall.

However, he made a statement recently in his column with which we are going to have to take issue.

He deplored the fact that Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt is representing the United States in the United Nations outfit and seemed to think that her presence as a representative of this country was calculated to lower the morale of the U.N. and reflect on its personnel.

We definitely do not think so.

In the first place we don't think anything could be done to injure the standing of the U.N. or lower its prestige. It doesn't have either, with intelligent and thinking people.

It is an aggregation of international nuts, as we have pointed out before, each with a different crackpot theory of how a world government should be run.

Nothing approaching Americanism is ever discussed in its sessions and even the American custom of opening all public deliberations with a prayer was abjectly surrendered because Joe Stalin, being an Atheist, does not recognize a supreme Creator, nor any power higher than himself.

It is composed largely of double-crossing power politicians from nations who fawn on Uncle Sam to his face in order to get a hand-out and then stab him in the back at the first opportunity.

The general idea is to set up some sort of world government which will reduce the United States and its form of government to the status of a slave State.

If any one in this country is to sit in its councils, we think Mrs. Roosevelt is thoroughly qualified since her political beliefs and ideologies coincide exactly with those of the other addle-brained delegates whose purpose is the destruction of our American form of government, and the setting up of a World body patterned after the design so forefully drawn by Karl Marx in the long ago.

ESA Will Put Old OPA In The Shade

The ink was not dry on the new price-wage freeze order in Washington until a press dispatch from the national capital announced that the Economic Stabilization Agency, with Eric Johnson as head, was being built into a nation-wide Democratic political machine that would make the old OPA look like a precinct organization.

The test of a man's fitness for a job in the ESA is his party loyalty and it is to be as thickly loaded with lame ducks as a Louisiana rice field.

Any candidate for office in the last election naturally had his organization set-up in his local district and this machinery is expected to be transferred to the ESA to constitute its power in the 1952 campaign.

Well, nobody knows yet how good a politician Eric Johnson is because he has had no practical experience in the rough-and-tumble game, but we will venture one suggestion about him and that is he will furnish the newspapers with enough slick prints, mats and cuts of himself to last through several campaigns.

Whatever else he is, he sure isn't camera-shy and we predict he will give another well known publicity seeker a run for her money.

He didn't live in Hollywood six years and mix and mingle with lens hounds for nothing.

He can pose with the best of them.

The introduction of the bill in the Texas Legislature to allow menhaden fish to be taken in commercial quantities in the Gulf, reminds us of the story of a similar bill which was being considered by the United States Senate when Jim Reed of Missouri was a member of that body. The senator came on the floor from an ante-room just in time to hear the word "menhaden" mentioned by a colleague usually on the opposing side from the Missourian. Not having the slightest idea what the bill was about and thinking that his opponent was referring to a corporation of that name, the senator rose to his feet when his colleague had finished and launched into a bitter tirade against monopolistic business concerns and unholy special interests and was allowed to rave on for ten minutes before another member interrupted to inform him that he was fighting a fish and not a corporation. As the Senate roared with laughter, the senator blushed and sat down.

The president likes to boast of his unlimited power which, in his opinion, supersedes that of Congress and permits him to declare war and send troops to any point in the world he thinks advisable. Yet, he is perfectly helpless and throws up his hands in surrender when confronted with the switchmen's strike which paralyzed the country's economy.

A member of the Texas House of Representatives, the Honorable Hiram Straton of Waco is credited in a dispatch from Austin with having coined a campaign slogan for the National Democratic Party in 1952: "Don't change horses—or any part thereof—in the middle of the stream."

Spunky Woman Wins First Round

Miss Vivien Kellems of Connecticut has won the first round of her fight with the government in connection with her refusal sometime ago to serve as a tax collector without pay.

The real issue, the constitutionality of the tax law which makes employers deduct income tax from employees, has not been passed upon and probably won't be, since the government has taken every possible precaution to prevent this issue being fought out on its merits.

Miss Kellems victory had to do with the return of some six thousand dollars of her money which the government impounded following her refusal to collect the income tax of her employees, a chore which she insisted was a function of the government and not her responsibility.

It is a sad commentary on the courage and backbone of male employers that the fight had to be made by this frail woman and there must be many red faces among her masculine contemporaries who tremble and shake and suffer a severe attack of buck-ague at the sight of an internal revenue agent.

It has always been our secret ambition to see some business man pick up one of these snoopers from the Internal Revenue Department by the seat of his pants and heave him out on the sidewalk.

A Church of England bishop announced recently that the church should begin teaching that "man is not a special creation but has been developed from the ape." Speaking to a diocesan conference he added: "If we wish to win the confidence of our young people, we must tell them the new-found truths of the origin of man and of human civilization." The creature making this assertion was named Dr. E. W. Barnes, bishop of Birmingham, another one of those ecclesiastical stumblebums using the church to promote atheism.

FPC Is Big-Hearted. Will Let Texas Build Its Own Dams

As an indication of the distorted thinking and perverted line of reasoning which has prevailed for the past eighteen years in regard to Federal authority, the successful ending of the fight of Texas officials to build three dams on the Brazos without Federal interference, is actually regarded as a great and unexpected "victory" for States Rights.

Actually, the Federal Power Commission had no more right to try to horn in on the operation and control of a dam project over a Texas river than it had to attempt to exercise supervision over the construction of a Texas farmer's privy.

In view of the fact, however, that the Federal government in the recent past has committed grand theft against the State of Texas in the case of the Tidelands and gotten away with it (so far), we suppose we should be thankful that they finally gave up in the case of the Brazos River dams and decided not to take them over, also.

In this case it has again been proved that a little courage is all that is necessary in defending and retaining Constitutional and inalienable rights.

The Governor, the Attorney General and the Board of Water Engineers of Texas put up a stiff fight to protect the State's property from confiscation by Washington politicians and if we had had equal energy and resourcefulness exerted in Washington on the part of our elected representatives in regard to the Tidelands, at the proper time, that big swindle would have been warded off.

We Can't Enthuse Over Women Being Conscripted

There are several schools of thought on drafting women for the armed forces.

From publicity propaganda put out by those who advocate this policy, including the woman president of a big university, we are told that women in the fighting forces are models of perfection who conduct themselves with a decorum which would make a trollop of Caesar's wife, and who perform their allotted tasks with the competency of a robot in an efficiency contest.

From hard-boiled, practical fighting men who regard war as a grim, serious business and not a pink tea, we get a different picture and one which does not brighten the halo around the feminine heads wearing military caps.

Still another class who hold definite opinions on the subject are the wives of officers and enlisted men who must stay behind and perform the normal chores of running the home and raising the children against a backdrop of diapers, washing machines and dry mops.

Theirs is an illuminating, if unflattering, contribution to the discussion concerning ambitious women whom they feel are muscling in on man's last remaining stronghold.

Of course the idea involving the conscription of women for war was copied from Russia by Harry Hopkins during World War II following his return from one of his trips to the country he so extravagantly admired.

His ideas of conscription were not carried out but women were encouraged to join up which they did in large numbers and we are sure they performed valuable service to their country.

The writer would be the last to deny the patriotic impulse which inspired many women enlisting in our fighting forces in the last war or to detract from whatever glory which may have accrued as a result.

