

THE SOUTHERN CONSERVATIVE

—To Plead for a Return of Constitutional Government—

Vol. 10

FORT WORTH, TEXAS, OCTOBER, 1959

No. 10

Only One Major Section of the U.S. Constitution Is Still Intact

New York Is Waking Up

From the editorial page of the *Augusta, Georgia, Chronicle*,
Wednesday, September 23, 1959.
(The South's oldest newspaper—Established 1785)

A remarkable article was published on the editorial page of the *New York Mirror* a few days ago. We term it noteworthy because it was a discussion of the editorial stand of a small monthly newspaper in Texas—The *Southern Conservative*—and concurred in the position taken by the *Deep South* editor.

A year or two ago such a commentary in a *New York* paper would have been virtual heresy. Yet, today, it is not uncommon for newspapers in the metropolitan cities of America to turn more and more toward the Southern viewpoint. They apparently are learning that the South's message of state's rights, its warnings against socialistic inclinations, its censure of the Supreme Court, its admonition on the subject of centralized government and oppressive taxation are all founded on the inescapable truth that turmoil, ill-will and crime follow rapidly in the wake of any deviation from constitutional government.

The *New York Mirror* article seems to pay tribute to Mrs. Ida M. Darden, the editor of *The Southern Conservative*, describing how she pitches her policies on the Tenth Amendment of the Constitution, the one that reserves to the states or to the people those rights not delegated to the federal government nor prohibited by the Constitution.

The article—written by Dr. Ruth Alexander and entitled "Message for Americans"—praises Mrs. Darden for having the courage to "belabor the truth that if we were not taxed so heavily to wet nurse the

world," the states would have sufficient revenue to remain independent—"provided the loony 'Liberals' did not demand hot swimming pools as part of 'education.'"

It quotes the Texas paper as saying "larceny by taxation is as much a criminal act as extortion by threat and when a citizen is robbed of his money, it doesn't make much difference whether the thief is an underworld thug or the government." The *Mirror* writer then cites the Marxian doctrine calling for a graduated progressive income tax as prescribed in the Communist Manifesto as a method for socializing countries.

Mrs. Darden is quoted as saying the rulings of the current Supreme Court "are a greater threat to our national security than are enemy missiles," and the article—without quotes which would attribute the analogy to the Texas paper—describes the justices as "nine old coots in their black robes of doom" and calls them "lifers, who uproot our safety, our liberty, like a bulldozer."

We think the article is indeed remarkable, published, as it was, in a *New York* paper.

But even more startling was the concluding paragraph. Referring to the various premises advanced by the Texas editor, it said:

"If you are among the millions who think it, you might be tickled red-white-and-blue to read *The Southern Conservative*."

Yes, indeed, Gentle Reader, the South will rise again!

Congress is not in session now and most of the members are back in their districts for the twofold purpose of offering alibis for their official behavior and trying to get themselves re-elected. This is a good time to pin them down on their voting record and to demand an explanation for their concern in the welfare of every country on earth except their own as attested by their persistent support of foreign aid legislation. Of course it will be hard to find members of the Senate because most of them are running for President but it should be fairly easy to button-hole your Congressman and ask him who he thinks he is.

While the Socialists who have dominated the Executive, Legislative and Judicial arms of the Federal government since 1933 have done a bang-up job of ripping the American Constitution to shreds, they have been careful to preserve the one section which grants them the license and provides them the finances to continue their deadly assault against established institutions of the Republic and the freedoms of its people. For more than a quarter century they have replaced one provision after another of that great document with evil and loathsome stipulations in Marx's Manifesto until today the Sixteenth Amendment stands almost alone in its infamy among the grim and forbidding ruins of the greatest instrument for the guidance of man ever fashioned by human mind. By practical application of its insidious intents, this Frankenstein monster has practically devoured the great body of which it was originally assumed to be only a minor and insignificant part.

The Sixteenth, or Income, tax amendment was insinuated into the Constitution by old-world enemies of freedom who have been active against the American form of government since the Republic was born.

When this amendment was adopted not long after the turn of the century, it was written in the stars that this provision would survive even when the Constitution itself was gasping in the final death struggle.

To understand this, it must be remembered that the wise men who wrote the Constitution in the beginning knew that a direct, or income, tax in a growing and expanding country would be a dangerous precedent and that the levying of such a tax would eventually place in the hands of unscrupulous politicians vast financial resources never intended to be vested in those who govern in a free Republic.

Accordingly, they wrote into the Constitution, in words they thought could never be misunderstood or disregarded, the provision that no per capita or direct tax should ever be laid against the citizens of the United States.

It must also be remembered that the primary aim in the minds of those who framed the Constitution was to limit the power of the Federal government and to guarantee the freedom of the citizen.

They knew that the levying of a direct, or income, tax would circumvent their intentions and provide the Federal government with unlimited power while restricting the freedom of the individual. Determined that this should not happen, they included the clause which they (mistakenly) believed would prevent Washington politicians from stretching out their long arms and reaching down into the pocket of the citizen and helping themselves to his money.

This directive so carefully laid down by the dedicated men who wrote the Constitution was faithfully followed for more than a hundred years although, in the interim, bird-brain politicians in the United States were working up a lather over the proposals of Karl Marx for graduated income and inheritance tax levies as a sure-fire method of bankrupting "capitalistic" countries.

This was in direct opposition to the provision which the founding fathers had so wisely included in our Charter of government and it took a long time and a lot of doing for enemies of this country to bore into this priceless document and instill their deadly poison.

(Continued on Page 2)

Millions of Americans Think It—The Southern Conservative Says It

VIRILE ORGANIZATION HOPES TO DEFEAT POISONOUS PROPAGANDA OF A.D.A.

For a long time there has been a political organization called the A. D. A.

Now there is one known as A. C. A. which promises to give the former a run for its money.

A.D.A stands for Americans for Democratic Action. It is led by a motley aggregation of one-worlders, pseudo-intellectuals, egg-head educationists and other assorted Socialists whose political views are of varying magenta shades ranging all the way from pale pink to blood-red.

Its policies are set by this small inner cell of Collectivists and so closely resemble those of the American Communist Party as to be practically indistinguishable.

Its rank and file members are drawn from the lunatic fringe of politics who have been so thoroughly brainwashed that they are unable to do little more than occasionally attend meetings and give rubber-stamp approval to whatever program of left-wing political action A.D.A. bosses may deem expedient for the moment.

In contrast, A.C.A., which stands for Americans for Constitutional Action, is a thinking man's organization.

It pays tribute to the dignity of the individual and inspires every man and woman associated in the movement to take an active and intelligent interest in the selection of those who govern, to the end that Socialism may be halted and Constitutional Government restored in the United States.

As rapidly as possible, it is carrying its campaign to all sections of the country and is enlisting the support of informed and responsible citizens in its announced purpose of setting up strong, vigorous and effective task forces at grass roots level to aid in the election of Conservative candidates for office who subscribe to established American principles of government.

A.C.A., of which Admiral Ben Moreell, U.S.N. Retired, is chairman, is affiliated with no political party. Its trustees are drawn from among Democrats, Republicans and Independents and it is making a strong appeal to those who have not heretofore taken sufficient interest in their nation's welfare to visit the voting booth on election day.

In stirring addresses throughout the nation, the Admiral is awakening formerly apathetic Americans to the fact that freedom has all but vanished for once-free citizens of the Republic and that the United States faces a crisis of such major proportions as to involve our very survival.