A widely held opinion, however, was that women could have rendered just as patriotic service by staying at home and working in defense industries or military establishments, thereby releasing a man for active duty. Many held that they could have performed just as valiantly in calico as they could in khaki.

Somehow, we always think of the fighting men in our armed forces as a sort of carefree and congenial clan gaily taking advantage of all their prerogatives and privileges including the right to drink, smoke, swear and spit through their teeth but if women feel that they can better serve their country by becoming a part of this great fraternity and want to enlist in the army, navy, marines or air force, we would be the last to object.

However, before final decision is reached in the matter we are moved to remind them that romance, which is the stuff of which young dreams are woven, is going to get an awful wallop when vast hordes of our young women decide to seek renown on the field of battle.

After all, the wars will be over some day and those who survive will come marching home.

And there can't be much glamour and sentiment involved in a set-up when a man returns, takes stock of the situation, and contemplates the prospect of settling down, getting married and going on a honeymoon with a female Top Sergeant or a lady Devil Dog.

We are no economist by any stretch of the imagination but common horse sense tells us that the reason for the exorbitant taxes which are being levied against the citizens in Washington now are not necessitated wholly because of the threat of war and the need of national defense but have become compulsory because of the drunken orgy of national spending for the past eighteen years. Any nation can tighten its belt and make sacrifices when faced with war or threats of war. That is the natural law of self-preservation. But no nation can long endure the strain of having its resources dissipated in times of peace.

Yes, Herbert Hoover Was A Failure

According to left-wing propagandists, Herbert Hoover was a complete failure.

According to present-day political standards, he certainly was.

He failed in a lot of things.

He failed to draw his salary of \$75,000 per year while he was president and turned it all back into the treasury of the United States.

He never asked for, nor received, a \$50,000 tax-free expense account.

He failed to have his sons organize insurance firms to write insurance on government enterprises.

He built a Rapidan resort for fishing and recreation at his own expense and gave it to the government which, under the current code, is a mild form of treason.

None of his sons went racing through the divorce courts or tried to tie the record of Tommy Manville at the marriage license bureau.

He never bundled up a lot of government documents to sell as a book to the faithful at high profits to himself.

He never sold himself into political slavery to a labor organization for a \$500,000 campaign fund.

He gathered a marvelous collection of war material and built a museum for it but failed to ask Congress to pay the bill. He paid it himself, the dope.

He did not dredge for votes in the political sewers of class and race hatred and he did not try to destroy the Constitution by attempting to pack the Supreme Court.

He did not plow up every third row of cotton, nor kill off pregnant sows and he did not promise the American people one thing while at the same moment, he was doing everything humanly possible to accomplish directly opposite results.

He did not ask Congress to assess the taxpayers one billion dollars every time some one shot off a firecracker in Europe and he did not go on fishing trips on government warships or encourage the importation of Argentine beef.

He did not leave the American people with \$250,000,000,000.00 debt and he never pumped stage money into the national income to fool the voters.

His wife was also a failure.

She never made speeches or raved all over the universe on unimportant matters.

She never attempted to secure votes for her husband by having her picture made with a Negro man draped over each arm.

She never wrote silly drivel about her every day life to sell to newspapers and which would not have been printed in a third rate country weekly if her husband hadn't been president.

She never sold soap over the radio.

Her only public appearance was as an honorary member of the Girl Scouts of America.

She never cluttered up the White House with young Communists or consorted with every long-haired radical who offered a panacea for the ailments of the world.

She never regarded herself as having been elected by the American people to represent them in all the far-flung outposts of the world and she never disgraced her position as First Lady of the Land by flouting tradition and defying convention.

Yes, Herbert Hoover was a colossal failure and the tragedy of it is that it is going to require the election of another "failure" before this nation can recover from the financial deficit into which it was plunged by the two monumental "successes" who have served as president since Hoover went out of office.

A subsidy means that the government pays a farmer for raising a crop he meant to raise any way and for not raising one he had no intention of producing in the first place.

The Southern Conservative

A monthly publication of editorial opinion with national circulation.

Ida M. Darden, Editor
Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone FA-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

Sent without cost to members of Congress and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with the wind but it takes an eagle to fly against the storm.

The Tenth Amendment To The Constitution of The United States:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States respectively, or to the people.

A Person Is Judged By The Company They Keep

As president of one of America's greatest corporations, Charles E. Wilson, recently appointed by the president as Defense Mobilizer, would naturally be expected to measure up to the highest traditions of American business executives and to be free from any taint of Radicalism.

If he does not quite come up to this exalted standard, it is in our opinion, because of the unfortunate record against him which can't be erased, concerning his service with a thing called the "Civil Rights Committee" appointed by Truman in 1946.

By accepting appointment on that committee, Wilson proved that he does not care in the least what sort of company he keeps for he certainly associated with some queer birds when he identified himself with that set-up.

The American Heritage Protective Committee of San Antonio has recently published factual data on this "Civil Rights Committee" showing that nine of its fifteen members had, at various times, belonged to numerous Communist front organizations and most of the other six are well-known left-wingers with radical records. Apparently Wilson, because of his respectable position in American industry, was selected for window-dressing.

Real Americans long ago have given up hoping that any committee appointed by Truman will not be loaded down with radicals, committed to policies which are alien to the American tradition and way of life.

And, once he has appointed them, he won't back down regardless of any charges or evidence of their questionable loyalty to their country's institutions, but will cling to them like a flea sticking to a sharecropper's coon dog.

Wilson's service on this committee will forever remain as a blot against his record as a representative of the American Free Enterprise system which has always stood for political ideologies which are directly opposed to the views subscribed to by a majority of the committee's members.

An example of "equality" in our tax laws: If a person sells his home and makes a profit on it, he must pay tax to the Federal government on such profit but if he sells his home and loses money, he cannot deduct this loss. Neat?

And We Wonder Why Washington Tries To Control Our Affairs

A minor example of the general misconception of the functions, authority and jurisdiction of the Federal government was reflected recently in a statement in a Fort Worth newspaper attributed to the mayor of that city in which he threatened to call in Federal agents to investigate alleged petty bribe-taking by members of the Police Department.

The announcement followed the discovery of memos in the files of a slain underworld character containing notations of payments of small amounts of money to Fort Worth policemen.

Aside from its absurdity, no violation of a Federal law being involved, the statement credited to the mayor is highly disconcerting to those who have fought for years against the increasing tendency of the Federal government to interfere in the affairs of the State and its sub-divisions.

It would seem that there would be enough intelligence, initiative and resources among municipal officials to settle their own difficulties and to develop whether or not members of their police force were guilty of accepting beer and hamburger money from a hoodlum without calling out the forces of the government of the United States.

Anyway, it would seem rather inconsistent for government agents from Washington to traipse all the way down to Fort Worth to investigate alleged graft by local policemen when they could be looking into charges that a White House Secretary had accepted an ermine coat in payment for influence exerted in connection with RFC loans.

Another Try at FEPC

A Washington dispatch says that the liberals, with President Truman's sanction, may try to put over another Fair Employment Practices Commission. It would prevent discrimination by race, color or creed in employment in defense industries. The liberals know Congress won't pass it.

But they have a cute idea. Why not let the President set up FEPC by executive order—wasn't that the way it was handled in wartime?

Maybe they have forgotten a law passed in 1944. This law prevents an executive agency from using government funds for over a year unless Congress had appropriated it. That's why FEPC had to close shop in 1946.

And suppose Mr. Truman has a little fund tucked away to finance a new FEPC, or suppose he tries to finance it with funds from another agency?

All Congress has to do is put "riders" on appropriation bills to seal off those funds from FEPC use. Those old boys who put checks and balances in the Constitution knew what they were doing, even if there were devious attempts to circumvent them.

The Dallas Morning News.

So We Tie In With Our Enemies And Betray Our Friends

The Chinese Communists, as every one knows, are our deadly enemies and are killing American boys in Korea as rapidly and in such numbers as their cruel and diabolical cunning will allow.

Chiang Kai Shek and his Nationalist Forces are our friends and are only awaiting permission to attack the Chinese Communists with such resources as they possess.

So what do our leaders in Washington do? They are working for our Chinese Communist enemies to be admitted into the United Nations and are preventing aid being sent to our friend, Chiang Kai Shek.

And if any defender of Truman and his policies can explain that line of reasoning, we are ready to listen.

She May Be a Queen in Harlem But She's Poison Down In Dixie

Mr. George Gallup who has been able to run a guessing game into a profitable business and who predicted that Thomas E. Dewey would be overwhelmingly elected as president in 1948, has stuck his neck out again.

This time he feels of the public pulse and announces that his research on the subject indicates that Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt is the most admired woman in the United States. So there!

Letters commenting on his findings have come to our desk in such numbers that we feel they constitute a sort of poll of their own, and one which is at least as accurate as Mr. Gallup's.

This, of course, is not saying much for it since our observation has convinced us that public poll-taking has become a minor racket whose results are reached largely by looking out the window and which are about as reliable as a tip from a racetrack tout.

"In this large Southern city, I have never heard of any person who has ever been contacted by one of these pollsters," says one reader. "Also, I have never heard of any one who has heard of any one who has been contacted. Wouldn't you think that in a city this size, there would be one person who had at least heard of some one whose opinion had been solicited. In my position, I get around, and I believe that I am as well able to interpret public sentiment in this area as some guy from New Jersey."

"With the possible exception of Harriet Beecher Stowe, she is the most despised woman in history so far as the South is concerned," writes an irate lady from way down South in Dixie. "Outside of one or two women in this State who are known to belong to organizations which have been cited by the House Committee on Un-American Activities, she has no admirers that I have heard of."

"If the poll is an accurate barometer of public opinion, then I am sure it was conducted and confined to Harlem and other left wing centers of the North and East," says another. "No poll was taken in this section for, if it had been, the results would have told a different story."

We suggest that the answer to the two totally conflicting schools of thought on the subject lies in the fact that Mr. Gallup, like so many others in his region, does not consider the South an integral part of the United States.

STICK AROUND, BROTHER AND YOU'LL GET ON REGULAR

"I'm slipping in my old age," says Bob Souter of Mount Vernon, Texas, in a letter to a Texas newspaper.

"I forget to signal for a left turn. I don't come in out of the rain. I stand by while my kid falls and busts his nose on the sidewalk. I let a starving bum go on his way. And if I get more stupid, more short-sighted or more heedless of people who put their trust in me, I am going to run for president."

We notice that while those who are trying to figure out what age men to draft, have made many suggestions, they have never proposed to draft themselves. We have always contended that if those who make wars had to fight them, it would mean the end of all conflict.

The railroad switchmen who played sick and stayed off the job by the thousands in order to disorganize the nation's business, and try to gain their point, are just as definitely the enemies of our soldiers fighting in Korea as the Chinese Communists.

George Dixon Gives Us Another Plug

Mr. George Dixon whose column, "Washington Scene" appears in many large daily newspapers throughout the Nation has again honored us by reproducing excerpts from "My Night" in the January edition of the Southern Conservative.

In his daily article on February 6th, Mr. Dixon said:

"From time to time I have brought you the offerings of my favorite lady funny writer, Mrs. Ida Darden, editor of a very anti-New Deal paper published in Fort Worth, Tex., called the Southern Conservative.

"My Ida does a column called 'My Night,' which is an hilarious take-off on another columning lady who does day time coverage. Here is an excerpt from Ida's latest travesty:

"A few nights ago I was in Washington where I had the pleasure of presenting a medal to my good friend, Mr. B. A. Pickett, the great labor leader.

"I was deeply disappointed at not being able to visit with his wife and children during my trip to Washington but was unable to find the time. This was a matter of great regret to me until I realized, after thinking it over, that I was not quite sure whether or not he has a wife and children.

"It is such lapses as this which remind me that I am getting along in years and that my memory is not what it used to be.

"This failing was emphasized later when, passing through a certain city en route to a speaking engagement, I decided to drop off the plane and spend a few minutes visiting with one of my sons and his wife.

"As I remembered, this particular daughter-in-law was a brunette named Mazie and I was, therefore, just a little surprised to be greeted at the door by a blonde named Tillie.

"Later, after talking with my secretary, Miss Bobson, I was relieved to find that my original impression had been correct and that the confusion was caused by a change in domestic arrangements of which I was not aware and which had occurred while I was away in West French Africa laying a cornerstone for a new roofless-type housing project being constructed by the United States government for the Senegalese Sun Worshipers."

Houston Firm Has a Queer Idea of Public Service

A Houston firm, with a misplaced sense of public service, recently sponsored a film depicting the life of Jack London over television.

Of all people on earth, why should any one want to portray the life and activities of one of the most notorious Socialists and enemies of Americanism who ever worked against the interests of his country?

With our boys fighting and dying in Korea and our backs against the wall in a life-and-death struggle with Socialism and Communism it is base ingratitude and disloyalty for a business firm to show honor to one whose whole life was spent in promoting the ideologies of our enemies.

Writing in the International Socialist Review in 1909, London said: "In the United States there are 400,000 men and women who begin their letters with 'Dear Comrade' and end them with 'Yours for the Revolution' . . . When the roll is called, it will be found that there is an army of 7,000,000 men who, in accordance with conditions today, are fighting with all their might for the conquest of the world and for the complete overthrow of existing society . . . The cry of this army is 'No Quarter.' We want all that you possess. We will be content with nothing less than you possess. . . . I speak and I think of these assassins in Russia as 'My Comrades.'"

What a fine specimen of Americanism to be held up as an example to the young boys and girls comprising the television audience.

It must have been ignorance of their subject which caused the Houston firm to make this unspeakable blunder and we think that an apology is in order.

South Carolinian Advocates Right-To-Work-Amendment

An amendment to the Constitution of the United States which extends the right to work to any American regardless of whether or not they belong to a labor union is necessary if we are to continue as a Constitutional government in the opinion of Dr. C. Singleton Breedin of Anderson, South Carolina, who has given thorough study to the subject.

"I am wholeheartedly for an amendment to the Constitution which sets forth in the plainest possible terms that every person has a right to work whether they belong, or do not belong, to a labor union," Dr. Breedin stated.

"I believe the Constitution already sufficiently guarantees that right but in order that there may be no misunderstanding, let's write a specific amendment setting forth this important principle."

Dr. Breedin expressed the opinion that fully four-fifths of labor union membership is obtained through coercion, threats and fear.

"Hellish acts are committed by the so-called organizers of these labor groups and their flying squadrons which they bring in to intimidate and beat up those who do not fall in line."