Our fight, as the Admiral sees

J. Wayne Poucher, director of the excellent radio program Life Line, tells in a letter to the Dallas News of the banker who underwent a physical checkup and then anxiously awaited the doctor's diagnosis. When the verdict came, it was: "You are as sound as a dollar" following which the banker exclaimed "is it as bad as that" and promptly fainted away.

it, is one of restoring freedom to its original dimensions and of reclaiming our political, economic, educational, social and religious institutions from the exponents of the Welfare State who now largely dominate them.

He points out that with the gigantic growth of a centralized government, there has come an appalling shrinkage in the rights of the Sovereign States which are fast becoming mere provinces in a burgeoning Federal bureaucracy.

He reminds his hearers that in 1910, the central government cost the average American family \$38 per year while in 1955 it cost these same families \$1,600 each or an increase of 4,200 per cent; that the Federal debt during that same period multiplied 275 times; that the Federal budget grew 1,000 per cent; that the Federal "tax take" in 1954 was 74 per cent, leaving only 26 per cent for State and local governments and that the Federal government owns one-fourth of all the land in this country and operates 3,000 different businesses and commercial ventures in competition with private free enterprise.

Since the step-by-step movement into National Socialism which is responsible for these conditions was brought about voluntarily and according to plan by the elected members of Congress, it can only be changed by lawmakers who want it changed, the Admiral explains.

It is the objective of A.C.A. to help in arousing sentiment which will result in the election of such members to the Congress. The extent to which the American people cooperate with this great organization in attaining its goal will serve as the measurement of their interest in either restoring Constitutional Government in the United States or letting the deadly virus of Socialism continue to strengthen its hold on our institutions and way of life.

The organization maintains two headquarters, one at 51 Lakeside Avenue, West Orange, New Jersey and the other at 516 Cotton Exchange Building, Memphis, Tennessee.

Among its directors are Hon. Charles Edison, former Democratic Governor of New Jersey, and former Secretary of the Navy, Hon. Henning W. Prentis, Jr., Chairman of the Board of the Armstrong Cork Co.; Hon. Ed Gossett, legal counsel for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company; Dr. Walter B. Martin, Past President of the American Medical Association; R. B. Snowden, prominent Arkansas-Tennessee planter and business man and others well known in industrial and professional circles.

All over the country, worried Americans are remarking on the fact that while the President openly insulted Senator Joe McCarthy because of his exposure of Communists in government and rudely refused to invite him to White House functions, he put out the welcome mat for murderer Khrushchev and entertained him like a visiting monarch.

As evidence of the measure of courage which a majority of members of both Houses of Congress display in the face of an emergency, we call attention to their behavior concerning the visit of Khrushchev to this country. They adjourned and ran like rats deserting a sinking ship in order to get away before he arrived. They didn't want to invite him to address that body but didn't have the nerve to stay in session and deliberately ignore him.

If, as an American citizen, you are concerned in juvenile delinquency, consider this: In the course of one year the combined television networks present 2,106,000 cases of murder in the productions which are projected into American living rooms. And a Federal government which is strong on the regulation of all types of business enterprises, does nothing about this perversion of youthful minds. Adult delinquency must be wiped out first; juvenile delinquency will be reduced accordingly.

Only One Major Section —Continued from Page 1

But, although the propaganda mills of the Invisible Rulers grind slowly, they never stop and by 1913 the first long step toward World Socialism was taken in the United States with the adoption of the Sixteenth amendment to the Constitution.

And so far-seeing, crafty and diabolic were the minds of the Internationalists who sponsored it that they wrote into this amendment the death warrant of the Constitution itself. They pronounced the death sentence on that document in these words:

"The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several States and without regard to any census or enumeration."

Into an instrument whose primary purpose was to limit the power of government, there was forced this amendment which granted to that same government power that was absolute and unrestrained.

By the adoption of this amendment, it was decreed that Congress might forever after take one hundred per cent of a citizen's income at any time it suited the purpose of members of that body to do so.

This was the ultimate in the bestowal of authority and transcends any grant of power ever made to weak mortals since the beginning of human history.

And it is why the Constitution is in its death throes now. Even as water cannot mix with oil, so is it impossible for two diametrically opposed and adverse policies of government to operate simultaneously in the governing instrument of a sovereign nation.

Today, practically every section of the Constitution guaranteeing freedom of the citizen and the rights of the States has been invalidated either by legislative act, judicial decision or executive decree (with the exception of the Fifth amendment which, on occasion, is invoked by those who seek to save their worthless hides when their acts of treason against their country are exposed.)

But the Sixteenth amendment lives on and flourishes like the green bay tree. It provides the medium by which reckless, improvident and irresponsible wastrels in Congress may dissipate the substance of the American people to replenish the treasuries of enemy aliens.

It feeds the ego of small-bore politicians in Washington who want to play God to the rest of the world and who are, incidentally, fulfilling the predictions of Lenin, Engels and Marx to the effect that time would prove us fools, dupes and suckers who would eventually spend ourselves out of existence.

And finally the amendment has spawned a measure of corruption in the administration of government paralleled only by the official debauchery associated with the rulers of ancient Rome.

Previous attempts to get the Sixteenth amendment repealed have failed, but determined efforts are again underway and the legislatures of two States, Wyoming and Texas, have memorialized Congress to submit such an amendment to the States for ratification. Action by 34 States is required to compel Congress to take this step.

The usual procedure in such matters, is for Congress to submit an amendment direct to the States, but current members of that body would never do that even though qualified students of fiscal policies have shown that revenue from other sources would be sufficient to run the government provided we quit trying to support the whole world. Repealing this amendment would be as offensive to Congress as taking the dice away from crapshooters or closing the tracks to those who play the horses.

During 1960 fifteen State legislatures will be in session. Those legislatures are: Arizona, Colorado, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia and West Virginia.

Contact with members of their legislature by earnest and responsible citizens might result in action by a sufficient number of those lawmaking bodies to give the movement the needed momentum to put it over when the majority of State legislatures meet in 1961.

It will be a hard and bitter fight and slap-happy and power-drunk politicians in Washington will oppose it at every step of the way. It is their official license to steal and they will try to hold on to it until they have squeezed the last penny out of American citizens just as a hog will keep its nose shoved down into the trough until the last morsel of garbage has been consumed.

With this satanic implement of tyranny, injustice and corruption out of the way Constitutional Government could be restored.

AMERICANS WARNED THAT WORLD FEDERALISTS ARE ACTIVE AGAIN

There are so many organizations throughout the United States which are subtly working for the peaceable overthrow of the American form of government and the inclusion of this Republic into a One-World Socialist State, that it would be impossible to list them all.

One of the most sinister, however, is the United World Federalists which is becoming active again after having been driven underground some years ago after exposure following an official hearing in the Legislature of the State of California.

Certain international forces have been promoting the idea quietly long before World War I but the actual working unit known as United World Federalists was set up in February of 1947 when several hundred Socialists, Communist fronters, One-Worlders and other assorted "Liberals" got together in Asheville, North Carolina, and laid down their program after having elected one Cord Meyer, Jr., as president. Later, branches were set up in 600 communities throughout the country in colleges, universities and even in high schools.

To off-set the unfavorable public reaction which would inevitably follow the association of so many known Communist fronters with the movement, the old trick was employed of inducing many innocent men prominent in the business and industrial world, and those appearing in the Social Register to allow the use of their names in the project.

Claiming 18,000 members, the outfit went into a whirlwind campaign in 1949 and 1950 and got the

Many Angles Should Be Probed In TV Investigation

The investigation of crooked quiz programs on television by the Congressional Committee headed by Representative Oren Harris of Arkansas, has not made many revelations that are surprising to those of us who recognize the type of persons who control our communications systems.