According to Dr. Breedin, his contempt for such practices has been acquired by his first-hand knowledge of their methods of procedure in Anderson and other communities of his State.

Forty Years Later He Finally Wakes Up

Washington press dispatches quote President Harry S. Truman in a speech to a group of magazine editors as stating that Stalin is just like all other dictators and that there is no difference between him and Hitler, Mussolini and other tyrants who have sought the enslavement of the common people.

"There is no difference between dictators, if you study your history" were the astounding words to fall from his lips.

"There has not been any difference in any police state that ever existed in the history of the world. They are all alike. They are for the enslavement of the individual for the benefit of the state."

Well, what do you know?

This is what we and millions of other Americans were trying to point out when this government was giving eleven billion dollars to the Russian dictator to prepare for war against us and while Truman was saying "I like good old Joe" and charging that the investigation of Stalin spies in the government was simply a "Red Herring."

Nobel Literary Award No Longer An Honor

Like so many other institutions, the Nobel Foundation which awards literary prizes to various writers seems to have become inoculated with the Red virus, from some of its recent bestowals of its "honors."

From Stockholm, Sweden, it has been announced that the latest literary awards have gone to William Faulkner of Oxford, Mississippi for his novel "Intruder in the Dust" and other works purporting to represent the South but which serve mostly to stir up racial strife, and also to Bertrand Russell, British novelist, advocate of sex immorality, atheist, and author of the book, "Why I Am Not a Christian."

Any honor once assumed to attach to the bestowal of this award, has been tarnished by these and other recipients of the medal.

England Bites The Hand That Feeds Her

For years the United States has condoned the Socialistic government of England by sending funds to that country to keep them from going on the rocks.

England has always looked down on Americans but it is seldom that such open antagonism is expressed as in the case of the first vote in the United Nations to brand the Chinese Communists as aggressors.

How friendly they are to this country was demonstrated when they tucked their tails like yellow dogs and voted with Russia and against the United States.

Of course that will not stop our leaders from impoverishing American taxpayers in the future, and placing an unbearable tax burden on them for generations to come and continuing to send money to support the English in their Socialist way of life.

RFC Investigation Smells To High Heaven

How court favorites operate from the White House to exert improper influence and favoritism toward government employes was brought out in the investigation of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation by a committee of the Senate recently.

It was disclosed that a Dallas official of the RFC was compelled to resign through the manipulations of a character in the White House holding the position of aide to the president.

When he got ready to have the Dallas official bounced out on his ear and his own favorite put in his place, he sent a representative into the Dallas office to cause strife and discontent and raise Cain generally until the official in question was compelled to resign.

Lesser personalities among Dallas local politicians were declared to have also got into the act and helped the White House aide get rid of the objectionable official.

As we make it out from press reports, the matter all started because the Dallas official refused to okay a loan which the White House aide desired to be made.

An interesting revelation concerned a former \$1080-a-year assistant messenger in Washington who wound up as a \$7,193-a-year RFC Examiner and who admitted to an income of \$60,000 during 1950 from his "private business."

This political Horatio Alger was from Missouri, naturally. His wife was formerly a White House secretary.

It was also revealed that the White House aide who interfered in the case of the Dallas official was in excellent position to get all the information he wanted in regard to the RFC since his wife was in charge of RFC files in Washington.

It is a mess that smells to high heaven but only a drop in the bucket compared to the corruption which might be brought to light in the event we ever have an honest administration in Washington again and all the crooked deals of the past eighteen years revealed to the taxpayers who have provided the money.

In his State of the Union message, the president went out of his way to remind the American people that we do not have dictators in this country and never will. Well, that's a matter of opinion and all depends on the definition of "dictator." In our book it means a leader who is hell bent on forcing his own policies of government on the people regardless of their wishes in the matter. Under this interpretation, we have had a dictatorship since 1933 in the United States.

Going Stalin's Way?

(From Arizona Republic, Tucson)

Down in one of the deep branches of Grand Canyon, on the Havasupi Reservation, lives a small, little-known tribe of Indians, numbering at last count 209 souls. Until a comparatively few years ago, these were a self-reliant, independent group—on a government reservation, it is true, but growing crops and raising livestock in sufficient number and quantity to provide a fair living for the whole tribe.

When the older Supai, or Blue Water people, could no longer work in the fields or otherwise support themselves, Dr. Clarence G. Salsbury, Arizona's Indian authority, told the Hiram Club recently, the younger members of the tribe cared for and supported them. This, it seems to us, was a pretty general custom all over the United States up to fifteen years ago.

Now, however, conditions are revolutionized among the Grand Canyon Indians. The federal government has persuaded all the older Supai to go on relief. The younger Indians, no longer obliged to take care of the older ones, live on the older Indians' relief checks. Thus the entire economic life of this small tribe is being changed. The Supai are being gradually robbed of their individualism and are becoming true regimented dependents on government handouts.

What is happening to the 209 Supai Indians can readily be seen because of their small number and their concentration in one locality. What the New Deal and its paternalistic system is doing to the whole nation is not so readily discernible—but something is happening. Millions today are living on government bounty. It is the old Roman story of bread and circuses over again.

American individualism, independence and integrity—yes, and intelligence, to round out an alliterative quartette—are slowly being battered out of shape under the relentless pressure of the socialistic system that began with the election of 1932. And socialism means communism—the all-powerful state, which puts bread in the mouths of people, gives them jobs, orders their lives from cradle to grave, and inevitably, tells them how to vote and what to drink.

Is there no escape from the regimentation that emanates from Washington? We think the counter-revolution has begun, and that 1952 will see the revolt in full swing. We must get back to fundamental Americanism or we might as well go into partnership with Joe Stalin and his gang now.

We Need A Commission To Investigate Government

The Federal Communications Commission has ordered an inquiry into interstate and foreign long distance telephone service a news dispatch from Washington announces.

The purpose of the inquiry is claimed to be to determine whether or not rates of the telephone company are too high.

The company is reported to have increased its earnings last year which is considered a little short of treason, by the present regime in Washington.

We are sure that telephone rates are too high, just as every other product and service in the country is all out of reason, thanks to the government's program of subsidies which has contributed its share to the general inflation, but why pick on one concern when offenders are legion?

So far as we know, there is no commission or government bureau making recommendations for firing millions of unnecessary government employes or demanding that Congress quit voting subsidies and bonuses to various groups of people with a heavy voting potential.

So long as the government itself contributes to inflation through subsidies and hoarding of products the vicious spiral of inflation will continue with the resulting high prices all along the vicious circle.

Women Have Uplifted And Purified Politics. And How!

That women are just as dirty fighters as men and that political ethics were not noticeably uplifted when women decided to get into the act and play the game, is proved by the tactics of a female battle-axe connected with the National Democratic Campaign Headquarters in Washington.

Given an assignment which would turn the stomach of a hardened male politician inured to the corrupt practices of ward politics, this old sister was ordered to instigate a whispering telephone campaign of slander and libel against the physicians of the country as a softening-up process of the people for Truman's Socialized Medicine program.

Forgetting or ignoring entirely the merciful ministrations of the medical profession and their service to suffering humanity by alleviating pain, retarding the hand of Death and prolonging life, this human vulture spread her carrion among women of equally limited mentality and undertook to secure their promises to co-operate in spreading the filthy and slimy propaganda.