The committee might do well to also look into the matter of the propaganda which is fed to the American people through this same medium. For instance, one of the nation's most popular orchestra leaders told us, in response to our protest of a mangled version of one of Stephen Foster's songs, that he had absolutely nothing to do with the matter. The change was made, he said, by the program director of the network who substituted the word "people" for "darkies" in the song. The committee might find out by what authority a network's program director changes the lyrics in a song of a composer who has been dead since 1864. Is it in the interest of "integration" propaganda?

As to the quiz programs, the witness we want the committee to interrogate is a Negro girl of unde-

termined age who was presented on one of the quiz programs as a child genius and whom newspaper reporters fondly referred to as "Little Gloria." Also, there was an elderly Negro woman who appeared to be a wizard in answering questions relating to classic literature, and also a Negro man they imported from Africa who stunned the viewers with his vast knowledge on certain subjects.

In March 1950, after a hearing before the Legislature of the State of California at Sacramento where the real purposes of the World Federalists to destroy the sovereignty of the United States and make it a dominion in a One-World State were exposed, 23 of the State Legislatures quickly rescinded their action.

Following the exposure of its objectives, World Federalists more or less went into eclipse for several years, but it is understood to be active again with new names and a new line of approach but having the same overall objective of destroying the sovereignty of the United States.

The names of those who were associated with the movement at its launching and who have long Communist front records, have been published many times. We are not repeating them here for the reason that we not only do not have the space but we do not assume that many responsible Americans are again going to be taken in by an organization which seeks to dismantle the American Republic and convert it into a One-World Slave State.

From a subscriber in Houston: "I have read your paper from the beginning and keep it on file. It is surprising how many things you said years ago that have come true. I suggest that in every edition you reproduce something from an earlier edition so new subscribers may have the benefit of at least some of the things you wrote years ago." We will try to reproduce something now and then.

Senator James O. Eastland of Mississippi is reported to have said that he would support Lyndon Johnson for President. If this report is true, the senator has delivered a strong blow against the conservative cause.

What about them? Will they be called to testify or would this be a violation of "civil rights"?

A DANGEROUS MIXTURE IS BREWING IN "THE MELTING POT OF THE WORLD"

Flying over the city of New York recently and looking down on the hundreds of thousands of small homes which shelter those of every known color, creed, culture and background, the thought came to us that someday this "melting pot of the world" is going to boil over and blow up with an explosion that may shake the earth.

Do-gooders are obsessed with the idea that racial mixing is the solution of all the world's problems and that the bringing together of conglomerate masses of the earth's population makes for peace on earth and good will to men.

Nothing could be farther from the truth, and nothing is more dangerous to the nation's well being than tearing down the immigration bars and opening the gates to the undesirables of other lands. The dwelling together of those of similar origins is conducive to harmonious living and "to each his own" is still the basic philosophy underlying unity between members of the human race.

As a corollary to our thoughts, when we returned home we picked up the international edition of Marcia Matthews' Freedom Digest and read a reprint of Paul Stevens' dissertation on New York as originally published in the Charleston, South Carolina, News and Courier and in which the following excerpts are typical:

"... limitless, unknown jungle of teeming millions of people of every race, color, language and religion. . . English carries the accent of the West Indies, the Italian strain of Italy and Sicily, the Spanish ring of Puerto Rico and all the strange intermittent patois of many races, tongues and countries. . . In this city are more Negroes than in many Southern States, twice as many Jews as in Israel, Puerto Ricans by the hundreds of thousands and masses representing every race, country and culture. . . home of the Communist Party of the USA, the Socialist Party and all the varying shades of 'isms' and unrest that have infiltrated from abroad. . . breeding ground for all the insidious ideology and foreign formulas that are eating at the substance and vitality of our country. . . headquarters for the nation's gangsters, fences, pickpockets, confidence men, dope peddlers and sex fiends. . . The city is the No. 1 problem child of the United States. . . the city of unemployment relief, social welfare and charity, the city of switchknives and zip-guns. . . the city that would tell the United States what it must do and how the country must conform to its own evil pattern of hatred, nihilism and destruction of all we hold worthwhile. . . the unknown city that is a blight and a curse on our fair land."

APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES IS AS FAR AS UNITED NATIONS IS ABLE TO GO

If the simple appointment of a committee could put down aggression, prevent war and insure peace, the world would be sitting pretty.

When complaints were made to the United Nations that Communists were committing aggression against Laos, the utter futility and uselessness of that outfit was demonstrated again when it refused to take action except to appoint a committee.

The Committee went out to Laos, looked around and wrote a report. On its return to the United States, the committee refused to make a report of what they found, claiming the report would be laid before the United Nations Security Council the last of October.

This only proves that Communists could take over a country and forget about it by the time the U. N. acted, if ever.

No greater fraud was ever perpetrated on a nation than that visited on the United States when the Senate in 1945 approved the United Nations Charter and thereby committed this country to the responsibility of furnishing the major portion of the money for the upkeep of an organization which is completely futile and which to date has not earned its salt.

Foreign-made automobiles are said to be coming into the United States in such numbers as to cause much concern to domestic car manufacturers. That is because of our "world trade" phobia and because our so-called statesmen are vitally interested in seeing other nations prosper but don't give a whoop in Hades about what happens to our own country.

Andrew Tully, Washington newspaper correspondent, refers to those citizens in Little Rock who object to integration as "rough necks". If there are any worse rough necks, lowbrows and bums than those who advocate the promiscuous mixing of the white and black races, it would be difficult to name them.

In a communication to some of his constituents, Senator Lyndon Johnson of Texas said of the labor bill which was finally passed by Congress: "New and strong tools were placed in the hands of the government to deal with the hoodlums who have been exploiting the public and honorable labor." That's fine but what about the political hoodlums in both Houses of Congress who have been exploiting the taxpayers and robbing them of their money to give away to foreigners and to launch boondoggling projects in various districts for the purpose of getting themselves reelected?"

The Southern Conservative

A MONTHLY PUBLICATION OF
EDITORIAL OPINION WITH
NATIONAL CIRCULATION

IDA M. DARDEN, Editor

Editorial Offices Flatiron Building
Fort Worth, Texas Phone ED 2-2089

Price \$5.00 Per Year

(Every paid subscriber is entitled to one free subscription to be sent to any person of his choosing.)

Sent without cost to members of Congress,
members of State Legislatures, Governors,
and other public officials.

A helpless sparrow can drift with
the wind but it takes an eagle to fly
against the storm.

THE TENTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

Minister Asks That Real Men Stand Up And Be Counted

In a recent speech in New Orleans, the Rev. James P. Dees, Rector of Trinity Episcopal Church at Statesville, North Carolina, said in part:

"If you are a man, then stand like a man for what you believe in. If you believe in preserving your children from amalgamation, do not stand on the sidelines and let someone else do your fighting for you. Help some organization dedicated to our cause. Become identified with it. You cannot do much by yourself. The integrationists in this State and the nation are organized with organization upon organization. I would be ashamed of myself if I thought I were not doing all that I could to preserve my children from the horrors that children are experiencing in integrated schools. If you are a responsible person, then you should shoulder your responsibilities and not leave them for someone else to shoulder. You should take a stand and make your stand felt. Are not your children, and is not our Southland worth it? Of course they are, and if you don't think so, then you are not worthy of them. Give your time, your money, your energy. Stand up and be counted!"

Who is the prominent and popular former high official of Texas whose friends—unknown to him—are planning to conduct a write-in campaign for putting his name on the ticket in the United States senatorial race?

NEW ENGLAND IS SCENE OF COLORFUL MEETING OF ANTI-COMMUNIST FORCES

Throughout September—and especially during the visit of Khrushchev—many patriotic meetings were held all over the United States and the anxiety of thoughtful Americans over the distressing State of the Union was manifested by the attendance of many of the nation's most substantial and responsible citizens at such gatherings.

One of the most interesting and colorful of these events, and one which we had the privilege of attending, was a luncheon for one hundred invited guests on September 20 in honor of the Navy League of the United States given by Countess Rosalind Wood Guardabassi at her beautiful and historic estate "Old Fort" at Prides Crossing, a suburb of Beverly, Massachusetts.

In this lovely and inspiring setting in the heart of New England, where most of the Republic's early history was made, it was particularly fitting that assembled patriotic Americans should be warned of the nation's modern enemy, Communism, and its rapid advance toward its goal of world domination.

This warning was stressed in the conversation of all those in attendance and was emphasized in the address by Rear Admiral Carl F. Espe, Commandant of the Boston Navy Yard, and read by naval intelligence officer Captain Louis C. Mabley in the absence of the Admiral who, shortly before the affair took place, was hospitalized and forced to undergo an emergency operation.

The visit of Khrushchev to this country, or anything else the Reds may do, will not change the Communists' objective of seeking to conquer the world, the Admiral stated in his prepared address.

He pointed out that in twenty-five years, the representatives of the United States have held 3,400 meetings with various Communist leaders and groups including those at Teheran, Yalta, Potsdam, Panmunjon and Geneva. The wordage from these negotiations would fill seven hundred volumes, he stated, and added that of the 52 treaties and agreements which resulted from such conferences, 50 of them have been broken by the Soviets.

He saw no reason to believe that the visit of Khrushchev to this country would alter the Communist attitude toward agreements as officially expressed by Lenin when he said: "Promises are like pie crust—made to be broken."

The catered luncheon was given in a specially constructed tent on the beautiful grounds surrounding the home of the Countess where mounds used as breast-works during the French-Indian War still remain but have been blended into the landscaped gardens of the estate.

The Master of Ceremonies was Mr. Cornelius Ayer Wood, brother of the hostess and president of the Navy League's first district which includes the six New England States. The vivid scene was enhanced by the colorful uniforms of a score of Naval officers from nearby bases. An orchestra played soft, patriotic music in the background throughout the two-hour ceremonies.

In addition to Captain Mabley, other Naval figures present were Rear Admiral Henry Crommelin, commanding officer of the Naval Base at Newport, Rhode Island; Captain Ralph R. Curry, executive officer of the First Coast Guard District, representing Rear Admiral Edwin G. Roland, district Coast Guard commandant; Captain Carl Johnson, commanding officer of the Naval Base at Portsmouth, New Hampshire; Captain Thomas H. Suddath, Chief of Staff of Task Force Bravo and Carrier Division 14 stationed aboard the Carrier Wasp; Captain Carlyle Ingram, commanding officer of the Wasp; Captain R. L. Ruhlman, commanding officer of the Boston Naval Shipyard and Captain R. P. Rumble, commanding officer of the Naval Shipyard at Portsmouth, New Hampshire. Also present were Col. Laurence E. Bunker, former aide to General Douglas MacArthur, Col. W. Bruce Pirnie of Manchester, Mass.; State Senator Philip A. Graham, Dean Hudson T. Armerding of Gordon College, Beverly, Mass.; William Loeb, editor and publisher of the Union Leader, Manchester, New Hampshire; Carlton B. Hovey, managing editor of the Beverly Evening Times; George B. Fowler, treasurer of the Valley Paper Company of Holyoke who gave a short talk on the Socialistic acts of government including the Urban Renewal Program and "Metro" government; Dr. Sarah Jordan, noted medical writer of the Leahy Clinic in Boston; Rev. Harold J. Ockenga of the Park Street Episcopal Church in Boston who delivered the invocation at the luncheon and others from many sections of New England who composed the list of one hundred guests.

Especially tributes were paid to the hostess of the affair by those present for her numerous patriotic activities and her vigorous and unceasing fight in behalf of Constitutional government.

The Massachusetts-born Countess is the embodiment of that rare, delightful and inspiring phenomenon—a gentlewoman with brass knuckles who is ready to fight in defense of the Republic and its revered institutions until the last drop of the curtain. Close friends claim that if those who shape our policies of government knew as much as the Countess about the insidious methods of the Soviets in seeking to infiltrate the various countries upon which they have designs, the menace of Communism would soon be stamped out.

Having spent much of her adult life on the Guardabassi ancestral estate near Perugia, Italy, the Countess and her husband, the late Count Francesco Mario Guardabassi, are described as having relentlessly battled Communism on two continents.

Her unflagging belief in the ultimate victory of the forces of Constitutional Government and the restoration of the Ship of State to the steady hands of statesmen and patriots, should be an inspiration to those of us whose faith sometimes wavers and whose spirit falters.

Pro-Communist Argument Is Shot Full of Holes

One of the arguments of pro-Communist Americans is that if we don't continue to pour foreign aid into alien countries, Russia will do so and thereby cut us off from the source of some of the raw materials which we need and can't produce.

Former senator from Nevada the Honorable George W. Malone, now engaged in private research, claims that this is so much hogwash.

A sworn and relentless enemy of reciprocal trade agreements, he claims that there is no danger of this country being cut off from such material as cobalt, manganese, industrial diamonds, chrome, tin, bauxite, tungsten and other minerals. We can make substitutes for many of them, he declares, and the rest of them can be obtained from sources in the Western hemisphere.

The only sensible thing to do, he points out, is to go back to a high tariff on raw materials found in this country, but expensive to produce, and to give Central and South America preferential treatment on the things we must buy.

As to the claim that we must continue to pour money down foreign rat-holes, he denies that any nation can buy friends and allies for any amount of money.

Integration of Sports Was Planned Many Years Ago

The baseball season is over, the world series is a thing of the past and those Americans who crowded the stadiums to overflowing, can now settle down to normal.

We used to be an avid baseball fan, too, and during a world series we kept our ear so closely glued to the radio that no one could speak to us, much less carry on a conversation. But not anymore.

On the day that they integrated baseball we lost interest and have never attended one, listened to one on radio or watched one on television from that day to this.

We knew who was back of integration of sports for at that time we had already read Communist Israel Cohen's definition of Communist policy which appeared in his book put out around 1912 in which he said in part: "We must realize that our party's most powerful weapon is racial tension. By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mold them to a program of the Communist Party.

In America we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the Whites, we will endeavor to instill in the Whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise in prominence in every walk of life, in the professional and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige, the Negroes will be able to inter-marry with the Whites and begin a process which will deliver America to our cause."

TOUR OF BLOODY TYRANT TO COST TAXPAYERS HALF MILLION DOLLARS

Millions upon millions of words have been written about the unfortunate and regrettable visit of the Kremlin butcher, Khrushchev, to the United States and the American people no doubt are fed up with the whole thing and would like to forget it.

But the event too drastically affects the interests of the American people, and possibly the destiny of the Republic, to be brushed aside and forgotten like yesterday's newspaper.

In the first place, there is the cost of entertaining the unwelcome guest and his vast entourage which authorities estimate at more than \$500,000 and which must come out of the pockets of the over-burdened taxpayers of the United States.

The American people did not invite Khrushchev to come here and they didn't want him. He was invited by politicians in Washington after consultation with each other and who were not commissioned by the citizens of this country to invite a Communist murderer to come here and be entertained on a scale usually enjoyed by visiting royalty.

But even though the taxpayers were not consulted, these unwilling hosts to an unwelcome visitor must pay a small fortune in the cost of the visit which the Khrushchev party ran up in food, drinks, hotel rooms, jet planes, security costs and miscellaneous expenses incident to what even the State Department admits is the most costly official tour in American history.