Every woman she phoned had to pledge herself to contact ten other women and make derogatory statements against her family physician regardless of any basis for such charges.

Stalin, Hitler nor Mussolini never generated any more vicious and degenerate propaganda than this and the irony of it all is that if any of these women should face the emergency of sudden serious illness, the ethics of the medical profession would demand that a slandered physician must minister to their needs, even of the old crow who started it all.

Congress and the Constitution Mean Nothing to the President

There is very widespread misinformation deliberately created by traitorous internationalists as to the legal powers of our Constitutional system of government, in the opinion of Colonel Hubert H. Heath of Anthony, New Mexico.

"Congress is our highest governing authority under the plain terms of our basic law, with power to impeach and remove any civil officer who fails or refuses to abide by the decisions of Congress as expressed in duly enacted legislation," Col. Heath states.

"Congress may within its duly delegated powers enact any legislation it sees fit, regardless of possible opposition of any other department of government.

"The Chief Executive is under a solemn oath to faithfully execute all such laws as Congress enacts and his sole duty is to do just that."

Of course the Colonel is right and this is true of all presidents generally speaking but Mr. Truman is an exception and a law unto himself.

Only recently he has declared that he has sole authority to send troops anywhere in the world with or without authority of Congress and he did not hesitate to call war on his own responsibility in the matter of Korea.

Also he has refused to demand the enforcement of the provisions of the Taft-Hartley law because he personally does not like that law, or rather those who dictate to him do not like it.

All of which would seem to indicate that the president is suffering from the delusions which usually affect a would-be dictator and that he considers himself above and beyond the Constitution and the Congress of the United States.

A newspaper columnist reports that Douglas Fairbanks, Jr., is in line for a position as Assistant Secretary of State. In view of his political ideas, he should feel right at home in the radical atmosphere attending that department of government.

Christianity To Give Way To "Christian Socialism"

Americans who continue to condone, or remain indifferent to, the stranglehold which Communism is getting upon the majority of the churches in the United States, are guilty of aiding in treasonable activities against their country.

The newly-organized National Council of Churches which succeeded the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America when an outraged public opinion forced that un-American outfit to disband, has published a list of its proposed functions which indicates that the group will operate as a political action committee in future and that practically all subjects will be dealt with except religion.

Nowhere in its announced program is any reference made to plans for preaching the gospel of Jesus Christ or of efforts for the reclamation and salvation of human souls, with such antiquated conceptions of religious service slated to become as extinct as the dodo bird.

The names of prominent leaders in the movement are published, most of whom have been proved members of Communist front organizations set up to destroy the American form of government, but nowhere in its program appears the name of the Man of Galilee.

"Practical working problems of society" will claim their future attention, it is pointed out, such as "economic practices, labor-management relations, foreign policies of government, racial problems, marriage and domestic matters, the United Nations and International Justice, immigration measures, education and overseas relief," and they are planning—so help us—to set up a lobby in Washington.

It is universally recognized that because of the nature of their calling, preachers are helpless and pliable tools in the hands of clever and unscrupulous politicians and the smart world leaders who are back of the current move to Communize the churches have really taken them for a ride.

Unless individual church members, who oppose Communism, wake up, get into the act and quit donating one thin dime to the ministers who are contributing to this wholesale corruption of American churches, Christianity as we have known it will disappear and a brand of "Christian Socialism," ideological twin of Communism, will take its place.

But if that's what Americans want, then we ask them to forget what we have said and turn over and go back to sleep.

Texas Legislature Should Act On Amendment

The Texas Legislature should pass the proposed twenty-second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States limiting the president to two terms in office, without further delay. Thirty States have already passed this amendment, leaving eight more who must take action as it requires ratification by thirty-six states to become part of the Constitution.

We think it unfortunate that the amendment does not apply to Truman but it seems someone slipped that joker in, and there is nothing to be done about it now.

Construction of two church buildings in Dallas costing one million dollars each has been announced. That seems like an awful lot of money for a church even in these days of universal squandering, and especially to one who can remember when there was a powerful lot of religion and brotherly love in the hearts of the people who worshipped in a one room structure and attended "grove meeting" under the trees when the weather was good.

President Knows Better Than The People What's Good for Them

It would be well for thoughtful Americans interested in their government and their country's welfare to take time out and consider Truman's attitude toward certain measures and issues and try to decide for themselves what makes him perform as he does.

For instance, it makes no difference to him apparently what the majority of the people want in the way of national legislation or policies.

If he has been sold on a proposition, he is going to try to put it over come hell or high water and the attitude of the citizens on the subject means exactly nothing in his book.

For instance the people of the United States through the Congress indicated that they did not want FEPC legislation and would have none of it.

But Mr. Truman and his little group of hand-picked Socialistic advisors want it and no message is ever issued by him that this monstrosity does not get a favorable plug.

The majority of the people do not want Socialized medicine or Socialized education through Federal Aid to schools, but the bull-headed Missouri pants salesman has bowed his neck and is trying to put both policies into effect by fair means or foul.

No decent Southerner wants the policy of segregation abolished in schools on railroads or other public places and the South has gone on record to this effect by defeating all sorts of "Civil Rights" measures in Congress but does that stop him from trying to enforce his ideas any way? Not a bit of it. When Congress failed to pass such legislation his puppet Supreme Court enacted it instead, thereby abolishing one department of government and setting up two legislative branches, instead.

It has always been an accepted tenet of this government that the majority rules but apparently this is another of the many institutions and concepts of our American way of life that Mr. Truman has abolished, or ignored.

Why is he so insistent on these particular policies of government being installed here when the people are opposed to such Socialistic proposals and want no part of them?

That is a question all good Americans would do well to ask themselves and strive earnestly for the correct answer.

We think we know the answer but that is not important. What is important is that all thinking citizens go into a huddle with the subject and try to find out for themselves what makes Harry run.

A woman living in the little town of Stranrear, Scotland, who is supported by public charity and who admits that she has fourteen illegitimate children, each with a different father, publicly boasts that she neither smokes, drinks nor goes to the movies. In other words, she has no minor vices.

Approximately ten thousand teachers met in San Antonio and resolved to do everything within their power to help get the ownership of the Texas Tidelands restored to the State. There is probably not much that they can do. When an act of robbery is committed, and when the thief is the Federal government, it demonstrates a low state of political morals and official depravity which cannot be corrected except by united action of all the people. Vote the rascals out.

The Baptists of Texas will never "kowtow to Politicians" by allowing the government to give their hospitals money and help operate them, Ben H. Wooten, Baptist layman and Trustee of Baylor University told the General Baptist Convention in session in Fort Worth. Good for Texas Baptists.

Welfare, It's Wonderful

(Reprinted from Congressional Record)

The following letters purportedly written in 1960, first appeared in the Blytheville, Arkansas, Courier-News. The author is A. A. Fredrickson, Associate Editor. The correspondence was put into the Congressional Record by Representative E. C. Cathings of Arkansas.

March 28, 1960.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,
Payroll Division, Redistribution Bureau,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

After submitting it for my endorsement, my employer as usual sent my pay check to Washington last week to cover my participation in the federal-benefits program. But something must have happened to it.

Anyway, I haven't received my certificate of entitlement for this week. My wife needs an infected tooth pulled but cannot get an appointment with the United States dentistry office here without her certificate for this week. The Dry Gulch Authority has threatened to shut off my electricity if I don't turn in my certificate showing I am a paid-up consumer.