But the American people are so used to being robbed in the interest of projects to which they have not given sanction that this, too, may be allowed to pass as just another one of those things which the public is too stupid to appreciate or understand.

But even though this imposition on the taxpayers for the cost of their enforced hospitality to an unwanted intruder may be glossed over, no thoughtful American can escape serious consideration of the potentialities of what was, in our opinion, the most dangerous phase of the whole affair.

This was the private conference between the Communist Dictator and the President of the United States during the last days of the visit and from which other Americans were excluded.

Nowhere in the Constitution of the United States is any such official procedure, which affects the destiny of every citizen, provided for and, remembering Roosevelt at Yalta and Truman at Potsdam, all Americans may well be apprehensive until they are informed, if ever,

A Georgia-born Negro who calls himself "Muhammed" is going all over the country threatening and advocating the extermination of the white race, according to a recent article in Time magazine. If this is accomplished wouldn't that constitute "genocide" — a term very freely used by liberals in the United Nations? Or does "genocide" like "Civil Rights" apply only to minority groups?

what promises or commitments were made at that conference.

As to the results of the visit of Khrushchev, the people have been given many viewpoints from many sources, official and otherwise. Personally, we prefer to accept the findings of a recognized authority on Communist propaganda whose knowledge of the subject is second to that of no other American.

We refer to the Honorable Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania, Chairman of the Committee on Un-American Activities of the House of Representatives, who elicited testimony from qualified persons which designated the tour of Khrushchev over the United States as "a terrific victory for Communism."

As a witness before the committee headed by Chairman Walter, Mr. Eugene Lyons, long-time press correspondent in Soviet Russia, gave testimony which reaffirmed the widely-held view that Khrushchev is one of the bloodiest tyrants in history whose promises and commitments mean less than nothing.

As Stalin's trusted killer in the Ukraine in 1937, Khrushchev carried on a two-year purge in which an estimated 400,000 people were ruthlessly murdered, Mr. Lyons testified. Khrushchev's post-war purge in that area was even more bloody and horrifying than the first and hundreds of thousands were liquidated by exile or death, he added.

Concerning the contention that Khrushchev's visit to the United States might slow him down in his plans for world conquest, the former correspondent in Moscow classified this as "a childish fairy tale." Khrushchev didn't come here to acquire knowledge of our strength, but to feel out our weaknesses, he declared, and deplored the fact that our leaders treat World Communism, represented by Khrushchev, as just a misunderstanding that can be cleared up if we get the right people to the right places to say the right words. "It assumes that the cancer of Communism can be treated with the mustard-plaster of good will," he concluded.

At any rate, the old villain finally made the visit here on an invitation for which he has been maneuvering for years and the red carpet was all laid out for him. The people, as a whole, treated him with silence but national, state and local officials and motion picture moguls and stars flocked to the banquets given in his honor like buzzards toward a festering carcass.

In other words, he came and he saw, but only time will tell if he conquered.

When those connected with municipal governments, or any one else, make suggestions about erasing county lines and erecting "joint" facilities in the interest of efficiency, the people should be warned. This is the first tiny step toward centralization of government and, whether it is erasure of State boundaries or those between city and county, is all the same. It is "metro" government which is a facet of one-world government.

INFORMED AMERICANS ARE CAPABLE OF SELECTING THEIR OWN "GREAT BOOKS"

All over the country, the "Great Books" program is making its appearance usually in the public libraries of the city in which such program is held.

Like almost everything that comes along, it has brought many Americans flocking to its meetings who haven't the faintest idea of what it is all about or who launched the program or what its objective may be.

Instead of going thoroughly into it and investigating it, they accept it at face value and follow along like sheep. It is this ovine quality of mentality which causes most Americans to wind up in some group or organization of ulterior motive and whose purposes have nothing whatever to do with the promotion of Americanism. Such Americans are not vicious or subversive; they are just careless, if not actually stupid.

There is one rule which can be safely followed in such matters and that is for Americans to keep forever in mind that almost any movement which has sprung up in recent years which does not bear the brand of its patriotic intent on the face of it, will bear watching. There are very few which are actually educational and cultural; there's a joker in most of them and this joker means eventual one-world government.

As to the Great Books Foundation, it is sponsored by Robert Hutchins of the Ford Foundation and that fact alone should provide sufficient warning to any informed person that the program is to be avoided like the smallpox.

Another well-known character connected with it was Milton S. Mayer who resigned as a professor at the University of Chicago to become a member of the Academic Staff of the Foundation. In case

They Learn Fast to Separate Taxpayer From His Money

A recent survey of occupations of members of Congress by a Texas newspaper man in Washington shows that 288, or more than half of the 534 lawmakers in that body, are lawyers.

Maybe that's what is wrong with Congress.

There is an old saying that a lawyer knows only one way to get money and that is to take it away from those who earn it.

The way that bunch has been taking it away from the taxpayers in recent years certainly seems to indicate that there are some experts on the job who are past grand masters in the art of relieving the citizen of his cash.

Lawyers, however, have no monopoly on the good old Congressional custom of picking the pockets of the taxpayer and the other half of our national lawmaking body, composed of those belonging to various crafts and professions, seem to catch on fast and to do equally well at separating their constituents from their bank-roll.

the incident has been forgotten, Mayer is the one who startled loyal Americans at a time when they could still be startled when he said or March 6, 1947 (according to the Syracuse New York Post Standard of that date), in a public speech in that city: "We must haul down the American Flag and, if I wanted to be vulgar and shocking, I would go even further and say 'haul it down, stomp on it and spit on it.'" On March 2, 1949 this same Milton Mayer was quoted as saying in a public speech at Richmond, Indiana: "A radical revolution, non-violent and only incidentally economic and political, is necessary. There must be a reorganization of society from the top to the bottom . . . Capitalism is not worthy of your faith." (See Analysis of testimony given before the Seditious Activities Investigation Commission with regard to the investigation of the University of Chicago and Roosevelt College, 1949, before the Legislature of the State of Illinois by virtue of House Joint Resolution No. 21.)

One of the notorious offerings designated as one of the "Great Books" is the Communist Manifesto, the Bible of Atheists and Communists throughout the world and which, by no standard of civilized reckoning could be called "great."

Another sponsor of the Great Books program is Dr. Mortimer J. Adler, formerly of the University of Chicago, whose claim to distinction lies in his published proclamation: "We must do everything we can to abolish the United States."

Such renegades of human society as Hutchins, Mayer and Adler should not be permitted to decide for us which are and which are not "great books" and those who permit them to do so must be suffering acutely from intellectual poverty.

The influence of Socialism is felt even in the dispensing of charity and "sharing the wealth" is almost mandatory upon the part of the citizen. Time was when money was given to the poor in kindness, but in privacy. Today organized charity is big business and when a drive for funds is begun, there is great fanfare, parades and the blaring of brass bands. Recipients of charity are no longer ashamed to receive alms which probably stems from the fact that policies of government have been so shaped as to give respectability to the practice of taking from "each according to his means and giving to each according to his needs." True charitable giving is a Christian virtue. Contributions acquired by high pressure methods are something else.

Many Americans are wondering if Tito is the next dictator to be invited to the United States. He is reported in the press as wanting to come over here after seeing how royally Khrushchev was entertained. One thing is for sure, Franco will not be invited. He hates Communists and will have no truck with them.

TRAGIC SOCIAL PROBLEM IS CAUSING STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO EAT CROW

State and Federal Aid to needy children which has built up a new racket in the form of the wholesale breeding of illegitimate children for the purpose of collecting bonuses has created such a scandal in California that authorities there are demanding a widespread investigation.

The protests, spearheaded by the San Francisco Examiner, have been taken up by other newspapers in that State who charge editorially that the intent of the Aid to Needy Children program is being grossly violated and that the law must be changed to remove those provisions which seem to encourage illegitimacy.

Before Congress adjourned, Senator Herman E. Talmadge of Georgia warned in the Senate that the matter was getting out of hand and called for a Senate investigation to determine whether Federal payments under the program may be contributing to the deluge of illegitimate children which is inundating the country.

"While I would not presume to advocate any one solution to the problem, I think it is generally agreed that the policies of the Federal government with respect to welfare assistance to dependent children constitute a major factor in the worsening situation with which the country is faced in this regard," the Georgia senator said.

Of course the situation is horrible and heartbreaking, but so is any condition resulting from the adoption by any country of pure and unadulterated Socialism.

A return to Constitutional government and the abandonment of all Socialistic policies initiated by Roosevelt—and added to by Truman and Eisenhower—would not cure the tragedy of illegitimacy but it would prevent shiftless and irresponsible women from using Federal funds to further a disgraceful racket in human flesh which is far more deplorable and immoral than shake-downs by underworld gangsters whose illegal gains involve only money. After all, the States were able to take care of their unfortunates and to handle their social problems before Roosevelt, Truman and Eisenhower were born and to do it without extravagance, scandal and disgrace.

As to California, we find ourselves a little slow in working up tears over their situation. The great majority of those who are causing alarm over the matter are Negroes who have swarmed there in droves and we are not forgetting that those same California newspapers have screamed to high heaven in the past about the South's "treatment" of the colored race.

From a long-time subscriber in Baltimore: "The September edition of the Southern Conservative was another masterpiece and I do thank you for the effort it takes and the good Lord for endowing you so richly."

From a man in Broadus, Montana: "I'd do without butter on my hot cakes before I'd do without the Southern Conservative."

NATIONALISM ADVOCATED BY FORMER ONE-WORLDER

Lord Bertrand Russell, notorious British Socialist and one-worlder, recently entered into a trans-Atlantic debate with Dr. Milton Eisenhower, brother of the President, according to press reports.

The subject dealt with internationalism in which Russell took the position that World Government was the alternative to extinction of the Human Race by nuclear war.

Dr. Eisenhower, on the other hand, came out for nationalism which he designated "as the greatest force in the world today." Love of country, he is reported to have said, is like love of family.

We were extremely glad to read of this viewpoint expressed by Dr. Eisenhower for it is always pleasant to hear of a convert to nationalism which, indeed, is like love of family and certainly all true Americans hold that view.

Dr. Eisenhower, however, is a comparatively recent convert to this belief if we are to believe some of his earlier assertions on the subject.

On Saturday, April 2, 1955, Human Events, on page 2, said:

"Milton Eisenhower has been reported as believing in world government and of exerting his tremendous influence on the President to promote such a system. Attention, therefore, is being given to a pamphlet by James L. Henderson entitled 'UNESCO in Focus' with a foreword by Milton Eisenhower.

"Dr. Eisenhower says in his foreword: 'I have personally been enormously stimulated by the picture he (Henderson) paints in swift, colorful strokes of an international effort with which I have been intimately involved and to which I am profoundly committed. I sincerely hope many will read and think about this pamphlet.'

"In this pamphlet Henderson writes: 'Specifically, educators and teachers, for example, have a great role to play in helping to build up the outlooks and attitudes which would favor the establishment of World Government. By cooperating with UNESCO they will, through coordination of effort, increase their strength and effectiveness.'"

The publishing house of the Communist Polish Ministry puts out General Eisenhower's book "Crusade in Europe" in an official Polish edition.

Nobody has less to say about the conduct of the American government than the American people. Long ago they lost control when they allowed their public servants to become their masters, take possession of the purse and start throwing money around over the world like a farmer sowing barley.

The national debt of this country is only \$290,000,000,000.00 so the great brains in Washington have decreed that we must continue giving money to aliens in the name of foreign aid for a long time—perhaps forever.

IS THE WISDOM AND FORESIGHT OF McCARTHY TO BE VINDICATED AGAIN?

FORMER TEXAS GOVERNOR PASSES FROM THE SCENE

Honorable James V. Allred, who died recently, was formerly Attorney General of Texas, later Governor of the State and, at the time of his death, a Federal District Judge.

His passing caused us genuine grief as we have lost a valued friend.

Ordinarily, our circle of personal friends and associates is limited largely to those who are conservative in their beliefs and who stand for the policies of government to which we are so firmly committed. This was not true, however, in the case of Governor Allred.

He was a New Dealer from the first and his views on issues of government were abhorrent to us just as ours were offensive to him, but, somehow, our personal friendship throughout the years remained intact and was never affected by our totally differing opinions on questions of the day.

Many times we engaged in bitter arguments on some political question which almost reached the fist-shaking stage on both his part and ours, but after it was over we laughed it off and our mutual respect never suffered.

Once, while Governor of Texas, he gave out an interview to a Houston newspaper in which he charged us, along with others, of having helped to defeat a Constitutional amendment which he very much desired to have passed. As a matter of fact, we had not written a line or said a word against the amendment and had taken no interest in it whatever.

When he found out that he was wrong the Governor apologized profusely to us but added with a twinkle: "I wouldn't put it past you. You would probably have worked against it if you hadn't been busy on something else."

Jimmy Allred was just about as far from our ideal of a statesman as it was possible to be, but as a human being and a loyal friend, he was tops and we join with his thousands of other friends in mourning his untimely passing.

From an attorney in San Francisco: "A member of my firm takes the Southern Conservative and I get much glee out of reading it. I think your writing is the most brilliant in contemporary times but I'll bet you are a mean gal. I'd hate to tangle with you." On the contrary, we are very mild, timid and reserved and the only time we get furious and go into a rage is when some politician in Washington commits an act of treason against this country—which is practically all the time.

According to press reports from South America in a recent political campaign in Sao Paulo, Brazil, a rhinoceros won the election by a write-in vote. We've never done that in the United States but we've elected jackasses to office time and again.

One of the most alarming aspects of the Khrushchev visit was reported in the New York Daily News, October 5th, quoting statements from a former Russian, now a resident of this country concerning remarks made to the latter by the Russian dictator.

This man, Victor M. Carter, president and chairman of Republic Studios in Hollywood, told newsmen that in a conversation with Khrushchev the dictator told him that Central Intelligence Agency operatives were "feeding U. S. codes and even money to the Communist spy ring."

He said that the Red premier's boast came during a two-hour sightseeing tour of the movie capital during which he, Carter, was one of the guides.

Exact quotes of Khrushchev, as related by Carter, were: "Your Allen Dulles Agency (the CIA) sent agents to the Middle East with code books and money. Using your code books we send false information to you and we also send requests for money. You spend \$100 million on this agency and we get plenty of it."

Carter quoted Khrushchev as further saying: "You're wasting your money. You might as well send it direct to us instead of the middlemen because we get most of it anyway."

Khrushchev was also reported as boasting that he was in possession of a confidential message from President Eisenhower to Prime Minister Nehru of India and of a message which the Shah of Iran sent the President.

The CIA is the agency which the late Senator Joseph R. McCarthy tried so hard, and so unsuccessfully, to have investigated years ago pointing out that this agency refuses to give out any information whatever about its activities or the amount of the funds it receives and how they are disbursed.

Instead of an investigation of CIA, McCarthy was "censured" by the majority of the great "statesmen" who compose the United States Senate.

Texas Waging Another Fight To Keep Its Own Property

There is no thief as persistent as the Federal Government when it sets out to rob the States of their authority or their property.