Also, until I can show my entitlements for this week, my credit has been cut off at Brannon Memorial Delicatessen No. 821. I can't get a pair of shoes my boy needs from the Federal Clothing Allotment Administration Branch here, I can't make the next payment on my house to the Bureau of Low-Middle-Class Housing and I can't get credit from the Government life insurance.

While I am writing you, I would like to take care of a couple of other matters. Since I have been authorized by the Bureau of Extra-Curricular Employment Assignment to raise a half acre of black-eyed peas in my back yard, I would like to apply for the required 200 pounds of Government fertilizer.

Thornton P. Blivett.

Wet Rock, Ark.

Thornwall T. Blivets

Wet Rock, Ark.

Dear Mr. Blivets:

Yours of the 28th instant received and noted. However, you failed to submit your correspondence in octuplicate so as to provide copies for each of the organizations mentioned in your letter.

Kindly resubmit your letter thus in order that all items may be properly channeled.

Quagmire K. Graph, Director
Payroll Division, Redistribution
Bureau.

P.S.: You are cautioned to note closely the carbon-paper ration for your district for the current month and penalties for exceeding same. Q.K.G.

Director, Payroll Division.

Dear Sir:

It's been two weeks since I resubmitted. I'm now missing certificates for three weeks. My family is starving. My electricity has been shut off. My wife's jaw is infected, my insurance has been canceled and the Government is threatening to foreclose on my house. Can't you do something? And my name is not Thornwall T. Blivets.

Thornton T. Blivett.

Wet Rock, Ark.

Thornwall Z. Blivens

Wet Rock, Arkansas.

Dear Mr. Blivens:

In answer to your request of April 9, 1958, please find enclosed Government manual on Sex Life of the Female Night Crawler in Lower Slobovia.

Oliver H. Ringworm
Dept. of Animal Husbandry.

Director, Payroll Division

Dear Sir:

I'm now down to 103 pounds and bare-foot. For goodness sake, do something. Even if it's only getting my name right.

Thornton T. Blivett.

Wet Rock, Ark.

Thornley E. Glivens

Wet Rock, Ark.

Dear Mr. Glivens: As per your request,

About 'Certain Things'

Eleanor Roosevelt wrote in her column yesterday that she had read an editorial critical of the 27-to-23 vote in the United Nations Political Committee side-tracking the American resolution for calling the Chinese Communists aggressors.

Though she did not identify the editorial, it could have been one which appeared in the Scripps-Howard Newspapers—for we were certainly critical of what went on in the UN that day.

Mrs. Roosevelt said she was "sure" the editorial "would not create greater unity."

If by "greater unity" she means passive acceptance of an obvious ganging up on the United States for trying to get the Chinese Reds branded as aggressors, she is right. At least we hope we weren't contributing to that kind of unity.

This newspaper has taken strong exception to the fact that our friends in the UN—Britain, France and the Scandinavian countries—voted with India and the Asian-Arab bloc against immediate action on the U. S. resolution condemning the Chinese Communists.

As Mrs. Roosevelt explains it, with the patient air of an aristocratic school ma'am, "What has happened is that the British have accepted certain facts and gone along with them when they knew certain things were going to happen. Whereas we (that's Mrs. Roosevelt and who else?) have known our people were not mature enough, either in Congress or throughout the country, to understand if we took similar action as the British."

This is Mrs. Roosevelt's gracious way of saying that while "certain things" may be readily understood by the British and UN circles, Congress and the American people are unfortunately a little too dumb to grasp them.

Such obviously well-intended suggestions would be much more helpful, however, if Mrs. Roosevelt would be a little more explicit in identifying those "certain facts" too lofty for average people.

This we do know, and we think American parents know: The Chinese Communists are killing Americans in an open war in Korea. In our plodding search for a solution to this problem, we cannot accept Britain's let's-do-nothing line. We at least want help—not doctrine.

If this represents immature thinking on our part, let us pray that we remain young—and never resigned to accepting "certain things" which only Mrs. Roosevelt and the British can see.

—Washington, D. C., Daily News.

103 pounds of fertilizer is being shipped to you express collect.

Cromwell C. Compost,
Department of Utter Fertility.

Director, Payroll Division.

Dear Sir: What's the matter with you people? A month has passed since I wrote you. I'm now out of a job and living in a tent on the courthouse lawn. Can't even get unemployment compensation because you've got all my records tied up. I beg you, get this mess straightened out.

Thornton T. Blivett

Wet Rock, Ark.

Thorny C. Spivens

Wet Rock, Ark.

Dear Mr. Spivens: After a thorough check of your records, we fail to understand your trouble. According to our files, you died February 16, last year. Such being the case, you will report immediately to the public embalming administration branch office nearest your home. In your case, this office is located in Formaldehyde, Arizona. We hope this takes care of your case. We have been happy to assist you, and assure you that any further business you may have with our department will be just as speedily consummated.

Clifton Q. Bungstarter
Federal Benefits Adm.

DON'T MISS THE MARCH EDITION

The March edition of the Southern Conservative will carry an exclusive eye-opening article by a nationally-known literary figure on the gyp of the Club women of the United States by a small coterie of clever, scheming female politicians.

This discussion will reveal how the average, honest, well-meaning American housewife who belongs to her local Club because of a desire to render service, was tricked into voting for world policies of government without knowing what she was doing or when she did it.

All Club women should be interested in learning how this little bunch of smart gals took them for a ride after figuring that local club members didn't know what the score was or which side had the ball.

FOUR FREEDOMS FOR REAL AMERICANS

Freedom from interference by the Federal government.

Freedom to work without being forced to join a Labor Union.

Freedom in the choice of associates without dictation from racial equality agitators.

Freedom to earn, to save and to own property without fear of confiscation by Federal taxing authorities.

DECLARATION OF PRINCIPLES OF THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

The following principles on which the Southern Conservative is founded will appear in each issue of the paper hereafter:

Those of us who are responsible for initiating the Southern Conservative plead guilty to the charge of being hopelessly old-fashioned as we love our own country with a blind and passionate devotion, above all others on earth.

We find ourselves without the slightest desire to change our form of government to conform to foreign ideologies or to attempt to re-shape it in the Soviet mold.

We are convinced that those who founded this Republic framed the greatest instrument for the government of men ever devised by human mind.

We wish to deny, before it is made, the charge that we are subsidized by any special interests or that our undertaking is just another scheme dreamed up to attract the support of big business.

In our discussions of State and National issues, we shall show no more partiality to the Tycoons of Industry than we shall to the Czars of Labor.

In fact, we seem to remember that some of the biggest business men in the nation teamed up with labor union racketeers in playing ball with the New Deal when that economic atrocity was riding the crest and have continued to string along with its more vicious counterpart currently administering the government in Washington.

The courage, integrity and patriotism which must be commanded if the Republic is to survive will not be found exclusively among representatives of big business or leaders of labor unions.

It must be drawn from that vast group of average Americans who ask nothing of government except that it secure them in their inalienable right to work, to save and to keep a reasonable share of the fruits of their toil.

We are against the domination of government either by big business or big labor and we maintain that favoritism to either group on the part of a State or National administration is un-American, immoral and a flagrant abuse of power.

As to political affiliations, we acknowledge allegiance to neither of the two major parties.