This is being demonstrated all over in the case of the Tidelands which Washington tried to steal several years ago by judicial decision.

It took an act of Congress before Texas could recover its own property which it owned when it came into the Union.

Now the Justice Department is trying to grab seven and a half miles of the Tidelands boundary in the Gulf of Mexico.

The Governor of Texas, Hon. Price Daniel, has been compelled to leave his duties and again go to Washington to try to wrest back what belongs to us.

They never give up.

Days Of Constitutional Government Are Recalled

In the "Letters from the People" column of the Fort Worth Star-Telegram recently there appeared a communication from a citizen of a small town which must have inspired a nostalgic longing for the political morality which once prevailed among those who govern us. The letter was from J. Carl Stapleton of Euless, Texas, and was as follows:

Can you remember? When there was no income tax, when all kinds of taxes were relatively low, when we had only a token national debt of around a billion dollars, when the total federal budget was only about \$725 million?

When we had no "foreign aid," nor allowed ourselves to get into any foreign entanglements; when we didn't meddle in the affairs of other countries; when our State Department only went to other countries in connection with trade or commerce; when we dealt honestly with other nations and didn't undertake to hold the big stick over them; when we were a first-rate nation instead of a third or fourth-rate nation as we are now; when we were respected as a good and great nation and not despised as we are now by most other nations?

When America was known to other nations as a Christian nation; when we were indeed a happy people without the worry of debt; when we were a people that respected the rights of others; when we were an America that could have deep and sincere compassion on the miseries of other peoples without trying to meddle in their affairs; when we maintained a good and great army for our defense and not to dare others with?

When we were Americans who would love our neighbors, when we would get up on a bad night to help a neighbor who was sick or was in trouble; when we were a people that loved God and honored His house on the Lord's Day; when we were a people of deep and sincere conviction; when there would be genuine repentance of personal sins and mourning?

When the Supreme Court of our nation respected the rights of each state and did not assume to itself the powers of amendment or legislation; when Congress and Congress only had the powers to make laws; when the House and Senate stood between the people and the federal government; when Congress made laws that would benefit the people and not laws that were concerned with spending them into bankruptcy?

When communism was unheard of, when there were but few labor troubles; when the Senate didn't "investigate anyone"; when one could take a \$10 bill and buy a wagon-load of food; when a jug of molasses could be had for 20 cents? In short, when we were a good land, a happy land, and were the greatest people on God's earth? Can you remember? I can.

The tragedy of all this is that the present generation of Americans

APPEAL TO THE HONOR OF THE SENATE FALLS ON DEAF EARS

If it is possible for members of the United States Senate to put the interests of this country first and to perform in accordance with their obligation to the American people, it would seem that a telegram each of them received on September 7 would have persuaded them to this course. It was a message from Mr. Robert Welch, prominent business man and outstanding patriot of Belmont, Massachusetts, pleading with them to measure up to their responsibility as statesmen and to rescind the invitation to Khrushchev to visit this country. As all the world now knows, the Senate did nothing in the matter; instead, they rushed through the last days of the session and then ran like scared jackrabbits in order to get out of town before the monster arrived. The telegram from Mr. Welch follows:

"Has the Senate of the United States simply surrendered its authority and duties? If so, why go through the silly motion of holding sessions?"

"The approaching visit of Khrushchev is not in the same category as the usual State-visit, properly arranged by our State Department. It is a more decisive and far-reaching alignment of our country in its foreign relations than any ordinary treaty could possibly be. In an article in the magazine *Foreign Affairs*, Khrushchev has arrogantly announced on the eve of his arrival the terms on which he will consent to let us survive temporarily as a nation—provided we understand and tacitly consent that we are eventually to be absorbed into the communist empire. His visit as an honored guest of our nation under these circumstances is itself the greatest victory he could possibly achieve towards making this overbearing bluff become tragic reality. Yet the invitation and plans for this visit were made not only without the advice and consent of the Senate, but without any notice even being given you.

"Our State Department itself says that the Soviet Union and communist China and communist North Vietnam are together directing and supporting the communist-invading forces in Laos right now. There is no question but that this move has been deliberately timed to coincide with Khrushchev's visit to America in order to show the world what he can get away with and still have our Government accept it and him. This armed interference by the Soviet in the internal affairs of an independent nation is made at the exact moment when Khrushchev is proclaiming that the Soviet Union strictly adheres 'to an obligation on the part of all states to desist from violating each other's territorial integrity and sovereignty in any form and under any pretext whatsoever.' This is calculated insolence again for the purpose of showing the world what our Government will swallow while still kowtowing to the supposed Soviet power.

will have no such recollections as this man treasures. Their memories will be of Federal regimentation of the people, confiscatory tax levies which seek to re-distribute the wealth and of an overall policy of government based on the tenets of International Communism. They will be unable to recall the glorious rights and freedoms of the individ-

"The armed aggression in Laos, however, is also perfect justification for the President to withdraw his invitation and ask the Soviet Premier to postpone his visit. A firm resolution by the United States Senate requesting this action could not be ignored. The only possible argument in favor of the visit has been that it could do no harm and might do some good. This view already was completely contrary to all past experience in dealing with the Soviets. But these latest developments have made emphatically clear that the visit not only will do immense harm to the whole resistance which still exists against the imperialistic communist advance, but has been carefully planned for exactly that purpose. Only the Senate can save American honor, prestige, and potential firmness in the face of this impending subservience to an arch-murderer who has openly boasted that he will bury us. This visit is itself an important part of the strategy by which he intends to destroy our independence. He and his accomplices in this country expect the United States Senate to let the issue go by default. If you do, it is your Country, gentlemen, and the Country your children will have to live in which you are surrendering step by step to the Soviet tyranny.

"Once to every man and nation comes the moment to decide."

"For Members of the United States Senate, this is it. This is the point at which by one determined act you can begin to turn back the advance of Soviet rule which has inflicted such incredible cruelty and horror on mankind. You can do something of which you personally will be justly proud all the rest of your days, and the Members of this Senate can earn an honored place in history for hundreds of years to come, by one courageous act. That act will cause a worldwide shout of applause. It will be greeted with tears of happiness as a basis for new hope by hundreds of millions of our suffering fellow human beings who are now in utter despair. I beg of you, as do those hundreds of millions and certainly the vast majority of your American fellow citizens, to rise to the occasion and seize this opportunity for greatness."

ual which were once inherent in American citizenship because such rights and freedoms will have been destroyed before they came upon the scene.

The writer of the above letter is fortunate in that he, at least, has memories of the days of Constitutional Government.

Only Cowards Will Take Advantage of Children

The proposal emanating from some quarters that integration of the races in schools should start in the primary grades is the most cowardly and under-handed suggestion yet made in connection with the matter since Moscow first started the agitation for racial mixing in the United States.

The argument is advanced that small children will not object to having colored children in the same class with them. Of course they won't—no more than a baby would object if a rattlesnake were put in its crib. It would merely start playing with the reptile without any consciousness of danger.

It is because of this very helplessness of little children that the proposal for mixing them with Negroes is so immoral and indefensible.

The Reds insist that if children are integrated with Negroes while very young they will grow up without any "prejudice."

The same argument holds about anything else. Take children when they are very young and expose them to every type of crime and they will grow up without any "prejudice" against murder, rape or robbery.

Teenagers who have the indignity of integration imposed upon them will try to do something about it or at least show resentment of the situation, but small children are totally unable to defend themselves.

Only craven cowards would seek to take advantage of them by forcing them to mix and mingle with Negroes.

The designation of the two political parties in England as "Conservative" and "Labor" is as amusing as the claim that we have two political parties in this country with widely differing beliefs. Both political parties in England, as here, are Socialist. The so-called Conservative party there has been in power for years but they still have Socialized Medicine, the nationalization of some of their industry, and practically all of the other Socialist policies put into effect by the Labor party.

Sometimes when wrinkling our brow in perplexity (as if it were not already wrinkled) and trying to figure out a way to properly finance the Southern Conservative, we toy with the idea of imitating the two major Socialist Parties and holding a hundred-dollar-a-plate dinner and serving a dollar-and-four-bit meal. However since, unlike the Modern Republicans and Fair Deal Democrats, we have no plums to promise to give away, we fear that such an affair would turn out like Stella Dallas' party in the old silent films—nobody would come.

From a physician in Cleveland, Ohio: "You are one hundred percent right about Kennedy. There are hundreds of Catholics who do not like his Socialism any more than you do. There cannot be a true Socialist and good Catholic."

What's In A Name? Plenty, If You Ask Us

Why did somebody have to think up the name of the International Development Association, of all things, and christen it IDA for short?

Under the heading of "Here's That Gal Again" the New York Daily News recently said editorially:

"Britain, West Germany, France and Japan, with U.S. help, have recovered economically and then some from World War II.

"Accordingly, the Eisenhower Administration is beginning to urge these nations to join us in helping less fortunate countries. A start would be made through a new United Nations agency to be called the International Development Association. Financed by all nations that wanted to join up, IDA would extend so-called soft (meaning punk) loans to backward countries to help them industrialize.

"We don't like IDA's looks, as we've said before and we've long questioned the whole principle of foreign aid, nasty nationalists that we are.

"But if Eisenhower & Co. feel they must fall for IDA's alleged charms, how about the United States at least withholding contributions until after IDA's kitty has received big and solid donations of British, West German, French and Japanese money? Why shouldn't Uncle Sam, for a change, let others start this foreign aid parade?"

It's a little late, but we are seriously thinking of having our name changed to Susie Pearl.

Our Editorial Charge Confirmed As A Fact

The cat is now out of the bag. For years, we have contended that, following the administrations of three Presidents who officially approved Socialist policies of government, we have a national Welfare State.

During the recent visit of Russia's top executioner, Khrushchev, one of the administration's fair haired boys, Henry Cabot Lodge, confirmed this charge.

Speaking at a banquet given to the visiting assassin by the Economic Club in New York, Lodge told Khrushchev that the United States was, indeed, a Welfare State.

Whether the statement was deliberate and planned or whether, under the exuberance and conviviality of the occasion, the term just slipped out, we wouldn't know.

But, anyway, the truth is out and since it was uttered by a man in high favor at the White House it carries more weight, of course, than when we have proclaimed it over and over in the pages of the Southern Conservative.

It is a fact whether Lodge or anyone else confirmed it or not, but, anyway, we're glad to have the confession and now we can go on from there.

The American people should rise in their wrath and determine to put an end to Welfare Statism and restore Constitutional Government in this great Republic.

LAST STRONGHOLD OF PERSONAL PRIVACY NOW TO BE INVADED BY FEDERAL AGENTS



While American citizens have lost most of their rights and privileges to the Federal government within recent years, they have at least been permitted to go to the bathroom without supervision by Washington snoopers.

But now it appears that the door to this last bastion of human privacy is to be battered down and its sacred precincts invaded by an official brigade of Federal Peeping Toms.

According to advance press reports, those who take the 1960 census for Uncle Sam are to be authorized to figuratively follow us right into this hitherto inviolate sanctuary and make the events which transpire therein a part of the official statistical records of the government of the United States.

Whether this action was planned in the interest of world peace, universal brotherhood or the promotion of better trade relations is not disclosed, but census enumerators who were formerly sent out to merely count the noses of the citizenry are now to be instructed to include the whole human anatomy in their appraisal and to find out whether we poor peasants simply take a shower bath or whether we dunk our whole carcass when we perform our daily ablutions.

Personally, we have tried to go along without gagging as all this "togetherness" business was rammed down our throat, but, by the eternal gods, we are going to abandon this policy at the bathroom door. And if that be treason, then let the Bureau of the Census make the most of it.

A trip to the bathroom is one journey we propose to make alone and unattended and any census taker who undertakes to pry into the intimate details of this strictly personal function is going to be the recipient of some sizzling remarks which will singe his shaggy ears.

As lowly serfs of a centralized government, we have meekly surrendered states rights, private property rights and the right to associate with persons of our own choosing but the right of a human being to lock his bathroom door against intrusion is an inalienable one which supercedes the provisions of the Constitution of the United States, the Bill of Rights and the Charter of the United Nations.

In the meantime, we shall make inquiries as to whether this matter properly comes under the classification of a domestic issue or whether it should be designated as an international problem lying within the jurisdiction of the World Court in which latter case we will, if necessary, appeal to that high tribunal to uphold us in our God-given right to take a bath without benefit of government observers.

Heads of government who steal the rights of the states and the freedom of the people are committing a far greater crime than if they pilfered money from the public treasury. Money can be replaced but lost liberty is seldom restored except at the point of a sword. Theft of money is a felony at most; robbing the states and the people of their sovereign rights is treason.

What is to be said of the brain-power back of a government which spends seven million dollars of the taxpayers' money buying up eggs to keep down over-production and then turns around and lends one million and one hundred thousand of those same taxpayers' funds to farmers who want to engage in the poultry business? How long would a privately-run enterprise stay in business if such idiotic and dishonest methods were employed by its management?

LOOK WHO'S TALKING AGAINST FOREIGN AID

Informed and thinking Americans must have read with amazement the address of Secretary of the Treasury, Robert B. Anderson, to the finance ministers of 68 countries who serve as directors in the World Bank, delivered in Washington recently.

He vigorously denounced "do-gooders" throughout the world who expect this country to continue to furnish them with money to bolster their economy to the detriment of our own. Americans, he told the ministers, are getting "good and tired of it."

He also insisted that they abolish postwar tariff restrictions on American goods "which we have tolerated for fifteen years" and warned that the United States "might retaliate by cutting foreign aid and erecting tariff barriers of its own."

Anyone hearing or reading the words of the Secretary would get the impression, if they didn't know better, that he was reflecting the sentiments of an administration committed to sound policies in foreign relations and which is concerned in the welfare of this country first.

The trouble with the speech was that it came about seven years too late at the tail-end of the administration whose actions have not squared with the present words of Secretary Anderson. Cynical Americans are bound to consider it as merely a bid for votes in 1960.

Perhaps spokesmen for the administration will also forget the bayonet-sticking episodes at Little Rock and will come out strongly in favor of States' Rights before the end of the President's term.

Consistency is one commodity of which there is no surplus in Washington.

We wonder how many Americans who, when they turn on their televisions for a so-called entertainment program but which in many cases is nothing but propaganda, realize that this trash is being fed to them by persons who have abandoned their own names and are operating under an alias.

Is it true as we have been told that the Chief of the United Nations Military Staff Committee has always been a Russian since the U. N. was set up in 1945 and that there was an agreement at the time that no non-Communist should ever hold this post? If that is true, it would seem to us that it is long past time for Congress to look into the matter since it is largely United States money which supports this outfit.

STARTLING BUT TRUE — *Has it ever occurred to you that in our social system the politician is enabled to reach a position of responsibility without having any training? He serves no apprenticeship. He masters no course of study. He need pass no examination as to his ability. He receives neither a diploma nor a license to practice. The veterinary who doctors our dogs and cats is required to show more careful preparation for his calling than is the politician who seeks to direct not only our industrial but much of our personal life.—Exchange.*