As presently constituted, both are so shot through with radicalism as to render them incapable of resolving the serious problems confronting the Republic.

We acknowledge our indebtedness to the courageous and patriotic Coalition Bloc of sound-thinking Democrats and Republicans in both Houses of Congress which has prevented Communistic influences from complete domination of our Federal government.

We are opposed to the reckless squandering of the taxpayers' money by incompetent, irresponsible and improvident public officials and we contend that taxes should be levied for purposes of revenue only and not to punish the rich, reward the poor or redistribute the wealth.

We are firmly committed to the principle of States Rights and we hold that the people of any part of the United States are entitled to maintain their own social customs and traditions without interference from politicians seeking votes to perpetuate themselves in power.

We are unequivocally in favor of Free Enterprise as opposed to government ownership of business and insist on the responsibility of every American to seek to improve his social and economic condition through initiative, energy and thrift rather than leaning on the arm of government for support.

We concede to every man the right to work and the opportunity of profitable employment regardless of whether he does, or does not, belong to a labor union.

On the other hand, we claim for the employer the irrevocable right to hire and fire his employees without instructions from any government agency or intimidation by Federal Police.

We feel that at this crucial time in history, it is imperative that newspapers and other groups seeking to influence public opinion should exert every effort within their power to help preserve our republican form of government, our Free Enterprise system and American way of life. We shall exert all our energies to that end.

These are the sentiments of the SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE and although ours may be only one small voice crying in the wilderness, we will fight for fundamental American principles, as we understand them, without apology to any man or set of men.

ORDER YOUR COPIES OF "MY NIGHT" NOW

COPIES OF THE BOOK "MY NIGHT" CONTAINING COLUMNS OF THAT TITLE WHICH HAVE BEEN APPEARING REGULARLY IN THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE WILL BE READY FOR DISTRIBUTION IN ABOUT ONE WEEK OR TEN DAYS. EACH WILL BE ENCLOSED IN AN ENVELOPE READY FOR MAILING TO YOUR FRIENDS.

If you have not already ordered copies please do so now, using the following blank for that purpose.

Price \$1⁰⁰ Each

The Southern Conservative,
703 Flatiron Building,
Fort Worth, Texas.

Enclosed is check for \$..... for..... copies of the book "My Night" to be published by your paper in the near future.

.....
Name

.....
Street or Box Number

.....
City

.....
State

MY NIGHT

By the Editor

Last night I spent the evening reviewing a very interesting and instructive article by a noted authority on social behavior which I had scanned briefly many years ago but which I had not had the time to go into fully then.

The article was entitled "The Art of Drinking Like a Lady" or "How to Hold Your Highballs" and I am prepared to recommend it as beneficial and instructive reading for every young girl who has not yet had experience along this line.



One always hopes, of course, that one's children will entirely refrain from drinking but I am afraid that in this modern and sophisticated age such a feeling is merely wishful thinking on the part of parents.

It seems to me, therefore, to be important that we counsel with our young people and give them the benefit of our knowledge and experience of the potentialities for disaster in the cup that cheers, in order to influence them toward moderation and temperance in consuming alcoholic beverages.

It has always been my feeling that the proper way for this information to be transmitted to our young men and women is through a Federal government agency where there are experts qualified to discuss the subject intelligently, but it appears that we are not yet prepared to give this type of enlightened service to our youth groups.

The most important point in social drinking, it seems to me, is to find out the amount of liquor which one is capable of handling without becoming offensive to one's associates.

This can only be developed by a system of trial and error and outside advice, no matter how well-intentioned, is of little value.

When one has established the point beyond which one should not go, one should always be able to conduct oneself properly thereafter, so long as one observes one's own particular limitations.

It is the failure to develop and maintain this standard which has ruined many social careers.

In most cases, I think that a young girl may safely take three highballs without any particularly noticeable effect and without attracting undue attention to herself.

The danger is that the fourth one, which she may be persuaded to take in order not to appear unsociable, is liable to suddenly explode with a bang that will blast the bung out of a beer barrel.

As a result, instead of continuing to maintain friendly but dignified relations with her young man, she may lose all sense of propriety and find herself wrapped around her escort like a boa constrictor around an Argentine lumberjack.

At this stage it is important that she pull herself together, release her hammerlock hold on her boy friend and seek out the nearest ladies lounge.

Then she should calmly sit down and wait until the various articles of furniture in the room have rearranged themselves in their proper places and she has regained her bearings, at which time she may return to her companions.

This unhappy experience will probably give her a much-needed lesson in deportment and warn her in future to abide by well-established rules of polite drinking which have been worked out carefully by those who have given thoughtful and serious deliberation to this important subject.

A Life-Term Convict Stays a Long Time in One Place, Too

Try as we may, we simply can't get worked up into a lather over the big doings and ceremonies in Washington commemorating the fact that Sam Rayburn of Texas has held the position of Speaker of the House of Representatives longer than any other man in history.

A flagpole sitter operates on the same principle, his object being to put his seat down in one place and keep it there until he upsets the record of the guy before him.

We can't see any percentage in that.

It is not the length, but the quality, of service which an elected public servant chalks up to his credit that determines his stature as a statesman.

The low state of the union today and the confused and chaotic world conditions confronting us are partly the result of our having allowed another political leader, Franklin D. Roosevelt, to win an all-time endurance contest in office-holding, instead of having thrown him out on his ear as we should have done.

Mr. Rayburn is reputed to be the second most powerful man in Washington and if this is true—and there seems no reason to doubt it—his power, influence and political prestige could have been far more profitably employed in trying to protect the property rights of his native State than in undertaking to shatter world records in time-serving.

If he is the big shot his friends claim and wields the unlimited power and authority credited to him, he could have, single-handedly, put the forces in motion, in the beginning, which would have forestalled attempts of his Fair Deal cronies to rob Texas of the Tidelands and steal us out of house and home.

That he did not do this is obvious and that he holds no ill-will or resentment against those who engineered the big theft is clear.

In fact, a recent press photo, on the occasion when he was presented a gavel by Truman, depicts him gazing fondly at his pal, Harry, with a satisfied grin on his puss like the expression on the face of a jackass who has just succeeded in swallowing a thistle.

Two-Term Amendment to Come Up In Texas Legislature

According to an interesting news letter from Senator Keith Kelly of Fort Worth, who is now in Austin in attendance at the present session of the Legislature, the proposed Constitutional amendment to limit the president of the United States to two terms in office will come up for early consideration.

The measure is in good hands in both the House and Senate and it is hoped to pass it at this session, although two previous legislatures have failed to act on it.

By the terms of the amendment, President Truman would not be prevented from holding another elective four-year term.

This is unfortunate for the country, of course.

Up to this stage of the nation's history there has never been any necessity for such legislation but since Roosevelt won the endurance contest in office holding, the idea seems to be that a president should cling to the office like a bulldog holding to the seat of a hobo's pants, and not turn loose until he is pried off the job.

Let's quit praying for peace and start praying for victory, says Hulen Carroll of Houston.

It's Too Late Now For Crocodile Tears

The honorable Tom Connally is reported in the press to have been greatly enraged at the action of the Supreme Court some time ago in refusing to review the case of the Texas Tidelands and to have referred to their decision in the matter as "plain theft."

We agree wholeheartedly with the Senior Senator from Texas in his charges but would respectfully suggest that he calmly review and face up to these alleged facts:

In 1935 Senator Connally was instrumental in stopping an investigation being conducted by the Texas Senate into the activities of Mr. Tom Clark in connection with his operations in the East Texas oil fields.

When the investigation was suddenly discontinued, Mr. Clark left Texas and went to Washington where Senator Connally secured him a position with the Department of Justice.

Mr. Clark performed his services in this position in such satisfactory manner as to win the approval and friendship of Harry Truman who, on becoming president, appointed him Attorney General of the United States.

While Attorney General Mr. Clark, on instructions from the president, worked up the case for the Federal government by which they were able, with the connivance of the Truman appointees on the Supreme Court, to take over the Tidelands, a valuable oil property in Mr. Clark's and Senator Connally's native State of Texas.

If Senator Connally, as Mr. Clark's sponsor, protested the latter's action in conspiring against the interests of his own State, or if he withdrew his support of Mr. Clark, there was no public evidence of it.

In fact, Washington newspapers have shown them as buddying up at social functions with no apparent break in the close friendship existing between them.

In view of this, we think it a little inconsistent for the Senator to get worked up at this late date and that his crocodile tears at this stage of the game are not only out of order, but that they make him appear somewhat ridiculous to those familiar with the facts.

A Strong Example of Confused Thinking

As an illustration of the invaluable service being rendered to the American people by a limited number of newspaper columnists who dig up facts not otherwise available to the reading public, we cite a recent article by Fulton Lewis, Jr.

Mr. Lewis comes out with the interesting information that when the Mundt Hill for the control of Communists and for suppressing sabotage in this country was before Congress for consideration, Harry Winston, organizational secretary of the Communist Party in the United States wired President Truman requesting him to veto the measure when it came to him for his signature.

The president did veto the bill as requested by Winston and others and on the same day of his veto he ordered the Coast Guard to tighten control of ports against threat of Communist subversion.

"This" says Mr. Lewis "will give you a brief idea of the whirling dervish thinking that goes on in the presidential mind."

New Candidate For Democratic Presidential Nomination Looms On The Political Horizon

In a recent article in a national weekly magazine on the life and political astuteness of Senator Lyndon Johnson of Texas, the junior representative in the Upper House of Congress from the Lone Star State is modestly presented as being receptive to the Democratic nomination for president of the United States.

Failing that, it is indicated that he will settle for second place on the ticket.

Well, stranger things have happened in politics and one can never tell these days where lightning will strike.

One thing is for sure. He could not lower the batting average of some previous successful contenders for these high offices.

Ordinarily Texans should, and would, be proud of all this publicity coming out of Washington about what big shots and how influential certain members of the Texas delegation are but, personally, we are all fed up with it because it does not add up or make sense.

If these birds are so all-fired powerful in the affairs of the Democratic party, why did they have to sit quietly by with their teeth in their mouth while leaders of the party stole Texas deaf, dumb and blind, as in the Tidelands case?

It would seem that if members of Congress have any extra weight to throw around or any surplus power to exert, it should be exercised in the interests of the people of the State who elected them, and that their ability to discharge their primary obligations to their constituency would be the real test of their strength.

Of course legislation to restore the Tidelands to Texas has not yet come up in Congress and when it does, all Texas members of both houses will vote for it.

We are not talking about that. We are speaking of two or three Titans from Texas who are reputed to be all-powerful in administration circles and who could have, at the proper time and by strategic maneuvers with which they are all too familiar, nipped Truman's big steal of the Tidelands in the bud before it got out of hand.

Texas has several members in the Lower House who represent the interests of the State in the highest and loftiest meaning of the term and who never fail to come to bat against Truman's Socialistic proposals, but they are not the ones who are touted as powerful figures around Washington or constantly paraded as intimates of the president.

They are the outcasts and pariahs in the inner councils of the party because they refuse to goose-step at his command and no blame attaches to them for the gigantic swindle which deprived Texas school children of a fortune in oil-bearing property.

The Tax Racket Is A 'Veep' Gets Cash, Legalized Con Game You Foot the Bill

Personally, we are against practically all forms of taxation and consider the tax racket nothing more than a legalized con game, but if we have to keep on increasing the levy, we think it should fall equally on every citizen.

Naturally no one wants to pay a larger tax himself and all the suggestions for raising more revenue are based on the assumption that the other fellow is the one who should kick in more money.

This is true of the president himself who is personally protected by an unconstitutional tax-free gift from the government of \$50,000 as he exercises the muscles which substitute for brain cells in trying to filch more billions from the pockets of the taxpayers for his wacky Socialistic schemes.

Nowhere in the Constitution of the United States is there any reference to "ability to pay" in levying taxes and that term is just one of the many which has been thought up by politicians out to snag votes.

It was this form of illogical thinking which has produced the graduated tax levy for the purpose of piling up more tax against people of larger means in an effort to discourage the accumulation of wealth, in keeping with the instructions of Karl Marx.

If there is any such thing as a fair and equitable tax, it is one which taxes every citizen at the same rate, regardless of whether he has one thousand dollars or one billion.

Under our form of government, it is no concern of Congress what a man is worth and they should be able to fix tax rates and apply them equally to all and not try to reform the world and correct the ills of society by redistributing the wealth.

We are in the incongruous position of having hundreds of thousands of Americans fighting on a foreign battlefield in the interest of somebody's vague and undefined "liberties" while in Washington the president is seeking, through trickery and subterfuge in his budget demands, to curtail the liberties of the people at home through regimentation and Socialistic policies based on the idea that the individual is the slave of the state.

The Vice-President of the United States visited Mineral Wells Friday night and his hosts admit frankly that he was paid for his bright remarks. There is nothing wrong about that. If Comrade Barkley's scintillating wit is worth cash money to anybody, why, more power to him in these days when it is pretty difficult for anybody to lay up a cent. That dear Alben has had some part in making it difficult can be waived. Nor is the amount paid to Comrade Barkley pertinent beyond the point of gossip.

But the matter of the Veep's transportation is highly pertinent. Mr. Barkley went to Mineral Wells as an ordinary businessman trying to turn a more or less honest dollar. He was not representing the people of the United States. He was representing Alben Barkley on the make. But he went in a plane furnished and paid for by the people of the United States. He had with him official personnel whose salaries and time are paid for by the public. That bill, Washington to Mineral Wells and return, in aviation gas, wear and tear on the machine, cash and time outlay on crew and entourage, will run into a pretty good figure.

It is submitted that Comrade Barkley, out to earn a dollar, owes that bill and ought to pay it. That principle should apply to every man or woman in the employ of the United States from the little fellow in the White House to the big fellow who janitors a federal building. The public ought not to pay for the private entertainment or business of its hired hands. When the public does pay for it by the collusion of federal colleagues who look the other way, public money is being embezzled.

Our money is not Vice-President Barkley's money. But, frankly, it is doubtful if Comrade Barkley or his colleagues, referred to by Sister Eleanor Roosevelt as "our rulers," understand that.—The Dallas Morning News.

We lay no claim to more patriotism than is possessed by our fellowmen, but as a citizen whose love of country is second only to that of God and family, our heart bleeds in protest at the continued desecration of time-honored principles of government and our soul walks in Gethsemane as political vandals consistently violate the sacred provisions of the Constitution of the United States.